

SOME CHARACTERIZATIONS OF RING EPIMORPHISMS

CİHAN BAHRAN

It seems that at least some of these results are known by ‘everyone’, but they are hard to find a reference for. I will basically rewrite the proofs in Bo Stenström’s *Rings and Modules of Quotients*. Rings and ring homomorphisms are always unital in this document and they form the category \mathbf{Ring} . For a ring R , $\mathbf{R-Mod}$ denotes the category of left R -modules. Given a ring homomorphism φ , we denote the restriction of scalars functor by φ_* and the left adjoint of φ_* by φ^* .

We first introduce some notation before going on to these characterizations. Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism.

Let W be an S, S -bimodule. Define the *centralizer of S in W* to be

$$\mathbf{C}_S(W) = \{x \in W : sx = xs \text{ for every } s \in S\}.$$

Note that W is also an R, R -bimodule by restriction so we can also talk about the centralizer $\mathbf{C}_R(W)$. Note that in this setup we always have $\mathbf{C}_S(W) \subseteq \mathbf{C}_R(W)$. Here are some (in my opinion) clever constructions: First of all we can construct a new *ring* using S and the bimodule W :

$$\begin{bmatrix} S & W \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix} := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} s & x \\ 0 & t \end{bmatrix} : s, t \in S, x \in W \right\}.$$

$\begin{bmatrix} S & W \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$ is a ring via matrix operations. Here the multiplication is well-defined because of the bimodule structure on W . Now given $x \in W$, consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_x : S &\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} S & W \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix} \\ s &\mapsto \begin{bmatrix} s & sx - xs \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. $x \in \mathbf{C}_S(W)$ if and only if $\beta_x = \beta_1$. And $x \in \mathbf{C}_R(W)$ if and only if $\beta_x \circ \varphi = \beta_1 \circ \varphi$.

We claim that β_x is actually a ring homomorphism, which in turn allows us to use Remark 1 effectively in the ring epimorphism characterizations. Clearly β_x preserves

the multiplicative identity. For addition, we check

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_x(s+t) &= \begin{bmatrix} s+t & (s+t)x - x(s+t) \\ 0 & s+t \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} s+t & sx+tx - ys-tx \\ 0 & s+t \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} s+t & (sx-xs) + (tx-tx) \\ 0 & s+t \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} s & sx-xs \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} t & tx-xt \\ 0 & t \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \beta_x(s) + \beta_x(t).
\end{aligned}$$

And for multiplication, we check that

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_x(s)\beta_x(t) &= \begin{bmatrix} s & sy-ys \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t & ty-yt \\ 0 & t \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} st & s(ty-yt) + (sy-ys)t \\ 0 & st \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} s & sty-yst \\ 0 & st \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \beta_x(st).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we can state and prove the promised characterizations:

Theorem 2. *Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) φ is an epimorphism in Ring.
- (2) For every S, S -bimodule W , $\mathbf{C}_S(W) = \mathbf{C}_R(W)$.
- (3) In $S \otimes_R S$, $1 \otimes s = s \otimes 1$ for every $s \in S$.
- (4) The restriction of scalars functor φ_* is full.
- (5) The counit $\varphi^*\varphi_* \rightarrow \text{id}_{S\text{-Mod}}$ is a natural isomorphism.
- (6) The map $S \otimes_R S \rightarrow S$ given by $a \otimes b \mapsto ab$ is bijective.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): This immediately follows from Remark 1.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): $S \otimes_R S$ is an S, S -bimodule via $s \cdot (a \otimes b) = sa \otimes b$ and $(a \otimes b) \cdot s = a \otimes bs$. And clearly $1 \otimes 1 \in \mathbf{C}_R(W)$ since $1 \otimes r = r \otimes 1$ for $r \in R$. Hence by assumption, $1 \otimes 1 \in \mathbf{C}_S(W)$ and we get $s \otimes 1 = s \cdot (1 \otimes 1) = (1 \otimes 1) \cdot s = 1 \otimes s$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Let $\alpha, \beta : S \rightarrow T$ be ring homomorphisms such that $\alpha \circ \varphi = \beta \circ \varphi$. We must show that $\alpha = \beta$. Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta : S \times S &\rightarrow T \\
(s, s') &\mapsto \alpha(s)\beta(s').
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly θ is additive in both arguments. Also for $r \in R$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta(s \cdot r, s') &= \theta(s\varphi(r), s') \\
&= \alpha(s\varphi(r))\beta(s') \\
&= \alpha(s)(\alpha \circ \varphi)(r)\beta(s') \\
&= \alpha(s)(\beta \circ \varphi)(r)\beta(s') \\
&= \alpha(s)\beta(\varphi(r)s') \\
&= \alpha(s)\beta(r \cdot s') \\
&= \theta(s, r \cdot s').
\end{aligned}$$

Thus θ induces an abelian group homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda : S \otimes_R S &\rightarrow T \\
s \otimes s' &\mapsto \alpha(s)\beta(s').
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, for every $s \in S$, we get

$$\alpha(s) = \alpha(s)\beta(1) = \lambda(s \otimes 1) = \lambda(1 \otimes s) = \alpha(1)\beta(s) = \beta(s)$$

as desired.

(3) \Rightarrow (4): Let M and N be left S -modules and let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be an R -linear map. We will show that f is actually S -linear. Fix $x \in M$. Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_x : S \times S &\rightarrow N \\
(a, b) &\mapsto af(bx).
\end{aligned}$$

θ_x is clearly additive in both arguments. Moreover for $r \in R$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_x(a \cdot r, b) &= \theta_x(a\varphi(r), b) \\
&= a\varphi(r)f(bx) \\
&= a(r \cdot f(bx)) \\
&= af(r \cdot (bx)) \\
&= af(\phi(r)bx) \\
&= af((r \cdot b)x) \\
&= \theta_x(a, r \cdot b).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus θ_x induces a map

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_x : S \otimes_R S &\rightarrow N \\
(a \otimes b) &\mapsto af(bx)
\end{aligned}$$

and by assumption, for every $s \in S$, we have $f(sx) = \gamma_x(1 \otimes s) = \gamma_x(s \otimes 1) = sf(x)$. Thus f is S -linear.

(4) \Rightarrow (5): The counit of the adjunction $\phi^* \dashv \phi_*$ at an S -module M is given by the S -linear map

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda : S \otimes_R M &\rightarrow M \\
s \otimes x &\mapsto sx.
\end{aligned}$$

The map

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu : M &\rightarrow S \otimes_R M \\
x &\mapsto 1 \otimes x
\end{aligned}$$

is R -linear. By assumption it must be also S -linear. We show that μ is the inverse of λ . Indeed, for every $s \in S$ and $x \in M$, we have

$$(\mu \circ \lambda)(s \otimes x) = \mu(sx) = s\mu(x) = s(1 \otimes x) = s \otimes x.$$

The reverse composition $\lambda \circ \mu$ is also the identity.

(5) \Rightarrow (6): This is immediate by considering the natural isomorphism at the regular left module ${}_S S$.

(6) \Rightarrow (3): Both $s \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes s$ evaluate to s under the bijection, so they must be equal. \square