

(December 5, 2020)

## Complex analysis examples discussion 04

Paul Garrett [garrett@math.umn.edu](mailto:garrett@math.umn.edu) <http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/>

[This document is

[http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/complex/examples\\_2020-21/cx\\_discussion\\_04.pdf](http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/complex/examples_2020-21/cx_discussion_04.pdf)]

[04.1] Prove that, given holomorphic  $f, g$  on a non-empty open set  $U$ , and given a *simple* zero  $z_o$  of  $f$ , for all small-enough complex  $\varepsilon$  the zero of  $f + \varepsilon g$  nearest  $z_o$  is also *simple*.

**Discussion:** This was proven earlier, but/and is very much worth rehearsing. Let  $|z - z_o| = r > 0$  be a small circle so that it and its interior are entirely within  $U$ . Shrink  $r > 0$  if necessary so that  $f$  does not vanish on the circle, by the identity principle. Let  $m > 0$  be the minimum of the continuous function  $|f|$  on the circle, and  $M$  the maximum of the continuous function  $|g|$  on the circle. Then, on that circle, for  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{m}{M}$ ,

$$|f - (f + \varepsilon \cdot g)| \leq \varepsilon \cdot |g| < \frac{m}{M} \cdot M = m$$

Thus, Rouché's theorem says that the number of zeros of  $f + \varepsilon g$  inside the circle is equal to the number of zeros of  $f$  there, namely, one. ///

[04.2] For small  $w \in \mathbb{C}$ , let  $f(w)$  be the simple zero of  $z^5 - z + w = 0$  near 0. Determine a few terms of the power series expansion of  $f(w)$  at  $w = 0$ .

**Discussion:** There are at least two superficially different ways to approach this. In both cases, we presume that the simple zero really is a holomorphic function of  $w$ . Indeed, since  $w = -z^5 + z$  is a holomorphic function of  $z$ , and  $(-z^5 + z)' = -5z^4 + 1$  is  $1 \neq 0$  at  $z = 0$ , by the holomorphic inverse function theorem, the simple zero really is a holomorphic function of  $w$  near 0.

One way is to let  $f(w) = c_1 w + c_2 w^2 + \dots$ , substitute  $f(w)$  for  $z$  in the equation, and get a recursive expression for  $c_n$ . The other is to implicitly differentiate. Perhaps we should try both, to compare the workloads.

Substituting the power series for  $z$ ,

$$\left(c_1 w + c_2 w^2 + c_3 w^3 + \dots\right)^5 - \left(c_1 w + c_2 w^2 + c_3 w^3 + \dots\right) + w = 0$$

The lowest-order term of that fifth power only appears at degree 5, so  $c_1 = 1, c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = 0$ , by *uniqueness* of power-series expressions for holomorphic functions. Then the implied relation from the equality of  $w^5$  terms is  $c_1^5 - c_5 = 0$ , so  $c_5 = c_1^5 = 1$ .

Subsequently, the first place that  $c_n$  appears is in the equality for coefficients of  $w^n$ , which in the  $f(w)^5$  term can only at highest the coefficient  $c_{n-4}$ . Thus, there will be a recursion that produces all subsequent coefficients. Note that existence and uniqueness were not in doubt after invocation of the holomorphic inverse function theorem. ///

The other approach, by implicit differentiation, computing power series coefficients by computing successive derivatives, first notes that  $f(0) = 0$  satisfies  $f(0)^5 - f(0) + 0 = 0$ , so we do take  $c_0 = 0$ . Differentiating with respect to  $w$ ,

$$0 = 5f'(w) \cdot f(w)^4 - f'(w) + 1$$

so

$$f'(w) = \frac{1}{1 - 5 \cdot f(w)^4}$$

and

$$f'(0) = \frac{1}{1 - 5 \cdot 0} = 1$$

Differentiating again,

$$f''(w) = \frac{-5 \cdot 4 \cdot f'(w) \cdot f(w)^3}{(1 - 5 \cdot f(w)^4)^2}$$

At  $w = 0$ , with  $f(0) = 0$ , this is 0. And so on. Perhaps this approach makes less clear that several power series coefficients are clearly determined (and are 0), than the substitute-and-solve approach, although that is partly due to the sparseness of the polynomial. ///

[04.3] Exhibit a linear fractional transformation mapping 1, 2, 3 to  $z_1, z_2, z_3$ .

**Discussion:** Presumably the  $z_i$  are distinct, or else this is impossible. We know the qualitative fact that linear fractional transformations are transitive on triples of distinct points on  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ , and this question is asking for a formula, which will involve variants of what was classically called the *cross ratio*.

An unglamorous but systematic approach is to map one triple of distinct numbers to 0, 1,  $\infty$ , and then back from 0, 1,  $\infty$  to the other, or similar. There are various computational approaches to obtaining  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  mapping given  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  to 0, 1,  $\infty$ . One approach is to first map  $z_3 \rightarrow \infty$  and  $z_1 \rightarrow 0$ , which is easily done via  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -z_1 \\ 1 & -z_3 \end{pmatrix}$ . This sends  $z_2 \rightarrow \frac{z_2 - z_1}{z_2 - z_3}$ . To subsequently send the latter to 1 while stabilizing 0 and  $\infty$ , multiply by the multiplicative inverse of the latter complex number. Thus,

$$z \rightarrow \frac{z - z_1}{z - z_3} \rightarrow \frac{z - z_1}{z - z_3} \cdot \frac{z_2 - z_3}{z_2 - z_1} = \frac{z_2 - z_3}{z_2 - z_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -z_1 \\ 1 & -z_3 \end{pmatrix} (z) \quad (\text{sends } z_1, z_2, z_3 \text{ to } 0, 1, \infty)$$

The matrix inverse is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -z_1 \\ 1 & -z_3 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{-z_3 + z_1} \begin{pmatrix} -z_3 & z_1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (z)$$

Thus,

$$z \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -z_3 & z_1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \left( \frac{z_2 - z_1}{z_2 - z_3} \cdot z \right) \quad (\text{sends } 0, 1, \infty \text{ to } z_1, z_2, z_3)$$

We can send 1, 2, 3 to 0, 1,  $\infty$  by

$$z \rightarrow \frac{z - 1}{z - 3} \rightarrow \frac{z - 1}{z - 3} \cdot \frac{2 - 3}{2 - 1} = -\frac{z - 1}{z - 3} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -3 \end{pmatrix} (z)$$

Thus, the composition of the maps 1, 2, 3 to 0, 1,  $\infty$  and then to  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  is

$$z \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -z_3 & z_1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \left( \frac{z_2 - z_1}{z_2 - z_3} \cdot \left( -\frac{z - 1}{z - 3} \right) \right) \quad (\text{sends } 1, 2, 3 \text{ to } z_1, z_2, z_3)$$

So-called simplification is most likely misguided. ///

[04.4] Exhibit a linear fractional transformation mapping the circle  $|z| = 1$  (minus a point) to the line  $\text{Re}(z) = \text{Im}(z)$ .

**Discussion:** Use the fact that linear fractional transformations preserve the collection of lines-and-circles, and that a line-or-circle is determined by three points on it, so tracking three points suffices to determine the image. The Cayley map  $z \rightarrow \frac{z + i}{iz + 1}$  fixes  $\pm 1$ , and sends  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , so maps the unit circle to the real line. Then rotate by  $e^{i\pi/4}$ . Altogether, this is

$$z \rightarrow e^{i\pi/4} \cdot \frac{z + i}{iz + 1} = \frac{e^{i\pi/4}z + e^{i \cdot 5\pi/4}}{iz + 1} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\pi/4} & e^{i \cdot 5\pi/4} \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} (z)$$

mapping the unit circle to the diagonal. ///

[04.5] Let  $z, z' \in \mathfrak{H}$ . Exhibit a linear fractional transformation stabilizing  $\mathfrak{H}$  and mapping  $z \rightarrow z'$ .

**Discussion:** For  $z \in \mathfrak{H}$ , we have seen that

$$g_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{y} & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{y} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{with } z = x + iy \in \mathfrak{H})$$

acting as a linear fractional transformation, maps  $i \rightarrow z$ . So  $g_{z'}g_z^{-1}$  maps  $z \rightarrow i \rightarrow z'$ . We could multiply out, if we wanted... ///

[04.6] Let  $z, z'$  be in the open unit disk  $\mathfrak{D}$ . Exhibit a linear fractional transformation stabilizing  $\mathfrak{D}$  and mapping  $z \rightarrow z'$ .

**Discussion:** This reduces to the previous example. We have seen that the Cayley map

$$C = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

acting by linear fractional transformation, gives an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ . Since  $C(0) = i$ , with  $g_w$  as in the previous example,

$$C^{-1} \circ g_{C(z')} \circ g_{C(z)}^{-1} \circ C$$

sends  $z \rightarrow z'$ . Yes, we could multiply out... ///

[04.7] Exhibit a conformal map of the open half-disk  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1, \operatorname{Re}(z) > 0\}$  to the open unit disk.

**Discussion:** These are two bigons, which we know are conformally equivalent by explicit maps. Namely, first, map the two vertices of the half-disk to 0 and  $\infty$ , by  $z \rightarrow C(z)$ , with Cayley map  $C$ . Since the images of the two boundary arcs of the half-disk pass through  $\infty$ , and linear fractional maps preserve lines-and-circles, the images are (straight) lines passing through 0. Looking at an additional point on both, for example  $0 \rightarrow C(0) = i$  on the one, and  $1 \rightarrow C(1) = 1$  on the other, we see that the images are the positive imaginary axis and positive real axis. The squaring map  $z \rightarrow z^2$  is conformal on the *open* first quadrant, and maps the open first quadrant to  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Then the inverse Cayley map sends  $\mathfrak{H}$  to  $\mathfrak{D}$ . ///

[04.8] Exhibit a conformal map of the open unit disk, with  $[0, 1)$  removed, to the open unit disk.

**Discussion:** First, rotate  $z \rightarrow -z$  so that the slit is  $(-1, 0]$  instead. Then the principal square root sends  $\mathfrak{D} - (-1, 0]$  to the right unit half-disk. Rotate back by  $z \rightarrow iz$  to obtain  $\mathfrak{H}$ , and then apply the inverse Cayley map to obtain  $\mathfrak{D}$ . ///

[04.9] Exhibit a conformal map of the sector  $\{re^{i\theta} : r > 0, 0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{4}\}$  to the unit disk.

**Discussion:** First, the eighth-power map does not quite accomplish this, since the image of this open sector under the eighth power map omits the real interval  $[0, 1)$ . Instead, the fourth power map  $z \rightarrow z^4$  does send this sector to the open upper half-plane  $\mathfrak{H}$ , and then the inverse Cayley map sends  $\mathfrak{H}$  to the open unit disk. Thus,  $z \rightarrow \frac{z^4 - i}{-iz^4 + 1}$  maps the given sector to the open unit disk. ///

[04.10] Exhibit a conformal map from the strip  $\{z = x + iy : c < ax + by < c'\}$  to the crescent

$$\Omega = \{z : |z| < 1, |z - \frac{1}{2}| > \frac{1}{2}\}$$

**Discussion:** Both regions are examples of *degenerate bi-gons*, namely, where the vertices are *not* distinct points, and, necessarily, the angles at the vertices are 0.

Perhaps it's easier to go in the opposite direction, since it's easier to adjust *strips* by rotations and dilations than to adjust *crescents* by linear fractional transformations stabilizing the outer circle, for example. Thus, map the single vertex  $z_1 = 1$  of the crescent to  $\infty$ , by  $z \rightarrow \frac{1}{z-1}$ . The image of the outer circle is determined by tracking two more points on it, for example  $\pm i$ , and the image of the inner by tracking two points on it, for example, 0 and  $\frac{1+i}{2}$ . That is, the image of the outer circle is the straight line through  $\frac{1}{i-1} = \frac{-i-1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{-i-1} = \frac{i-1}{2}$ , while the image of the inner circle is the straight line through  $\frac{1}{-1} = -1$  and

$$\frac{1}{\frac{1+i}{2} - 1} = \frac{2}{1+i-2} = \frac{2}{i-1} = -i-1$$

That is, the image of the outer circle is the *vertical* line through  $-\frac{1}{2}$ , and the image of the inner circle is the vertical line through  $-1$ . Thus, the image of the crescent under  $z \rightarrow \frac{1}{z-1}$  is the strip  $\{z : -1 < \operatorname{Re}(z) < -\frac{1}{2}\}$ .

Meanwhile, a relation  $\{z = x + iy : c < ax + by < c'\}$  with real parameters  $a, b, c, c'$  can be rewritten as

$$\{z : c < \operatorname{Re}(z \cdot (a - ib)) < c'\} = (a - ib)^{-1} \cdot \{z : c < \operatorname{Re}(z) < c'\}$$

Further *real* translation and dilation can map any vertical strip to any other:

$$\{z : c < \operatorname{Re}(z) < c'\} = c + \{z : 0 < \operatorname{Re}(z) < c' - c\} = (c' - c) \cdot (c + \{z : 0 < \operatorname{Re}(z) < 1\})$$

In the case at hand, first map by  $z \rightarrow \frac{1}{z-1}$  to the strip  $-1 < \operatorname{Re}(z) < -\frac{1}{2}$ , then by  $z \rightarrow z+1$  to  $0 < \operatorname{Re}(z) < \frac{1}{2}$ , then by  $z \rightarrow z/2(c' - c)$  to  $0 < \operatorname{Re}(z) < c' - c$ , then by  $z \rightarrow z + c$  to  $c < \operatorname{Re}(z) < c'$ , then by  $z \rightarrow (a - bi)^{-1}z$  to  $c < ax + by < c'$ . ///

**[04.11]** Let  $f$  be holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C}$ , and meromorphic at infinity, with a pole of order  $N$ . Show that  $f$  is a polynomial of degree  $N$  (and conversely).

**Discussion:** The converse is relatively easy. Let  $f(z) = a_n z^n + \dots + a_0$ , with  $a_n \neq 0$ , since the degree is  $n$ . In the  $1/z$  coordinate at  $\infty$ ,

$$f(1/z) = a_n \left(\frac{1}{z}\right)^n + \dots + a_1 \frac{1}{z} + a_0$$

This is literally a Laurent series expansion with a degree  $-N$  term, so is a pole of order/degree  $n$ .

Now let  $f$  be holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C}$ , meromorphic at  $\infty$ , with a pole of order  $N$ . That is,  $g(z) = f(1/z)$  has a pole of order  $N$  at  $z = 0$ . That is, there is a constant  $C$  such that  $|g(z)| \leq C \cdot |z|^{-N}$  for  $z \rightarrow 0$ . That is,  $|f(z)| \leq C \cdot |z|^N$  for  $|z| \rightarrow +\infty$ . Since  $f$  is also *entire*, the usual minor extension of Liouville's theorem shows that the derivatives of  $f$  at 0 are 0 except for those of order  $\leq N$ , so  $f$  is a polynomial of degree  $N$ . ///

**[04.12]** Let holomorphic  $f : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$  be 2-to-1. Show that there are two linear fractional transformations  $\alpha, \beta$  such that  $\alpha \circ f \circ \beta$  is the map  $z \rightarrow z^2$ .

**Discussion:** The 2-to-1 property surely counts *multiplicities*.

We have seen that all holomorphic maps  $\mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$  are *rational* maps  $f(z) = P(z)/Q(z)$  with polynomials  $P, Q$ . Without loss of generality  $P, Q$  are relatively prime in the principal ideal domain  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ . Certainly  $Q$  is not identically 0 if the map is not the constant sending all points of  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  to  $\infty$ . If the degree of  $P$  is greater than 2, then (counting multiplicities) more than 2 points map to 0, contradiction. Similarly, if the degree of  $Q$  is more than 2, then more than 2 points map to  $\infty$ , contradiction.

Let  $P(z) = az^2 + bz + c$  and  $Q(z) = Az^2 + Bz + C$ . Not both  $a, A$  can be 0, or else this is a linear fractional transformation, and is not 2-to-1. Post-composing with  $z \rightarrow 1/z$  if necessary, we can suppose that  $A \neq 0$ . Then post-compose with a translation to make  $a = 0$ . This will simplify the algebra. Then

$$(P/Q)'(z) = \frac{b(Az^2 + Bz + C) - (bz + c)(2Az + B)}{Q^2(z)}$$

The numerator is

$$\begin{aligned} (bA)z^2 + (bB)z + bC - (2bA)z^2 - (bB + 2cA)z - cB &= (-bA)z^2 + (bB - bB - 2cA)z + (bC - cB) \\ &= (-bA)z^2 + 2(-cA)z + (bC - cB) \end{aligned}$$

This has at least one zero unless the coefficients of  $z^2$  and  $z$  are both 0, which, since  $A \neq 0$ , would require that  $P(z) = 0$ , contradiction.

Thus, there is a zero  $z_o$  of the numerator. Then

$$\left( \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} - \frac{P(z_o)}{Q(z_o)} \right)' = 0$$

so  $z_o$  is a *double* zero of  $P/Q - P(z_o)/Q(z_o)$ , that is,  $P/Q$  takes the value  $P(z_o)/Q(z_o)$  with multiplicity two at  $z_o$ . Pre-composing and post-composing with translations, without loss of generality  $z_o = 0$  and  $P(z_o)/Q(z_o) = 0$ . This reduces to the form  $z \rightarrow z^2/Q(z)$  with  $Q(z) = Az^2 + Bz + C$  with  $A \neq 0$  and  $C \neq 0$ .

Post-composing with  $z \rightarrow 1/z$ , we can consider  $f(z) = Q(z)/z^2$ , and by post-composing with a translation,  $Q(z) = az + b$ . If  $a = 0$ , then  $f(z) = b/z^2$ , and post-composing with  $z \rightarrow 1/z$  (and with a dilation) gives  $f(z) = z^2$ .

With  $a \neq 0$ , and with  $b \neq 0$  to avoid cancellation and reduction to a linear fractional transformation (which would not be 2-to-1), computing a derivative again,

$$f'(z) = \frac{az^2 - (az + b)2z}{z^4} = \frac{-az^2 - 2bz}{z^4} = \frac{-az - 2b}{z^3}$$

This has a zero at  $z_o = -2b/a \neq 0$ . Thus,  $\frac{Q(z)}{z^2} - \frac{Q(z_o)}{z_o^2}$  assumes the value 0 with multiplicity 2 at  $z_o \neq 0$ .

Up to a constant, it is  $(z - z_o)^2/z^2 = \left( \frac{z - z_o}{z} \right)^2$ . Pre-composing with the inverse to  $z \rightarrow (z - z_o)/z$  makes this  $f(z) = z^2$ . ///

---