

# Alternating groups, commutator subgroups

Paul Garrett garrett@umn.edu <https://www-users.cse.umn.edu/~garrett/>

- Commutator subgroups and abelianization
- Alternating groups
- Linear groups

## 1. Commutator subgroups and abelianization

The *commutator* of two elements  $x, y$  of a group  $G$  is<sup>[1]</sup>

$$[x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$$

The *commutator subgroup*  $[G, G]$  of  $G$  is the smallest subgroup of  $G$  containing all commutators.<sup>[2]</sup> It is immediate that  $G$  is abelian if and only if all commutators are 1. At the other extreme, it can happen that  $G = [G, G]$ , in which case  $G$  is called *perfect*.

**[1.1] Proposition:** Let  $f : G \rightarrow A$  be a group homomorphism to an abelian group. Then  $\ker f$  contains the commutator subgroup  $[G, G]$ . Conversely, the commutator subgroup is *normal* and the quotient  $G/[G, G]$  is abelian.

*Proof:* The main point of this is that, for a homomorphism  $f : G \rightarrow A$  to an abelian group  $A$ ,

$$f(xyx^{-1}y^{-1}) = f(x)f(y)f(x^{-1})f(y^{-1}) = f(x)f(x^{-1})f(y)f(y^{-1}) = 0$$

so commutators are certainly in the kernel of  $f$ . For the converse, observe first that

$$z \cdot [x, y] \cdot z^{-1} = z \cdot (xyx^{-1}y^{-1}) \cdot z^{-1} = (z x z^{-1})(z y z^{-1})(z x z^{-1})^{-1}(z y z^{-1})^{-1} = [z x z^{-1}, z y z^{-1}]$$

That is, the set of commutators is stable under conjugation. Thus, for a subgroup  $H$  containing all commutators,  $zHz^{-1}$  also contains all commutators. Then

$$z[G, G]z^{-1} = z \left( \bigcap_{H \ni \text{commutators}} H \right) = \bigcap_{H \ni \text{commutators}} zHz^{-1} = \bigcap_{H \ni \text{commutators}} H = [G, G]$$

proving the normality of the commutator subgroup.<sup>[3]</sup> Let  $q : G \rightarrow [G, G]$  be the quotient map. To show that the quotient is abelian, consider commutators in the quotient

$$q(x)q(y)q(x)^{-1}q(y)^{-1} = q(xyx^{-1}y^{-1}) = 1$$

<sup>[1]</sup> Beware that in other circumstances the same notation has different meanings. In a ring it may be that  $[x, y] = xy - yx$ . And in a *Lie algebra* (an important and useful type of non-associative algebra) the ring operation itself is written as  $[x, y]$  rather than multiplication, both to avoid suggesting associativity, and because it is in fact descended from the group commutator.

<sup>[2]</sup> As usual, this language means that the commutator subgroup is the *intersection* of all subgroups containing all commutators. The intersection of any family of subgroups is a subgroup.

<sup>[3]</sup> Note that we did *not* need to refer to explicit algebraic expressions involving commutators of elements.

Since these are all trivial, the quotient is abelian. ///

[1.2] Corollary: If a finite group  $G$  is *simple*<sup>[4]</sup> then it is equal to its own commutator subgroup. ///

[1.3] Corollary: If a non-trivial finite group  $G$  is *solvable*<sup>[5]</sup> then its commutator subgroup is a *proper* subgroup.

*Proof:* If  $G$  is not already cyclic, then it has a normal subgroup  $G_1$  such that  $G/G_1$  is cyclic. In particular,  $G/G_1$  is abelian, so  $G_1$  must contain the commutator subgroup. ///

[1.4] Remark: We could also characterize the *abelianization*  $G/[G, G]$  more intrinsically, by saying that it is the *smallest* quotient of  $G$  such that every group homomorphism  $f : G \rightarrow A$  to an abelian group factors through this quotient. More precisely, define an abelianization of  $G$  to be an abelian group  $G^{\text{ab}}$  equipped with a homomorphism

$$q : G \longrightarrow G^{\text{ab}}$$

such that for any group homomorphism  $f : G \rightarrow A$  to an abelian group, there is a unique  $g : G^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow A$  such that

$$f = g \circ q : G \xrightarrow{q} G^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{g} A$$

As usual when something is defined by such a universal property, we can prove that any two abelianizations (assuming they exist) are uniquely isomorphic, as follows.

First, with  $f = q : G \rightarrow G^{\text{ab}}$ , the uniqueness part of the definition of  $G^{\text{ab}}$  implies that the identity map 1 on  $G^{\text{ab}}$  is the only map of  $G^{\text{ab}}$  to itself compatible with  $q$ , that is, such that  $1 \circ q = q$ . Among other things, this proves that  $q : G \rightarrow G^{\text{ab}}$  is a surjection.

Next, let  $q_i : G \rightarrow H_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  be two abelianizations. Then there is a unique  $g_1 : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  such that  $q_2 = g_1 \circ q_1$ , and, symmetrically, there is a unique  $g_2 : H_2 \rightarrow H_1$  such that  $q_1 = g_2 \circ q_2$ . Then  $g_2 \circ g_1 : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$  and  $g_1 \circ g_2 : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$  are maps of the  $H_i$  to themselves and are compatible with  $q_i : G \rightarrow H_i$ . Thus, they are the identity maps on the  $H_i$ , so  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are mutual inverses.

By this point we can be confident that whatever *construction* of an abelianization we choose, the resulting object will be the same. In effect, the proposition above about  $G/[G, G]$  proves that this quotient (with the natural map of  $G$  to it) is an abelianization.

## 2. Alternating groups

[2.1] Proposition: For  $n \geq 2$ , the commutator subgroup  $[S_n, S_n]$  of the symmetric group  $S_n$  on  $n$  things is the alternating group<sup>[6]</sup>  $A_n$ . In particular, all 3-cycles are commutators, and  $A_n$  is generated by 3-cycles. (For  $n = 2$  this is vacuously true.)

*Proof:* Certainly commutators are *even* permutations, so  $[S_n, S_n] \subset A_n$ . For  $1 \leq i < n$  let  $s_i$  be the  $i^{\text{th}}$

[4] That is, it has no proper normal subgroups, and, by convention, is not cyclic of prime order.

[5] As usual, this means that there is a chain of subgroups  $G = G_0 \supset \dots \supset G_n$  such that  $G_{i+1}$  is normal in  $G_i$ , and such that all quotients  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  are cyclic.

[6] As usual, the alternating group is the subgroup of  $S_n$  consisting of *even* permutations, that is, those expressible as a product of an even number of 2-cycles.

adjacent transposition, that is the 2-cycle interchanging  $i$  and  $i + 1$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$

$$(s_i s_{i+1})(j) = \begin{cases} j & (\text{for } j \neq i, i + 1, i + 2) \\ i + 2 & (\text{for } j = i + 1) \\ i & (\text{for } j = i + 2) \\ i + 1 & (\text{for } j = i) \end{cases}$$

which is a 3-cycle  $t_i$ . Thus, we compute a commutator

$$s_i s_{i+1} s_i^{-1} s_{i+1}^{-1} = s_i s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1} = t_i^2 = t_i^{-1}$$

Thus, every 3-cycle on adjacent elements  $i, i + 1, i + 2$  is in the commutator subgroup  $[S_n, S_n]$ . We now prove that any product  $s_i s_j$  of two adjacent transpositions is expressible as a product of these particular 3-cycles  $t_i$ . Indeed, for  $i < j$ , we have collapsing

$$t_i t_{i+1} t_{i+2} \dots t_{j-1} t_j = (s_i s_{i+1})(s_{i+1} s_{i+2}) \dots (s_j s_{j+1}) = s_i s_{j+1}$$

Since the adjacent transpositions  $s_i$  generate  $S_n$ , the products of pairs of adjacent transpositions generate  $A_n$ . ///

[2.2] **Proposition:** For  $n \geq 5$ ,  $[A_n, A_n] = A_n$ .

*Proof:* All 3-cycles are in  $A_n$ . Then

$$t_1 t_3 t_1^{-1} t_3^{-1} = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 (s_2 s_1) s_4 s_3 = s_1 s_2 s_3 (s_2 s_1) s_4 s_4 s_3 = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_2 s_1 s_3$$

using the fact that  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  commute with  $s_4$ . This permutation has the effect, traced through its 6 steps for each of 1, 2, 3, 4,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 4 \\ 2 &\rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \\ 3 &\rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \\ 4 &\rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 3 \end{aligned}$$

That is, the result is the 3-cycle  $1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1$ . Once this artifact is discovered, it is clear that a suitable choice of 3-cycles will give any desired 3 cycle as commutator. [7] ///

### 3. Linear groups

[3.1] **Proposition:** For a field  $k$  with  $|k| \geq 4$ , and for  $n \geq 2$ , the group  $SL_n(k)$ , consisting of  $n$ -by- $n$  matrices with entries in  $k$  and determinant 1, is its own commutator subgroup.

*Proof:* The essential point is already visible in  $SL_2(k)$ . [... iou ...] ///

[7] It is a little strange that the extra room  $n \geq 5$  is needed to achieve the effect used in this proof.