

(March 12, 2023)

## 05f. Counting zeros of $\zeta(s)$

Paul Garrett [garrett@math.umn.edu](mailto:garrett@math.umn.edu) <http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/>

[This document is

[http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/complex/notes\\_2021-22/05f\\_counting\\_zeros.pdf](http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/complex/notes_2021-22/05f_counting_zeros.pdf)]

We count zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  in the critical strip  $0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq 1$ <sup>[1]</sup> using the *argument principle* and the Laplace-Stirling asymptotic

$$\Gamma(s) = (s - \tfrac{1}{2}) \log s - s + O(1) \quad (\text{in } \operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \delta > 0 \text{ as } |s| \rightarrow \infty)$$

The convergent Euler product shows that there are no zeros in  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ . The functional equation  $\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{s}{2}) \zeta(s) = \pi^{-\frac{1-s}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{1-s}{2}) \zeta(1-s)$  shows that the only zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  in  $\operatorname{Re}(s) < 0$  are where  $\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})$  has poles, namely, negative even integers. These are the *trivial* zeros of  $\zeta(s)$ . The counting function of interest is

$$N(T) = \text{number of zeros of } \zeta(s) \text{ in } 0 \leq \operatorname{Im}(s) \leq T \text{ and } 0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq 1$$

For any fixed  $1 < 2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $2 \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , by the *argument principle*<sup>[2]</sup>

$$N(T) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{R_T} \frac{\xi'(s)}{\xi(s)} ds \right) + O(1)$$

where  $R_T$  is the rectangle connecting  $2 \pm iT$  and  $1 - 2 \pm iT$ , traversed counter-clockwise, deformed slightly to skirt any zeros of  $\zeta(s)$ . The division by 2 takes into account the double-counting of zeros off the real interval  $[0, 1]$ , and the  $O(1)$  term accounts for the pole at  $s = 1$ .<sup>[3]</sup> The following is an estimate of this integral, giving the leading terms in an asymptotic in  $T$ .

$$[0.1] \text{ Theorem: } N(T) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot T \log T - \frac{\log 2\pi e}{2\pi} \cdot T + O(\log T) = \frac{1}{2\pi} T \log \frac{T}{2\pi e} + O(\log T)$$

[0.2] Remark: The vertical asymptotics of  $\Gamma(s)$  completely determine the leading terms of the asymptotic expansion, by a direct computation which determines the constants.

*Proof:* Using the functional equation  $\xi(1-s) = \xi(s)$ , and the symmetry  $\xi(\bar{s}) = \overline{\xi(s)}$ , we integrate only upward from  $2$  to  $2 + iT$ , and then left from  $2 + iT$  to  $\frac{1}{2} + iT$ . The argument-principle integral computes  $1/2\pi$  times the net change in the imaginary part of  $\log \xi(s)$  over the given paths, requiring *continuity* of the logarithm. We compute separately the net changes of the imaginary parts of the summands in

$$\log \xi(s) = -\frac{s}{2} \log \pi + \log \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) + \log \zeta(s)$$

The net change of imaginary part of the logarithm of  $\pi^{-s/2}$  is

$$\operatorname{Im} \left( \log \pi^{-(\frac{1}{2} + iT)/2} - \log \pi^{-2/2} \right) = \operatorname{Im} \left( -\frac{\frac{1}{2} + iT}{2} \log \pi \right) = -\frac{T}{2} \log \pi$$

[1] From [Backlund 1914, 1916, 1918]. See also [Titchmarsh/Heath-Brown 1951/1989] pages 212-213. [Backlund 1916] was a thesis done under E. Lindelöf's supervision.

[2] As usual,  $\xi(s)$  is the completed zeta function  $\xi(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(\frac{s}{2}) \zeta(s)$ . The usual notation is  $S(T) = \frac{1}{\pi} \arg \zeta(s)$ , required to be 0 at  $s = 2$ , and continuous along the vertical line from  $2$  to  $2 + iT$  and then to  $\frac{1}{2} + iT$ . When there is a zero along  $(0, 1) + iT$ , compute  $S(T)$  slightly above  $T$ .

[3] The  $O(1)$  term would also accommodate zeros *finitely-many* other other poles and zeros that conceivably might exist for other zeta-functions and  $L$ -functions.

From

$$\log \Gamma(s) = (s - \frac{1}{2}) \log s - s + O(1)$$

we have

$$\log \Gamma(\frac{s}{2}) = (\frac{s}{2} - \frac{1}{2}) \log \frac{s}{2} - \frac{s}{2} + O(1)$$

Thus, the net change from 2 to  $\frac{1}{2} + iT$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im} \left( \log \Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} + iT}{2}\right) - \log \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{2}\right) \right) &= \operatorname{Im} \left( \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} + iT}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log \frac{\frac{1}{2} + iT}{2} - \frac{\frac{1}{2} + iT}{2} \right) + O(1) \\ &= \operatorname{Im} \left( \left(-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{iT}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\pi i}{2} + \log \frac{T}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)\right) - \frac{T}{2} \right) + O(1) = \frac{T}{2} \log \frac{T}{2} - \frac{T}{2} + O(1) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $s = 2 + i\mathbb{R}$  is within the region of absolute convergence of the Euler product,  $\log \zeta(2 + it)$  is *bounded* on that line, so the net change in the imaginary part of the argument of  $\zeta(s)$  from 2 to  $2 + iT$  is  $O(1)$ .

The subtle computation concerns the net change in the argument of  $\zeta(s)$  from  $2 + iT$  to  $\frac{1}{2} + iT$ . We recall a version of part of a relevant lemma from [Titchmarsh/Heath-Brown 1951/1989], page 213, which uses *Jensen's Lemma* to approximate the number of zeros, hence, the change in argument, in terms of the *growth* of a meromorphic function. We will apply the following lemma to  $f(s) = \zeta(s)$ :

**[0.3] Lemma:** Let  $f$  be a holomorphic function on a vertical strip  $-1 \leq \sigma \leq 2 + 1$ , except possibly for a simple pole at  $s = 1$ . Suppose that  $f(\bar{s}) = \overline{f(s)}$ . Assume a lower bound  $\operatorname{Re} f(2 + it) \geq m > 0$ , and a family of upper bounds

$$|f(\sigma + it)| \leq M(T) \quad (\text{for } \frac{1}{4} \leq \sigma \leq 4 \text{ and } 1 \leq t \leq T)$$

Then, for  $T$  not the vertical coordinate of a zero of  $f$ , there is the upper bound for change in argument from  $2 + iT$  to  $\frac{1}{2} + iT$

$$\left| \arg f\left(\frac{1}{2} + iT\right) - \arg f(2 + iT) \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{\log \left( (2 - \frac{1}{4}) / (2 - \frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot \left( \log M(T + 2) + \log \frac{1}{m} \right) + \pi$$

**[0.4] Remark:** Naturally, some of the details are insignificant, being mere artifacts of the proof. At the same time, we give a more specific version of the result than [Titchmarsh/Heath-Brown 1951/1989].

*Proof:* Let  $q$  be the number of vanishings of  $\sigma \rightarrow \operatorname{Re} f(\sigma + iT)$  between  $2 + iT$  and  $\frac{1}{2} + iT$ . The vanishing points divide the interval into  $q + 1$  subintervals on each of which either  $\operatorname{Re} f \geq 0$  or  $\operatorname{Re} f \leq 0$ . In particular, the value of  $f$  stays in either the right or left half-plane, so  $\arg f$  cannot change more than  $\pi$  in each subinterval. Thus,

$$|\arg f(\frac{1}{2} + iT) - \arg f(2 + iT)| \leq (q + 1) \cdot \pi$$

Using  $f(\bar{s}) = \overline{f(s)}$ , the count  $q$  is the number of zeros of  $g(z) = \frac{1}{2}(f(z + iT) + f(z - iT))$  on the real interval  $\frac{1}{2} \leq z \leq 2$ . Certainly this count is at most the number of zeros of  $g(z)$  in the disk  $|z - 2| \leq 2 - \frac{1}{2}$ .

Let  $\nu(r)$  be the number of zeros of  $g$  in  $|z - 2| \leq r$ . Setting up application of Jensen's lemma,<sup>[4]</sup> we have an upper bound for  $q$ :

$$\int_0^{2 - \frac{1}{4}} \frac{\nu(r)}{r} dr \geq \int_{2 - \frac{1}{2}}^{2 - \frac{1}{4}} \frac{\nu(r)}{r} dr \geq \nu(2 - \frac{1}{2}) \cdot \log \left( \frac{2 - \frac{1}{4}}{2 - \frac{1}{2}} \right) \geq q \cdot \log \left( \frac{2 - \frac{1}{4}}{2 - \frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

---

[4] *Jensen's Lemma* usually appears as follows: for holomorphic  $f$  on  $|z| \leq r$ , with no zeros on  $|z| = r$ , and with  $f(0) \neq 0$ ,

$$\log |f(0)| - \sum_{\rho} \log \left| \frac{\rho}{r} \right| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \quad (\text{summed over zeros } |\rho| < r \text{ of } f)$$

Jensen's lemma leads to an upper bound for the integral:

$$\int_0^{2-\frac{1}{4}} \frac{\nu(r)}{r} dr = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left| g\left(2 + \left(2 - \frac{1}{4}\right)e^{i\theta}\right) \right| d\theta - \log |g(2)| \leq \log M(T+2) + \log \frac{1}{m}$$

giving the lemma. ///

To apply lemma to  $f(s) = \zeta(s)$ , we show that since  $\operatorname{Re} \zeta(s)$  has a lower bound  $m > 0$  on  $2 + i\mathbb{R}$ . Indeed,

$$\operatorname{Re} \zeta(2 + it) \geq 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} > 1 - \int_1^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2-1} = 0$$

Because of the strict inequality, there is a strictly positive lower bound  $m$ .

On vertical lines,  $\zeta(\sigma + it)$  has a polynomial bound, from the functional equation, and from Phragmén-Lindelöf. Thus, the net change in the argument of  $\zeta(s)$  from  $2 + iT$  to  $\frac{1}{2} + iT$  is  $O(\log T)$ .

Thus, altogether, the argument principle gives

$$\begin{aligned} N(T) &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot 4 \cdot \left( \frac{T}{2} \log \frac{T}{2} - \frac{T}{2} - \frac{T}{2} \log \pi \right) + O(\log T) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \left( \frac{T}{2} \log \frac{T}{2} - \frac{T}{2} (1 + \log \pi) \right) + O(\log T) = \frac{T \log T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{2\pi} \log 2 - \frac{T}{2\pi} (1 + \log \pi) + O(\log T) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot T \log T - \frac{\log 2\pi e}{2\pi} \cdot T + O(\log T) \end{aligned}$$

which is the asserted asymptotic. ///

## Bibliography:

[Backlund 1914] R.J. Backlund, *Sur les zéros de la fonction  $\zeta(s)$  de Riemann*, C.R. **158** (1914), 1979-81.

[Backlund 1916] R.J. Backlund, *Über die Nullstellen der Riemannschen Zetafunktion*, Dissertation, Helsingfors, 1916.

[Backlund 1918] R.J. Backlund, *Über die Nullstellen der Riemannschen Zetafunktion*, Acta Math. **41** (1918), 345-75.

[Titchmarsh/Heath-Brown 1951/1989] E.C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of the Riemann zeta function*, Oxford University Press, 1951. Second edition, revised by D.R. Heath-Brown, 1986.

Letting  $\nu(t)$  be the number of zeros of size less than  $t$ ,

$$-\sum_{\rho} \log \left| \frac{\rho}{r} \right| = \sum_{\rho} (\log r - \log |\rho|) = \sum_{\rho} \int_{|\rho|}^r \frac{dt}{t} = \int_0^r \nu(t) \frac{dt}{t}$$

Thus,

$$\int_0^r \frac{\nu(t)}{t} dt = -\sum_{|\rho| < r} \log \left| \frac{\rho}{r} \right| - \log |f(0)| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta - \log |f(0)|$$

This applies to circles centered at points other than 0, by the obvious translation.