

(November 6, 2017)

## Examples discussion 03

Paul Garrett garrett@math.umn.edu <http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/>

[This document is

[http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/real/examples\\_2017-18/real-disc-03.pdf](http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/real/examples_2017-18/real-disc-03.pdf)]

[03.1] Show that every vector subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and/or  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is (topologically) *closed*.

**Discussion:** Let  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  be an orthonormal basis for the given vector subspace  $W$ . For a Cauchy sequence  $\{w_n\}$  in  $W$ , we claim that for each  $j$  the sequence  $\langle w_n, v_j \rangle$  is Cauchy: by Cauchy-Schwarz-Bunyakovsky,

$$|\langle w_n, v_j \rangle - \langle w_{n'}, v_j \rangle| = |\langle w_n - w_{n'}, v_j \rangle| \leq |w_n - w_{n'}| \cdot |v_j| = |w_n - w_{n'}|$$

Thus, by completeness of  $\mathbb{R}$  and/or  $\mathbb{C}$ , that sequence has a limit  $c_j$ . As expected, we claim that  $\lim_n w_n = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \cdot v_j$ . Indeed, using the orthonormality of the  $v_j$ 's,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| w_n - \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \cdot v_j \right|^2 &= \left| w_n - \sum_{j=1}^m \lim_i \langle w_i, v_j \rangle \cdot v_j \right|^2 = \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \lim_i \langle w_n - w_i, v_j \rangle \cdot v_j \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \left| \lim_i \langle w_n - w_i, v_j \rangle \right|^2 = \lim_i \sum_{j=1}^m |\langle w_n - w_i, v_j \rangle|^2 \leq \lim_i \sum_{j=1}^m |w_n - w_i| \cdot |v_j| = \lim_i m \cdot |w_n - w_i| \end{aligned}$$

Take  $n_o$  large enough so that  $|w_n - w_i| < \varepsilon$  for  $i, n \geq n_o$ . Then the latter expression is at most  $m \cdot \varepsilon$ . This holds for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , so the limit is 0. ///

[03.2] For a subspace  $W$  of a Hilbert space  $V$ , show that  $(W^\perp)^\perp$  is the closure of the subspace  $W$  in  $V$ .

**Discussion:** Let  $\lambda_x(v) = \langle v, x \rangle$  for  $x, v \in V$ . Then  $W^\perp = \bigcap_{w \in W} \ker \lambda_w$ . Similarly,  $(W^\perp)^\perp = \bigcap_{x \in W^\perp} \ker \lambda_x$ . From the discussion in the Riesz-Fréchet theorem, or directly via Cauchy-Schwarz-Bunyakovsky, each  $\lambda_x$  is continuous, so  $\ker \lambda_x = \lambda_x^{-1}(\{0\})$  is closed, since  $\{0\}$  is closed. (One might check that the kernel of a linear map is a vector subspace.) An arbitrary intersection of closed sets is closed, so  $(W^\perp)^\perp$  is closed.

Certainly  $(W^\perp)^\perp \supset W$ , because for each  $w \in W$ ,  $\langle x, w \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in W^\perp$ . Thus,  $(W^\perp)^\perp$  is a closed subspace, containing  $W$ . Being a closed subspace of a Hilbert space,  $(W^\perp)^\perp$  is a Hilbert space itself. If  $(W^\perp)^\perp$  were strictly larger than the topological closure  $\overline{W}$  of  $W$ , then there would be  $0 \neq y \in (W^\perp)^\perp$  orthogonal to  $\overline{W}$ . Then  $y$  would be orthogonal to  $W$  itself, so  $0 \neq y \in W^\perp$ , contradicting  $0 \neq y \in (W^\perp)^\perp$ . ///

[03.3] Show that for  $0 < x < 1$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\sin 2\pi n x}{n} = \pi \left( \frac{1}{2} - x \right)$$

**Discussion:** The Fourier series of the right-hand side is computed to be that given on the left-hand side. By the Fourier-Dirichlet result on pointwise convergence, since  $\pi(\frac{1}{2} - x)$  is finitely-piecewise  $C^\infty$ , and has left and right derivatives in  $(0, 1)$ , its Fourier series converges to it pointwise there. ///

[03.4] Let  $c_1, c_2, \dots$  be positive real, converging monotonically to 0. For  $0 < x < 1$ , prove that  $\sum_{n \geq 0} c_n e^{2\pi i n x}$  converges pointwise.

**Discussion:** The expression as a Fourier series should not distract us from seeing an instance of the generalized alternating-decreasing criterion again, sometimes called *Dirichlet's criterion*: for a positive real sequence  $c_1, c_2, \dots$  monotone-decreasing to 0, and for a (possibly complex) sequence  $b_1, b_2, \dots$  with *bounded*

partial sums  $B_n = b_1 + \dots + b_n$ , the sum  $\sum_n b_n c_n$  converges. The partial sums  $\sum_{n \leq N} e^{2\pi i n x}$  are bounded for  $0 < x < 1$ , by summing geometric series, so this criterion applies here.

The proof of the criterion itself is by *summation by parts*, a discrete analogue of integration by parts. That is, rewrite the tails of the sum as

$$\sum_{M \leq n \leq N} b_n c_n = \sum_{M \leq n \leq N} (B_n - B_{n-1}) c_n = -B_{M-1} c_M + \sum_{M \leq n \leq N} B_n (c_n - c_{n+1}) + B_N c_{N+1}$$

Since the partial sums are bounded, the first and last summand go to 0. Letting  $\beta$  be a bound for all the  $|B_n|$ , the summation is

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{M \leq n \leq N} B_n (c_n - c_{n+1}) \right| &\leq \sum_{M \leq n \leq N} |B_n| \cdot |c_n - c_{n+1}| = \sum_{M \leq n \leq N} |B_n| \cdot (c_n - c_{n+1}) \leq \sum_{M \leq n \leq N} \beta \cdot (c_n - c_{n+1}) \\ &= \beta \cdot \sum_{M \leq n \leq N} (c_n - c_{n+1}) = \beta \cdot (c_M - c_{N+1}) \end{aligned}$$

by telescoping the series. Again,  $c_M$  and  $c_{N+1}$  go to 0. ///

[03.5] Show that the sup-norm completion of the space  $C_c^o(\mathbb{R})$  of compactly-supported continuous functions is the space  $C_o^o(\mathbb{R})$  of continuous functions going to 0 at infinity. An analogous assertion and argument should hold for any topological space in place of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Discussion:** The argument for this is general enough that we can replace  $\mathbb{R}$  by a more general topological space  $X$ , probably locally compact and Hausdorff so that Urysohn's lemma assures us a good supply of continuous functions for auxiliary purposes. Then  $C_o^o(X)$  is defined to be the collection of continuous functions  $f$  such that, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a compact  $K \subset X$  such that  $|f(x)| < \varepsilon$  for  $x \notin K$ .

First, show that any  $f \in C_o^o(\mathbb{R})$  is a sup-norm limit of functions from  $C_c^o(\mathbb{R})$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $K$  be sufficiently large so that  $|f(x)| < \varepsilon$  for  $x \notin K$ . We claim that there is an open  $U \supset K$  with compact closure  $\bar{U}$  (which would be obvious on  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). For each  $x \in K$ , let  $U_x \ni x$  be an open set with compact closure (using the local compactness). By compactness of  $K$ , there is a finite subcover  $K \subset U_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{x_n}$ . Then the closure of  $U = U_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{x_n}$  is compact, as claimed. Then, invoking Urysohn's Lemma, let  $\varphi$  be a continuous function on  $X$  taking values in the interval  $[0, 1]$ , that is 1 on  $K$ , and 0 off  $U$ , so  $\varphi$  has compact support. Then  $\varphi \cdot f$  is continuous and has compact support, and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in X} |f(x) - \varphi(x) \cdot f(x)| &\leq \sup_{x \in K} |f(x) - \varphi(x) \cdot f(x)| + \sup_{x \notin K} |f(x) - \varphi(x) \cdot f(x)| = 0 + \sup_{x \notin K} |f(x) - \varphi(x) \cdot f(x)| \\ &\leq \sup_{x \notin K} |1 - \varphi| \cdot \sup_{x \notin K} |f(x)| < 1 \cdot \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

That is, we can approximate  $f$  to within  $\varepsilon$ , as claimed.

On the other hand, now show that any sup-norm Cauchy sequence of  $f_n \in C_o^o(X)$  has a pointwise limit  $f$  in  $C_o^o(X)$ . First, on any compact, the limit of the  $f_n$ 's is *uniform* pointwise, so is continuous on compacts. Since every point  $x \in X$  has a neighborhood  $U_x$  with compact closure, the pointwise limit is continuous on  $U_x$ . Thus, the pointwise limit is continuous at every point, hence continuous. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , take  $n_o$  sufficiently large so that  $\sup_{x \in X} |f_m(x) - f_n(x)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $m, n \geq n_o$ . Let  $K$  be the support of  $f_{n_o}$ . Then

$$\sup_{x \notin K} |f(x)| = \sup_{x \notin K} |f(x) - f_{n_o}(x)| \leq \sup_{x \in X} |f(x) - f_{n_o}| \leq \varepsilon$$

Thus, the pointwise limit goes to 0 at infinity. ///

[03.6] Compute  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)^2 dx$ . (*Hint:* use Plancherel.)

**Discussion:** From a standard stock of easy Fourier transforms, the Fourier transform of a characteristic function of a symmetrical interval is very close to the given function:

$$\widehat{\text{ch}}_{[-1,1]}(\xi) = \int_{-1}^1 e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx = \frac{e^{-2\pi i \xi} - e^{2\pi i \xi}}{-2\pi i \xi} = \frac{\sin 2\pi \xi}{\pi \xi}$$

Applying Plancherel, we have

$$2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\text{ch}_{[-1,1]}|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{\sin 2\pi \xi}{\pi \xi} \right)^2 d\xi$$

The change of variables replacing  $\xi$  by  $\xi/2\pi$  gives

$$2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{\sin \xi}{\xi/2} \right)^2 \frac{d\xi}{2\pi} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{\sin \xi}{\xi} \right)^2 d\xi$$

Thus, the desired integral is  $\pi$ . ///

[03.7] For  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , show that there is a constant  $C$  (depending on  $f$ ) such that

$$\left| \int_{t-\delta}^{t+\delta} f(x) dx \right| < C \cdot \sqrt{\delta}$$

Formulate and prove the corresponding assertion for  $L^p$  with  $1 < p < \infty$ .

**Discussion:** Let  $h_\delta$  be the characteristic function of  $[t - \delta, t + \delta]$ . By Cauchy-Schwarz-Bunyakowsky

$$\left| \int_{t-\delta}^{t+\delta} f \right| = |\langle f, h_\delta \rangle_{L^2}| \leq \|f\|_{L^2} \cdot \|h_\delta\|_{L^2} = \|f\|_{L^2} \cdot \sqrt{2\delta}$$

The case of conjugate exponents  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  is the same, using Hölder's inequality rather than Cauchy-Schwarz-Bunyakowsky. There is no immediate analogue for  $L^1$ , although a weaker result is possible, as in the next example. ///

[03.8] For  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , show that, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\left| \int_{t-\delta}^{t+\delta} f(x) dx \right| < \varepsilon$$

Sharpen the first example to show that

$$\int_{t-\delta}^{t+\delta} f(x) dx = o(\sqrt{\delta}) \quad (\text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0^+)$$

where Landau's little- $o$  notation is that  $f(x) = o(g(x))$  as  $x \rightarrow a$  when  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)/g(x) = 0$ .

**Discussion:** Let  $S_n = \{x : \frac{1}{n+1} \leq |x - t| < \frac{1}{n}\}$ . Then

$$\left| \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{S_n} f \right| \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{S_n} |f| \leq \|f\|_{L^1}$$

Thus, the sum of non-negative terms  $\sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{S_n} |f|$  is convergent, so the tails  $\sum_{n \geq N} \int_{S_n} |f|$  go to 0 as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ . Thus,

$$\left| \int_{|x-t| \leq 1/N} f \right| \leq \int_{|x-t| \leq 1/N} |f| = \sum_{n \geq N} \int_{S_n} |f|$$

goes to 0 as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then this idea can be applied to  $\int_{|x-t| < \delta} |f|^p$  in the previous example. ///