

(September 20, 2010)

Modular forms and number theory exercises 02

Paul Garrett garrett@math.umn.edu <http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/>

Starred exercises are for more advanced students, though they're by no means unreasonable!

[mfms 02.1] Verify an extended variant of **Perron's identity**: compute by residues that, for $0 < \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\theta > 0$, and $\sigma > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} \frac{e^{sX} ds}{s(s+\theta)(s+2\theta)\dots(s+\ell\theta)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\ell! \theta^\ell} \cdot (1 - e^{-X\theta})^\ell & (\text{for } X > 0) \\ 0 & (\text{for } X < 0) \end{cases}$$

[mfms 02.2] (*) Prove that the 8^{th} **cyclotomic polynomial** $x^4 + 1$ is *reducible* as a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ for *every* odd prime p , where \mathbb{F}_p is the finite field with p elements. Note that $x^4 + 1$ is *irreducible* in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ or $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, by Eisenstein's criterion applied to $(x+1)^4 + 1$.

[mfms 02.3] (*) Similarly, for distinct odd primes p, q , show that, although the pq^{th} cyclotomic polynomial

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{x^{pq} - 1}{(x-1)(x^{p-1} + x^{p-2} + \dots + x + 1)(x^{q-1} + x^{q-2} + \dots + x + 1)} = \frac{(x^{pq} - 1)(x-1)}{(x^p - 1)(x^q - 1)}$$

is *irreducible* in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ or $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, it is *reducible* in $\mathbb{F}_r[x]$ for any prime r .