(July 20, 2002)

## Weak $C^k$ implies Strong $C^{k-1}$

Paul Garrett, garrett@math.umn.edu, @2002

We show that weak  $C^k$ -ness implies (strong)  $C^{k-1}$ -ness for vector-valued functions with values in a *quasi-complete* locally convex topological vector space. In particular, weak smoothness implies smoothness. (Recall that a topological vector space is *quasi-complete* if every *bounded Cauchy net* is convergent.)

It seems that this theorem for the case of Banach-space-valued functions is well-known, at least folklorically, but the simple general case is at best apocryphal.

(If there were any doubt, the present sense of *weak differentiability* of a function f does not refer to distributional derivatives, but rather to differentiability of every scalar-valued function  $\lambda \circ f$  where f is vector-valued and  $\lambda$  ranges over suitable continuous linear functionals.)

For clarity and emphasis, we recall some standard definitions. Let V be a topological vectorspace. A vector-valued function f on an open subset U of **R** is differentiable if, for each  $x_o \in U$ ,

$$f'(x_o) = \lim_{x \to x_o} (x - x_o)^{-1} (f(x) - f(x_o))$$

exists. The function f is continuously differentiable if it is differentiable and if f' is continuous. A k-times continuously differentiable function is said to be  $C^k$ , and a continuous function is said to be  $C^o$ . A V-valued function is weakly  $C^k$  if for every  $\lambda \in V^*$  the scalar-valued function  $\lambda \circ f$  is  $C^k$ . Generally, as usual, for an **R**-valued function f on an open subset U of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with  $n \ge 1$ , say that f is differentiable at  $x_o \in U$  if there is a vector  $D_o$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  so that (using the little-oh notation)

$$f(x) = f(x_o) + \langle x - x_o, D_o \rangle + o(x - x_o)$$

as  $x \to x_o$ , where  $\langle , \rangle$  is the usual pairing  $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ . Such f is *continuously* differentiable if the function  $x_o \to D_o$  is a continuous  $\mathbf{R}^n$ -valued function.

**Theorem:** Let V be a quasi-complete locally convex topological vector space. Let f be a V-valued function defined on an interval [a, c]. Suppose that f is weakly  $C^k$ . Then the V-valued function f is (strongly)  $C^{k-1}$ .

First we need

**Lemma:** Let V be a quasi-complete locally convex topological vector space. Fix real numbers  $a \leq b \leq c$ . Let f be a V-valued function defined on  $[a, b) \cup (b, c]$ . Suppose that for each  $\lambda \in V^*$  the scalar-valued function  $\lambda \circ f$  has an extension to a function  $F_{\lambda}$  on the whole interval [a, c] which is  $C^1$ . Then f(b) can be chosen so that the extended f(x) is (strongly) continuous on [a, c].

*Proof:* For each  $\lambda \in V^*$ , let  $F_{\lambda}$  be the extension of  $\lambda \circ f$  to a  $C^1$  function on [a, c]. For each  $\lambda$ , the differentiability of  $F_{\lambda}$  implies that

$$\Phi_{\lambda}(x,y) = \frac{F_{\lambda}(x) - F_{\lambda}(y)}{x - y}$$

has a continuous extension  $\tilde{\Phi}_{\lambda}$  to the compact set  $[a, c] \times [a, c]$ . Thus, the image  $C_{\lambda}$  of  $[a, c] \times [a, c]$  under this continuous map is a compact subset of **R**, so bounded. Thus, the subset

$$\left\{\frac{\lambda f(x) - \lambda f(y)}{x - y} : x \neq y\right\} \subset C_{\lambda}$$

is also bounded in **R**. Therefore, the set

$$E = \left\{\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} : x \neq y\right\} \subset V$$

is weakly bounded. It is a standard fact (from Banach-Steinhaus, Hahn-Banach, and Baire category arguments) that weak boundedness implies (strong) boundedness in a locally convex topological vectorspace, so E is (strongly) bounded. Thus, for a (strong, balanced, convex) neighborhood N of 0 in V, there is  $t_o$  so that  $(f(x) - f(y))/(x - y) \in tN$  for any  $x \neq y$  in [a, c] and any  $t \geq t_o$ . That is,

$$f(x) - f(y) \in (x - y)tN$$

Thus, given N and the  $t_o$  determined as just above, for  $|x - y| < \frac{1}{t_o}$  we have

$$f(x) - f(y) \in N$$

That is, as  $x \to 0$  the collection f(x) is a bounded Cauchy net. Thus, by the quasi-completeness, we can define  $f(b) \in V$  as the limit of the values f(x). And in fact we see that for  $x \to y$  the values f(x) approach f(y), so this extended version of f is continuous on [a, c].

*Proof:* (of theorem) Fix  $b \in (a, c)$ , and consider the function

$$g(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(b)}{x - b}$$

for  $x \neq b$ . The assumed weak  $C^2$ -ness implies that every  $\lambda \circ g$  extends to a  $C^1$  function on [a, c]. Thus, by the lemma, g itself has a continuous extension to [a, c]. In particular,

$$\lim_{x \to b} g(x)$$

exists, which exactly implies that f is differentiable at b. Thus, f is differentiable throughout [a, c].

To prove the continuity of f', consider again the function of two variables (initially for  $x \neq y$ )

$$g(x,y) = \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y}$$

The weak  $C^2$ -ness of f assures that g extends to a weakly  $C^1$  function on  $[a, c] \times [a, c]$ . In particular, the function  $x \to g(x, x)$  of (the extended) g is weakly  $C^1$ . This function is f'(x). Thus, f' is weakly  $C^1$ , so is (strongly)  $C^o$ .

Suppose that we already know that f is  $C^{\ell}$ , for  $\ell < k-1$ . Then consider the  $\ell^{\text{th}}$  derivative  $g = f^{(\ell)}$  of f. This function g is at least weakly  $C^2$ , so is (strongly)  $C^1$  by the first part of the argument. That is, f is at least  $C^{\ell+1}$ .