

*Context:* *Finiteness of class number* and *Dirichlet's units theorem* are corollaries of *Fujisaki's lemma*, that  $\mathbb{J}^1/k^\times$  is compact. ... a corollary of

**Measure-theory pigeon-hole principle:** for *discrete* subgroup  $\Gamma$  of a *unimodular* topological group  $G$ , with  $\Gamma \backslash G$  of finite measure, if a set  $E \subset G$  has measure strictly greater than  $\Gamma \backslash G$ , then there are  $x \neq y \in E$  such that  $x^{-1}y \in \Gamma$ .

As expected, measure on  $\Gamma$  is *counting* measure, and

$$\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma g) dg = \int_G f(g) dg \quad (\text{for } f \in C_c^o(G))$$

Subsumes Minkowski's *Geometry of Numbers* proposition: for *lattices*  $L$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , a *convex* subset  $C$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , symmetric about 0, with measure strictly greater than  $2^n$  times the measure of  $\mathbb{R}^n/L$ , contains a point of  $L$  other than 0.

Inspection of the arguments shows that we want very few things from (right-invariant) integrals on groups  $G$ , which *characterize* the integrals:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} f \rightarrow \int_G f(g) dg \text{ defined on } C_c^o(G) & \text{(functionals on } C_c^o(G)) \\ \int_G f(gh) dg = \int_G f(g) dg \text{ for } h \in G & \text{(right invariance)} \\ f \geq 0 \implies \int_G f(g) dg \geq 0 & \text{(positivity)} \end{array} \right.$$

In fact, the positivity condition implies that  $f \rightarrow \int_G f$  is a *continuous* linear functional on  $C_c^o(G)$  in its natural topology, but the arguments here only use the positivity.

Recap of abstracted argument: with  $f$  the characteristic function of  $E$ , if there were *no* such  $x, y$ , then  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma \cdot x) \leq 1$ . But then

$$\text{meas}(\Gamma \backslash G) < \int_G f(g) dg = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \left( \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma \cdot g) \right) dg \leq \text{meas}(\Gamma \backslash G)$$

Impossible. So there *is*  $1 \neq x^{-1}y \notin \Gamma$ . ///

Existence of suitable measure on the quotient does not depend on discreteness of  $\Gamma$ , but on the condition  $\Delta_G|_H = \Delta_H$ , and then, as we proved, there exists a unique measure on  $H \backslash G$  such that

$$\int_G f(g) dg = \int_{H \backslash G} \left( \int_H f(h \dot{g}) dh \right) d\dot{g}$$

**Another interruption!** ... for context. Finite volume of  $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$  is familiar, but we have essentially *no* experience with discrete subgroups  $\Gamma$  in non-abelian  $G$ . The following is a prototype both for the assertion and for the proof mechanisms.

**Claim:** The quotient  $\Gamma \backslash G = SL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash SL_n(\mathbb{R})$  has finite invariant volume (where  $SL_n(R) = n \times n$  matrices with entries in ring  $R$ ). In fact, in a natural normalization,

$$\text{vol}(SL(n, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash SL(n, \mathbb{R})) = \zeta(2) \zeta(3) \zeta(4) \zeta(5) \dots \zeta(n)$$

**Remark:** Mysterious  $\zeta(\text{odd})$  values appear.

Minkowski knew the finiteness, and Siegel computed the value. We grant the finiteness, and compute the volume *without* a *fundamental domain*.

*Proof:* (modernization of Siegel's argument) The point is

$$\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma g) dg = \int_G f(g) dg$$

Treat  $n = 2$ ,  $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ , and  $\Gamma = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . We showed that a right  $G$ -invariant measure on  $\Gamma \backslash G$  is described by integrals of  $C_c^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G)$ . Every  $F \in C_c^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G)$  is expressible as

$$F(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma \cdot g) \quad (\text{for some } f \in C_c^\infty(G))$$

and the integral of  $F$  is sufficiently-defined and well-defined by

$$\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} F(g) dg = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma \cdot g) dg = \int_G f(g) dg$$

Although we do *not* describe the geometry of  $\Gamma \backslash G$ , we *do* need details about the Haar measure on  $G$ , since a constant ambiguous by a constant is not interesting.

$G$  is *unimodular*, since  $G = [G, G]$ . (!) To describe the measure on  $G$  usefully, we do need coordinates on  $G$ , but not the naive

$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ . Let  $K$  be the usual *special orthogonal group*

$$K = SO(2) = \{g \in G : g^\top g = 1_2\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t \\ -\sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

and

$$P^+ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} : a > 0, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Compact  $K$  is unimodular, while  $P^+$  is *not*. The *Iwasawa decomposition* (not too hard an exercise, in this example!) is

$$G = P^+ \cdot K \approx P^+ \times K$$

**Lemma:** Haar measure on  $G$  is  $d(pk) = dp \cdot dk$ , where  $dp$  is *left* Haar measure on  $P^+$ , and  $dk$  is *right* Haar on  $K$ . That is,

$$\int_G \varphi(g) dg = \int_{P^+} \int_K \varphi(pk) dk dp \quad (\text{for } \varphi \in C_c^o(G))$$

*Proof:* Let the group  $P^+ \times K$  act on  $G$  by  $(p \times k)(g) = p^{-1}gk$ . (The inverse is for associativity!) The isotropy subgroup in  $P^+ \times K$  of  $1 \in G$  is  $\{p \times k : p^{-1} \cdot 1 \cdot k = 1\} = P^+ \cap K = \{1\}$ . Thus, there is a unique  $P^+ \times K$ -invariant measure on  $G$ , and it fits into  $\int_G = \int_{P^+} \int_K$ . The Haar measure on  $G$  gives such a thing, as does a Haar measure on  $G$ . ///

Now completely specify the Haar measure on  $G$ . Normalize the Haar measure on the circle (!)  $K$  to have total measure  $2\pi$ .

Normalize the left Haar measure  $dp$  on  $P^+$  to (!)

$$d \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{t^2} dx \frac{dt}{t} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } t > 0)$$

Corresponding to a nice (Schwartz?) function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , let  $F$  on  $G$  be

$$F(g) = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^2} f(vg)$$

By design, this function  $F$  is left  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Evaluating

$$\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} F(g) dg$$

in two different ways will determine the volume of  $\Gamma \backslash G$ .



**Lemma:** Given *coprime*  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists  $\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ .

*Proof:* The ideal  $\mathbb{Z}c + \mathbb{Z}d$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ , so there are  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $ad + bc = 1$ . Then  $\begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ . ///

Thus, for a fixed positive integer  $\ell$ , the set  $\{(c, d) : \gcd(c, d) = \ell\}$  is an *orbit* of  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . Take  $(0, 1)$  as convenient base point and observe that

$$\mathbb{Z}^2 - \{0\} = \{\ell \cdot (0, 1) \cdot \gamma : \text{for } \gamma \in \Gamma, 0 < \ell \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

Let

$$N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G \right\} \quad N_{\mathbb{Z}} = N \cap \Gamma$$

The stabilizer of  $(0, 1)$  in  $\Gamma$  is  $N_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , and there is a bijection

$$\mathbb{Z}^2 - \{0\} \longleftrightarrow \{\ell > 0\} \times N_{\mathbb{Z}} \backslash \Gamma$$

by

$$\ell(0, 1)\gamma \longleftarrow \ell \times N_{\mathbb{Z}}\gamma$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} F(g) dg &= \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} f(0) dg + \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \sum_{x \neq 0} f(xg) dg \\ &= \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} f(0) dg + \sum_{\ell > 0} \int_{N_{\mathbb{Z}} \backslash G} f(\ell \cdot (0, 1)g) dg \end{aligned}$$

Writing the integral on  $G$  as an iterated integral on  $P^+$  and  $K$ ,

$$\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} F \text{ is } \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} f(0) dg + \sum_{\ell > 0} \int_{N\mathbb{Z} \backslash P} \int_K f(\ell \cdot (0, 1)pk) dg$$

With  $f$  rotation invariant, so  $f(\ell(0, 1)pk) = f(\ell(0, 1)p)$ , the integral is

$$\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} f(0) dg + 2\pi \cdot \sum_{\ell > 0} \int_{N\mathbb{Z} \backslash P} f(\ell(0, 1)p) dp$$

since the total measure of  $K$  is  $2\pi$ . Expressing the Haar measure on  $P^+$  in coordinates as above, the integral is

$$\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} f(0) dg + 2\pi \sum_{\ell} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{R}} f(\ell(0,1)) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} dx \frac{dt}{t^2}$$

Note that  $N$  fixes  $(0,1)$ , so the integral over  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is

$\int_{\mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{R}} 1 dx = 1$ , and the whole integral is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} F(g) dg &= \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} f(0) dg + 2\pi \sum_{\ell} \int_M f(\ell(0,1)) \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{t^2} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} f(0) dg + 2\pi \sum_{\ell} \int_0^{\infty} f(\ell(0, t^{-1})) \frac{1}{t^2} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= f(0) \cdot \text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash G) + 2\pi \sum_{\ell} \int_0^{\infty} f(0, \ell t) t^2 \frac{dt}{t} \end{aligned}$$

replacing  $t$  by  $t^{-1}$ .

Replacing  $t$  by  $t/\ell$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} F(g) dg &= f(0) \cdot \text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash G) + 2\pi \cdot \sum_{\ell} \ell^{-2} \int_0^{\infty} f(0, t) t^2 \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= f(0) \cdot \text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash G) + 2\pi \zeta(2) \cdot \int_0^{\infty} f(0, t) t^2 \frac{dt}{t} \end{aligned}$$

Using the rotation invariance of  $f$ ,

$$\int_0^{\infty} f(0, t) t^2 \frac{dt}{t} = \int_0^{\infty} f(0, t) t dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x) dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \hat{f}(0)$$

The  $2\pi$ 's cancel, and

$$\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} F(g) dg = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} f(xg) dg = f(0) \cdot \text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash G) + \zeta(2) \hat{f}(0)$$

On the other hand, by Poisson summation,

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} f(xg) = \frac{1}{|\det g|} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \hat{f}(x^\top g^{-1}) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \hat{f}(x^\top g^{-1})$$

(since  $\det g = 1$ ).  $\Gamma$  is stable under transpose-inverse, allowing an analogous computation with the roles of  $f$  and  $\hat{f}$  reversed, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) \cdot \text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash G) + \zeta(2) \hat{f}(0) &= \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} F(g) dg \\ &= \hat{f}(0) \cdot \text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash G) + \zeta(2) f(0) \end{aligned}$$

from which

$$(f(0) - \hat{f}(0)) \cdot \text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash G) = (f(0) - \hat{f}(0)) \cdot \zeta(2)$$

With  $f(0) \neq \hat{f}(0)$ ,  $\text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash G) = \zeta(2)$ .

///

*Next:* More about Haar measure...

**Change-of-measure and Haar measure on  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $k_v$ :**

Another thing used in the proof of Fujisaki's lemma was that, for *idele*  $\alpha$ , the change-of-measure on  $\mathbb{A}$  is

$$\frac{\text{meas}(\alpha E)}{\text{meas}(E)} = |\alpha| \quad (\text{for measurable } E \subset \mathbb{A})$$

Naturally, this should be examined...

---