

(December 7, 2016)

Examples Discussion 07

Paul Garrett garrett@math.umn.edu http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/

[This document is http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/real/examples_2016-17/real-disc-07.pdf]

[07.1] Show that the direct sum $X \oplus Y$ of two Hilbert spaces (the underlying set is the collection of ordered pairs (x, y) with $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, also denoted $x \oplus y$) with

$$\langle x \oplus y, x' \oplus y' \rangle = \langle x, x' \rangle_X + \langle y, y' \rangle_Y \quad (\text{for } x, x' \in X \text{ and } y, y' \in Y)$$

is a Hilbert space.

Discussion: Checking that the indicated formula gives an inner product is straightforward. For example, additivity in the first argument:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_1 \oplus y_1 + x_2 \oplus y_2, x' \oplus y' \rangle &= \langle (x_1 + x_2) \oplus (y_1 + y_2), x' \oplus y' \rangle_{X \oplus Y} = \langle x_1 + x_2, x' \rangle_X + \langle y_1 + y_2, y' \rangle_Y \\ &= \left(\langle x_1, x' \rangle_X + \langle x_2, x' \rangle_X \right) + \left(\langle y_1, y' \rangle_Y + \langle y_2, y' \rangle_Y \right) = \langle x_1 \oplus y_1, x' \oplus y' \rangle + \langle x_2 \oplus y_2, x' \oplus y' \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Completeness follows from the completeness of a cartesian product of two metric spaces. //

[07.2] Show that the direct sum $X \oplus Y$ of two Banach spaces with

$$|x \oplus y| = |x|_X + |y|_Y \quad (\text{for } x \in X \text{ and } y \in Y)$$

is a Banach space. Note that this produces a different outcome than the previous example when X, Y are Hilbert spaces. To encompass both examples at once, show that for any $p \geq 1$

$$|x \oplus y|_p = \left(|x|_X^p + |y|_Y^p \right)^{1/p} \quad (\text{for } x \in X \text{ and } y \in Y)$$

makes $X \oplus Y$ a Banach space.

Discussion: The first part is similar to, and easier than, the previous example. The more general case further needs to invoke Minkowski's inequality. //

[07.3] Let $\psi_n(x) = e^{2\pi i n x}$. Let $\delta_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the *Dirac comb*, that is, a periodic version of Dirac's δ , describable as having Fourier series

$$\delta_{\mathbb{Z}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} 1 \cdot \psi_n \quad (\text{converging in } H^{-1}(\mathbb{T}) \text{ or even } H^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T}) \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0)$$

With $\lambda \notin \mathbb{R}$, show that the differential equation

$$u'' - \lambda \cdot u = \delta_{\mathbb{Z}}$$

has a periodic solution $u \in H^{\frac{3}{2}-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T}) \subset C^o(\mathbb{T})$, using Fourier series, *by division*. Show that the equation $v'' - \lambda v = f$ is solved by

$$v(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} u(x-t) f(t) dt = \int_0^1 u(x-t) f(t) dt$$

Discussion: Using the *spectral* characterization of the $H^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T})$ norm,

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} 1 \cdot \psi_n \right\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |1|^2 \cdot (1+n^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$$

which is convergent for all $\varepsilon > 0$, by comparison to $\sum_{n \neq 0} 1/n^2$. So that Fourier series converges in $H^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T})$ and produces a *generalized function* there.

The extension by continuity of d/dx from $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ to $\widetilde{\frac{d}{dx}} : H^s(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T})$ is continuous, by design. Similarly, $\widetilde{\frac{d^2}{dx^2}} : H^s(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow H^{s-2}(\mathbb{T})$ is continuous. That is, since infinite sums are the corresponding limits of finite partial sums, this continuity means that termwise differentiation is correct. Let $u = \sum_n c_n \psi_n$, and solve, dropping the tilde from the notation,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} 1 \cdot \psi_n = \delta_{\mathbb{Z}} = u'' - \lambda u = \sum_n c_n \left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \lambda \right) \psi_n = \sum_n c_n (-4\pi^2 n^2 - \lambda) \cdot \psi_n$$

Equating coefficients, $c_n = 1/(-4\pi^2 n^2 - \lambda)$, for λ not equal to $-4\pi^2 n^2$ for integer n . Another easy estimate shows that this u has gained 2 Sobolev indices, so is in $H^{\frac{3}{2}-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T})$.

By Sobolev imbedding/inequality, $H^s(\mathbb{T}) \subset C^0(\mathbb{T})$ for all $s > \frac{1}{2}$, so the solution is continuous (and, in fact, satisfies a further Lipschitz condition).

To see that $v'' - \lambda v = f$ is solved by

$$v(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} u(x-t) f(t) dt = \int_0^1 u(x-t) f(t) dt$$

take f such that $\widehat{f} \in \ell_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{T})$, meaning that $\sum_n |\widehat{f}(n)| < \infty$. A somewhat stronger, more intuitive assumption is that $f \in C^2(\mathbb{T})$, and then by integration by parts

$$\widehat{f''}(n) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-2\pi i n x} f''(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}} (-2\pi i n)^2 e^{-2\pi i n x} f(x) dx = (2\pi i n)^2 \cdot \widehat{f}(n)$$

(On the circle \mathbb{T} , and/or for \mathbb{Z} -periodic functions, there are no boundary terms in integration by parts.) We do not even to invoke Riemann-Lebesgue, since $|\widehat{f''}(n)|$ is *bounded*, so there is a constant C such that $|\widehat{f}(n)| \leq C/n^2$, so $\widehat{f} \in \ell_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$.

Then Fubini-Tonelli assures the legitimacy of interchanging sum and limit: [1]

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}} u(x-t) f(t) dt &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \sum_m \frac{1}{-4\pi^2 m^2 - \lambda} e^{2\pi i m(x-t)} \cdot \sum_n \widehat{f}(n) e^{2\pi i n t} dt \\ &= \sum_{m,n} \frac{\widehat{f}(n)}{-4\pi^2 m^2 - \lambda} \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{2\pi i m(x-t)} e^{2\pi i n t} dt = \sum_n \frac{\widehat{f}(n)}{-4\pi^2 n^2 - \lambda} e^{2\pi i n x} \end{aligned}$$

by mutual orthogonality of distinct exponentials. By Riemann-Lebesgue, $\widehat{f}(n) \rightarrow 0$, so

$$\sum_n |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 \cdot (1+n^2)^s < \infty \quad (\text{for any } s < -\frac{1}{2})$$

so $f \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{T})$, for example. Application of the (extension of) $\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \lambda$ *termwise* (again, justified by continuity of the extension) produces the Fourier expansion of f . ///

[0.1] **Remark:** The previous example illustrates the utility of using *generalized functions* even in a discussion that seems not to refer to them: there was no need to *guess* the function $u(x-t)$ (sometimes called a *Green's*

[1] In fact, we will see later that for u continuous and f in any Sobolev space, the interchange is justified.

function) solving the differential equation, since we *solved* for it using the Fourier expansion of $\delta_{\mathbb{Z}}$ that only converges in $H^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T})$.

[07.4] Let V be a vector space, with norms $|\cdot|_1$ and $|\cdot|_2$. Suppose that $|v|_2 \geq |v|_1$ for all $v \in V$. Show that the identity map $i : V \rightarrow V$ is continuous, where the source is given the $|\cdot|_2$ topology and the target is given the $|\cdot|_1$ topology. Show that if a sequence $\{v_n\}$ in V is $|\cdot|_2$ Cauchy, then it is $|\cdot|_1$ -Cauchy. Let V_j be the completion of V with respect to the metric $|v - v'|_j$. Show that we can *extend i by continuity* to a continuous linear map $I : V_2 \rightarrow V_1$, that is, by

$$I(V_2\text{-limit of } V_2\text{-Cauchy sequence } \{v_n\}) = V_1\text{-limit of } \{v_n\}$$

Discussion: First, it suffices to show that the identity map $i : V \rightarrow V$ with indicated topologies is *bounded*, and, indeed,

$$|j(v)|_1 = |v|_1 \leq |v|_2 \quad (\text{for all } v \in V, \text{ by hypothesis})$$

For $\{v_n\}$ Cauchy in the $|\cdot|_2$ topology, given $\varepsilon > 0$, take n_o such that $|v_m - v_n|_2 < \varepsilon$ for all $m, n \geq n_o$. Then the same inequality holds (with the same n_o and ε) for $|\cdot|_1$, so $\{v_n\}$ is Cauchy in the $|\cdot|_1$ topology.

A useful characterization of the completion \tilde{X} of a metric space X is that there is an isometry $j : X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$, and any non-expanding^[2] map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ to a complete metric space Y extends uniquely to continuous map $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$, with $\tilde{f} \circ j = f$. In particular,

$$\tilde{f}(X - \lim_n x_n) = Y - \lim_n f(x_n)$$

This is well-defined because f is continuous on X . Thus, with $X = V$, $Y = V^2$, and $f : V \rightarrow V_2$ given by inclusion, we have the assertion. ///

[07.5] Let X, Y be Hilbert spaces over \mathbb{R} , to avoid the distraction of complex conjugation. Let $i_X : X \rightarrow X^*$ be the Riesz-Fréchet isomorphism of X to its dual X^* , by $x \rightarrow \langle -, x \rangle$. For a continuous linear $T : X \rightarrow Y$, let $T^* : Y^* \rightarrow X^*$ be the *adjoint*, defined as usual by $(T^*\mu)(x) = \mu(Tx)$ for $\mu \in Y^*$ and $x \in X$. Perhaps surprisingly, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{T} & Y \\ i_X \downarrow & & \downarrow i_Y \\ X^* & \xleftarrow{T^*} & Y^* \end{array}$$

does not generally *commute*. Give an example of failure. (*Hint:* It already suffices to take $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$. In fact, the diagram commutes *if and only if* $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is an *isometry* to the image $T(X)$.)

[07.6] Compute $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)^2 dx$. (*Hint:* use Plancherel.)

Discussion: From a standard stock of easy Fourier transforms, the Fourier transform of a characteristic function of a symmetrical interval is very close to the given function:

$$\widehat{\text{ch}_{[-1,1]}}(\xi) = \int_{-1}^1 e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx = \frac{e^{-2\pi i \xi} - e^{2\pi i \xi}}{-2\pi i \xi} = \frac{\sin 2\pi \xi}{\pi \xi}$$

Applying Plancherel, we have

$$2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{\text{ch}_{[-1,1]}}|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\sin 2\pi \xi}{\pi \xi}\right)^2 d\xi$$

[2] This sense of *non-expanding* is the reasonable one: $d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq d_X(x, x')$ for all $x, x' \in X$.

The change of variables replacing ξ by $\xi/2\pi$ gives

$$2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\sin \xi}{\xi/2}\right)^2 \frac{d\xi}{2\pi} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\sin \xi}{\xi}\right)^2 d\xi$$

Thus, the desired integral is π .

///

[07.7] Show that for any $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{itx} f(x) dx = 0$.

Discussion: This is an instance of Riemann-Hilbert: it is for $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Compactly supported continuous functions are in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, so $\widehat{f}(\xi)$ is continuous and goes to zero at infinity.

///
