

(July 2, 2013)

## Distributions supported on hyperplanes

Paul Garrett [garrett@math.umn.edu](mailto:garrett@math.umn.edu) <http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/>

[This document is [http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/fun/notes.2012-13/09c-distns\\_on\\_subs.pdf](http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/fun/notes.2012-13/09c-distns_on_subs.pdf)]

**[0.0.1] Theorem:** A distribution  $u$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{m+n} \approx \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$  supported on  $\mathbb{R}^m \times \{0\}$ , is uniquely expressible as a locally finite sum of transverse differentiations followed by restriction and evaluations, namely, a locally finite sum

$$u = \sum_{\alpha} u_{\alpha} \circ \text{res}_{\mathbb{R}^m \times \{0\}}^{\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n} \circ D^{\alpha}$$

where  $\alpha$  is summed over multi-indices  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ ,  $D^{\alpha}$  is the corresponding differential operator on  $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $u_{\alpha}$  are distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^m \times \{0\}$ . Further,

$$\text{spt } u_{\alpha} \times \{0\} \subset \text{spt } u \quad (\text{for all multi-indices } \alpha)$$

*Proof:* For brevity, let

$$\rho = \text{res}_{\mathbb{R}^m \times \{0\}}^{\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n} : C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m)$$

be the natural restriction map of test functions on  $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^m \times \{0\}$ , by

$$(\rho f)(x) = f(x, 0) \quad (\text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^m)$$

The adjoint  $\rho^* : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$  is a continuous map of distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  to distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , defined by

$$(\rho^* u)(f) = u(\rho(f))$$

First, if we could apply  $u$  to functions of the form  $F(x, y) = f(x) \cdot y^{\beta}$ , and if  $u$  had an expression as a sum as in the statement of the theorem, then

$$u\left(f(x) \cdot \frac{y^{\alpha}}{\alpha!}\right) = (-1)^{|\beta|} \cdot u_{\beta}(f) \cdot \beta!$$

since most of the transverse derivatives evaluated at 0 vanish. This is not quite legitimate, since  $y^{\alpha}$  is not a test function. However, we can take a test function  $\psi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that is identically 1 near 0, and consider  $\psi(y) \cdot y^{\alpha}$  instead of  $y^{\alpha}$ , and reach the same conclusion.

Thus, if there *exists* such an expression for  $u$ , it is unique. Further, this computation suggests how to specify the  $u_{\alpha}$ , namely,

$$u_{\beta}(f) = u\left(f(x) \otimes \frac{y^{\beta}}{\beta!} \cdot \psi(y) \cdot (-1)^{|\beta|}\right)$$

This would also show the containment of the supports.

Show that the sum of these  $u_{\beta}$ 's does give  $u$ . Given an open  $U$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$  with compact closure,  $u$  on  $\mathcal{D}_U$  has some finite order  $k$ . As a slight generalization of the fact that distributions supported on  $\{0\}$  are finite linear combinations of Dirac delta and its derivatives, we have

**[0.0.2] Lemma:** Let  $v$  be a distribution of finite order  $k$  supported on a compact set  $K$ . For a test function  $\varphi$  whose derivatives up through order  $k$  vanish on  $K$ ,  $v(\varphi) = 0$ . ///

For any test function  $F(x, y)$ ,

$$\Phi(x, y) = F(x, y) - \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \frac{y^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} \psi(y) (D^{\alpha} F)(x, 0)$$

has all derivatives vanishing to order  $k$  on the closure of  $U$ . Thus, by the lemma,  $u(\Phi) = 0$ , which proves that  $u$  is equal to that sum, and also proves the local finiteness. ///