## (November 6, 2014)

## Phragmén-Lindelöf Theorems

Paul Garrett garrett@math.umn.edu http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/

This document is

http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/complex/notes\_2014-15/05b\_phragmen-lindelof.pdf]

The paper that gave its name to these results is

E. Phragmén, E. Lindelöf, Sur une extension d'un principe classique de l'analyse, Acta Math. 31 (1908), 381-406 proved the theorem here.

The maximum modulus principle can easily be misapplied on unbounded open sets. That is, while for an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  with bounded closure  $\overline{U}$ , it does follow that the sup of a holomorphic function f on U extending continuously to  $\overline{U}$  occurs on the boundary  $\partial U$  of U, holomorphic functions on an unbounded set can be bounded by 1 on the edges but be violently unbounded in the interior.

A simple example is  $f(z) = e^{e^z}$ :

$$\left|e^{e^{x+iy}}\right| = e^{\operatorname{Re}(e^{x+iy})} = e^{e^x \cdot \cos y}$$

On one hand, for fixed y = Im z with  $\cos y > 0$ , the function blows up as  $x = \text{Re } z \to +\infty$ . On the other hand, for  $\cos y = 0$  the function is *bounded*. Thus, on the strip  $-\frac{\pi}{2} \le y \le \frac{\pi}{2}$ , the function  $e^{e^z}$  is bounded on the edges but blows up as  $x \to +\infty$ .

This example suggests growth conditions under which a bound of 1 on the edges implies the same bound throughout the strip. In fact, the suggested bound is essentially sharp.

[0.0.1] Theorem: For f a holomorphic function on the horizontal half-strip

$$\{z \ : \ -\frac{\pi}{2} \le y \le \frac{\pi}{2} \text{ and } 0 \le x\}$$

satisfying

$$|f(z)| \ll e^{e^{C \cdot \operatorname{Re} z}}$$
 (for some constant  $0 \le C < 1$ )

 $|f(z)| \ll e^{e^{C \cdot \operatorname{Re} z}} \quad \text{(for some constant } 0 \leq C < 1)$  $|f(z)| \leq 1 \text{ on the edges of the half-strip implies } |f(z)| \leq 1 \text{ in the interior, as well.}$ 

*Proof:* Unsurprisingly, the proof is a reduction to the usual maximum modulus principle. Take any fixed D in the range

C < D < 1

The function

$$F_{\varepsilon}(z) = f(z)/e^{\varepsilon e^{D \cdot z}}$$
 (for  $\varepsilon > 0$ )

is bounded by 1 on the edges of the half-strip, and in the interior goes to 0 uniformly in y as  $x \to +\infty$ , for fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ , exploiting the modification with D. Thus, on a rectangle

$$R_T = \{z : -\frac{\pi}{2} \le y \le \frac{\pi}{2}, \text{ and } 0 \le x \le T\}$$

for sufficiently large T > 0 depending upon  $\varepsilon$ , the function  $F_{\varepsilon}$  is bounded by 1 on the edge. The usual maximum modulus principle implies that  $F_{\varepsilon}$  is bounded by 1 throughout. That is, for each fixed  $z_o$  in the half-strip,

 $|f(z_o)| \leq e^{\varepsilon \cdot e^{D\operatorname{Re} z_o}}$  (for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ )

///

Let  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ , giving  $|f(z_o)| \le 1$ .

[0.0.2] Remark: Analogous theorems on strips of other widths follow by using  $e^{c \cdot e^z}$  with suitable constants

An analogous theorem on a full strip, rather than half-strip, follows by using a function like  $e^{\cosh z}$  in place of  $e^{e^z}$ , as follows.

[0.0.3] Theorem: For f a holomorphic function on the full horizontal strip

$$\{z \ : \ -\frac{\pi}{2} \ \le \ \operatorname{Im} z \ \le \ \frac{\pi}{2}\}$$

satisfying

$$|f(z)| \ll e^{\cosh C \cdot \operatorname{Re} z}$$
 (for some constant  $0 \le C < 1$ )

 $|f(z)| \le 1$  on the edges of the strip implies  $|f(z)| \le 1$  in the interior, as well.

*Proof:* Again, reduce to the maximum modulus principle. Fix D in the range C < D < 1. The function

$$F_{\varepsilon}(z) = f(z)/e^{\varepsilon \cosh Dz}$$
 (for  $\varepsilon > 0$ )

is bounded by 1 on the edges of the strip, and in the interior goes to 0 uniformly in y as  $x \to \pm \infty$ , for fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Thus, on a rectangle

$$R_T = \{z : -\frac{\pi}{2} \le y \le \frac{\pi}{2}, \text{ and } -T \le x \le T\}$$
 (for large  $T > 0$ , depending upon  $\varepsilon$ )

the function  $F_{\varepsilon}$  is bounded by 1 on the edge. The usual maximum modulus principle implies that  $F_{\varepsilon}$  is bounded by 1 throughout. That is, for each fixed  $z_o$  in the half-strip,

$$|f(z_o)| \leq e^{\varepsilon \cosh D\operatorname{Re} z_o} \qquad (\text{for all } \varepsilon > 0)$$

We can let  $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ , giving  $|f(z_o)| \le 1$ .

The details of various adjustments can be made to disappear by strengthening the hypotheses:

[0.0.4] Corollary: Let f be a holomorphic function on a strip or half-strip, with a bound

 $|f(z)| \ll e^{|z|^A}$  (for some A > 0)

If  $|f(z)| \le 1$  on the edges of the (half-)strip, then  $|f(z)| \le 1$  in the interior, as well. ///

[0.0.5] Remark: Further variations are easily possible, by additional adjustments of functions. For example, polynomial growth of a function f on the edges of a strip or half-strip can be accommodated by considering  $f(z)/(z-z_o)^M$  for  $z_o$  outside the strip, and large M.

|||