**Example** (cont'd): Function fields in one variable... as algebraic parallels to  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Theorem:** All finite field extensions of  $\mathbb{C}((X - z))$  are by adjoining solutions to  $Y^e = X - z$  for  $e = 2, 3, 4, \ldots$  [Done]

Few examples of explicit parametrization of an *algebraic closure* of a field are known: *not*  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , for sure.

Finite fields, yes: the cyclic-ness of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$  and the uniqueness of the extension  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}$  of a given degree d say that the degree-d extension is the collection of roots of  $x^{q^d-1} = 1$ .

The Galois group of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}/\mathbb{F}_q$  is *cyclic* of order *d*, generated by the *Frobenius* element  $\alpha \to \alpha^q$ . Thus, there is the decisive

$$\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q) = \lim_d \mathbb{Z}/d = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \approx \prod_p \mathbb{Z}_p$$

## **Remarks** What *about* $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ ?

In Wiles' and Wiles-Taylor' mid-1990s proof of Fermat's Last Theorem, they proved part of the Taniyama-Shimura-Weil (1950s) conjecture: certain *two-dimensional representations* of  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ attached to elliptic curves defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  are *parametrized* by *holomorphic modular forms...* (!?!)

A representation  $\rho$  of a group G is simply a group homomorphism

$$\rho : G \longrightarrow GL_n(k) = \{k - \text{linear autos of } k^n\}$$

Quadratic reciprocity is the simplest analogue of the Taniyama-Shimura-Weil conjecture: a Galois-related thing (quadratic symbol) is a harmonic-analysis thing (Dirichlet character). Those are representations on  $GL_1$ , with  $\pm 1$  construed as trivial-or-not:

$$p \longrightarrow \left(\frac{\sqrt{D}}{p}\right)_2 \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})/\mathbb{Q}) \approx \frac{\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})}{\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}))}$$

The proof that this has a *conductor* N = 4D, that is, depends only on  $p \mod 4D$ , is the proof that the Galois-object is analytic. About 1980, Y. Hellegouarch and G. Frey observed that a non-trivial rational solution of Fermat's equation gives a non-singular cubic curve defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ :

 $a^n + b^n = c^n \longrightarrow y^2 = x(x - a^n)(x + b^n)$  (with  $abc \neq 0$ )

1985-6, Frey suggested, and Serre partly proved, that Taniyama-Shimura-Weil would imply Fermat. 1986/90 K. Ribet proved this implication.

(Slightly more specifically: the conductor N of the elliptic curve is the product of distinct primes dividing *abc*. If the elliptic curve is known to be *modular*, there is a *descent* argument reducing the conductor/level (!?!), removing all odd primes from the conductor. But the modular curve  $\Gamma_0(2) \setminus \mathfrak{H}$  has genus 0, that is, has no maps to an elliptic curve. Contradiction.)

In fact, Wiles-Taylor only need a part of T-S-W, and that was completed 1995.

The complete T-S-W theorem was proven by Diamond, B. Conrad, Diamond-Taylor, and Breuil.

## A tangent: Why representations?

Sometimes a group G and its smallest (=irreducible) representations, *are* well-understood, shedding light on *large* representations arising in practice, by breaking them into atomic pieces.

**Example:** the circle  $G = S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  has one-dimensional representations  $x \to e^{2\pi i n x}$  indexed by integers *n*. Fourier series express functions on the circle as sums of exponential functions.

Similarly,  $G = \mathbb{R}$  has one-dimensional representations  $x \to e^{2\pi i n x}$ indexed by integers *n*. Fourier inversion expresses functions on the line as integrals of exponential functions.

Fourier expansions facilitate analysis on [a, b] or  $\mathbb{R}$ , because d/dx commutes with the group action (by translation), so (!!) acts by a scalar on each irreducible. (This is Schur's lemma.)

That is, writing a Fourier expansion diagonalizes the linear operator d/dx.

For example, constant-coefficient *differential* equations are converted to *algebraic* equations.

**Example:** Unitary groups  $G = U(n) = \{g \in GL_n(\mathbb{C}) : g^*g = 1_n\}$ have irreducibles parametrized simply by sequences of integers  $m_1 \ge m_2 \ge \ldots m_n$  (theory of *highest weights*).

For example, G = U(2) acts by *rotations* on the 3-sphere  $S^3$ . Various collections of (nice...) functions on  $S^3$  thereby are *representation spaces* of G, and express functions as sums of functions belonging to irreducible subrepresentations.

The *Casimir* element (of the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra of G??!) commutes with the group action, so (Schur's lemma!) acts by a scalar on irreducibles. The Casimir element is manifest (!?!) as a rotation-invariant Laplacian  $\Delta$  on  $S^3$ .

The important differential equation  $(\Delta - \lambda)u = f$  on the sphere is solved by this decomposition into irreducible representations.

Decomposition of function spaces on the two-sphere  $S^2$  was understood by Laplace pre-1800 for purposes of celestial mechanics. The corresponding representation-theoretic decompositions are *Fourier-Laplace* expansions. **Example:**  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  has irreducible *unitary* representations on *Hilbert spaces*, nicely parametrized by  $k = \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4, \pm 5, \ldots$ , by the interval  $(\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ , and by the critical line  $\frac{1}{2} + i\mathbb{R}$ .

The discretely parametrized repris  $\pm 2, \pm 3, \ldots$  correspond (!?!) to representations generated by holomorphic modular forms, for example, entering the Taniyama-Shimura-Weil conjecture.

The continuously parametrized representations correspond (!?!) to eigenfunctions of an invariant Laplacian on the upper half-plane  $\mathfrak{H}$ , studied by Maa $\mathfrak{G}(1949)$ , Selberg, Roelcke, Avakumovic (all 1956 *et seq*), and many others since.

In both cases, the Casimir element (in the center of the enveloping algebra) acts as a scalar (Schur's lemma!), the scalar depending only on the representation class.

That is, the representation theory diagonalizes Laplacian/Casimir.

Oppositely, sometimes a group G itself is mysterious, but events produce a stock of *representations of it*, from which we make inferences.

For example, *algebraic* aspects of representations of  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  on *cohomology of algebraic varieties* (!?!) defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  are better understood than  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  itself.

The Taniyama-Shimura-Weil conjecture was difficult: neither the group  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  nor the analytical aspects of its more-than-one-dimensional representations were understood.

Note: parametrization in terms of *modular forms* is *not elementary*.

The Langlands program is an umbrella-name covering such things, and many more...

But, back to *our* program:

## Newton polygons over $\mathbb{Q}_p$

This is the assertion for  $\mathbb{Z}_p[T]$  corresponding to  $\mathbb{C}[[X]][T]$  above:  $\mathbb{C}[[X]]$  is replaced by  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

The Newton polygon of a polynomial  $f(T) = T^n + a_{n-1}T^{n-1} + \ldots + a_o \in \mathbb{Z}_p[T]$ is the (downward) convex hull of the points

$$(0,0), (1, \operatorname{ord}_p a_{n-1}), (2, \operatorname{ord}_p a_{n-2}), \dots (n, \operatorname{ord}_p a_o)$$

If we believe that  $\operatorname{ord}_p(p^n \cdot \frac{a}{b}) = n$  extends to algebraic *extensions* of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , then we would anticipate proving that the *slopes* of the line segments on the Newton polygon are the *ords*, with multiplicities, of the zeros.

The extreme case that  $\operatorname{ord}_p a_0 = 1$  would be *Eisenstein's criterion*.

We will get to this...

## That point at infinity

The *local ring* (having a single maximal ideal) inside the field  $\mathbb{C}(X)$  corresponding to  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , consisting of all rational functions *defined* at z, is

$$\mathfrak{o}_z = \mathbb{C}(X) \cap \mathbb{C}[[X-z]]$$

with unique maximal ideal

$$\mathfrak{m}_z = \mathbb{C}(X) \cap (X-z) \cdot \mathbb{C}[[X-z]]$$

The *point at infinity* can be discovered by noting a further local ring and maximal ideal:

$$\mathfrak{o}_{\infty} = \mathbb{C}(X) \cap \mathbb{C}[[1/X]] \qquad \mathfrak{m}_{\infty} = \mathbb{C}(X) \cap \frac{1}{X}\mathbb{C}[[1/X]]$$

Note that using 1/(X+1) achieves the same effect, because

$$\frac{1}{X+1} = \frac{1}{X} \cdot \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{X}} = \frac{1}{X} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{X} + (\frac{1}{X})^2 - \dots\right) \in \frac{1}{X} \cdot \mathbb{C}[[1/X]]^{\times}$$

On Riemann surface M of extension K of  $k = \mathbb{C}(X)$ ...

Points at infinity on M correspond to local rings in K intersecting k in the local ring  $\mathbb{C}[[1/X]]$ .

For example, on hyperelliptic curves  $Y^2 = f(X)$ , with f(X) a monic polynomial, there are either *one* or *two* points at infinity, depending whether deg f is *odd*, or *even*:

For n = 2m, rewrite  $Y^2 = X^n + \ldots + a_o$  as

$$Y^2/X^n = 1 + \ldots + a_p(1/X)^n$$

replace Y by  $Y \cdot X^m$ , and relabel 1/X = Z, obtaining

$$Y^2 = 1 + \ldots + a_p Z^n \qquad (n \text{ even})$$

which has 2 solutions  $Y = \pm 1 + (h.o.t.)$  near Z = 0. For n = 2m + 1, similarly,

$$Y^2 = Z \cdot (1 + \ldots)$$

so there is a single, ramified, point-at-infinity,  $Y = \sqrt{Z} + (h.o.t.)$ .