

(September 11, 2016)

Review examples discussion 00

Paul Garrett garrett@math.umn.edu <http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/>

[This document is http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/real/examples_2016-17/real-disc-00.pdf]

[00.1] (There is not much hope in making sense of the outcome of an uncountable number of non-zero operations:) Let Ω be an *uncountable* collection of positive real numbers. Letting F range over all finite subsets of Ω , show that $\sup_F \sum_{\alpha \in F} \alpha = +\infty$.

Discussion: Let $\Omega_1 = \{\omega \in \Omega : \omega > 1\}$, and for $n = 2, 3, \dots$, let $\Omega_n = \{\omega \in \Omega : \frac{1}{n} < \omega \leq \frac{1}{n-1}\}$. There are countably many such sets, so in (at least) one of them Ω_{n_o} there must be infinitely-many elements of Ω (or else Ω would be a countable union of countable sets, hence countable). Then

$$\sup_F \sum_{\alpha \in F} \alpha \geq \sup_{F \subset \Omega_{n_o}} \sum_{\alpha \in F} \alpha \geq \sup_{F \subset \Omega_{n_o}} \#F \cdot \frac{1}{n_o} = \frac{1}{n_o} \sup_{F \subset \Omega_{n_o}} \#F = +\infty$$

because Ω_{n_o} is infinite. ///

[00.2] (The *archimedean property* of the real numbers:) Using the characterization of \mathbb{R} as the metric-space completion of \mathbb{Q} , show that a real number x with $|x| < \frac{1}{n}$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ must be 0.

Discussion: One aspect of the/a completion is that every Cauchy sequence $\{r_\ell\}$ has a (unique!) limit r_∞ , characterized by the property that, for all $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is ℓ_o such that for all $\ell \geq \ell_o$, $|r_\ell - r_\infty| < \frac{1}{n}$.

Let $\{r_\ell\}$ be the constant sequence $r_\ell = x$. This is certainly Cauchy, and 0 satisfies the condition to be the limit: given $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}$, take ℓ_o large enough such that $|x_\ell| < \frac{1}{n}$ for every $\ell \geq \ell_o$. Then

$$|x_\ell - 0| = |x_\ell| < \frac{1}{n} \quad (\text{for } \ell \geq \ell_o)$$

[00.3] Prove carefully that the *inf* of a *finite* set of (strictly) positive real numbers is (strictly) positive.

Discussion: There are several ways to do this, of course, depending on the assumed context. One is as follows. Let the set be $\{r_1, \dots, r_k\}$. In light of the previous example, it suffices to find $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|r_j| \geq \frac{1}{n}$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$. Since $r_j > 0$, there is $1 \leq n_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\frac{1}{n_j} \leq r_j$. The set $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$ is a *finite* set of positive integers, so there is a positive integer n greater all the n_j . Then $\frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{n_j} \leq r_j$ for all j . ///

[00.4] Prove (or review the proof) that intervals $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ (with $-\infty < a < b < \infty$) are *connected* in the sense that they cannot be written as a disjoint union of two non-empty (relatively) open subsets. Use this to prove the intermediate value theorem for continuous functions.

Discussion: Suppose $[a, b] = U \cup V$ with disjoint, non-empty open U, V . Since both are non-empty, they have sups and infs. If $\sup U < b$, then u cannot contain $\sup U$, since it would contain a neighborhood of $\sup U$, so $\sup U$ would be larger. Thus, if $\sup U < b$, then $\sup U \in V$. Since V contains a neighborhood of $\sup U$, $U \cap V$ would be non-zero, contradicting the disjointness.

Similarly for $\inf U$. Thus, $\sup U = b$ and $\inf U = a$, and U contains a neighborhood of a and a neighborhood of b . But the same must be true of V , and intersections of neighborhoods of a are neighborhoods of a (and similarly of b), contradicting the disjointness.

To prove the intermediate value theorem from the previous, let f be continuous on $[a, b]$, and suppose f omits value 0. Replacing f by $-f$ if necessary, suppose $f(a) < 0$ and $f(b) > 0$. Consider $U = \sup\{x : f(x) < 0\}$ and $V = \inf\{x : f(x) > 0\}$ exist. As inverse images of opens, these are open. Since f omits the value 0, they are non-empty. But their union would be $[a, b]$, contradicting its connectedness. ///

[00.5] Prove (or review the proof) that a continuous real-valued function f on a finite interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ assumes its *inf*. That is, there is a point $x_o \in [a, b]$ such that $f(x_o) = \inf_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$.

Discussion: Let $\{x_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be such that $f(x_n) \rightarrow \inf_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$. Since $[a, b]$ is compact, it is sequentially compact (consider the opens $U_n = [a, b] - \{x_n\} \subset [a, b]$, ...). Thus, there is a convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_\ell} : \ell = 1, 2, \dots\}$, and

$$\inf_{x \in [a, b]} f(x) = \lim_n f(x_n) = \lim_\ell f(x_{n_\ell}) = f\left(\lim_\ell x_{n_\ell}\right)$$

by continuity of f and convergence of the subsequence. ///

[00.6] Prove (or review the proof) that a continuous real-valued function f on a finite closed interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ is *uniformly* continuous: for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that, for all $x, y \in [a, b]$, $|x - y| < \delta$ implies $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$.

Discussion: Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in [a, b]$, take $\delta_x > 0$ such that $|x' - x| < 2\delta_x$ implies $|f(x') - f(x)| < \varepsilon/2$. The open intervals $(x - \delta_x, x + \delta_x)$ cover the compact set $[a, b]$, so there is a finite subcover $\{(x_j - \delta_{x_j}, x_j + \delta_{x_j}) : j = 1, \dots, N\}$. The minimum $\delta = \min_{j=1, \dots, N} \delta_j$ is positive (see above). For given $x \in [a, b]$, $x \in (x_j - \delta_{x_j}, x_j + \delta_{x_j})$ for some j .

For x' such that $|x' - x| < \delta$, we have $|x' - x_j| \leq |x' - x| + |x - x_j| \leq \delta + \delta_j \leq 2\delta_j$, so $|f(x') - f(x_j)| < \varepsilon/2$, and

$$|f(x') - f(x)| \leq |f(x') - f(x_j)| + |f(x_j) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

which is the uniform continuity. ///
