

(January 14, 2009)

[07.1] Classify the conjugacy classes in  $S_n$  (the *symmetric group* of bijections of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  to itself).

Given  $g \in S_n$ , the cyclic subgroup  $\langle g \rangle$  generated by  $g$  certainly acts on  $X = \{1, \dots, n\}$  and therefore decomposes  $X$  into *orbits*

$$O_x = \{g^i x : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

for choices of orbit representatives  $x_i \in X$ . We claim that the (unordered!) *list of sizes* of the (disjoint!) orbits of  $g$  on  $X$  uniquely determines the conjugacy class of  $g$ , and vice-versa. (An unordered list that allows the same thing to appear more than once is a **multiset**. It is not simply a *set*!)

To verify this, first suppose that  $g = tht^{-1}$ . Then  $\langle g \rangle$  orbits and  $\langle h \rangle$  orbits are related by

$$\langle g \rangle\text{-orbit } O_{tx} \leftrightarrow \langle h \rangle\text{-orbit } O_x$$

Indeed,

$$g^\ell \cdot (tx) = (tht^{-1})^\ell \cdot (tx) = t(h^\ell \cdot x)$$

Thus, if  $g$  and  $h$  are conjugate the unordered lists of sizes of their orbits must be the same.

On the other hand, suppose that the unordered lists of sizes of the orbits of  $g$  and  $h$  are the same. Choose an ordering of orbits of the two such that the cardinalities match up:

$$|O_{x_i}^{(g)}| = |O_{y_i}^{(h)}| \quad (\text{for } i = 1, \dots, m)$$

where  $O_{x_i}^{(g)}$  is the  $\langle g \rangle$ -orbit containing  $x_i$  and  $O_{y_i}^{(h)}$  is the  $\langle h \rangle$ -orbit containing  $y_i$ . Fix representatives as indicated for the orbits. Let  $p$  be a permutation such that, for each index  $i$ ,  $p$  bijects  $O_{x_i}^{(g)}$  to  $O_{x_i}^{(g)}$  by

$$p(g^\ell x_i) = h^\ell y_i$$

The only slightly serious point is that this map is well-defined, since there are many exponents  $\ell$  which may give the same element. And, indeed, it is at this point that we use the fact that the two orbits have the same cardinality: we have

$$O_{x_i}^{(g)} \leftrightarrow \langle g \rangle / \langle g \rangle_{x_i} \quad (\text{by } g^\ell \langle g \rangle_{x_i} \leftrightarrow g^\ell x_i)$$

where  $\langle g \rangle_{x_i}$  is the isotropy subgroup of  $x_i$ . Since  $\langle g \rangle$  is cyclic,  $\langle g \rangle_{x_i}$  is necessarily  $\langle g^N \rangle$  where  $N$  is the number of elements in the orbit. The same is true for  $h$ , with the same  $N$ . That is,  $g^\ell x_i$  depends exactly on  $\ell \bmod N$ , and  $h^\ell y_i$  likewise depends exactly on  $\ell \bmod N$ . Thus, the map  $p$  is well-defined.

Then claim that  $g$  and  $h$  are conjugate. Indeed, given  $x \in X$ , take  $O_{x_i}^{(g)}$  containing  $x = g^\ell x_i$  and  $O_{y_i}^{(h)}$  containing  $px = h^\ell y_i$ . The fact that the exponents of  $g$  and  $h$  are the same is due to the definition of  $p$ . Then

$$p(gx) = p(g \cdot g^\ell x_i) = h^{1+\ell} y_i = h \cdot h^\ell y_i = h \cdot p(g^\ell x_i) = h(px)$$

Thus, for all  $x \in X$

$$(p \circ g)(x) = (h \circ p)(x)$$

Therefore,

$$p \circ g = h \circ p$$

or

$$pgp^{-1} = h$$

(Yes, there are usually many different choices of  $p$  which accomplish this. And we could also have tried to say all this using the more explicit cycle notation, but it's not clear that this would have been a wise choice.)

[07.2] The **projective linear group**  $PGL_n(k)$  is the group  $GL_n(k)$  modulo its center  $k$ , which is the collection of scalar matrices. Prove that  $PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  is isomorphic to  $S_4$ , the group of permutations of 4 things. (*Hint*: Let  $PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  act on **lines** in  $\mathbb{F}_3^2$ , that is, on one-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -subspaces in  $\mathbb{F}_3^2$ .)

The group  $PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  acts by permutations on the set  $X$  of lines in  $\mathbb{F}_3^2$ , because  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  acts on non-zero vectors in  $\mathbb{F}_3^2$ . The scalar matrices in  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  certainly stabilize every line (since they act by scalars), so act trivially on the set  $X$ .

On the other hand, any non-scalar matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  acts non-trivially on some line. Indeed, if

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} * \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} * \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

then  $c = 0$ . Similarly, if

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ * \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ * \end{pmatrix}$$

then  $b = 0$ . And if

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $\lambda$  then  $a = d$ , so the matrix is scalar.

Thus, the map from  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  to permutations  $\text{Aut}_{\text{set}}(X)$  of  $X$  has kernel consisting exactly of scalar matrices, so *factors through* (that is, is well defined on) the quotient  $PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ , and is *injective* on that quotient. (Since  $PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  is the quotient of  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  by the kernel of the homomorphism to  $\text{Aut}_{\text{set}}(X)$ , the kernel of the mapping induced on  $PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  is trivial.)

Computing the order of  $PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  gives

$$|PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)| = |GL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)| / |\text{scalar matrices}| = \frac{(3^2 - 1)(3^2 - 3)}{3 - 1} = (3 + 1)(3^2 - 3) = 24$$

(The order of  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is computed, as usual, by viewing this group as automorphisms of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ .)

This number is the same as the order of  $S_4$ , and, thus, an injective homomorphism must be surjective, hence, an isomorphism.

(One might want to verify that the center of  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is exactly the scalar matrices, but that's not strictly necessary for this question.)

**[07.3]** An automorphism of a group  $G$  is **inner** if it is of the form  $g \rightarrow xgx^{-1}$  for fixed  $x \in G$ . Otherwise it is an **outer automorphism**. Show that every automorphism of the permutation group  $S_3$  on 3 things is *inner*. (*Hint*: Compare the action of  $S_3$  on the set of 2-cycles by conjugation.)

Let  $G$  be the group of automorphisms, and  $X$  the set of 2-cycles. We note that an automorphism must send order-2 elements to order-2 elements, and that the 2-cycles are exactly the order-2 elements in  $S_3$ . Further, since the 2-cycles *generate*  $S_3$ , if an automorphism is trivial on all 2-cycles it is the trivial automorphism. Thus,  $G$  *injects* to  $\text{Aut}_{\text{set}}(X)$ , which is permutations of 3 things (since there are three 2-cycles).

On the other hand, the conjugation action of  $S_3$  on itself stabilizes  $X$ , and, thus, gives a group homomorphism  $f : S_3 \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\text{set}}(X)$ . The kernel of this homomorphism is trivial: if a non-trivial permutation  $p$  conjugates the two-cycle  $t = (1\ 2)$  to itself, then

$$(ptp^{-1})(3) = t(3) = 3$$

so  $tp^{-1}(3) = p^{-1}(3)$ . That is,  $t$  fixes the image  $p^{-1}(3)$ , which therefore is 3. A symmetrical argument shows that  $p^{-1}(i) = i$  for all  $i$ , so  $p$  is trivial. Thus,  $S_3$  *injects* to permutations of  $X$ .

In summary, we have group homomorphisms

$$S_3 \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\text{group}}(S_3) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\text{set}}(X)$$

where the map of automorphisms of  $S_3$  to permutations of  $X$  is an isomorphism, and the composite map of  $S_3$  to permutations of  $X$  is surjective. Thus, the map of  $S_3$  to its own automorphism group is necessarily surjective.

[07.4] Identify the element of  $S_n$  requiring the maximal number of adjacent transpositions to express it, and prove that it is unique.

We claim that the permutation that takes  $i \rightarrow n - i + 1$  is the unique element requiring  $n(n - 1)/2$  elements, and that this is the maximum number.

For an ordered listing  $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , let

$$d_o(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \text{number of indices } i < j \text{ such that } t_i > t_j$$

and for a permutation  $p$  let

$$d(p) = d_o(p(1), \dots, p(n))$$

Note that if  $t_i < t_j$  for all  $i < j$ , then the ordering is  $(1, \dots, n)$ . Also, given a configuration  $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  with *some*  $t_i > t_j$  for  $i < j$ , necessarily this inequality holds for some *adjacent* indices (or else the opposite inequality would hold for *all* indices, by transitivity!). Thus, if the ordering is *not* the default  $(1, \dots, n)$ , then there is an index  $i$  such that  $t_i > t_{i+1}$ . Then application of the adjacent transposition  $s_i$  of  $i, i + 1$  reduces by exactly 1 the value of the function  $d_o(\cdot)$ .

Thus, for a permutation  $p$  with  $d(p) = \ell$  we can find a product  $q$  of exactly  $\ell$  adjacent transpositions such that  $q \circ p = 1$ . That is, we need *at most*  $d(p) = \ell$  adjacent transpositions to express  $p$ . (This does not preclude *less efficient* expressions.)

On the other hand, we want to be sure that  $d(p) = \ell$  is the *minimum* number of adjacent transpositions needed to express  $p$ . Indeed, application of  $s_i$  only affects the comparison of  $p(i)$  and  $p(i + 1)$ . Thus, it can decrease  $d(p)$  by at most 1. That is,

$$d(s_i \circ p) \geq d(p) - 1$$

and possibly  $d(s_i \circ p) = d(p)$ . This shows that we do need *at least*  $d(p)$  adjacent transpositions to express  $p$ .

Then the permutation  $w_o$  that sends  $i$  to  $n - i + 1$  has the effect that  $w_o(i) > w_o(j)$  for *all*  $i < j$ , so it has the maximum possible  $d(w_o) = n(n - 1)/2$ . For uniqueness, suppose  $p(i) > p(j)$  for all  $i < j$ . Evidently, we must claim that  $p = w_o$ . And, indeed, the inequalities

$$p(n) < p(n - 1) < p(n - 2) < \dots < p(2) < p(1)$$

leave no alternative (assigning distinct values in  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ ) but

$$p(n) = 1 < p(n - 1) = 2 < \dots < p(2) = n - 1 < p(1) = n$$

(One might want to exercise one's technique by giving a more careful inductive proof of this.)

[07.5] Let the permutation group  $S_n$  on  $n$  things act on the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  by  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra homomorphisms defined by  $p(x_i) = x_{p(i)}$  for  $p \in S_n$ . (The universal mapping property of the polynomial ring allows us to define the images of the indeterminates  $x_i$  to be whatever we want, and at the same time guarantees that this determines the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra homomorphism completely.) Verify that this is a group homomorphism

$$S_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n])$$

Consider

$$D = \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)$$

Show that for any  $p \in S_n$

$$p(D) = \sigma(p) \cdot D$$

where  $\sigma(p) = \pm 1$ . Infer that  $\sigma$  is a (non-trivial) group homomorphism, the **sign** homomorphism on  $S_n$ .

Since these polynomial algebras are *free* on the indeterminates, we check that the permutation group *acts* (in the technical sense) on the set of indeterminates. That is, we show associativity and that the identity of the group acts trivially. The latter is clear. For the former, let  $p, q$  be two permutations. Then

$$(pq)(x_i) = x_{(pq)(i)}$$

while

$$p(q(x_i)) = p(x_{q(i)}) = x_{p(q(i))}$$

Since  $p(q(i)) = (pq)(i)$ , each  $p \in S_n$  gives an automorphism of the ring of polynomials. (The endomorphisms are invertible since the group has inverses, for example.)

Any permutation merely permutes the factors of  $D$ , up to sign. Since the group *acts* in the technical sense,

$$(pq)(D) = p(q(D))$$

That is, since the automorphisms given by elements of  $S_n$  are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear,

$$\sigma(pq) \cdot D = p(\sigma(q) \cdot D) = \sigma(q)p(D) = \sigma(q) \cdot \sigma(p) \cdot D$$

Thus,

$$\sigma(pq) = \sigma(p) \cdot \sigma(q)$$

which is the homomorphism property of  $\sigma$ .

///