Aggregate Risk MFM Practitioner Module: Quantitiative Risk Management

John Dodson

February 3, 2016

John Dodson

As we discussed last semester, the general goal of risk measurement is to come up with a single metric that can be used to make financial risk management decisions. This is a difficult task; but axiomatic concepts such as —

- monotonicity
- translation-invariance
- law-invariance
- sub-additivity
- quasi-convexity
- coherence
- positive homogeneity

have proven to be useful in at least defining the problem. The notions of acceptance sets and the dual representation have also led to important insights.

Acceptance Sets
Dual

Distortion Met

Coherent Stress Tests

Linear Loss and Elliptical Risk Factors

Euler Decomposition

$$\varrho(L) = \inf \{ m \in \mathbb{R} : L - m \in A_{\varrho} \}$$

Clearly if ϱ is law-invariant, then A_{ϱ} depends on the risk measure \mathbb{P} .

Example: Value-at-Risk

Value-at-risk is a good example. It is monotone and translation-invariant, but not necessarily coherent. The acceptable set the set of losses such that $P(L>0) \leq 1-\alpha$. Depending on \mathbb{P} , this may or may not be convex.

Insights from the theory of acceptance sets was important in the development of the principle of coherence. The notion of the dual representation of risk measures is an important theoretical insight which has subsequently influenced practical developments in risk measurement. It is similar to the notion of acceptance sets of losses, but instead we consider an acceptable set of probability measures.

Dual Representation for Coherent Risk Measures

If $\varrho(L)$ is coherent, there is a set of probability measures \mathcal{Q}_{ϱ} for which

$$\varrho(L) = \max\left(\mathsf{E}^\mathbb{Q} \, L : \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_{\varrho}\right)$$

Example: Expected Shortfall

For expected shortfall, which is generally coherent, we have

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\varrho} = \left\{ \mathbb{Q} : \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} \leq \frac{1}{1-lpha}
ight\}$$

in terms of the Radon-Nikodym derivative.

$$\varrho(L) = \int_0^1 q_u(L) \, dD(u)$$

in terms of the loss quantile function $q_u(L) \triangleq F_L^{\leftarrow}(u)$ and a convex, increasing, absolutely continuous distortion function $D:[0,1]\mapsto [0,1]$ with D(0)=0 and D(1)=1. Distortion risk measures are coherent. They are also comonotone additive, which is a feature from last week.

Example: Expected Shortfall

For expected shortfall, the distortion function is just

$$D(u) = (1 - \alpha)^{-1}(u - \alpha)^{+}$$

All distortion risk measures can be expressed as an expectation of ES_{α} under some measure $\mu(\alpha)$.

999

$$\varrho(m+\lambda'X)=m+r_{\varrho}(\lambda)$$

If we define the set of risk factor scenarios S_{arrho} as

$$S_{\varrho} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \boldsymbol{u}' \boldsymbol{x} \leq r_{\varrho}(\boldsymbol{u}) \quad \forall \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d \right\}$$

then

$$\varrho(L) = \sup \left\{ m + \lambda' \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S}_{\varrho} \right\}$$

Note that the scenario set does not depend on the allocations λ .

Since this is equivalent to a dual representation with Q_{ϱ} consisting of degenerate measures on the elements of S_{ϱ} , it is coherent.

Introduction

Acceptance Sets - .

Representation

Coherent Stress Tests

Linear Loss and Elliptical Risk Factors

Decomposition

Suppose that the risk factors are an elliptic random vector, $\boldsymbol{X} \sim E_d\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\psi}\right)$, and suppose that potential losses are affine in the risk factors, $L = m + \lambda' X$. Then for any law-invariant, translation-invariant, positive homogeneous risk measure ρ .

$$\varrho(L) = m + \lambda' \mu + \varrho(Y_1) \sqrt{\lambda' \Sigma \lambda}$$

where $\boldsymbol{Y} \sim \mathcal{S}_d(\psi)$.

If furthermore $\varrho(Y_1) > 0$ and \boldsymbol{X} has a finite covariance matrix, then ϱ is a sub-additive risk measure, and

$$\varrho(L) = \mathsf{E}\, L + k_{\varrho} \sqrt{\mathsf{var}\, L}$$

which means that ϱ is consistent with weak stochastic dominance and that optimal portfolios lie on the Markowitz mean-variance efficient frontier.

ntroduction

Dual Representation

Distortion Metrics
Coherent Stress

Linear Loss and Elliptical Risk Factors

Euler Decomposition A notable feature of the standard deviation of a weighted sum of correlated random variables is that it can be expressed as a weighted sum of partial standard deviations.

$$\varrho(L) = \varrho(\lambda' L) = r_{\varrho}(\lambda) \triangleq \sqrt{\lambda' \Sigma \lambda} = \lambda' \frac{\Sigma \lambda}{r_{\varrho}(\lambda)}$$

This is true of any risk measures that are (first-order) positive homogeneous, which is to say any risk measure such that for $\forall \lambda \geq 0$, $\varrho(\lambda L) = \lambda \varrho(L)$, which is clearly true for standard deviation.

Allocation by Gradient

In the case where $\lambda = 1$ hence $L = L_1 + L_2 + \cdots + L_d$, this means

$$\varrho(L) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial r_{\varrho}}{\partial \lambda_{i}}(\mathbf{1}) \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^{d} AC_{i}^{\varrho}$$