

(March 3, 2012)

## Young's inequality

Paul Garrett garrett@math.umn.edu <http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/>

The *numerical* Young's inequality is

$$ab \leq \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q} \quad (\text{for } a, b > 0 \text{ and } \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, p, q > 0)$$

The proof (below) applies the convexity of *logarithm* to judiciously chosen inputs. It is so straightforward that its proof is often omitted. Note that the  $p = q = 2$  case has an even simpler proof:

$$0 \leq (a - b)^2 = a^2 - 2ab + b^2$$

rearranges to

$$ab \leq \frac{a^2}{2} + \frac{b^2}{2} \quad (\text{for } a, b \geq 0)$$

*Proof:* Convexity of logarithm is that its graph lies *above* the line segment connecting two points on the graph:

$$t \cdot \log x + (1 - t) \cdot \log y \leq \log(t \cdot x + (1 - t) \cdot y) \quad (\text{for } x, y > 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq t \leq 1)$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{p} \log a^p + \frac{1}{q} \log b^q \leq \log\left(\frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q}\right)$$

That is,

$$\log a + \log b \leq \log\left(\frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q}\right)$$

Exponentiating gives the inequality. ///

Part of the point is to mention the original papers [Young 1912a] and [Young 1912b]. The result has been so well assimilated that already the extensive bibliography of [Riesz-Nagy 1952] did not list these papers, although others of Young's did appear.

---

[Riesz-Nagy 1952] F. Riesz, B. Szökefalvi-Nagy, *Functional Analysis*, English translation, 1955, L. Boron, from *Lecons d'analyse fonctionnelle* 1952, F. Ungar, New York, 1955

[wiki/Young's\_inequality 2012] [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Young's\\_inequality](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Young's_inequality), retrieved Feb 29, 2012

[Young 1912a] W.C. Young, *On classes of summable functions and their Fourier series*, Proc. Royal Soc. Lond. **87** (1912), 225-229.

[Young 1912b] W.C. Young, *On the multiplication of successions of Fourier constants*, Proc. Royal Soc. Lond. **87** (1912), 331-339.

---