

## 08b. Examples of spectra of operators

Paul Garrett garrett@math.umn.edu http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/

[This document is [http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/real/notes\\_2016-17/08b-examples\\_of\\_spectra.pdf](http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/real/notes_2016-17/08b-examples_of_spectra.pdf)]

1. Generalities on spectra
2. Positive examples
3. Simplest Rellich compactness lemma
4. Cautionary examples: non-normal operators

The usefulness of the notion of spectrum of an operator on a Hilbert space is the analogy to eigenvalues of operators on finite-dimensional spaces. Naturally, things become more complicated in infinite-dimensional vector spaces.

### 1. Generalities on spectra

It is convenient to know that spectra of continuous operators are *non-empty, compact* subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Knowing this, *every* non-empty compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  is easily made to appear as the spectrum of a continuous operator, even *normal* ones, as below.

**[1.1] Proposition:** The spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  of a continuous linear operator  $T : V \rightarrow V$  on a Hilbert space  $V$  is *bounded* by the operator norm  $|T|_{\text{op}}$ .

*Proof:* For  $|\lambda| > |T|_{\text{op}}$ , an obvious heuristic suggests an expression for the *resolvent*  $R_\lambda = (T - \lambda)^{-1}$ :

$$(T - \lambda)^{-1} = -\lambda^{-1} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{T}{\lambda}\right)^{-1} = -\lambda^{-1} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{T}{\lambda} + \left(\frac{T}{\lambda}\right)^2 + \dots\right)$$

The infinite series converges in operator norm for  $|T/\lambda|_{\text{op}} < 1$ , that is, for  $|\lambda| > |T|_{\text{op}}$ . Then

$$(T - \lambda) \cdot (-\lambda^{-1}) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{T}{\lambda} + \left(\frac{T}{\lambda}\right)^2 + \dots\right) = 1$$

giving a continuous inverse  $(T - \lambda)^{-1}$ , so  $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$ . ///

**[1.2] Remark:** The same argument applied to  $T^n$  shows that  $\sigma(T^n)$  is inside the closed ball of radius  $|T^n|_{\text{op}}$ . By the elementary identity

$$T^n - \lambda^n = (T - \lambda) \cdot (T^{n-1} + T^{n-2}\lambda + \dots + T\lambda^{n-2} + \lambda^{n-2})$$

$(T - \lambda)^{-1}$  exists for  $|\lambda^n| > |T^n|_{\text{op}}$ , that is, for  $|\lambda| > |T^n|_{\text{op}}^{1/n}$ . That is,  $\sigma(T)$  is inside the closed ball of radius  $\inf_{n \geq 1} |T^n|_{\text{op}}^{1/n}$ . The latter expression is the *spectral radius* of  $T$ . This notion is relevant to *non-normal* operators, such as the *Volterra operator*, whose spectral radius is 0, while its operator norm is much larger.

**[1.3] Proposition:** The spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  of a continuous linear operator  $T : V \rightarrow V$  on a Hilbert space  $V$  is *compact*.

*Proof:* That  $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$  is that there is a continuous linear operator  $(T - \lambda)^{-1}$ . We claim that for  $\mu$  sufficiently close to  $\lambda$ ,  $(T - \mu)^{-1}$  exists. Indeed, a heuristic suggests an expression for  $(T - \mu)^{-1}$  in terms of  $(T - \lambda)^{-1}$ . The algebra is helpfully simplified by replacing  $T$  by  $T + \lambda$ , so that  $\lambda = 0$ . With  $\mu$  near 0 and granting existence of  $T^{-1}$ , the heuristic is

$$(T - \mu)^{-1} = (1 - \mu T^{-1})^{-1} \cdot T^{-1} = \left(1 + \mu T^{-1} + (\mu T^{-1})^2 + \dots\right) \cdot T^{-1}$$

The geometric series converges in operator norm for  $|\mu T^{-1}|_{\text{op}} < 1$ , that is, for  $|\mu| < |T^{-1}|_{\text{op}}^{-1}$ . Having found the obvious candidate for an inverse,

$$(1 - \mu T^{-1}) \cdot \left(1 + \mu T^{-1} + (\mu T^{-1})^2 + \dots\right) = 1$$

and

$$(T - \mu) \cdot \left(1 + \mu T^{-1} + (\mu T^{-1})^2 + \dots\right) \cdot T^{-1} = 1$$

so there is a continuous linear operator  $(T - \mu)^{-1}$ , and  $\mu \notin \sigma(T)$ . Having already proven that  $\sigma(T)$  is bounded, it is compact. ///

**[1.4] Proposition:** The spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  of a continuous linear operator on a Hilbert space  $V \neq \{0\}$  is non-empty.

*Proof:* The argument reduces the issue to Liouville's theorem from complex analysis, that a bounded entire (holomorphic) function is constant. Further, an entire function that goes to 0 at  $\infty$  is identically 0.

Suppose the resolvent  $R_\lambda = (T - \lambda)^{-1}$  is a continuous linear operator for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . The operator norm is readily estimated for large  $\lambda$ :

$$\begin{aligned} |R_\lambda|_{\text{op}} &= |\lambda|^{-1} \cdot \left|1 + \frac{T}{\lambda} + \left(\frac{T}{\lambda}\right)^2 + \dots\right|_{\text{op}} \\ &\leq |\lambda|^{-1} \cdot \left(1 + \left|\frac{T}{\lambda}\right|_{\text{op}} + \left|\frac{T}{\lambda}\right|_{\text{op}}^2 + \dots\right) = \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{|T|_{\text{op}}}{|\lambda|}} \end{aligned}$$

This goes to 0 as  $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$ . *Hilbert's identity* asserts the complex differentiability as operator-valued function:

$$\frac{R_\lambda - R_\mu}{\lambda - \mu} = R_\lambda \cdot \frac{(T - \mu) - (T - \lambda)}{\lambda - \mu} \cdot R_\mu = R_\lambda \cdot R_\mu \rightarrow R_\lambda^2 \quad (\text{as } \mu \rightarrow \lambda)$$

since  $\mu \rightarrow R_\mu$  is continuous for large  $\mu$ , by the same identity:

$$|R_\lambda - R_\mu|_{\text{op}} \leq |\lambda - \mu| \cdot |R_\mu \cdot R_\lambda|_{\text{op}}$$

Thus, the scalar-valued functions  $\lambda \rightarrow \langle R_\lambda v, w \rangle$  for  $v, w \in V$  are complex-differentiable, and satisfy

$$|\langle R_\lambda v, w \rangle| \leq |R_\lambda v| \cdot |w| \leq |R_\lambda|_{\text{op}} \cdot |v| \cdot |w| \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{|T|_{\text{op}}}{|\lambda|}} \cdot |v| \cdot |w|$$

By Liouville,  $\langle R_\lambda v, w \rangle = 0$  for all  $v, w \in V$ , which is impossible. Thus, the spectrum is not empty. ///

**[1.5] Proposition:** The entire spectrum, both point-spectrum and continuous-spectrum, of a self-adjoint operator is a non-empty, compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . The entire spectrum of a unitary operator is a non-empty, compact subset of the unit circle.

*Proof:* For self-adjoint  $T$ , we claim that the imaginary part of  $\langle (T - \mu)v, v \rangle$  is at least  $\langle v, v \rangle$  times the imaginary part of  $\mu$ . Indeed,  $\langle Tv, v \rangle$  is real, since

$$\langle Tv, v \rangle = \langle v, T^*v \rangle = \langle v, Tv \rangle = \overline{\langle Tv, v \rangle}$$

so

$$\langle (T - \mu)v, v \rangle = \langle Tv, v \rangle - \mu \cdot \langle v, v \rangle$$

and

$$|\operatorname{Im}\langle(T - \mu)v, v\rangle| = |\operatorname{Im}\mu| \cdot \langle v, v \rangle$$

and by Cauchy-Schwarz-Bunyakovsky

$$|(T - \mu)v| \cdot |v| \geq |\langle(T - \mu)v, v\rangle| \geq |\operatorname{Im}\mu| \cdot \langle v, v \rangle = |\operatorname{Im}\mu| \cdot |v|^2$$

Dividing by  $|v|$ ,

$$|(T - \mu)v| \geq |\operatorname{Im}\mu| \cdot |v|$$

This inequality shows more than the injectivity of  $T - \mu$ . Namely, the inequality gives a bound on the operator norm of the inverse  $(T - \mu)^{-1}$  defined on the image of  $T - \mu$ . The image is *dense* since  $\mu$  is not an eigenvalue and there is no residual spectrum for normal operators  $T$ . Thus, the inverse extends by continuity to a continuous linear map defined on the whole Hilbert space. Thus,  $T - \mu$  has a continuous linear inverse, and  $\mu$  is not in the spectrum of  $T$ .

For  $T$  unitary,  $|Tv| = |v|$  for all  $v$  implies  $T|_{\text{op}} = 1$ . Thus,  $\sigma(T)$  is contained in the unit disk, by the general bound on spectra in terms of operator norms. From  $(T - \lambda)^* = T^* - \bar{\lambda}$ , the spectrum of  $T^*$  is obtained by complex-conjugating the spectrum of  $T$ . Thus, for unitary  $T$ , the spectrum of  $T^{-1} = T^*$  is also contained in the unit disk. At the same time, the natural

$$T - \lambda = -T \cdot (T^{-1} - \lambda^{-1}) \cdot \lambda$$

gives

$$(T - \lambda)^{-1} = -\lambda^{-1} \cdot (T^{-1} - \lambda^{-1})^{-1} \cdot T^{-1}$$

so  $\lambda^{-1} \in \sigma(T^{-1})$  exactly when  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ . Thus, the spectra of both  $T$  and  $T^* = T^{-1}$  are inside the unit circle. ///

## 2. Positive examples

This section gives non-pathological examples. Let  $\ell^2$  be the usual space of square-summable sequences  $(a_1, a_2, \dots)$ , with standard orthonormal basis

$$e_j = \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)}_{1 \text{ at } j\text{th position}}$$

**[2.1] Multiplication operators with specified eigenvalues** Given a countable, bounded list of complex numbers  $\lambda_j$ , the operator  $T : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$  by

$$T : (a_1, a_2, \dots) \longrightarrow (\lambda_1 \cdot a_1, \lambda_2 \cdot a_2, \dots)$$

has  $\lambda_j$ -eigenvector the standard basis element  $e_j$ . Clearly

$$T^* : (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) \longrightarrow (\bar{\lambda}_1 \cdot a_1, \bar{\lambda}_2 \cdot a_2, \bar{\lambda}_3 \cdot a_3, \dots)$$

so  $T$  is *normal*, in the sense that  $TT^* = T^*T$ . To see that there are no *other* eigenvalues, suppose  $Tv = \mu \cdot v$  with  $\mu$  not among the  $\lambda_j$ . Then

$$\mu \cdot \langle v, e_j \rangle = \langle Tv, e_j \rangle = \langle v, T^*e_j \rangle = \langle v, \bar{\lambda}_j e_j \rangle = \lambda_j \cdot \langle v, e_j \rangle$$

Thus,  $(\mu - \lambda_j) \cdot \langle v, e_j \rangle = 0$ , and  $\langle v, e_j \rangle = 0$  for all  $j$ . Since  $e_j$  form an orthonormal basis,  $v = 0$ . ///

[2.2] Every compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  is the spectrum of an operator Grant for the moment a countable dense subset  $\{\lambda_j\}$  of a non-empty compact subset<sup>[1]</sup>  $C$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ , and as above let

$$T : (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) \longrightarrow (\lambda_1 \cdot a_1, \lambda_2 \cdot a_2, \lambda_3 \cdot a_3, \dots)$$

We saw that there are no further eigenvalues. Since spectra are *closed*, the closure  $C$  of  $\{\lambda_j\}$  is *contained* in  $\sigma(T)$ .

It remains to show that the continuous spectrum is no larger than the closure  $C$  of the eigenvalues, *in this example*. That is, for  $\mu \notin C$ , exhibit a continuous linear  $(T - \mu)^{-1}$ .

For  $\mu \notin C$ , there is a uniform lower bound  $0 < \delta \leq |\mu - \lambda_j|$ . That is,  $\sup_j |\mu - \lambda_j|^{-1} \leq \delta^{-1}$ . Thus, the naturally suggested map

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots) \longrightarrow \left( (\lambda_1 - \mu)^{-1} \cdot a_1, (\lambda_2 - \mu)^{-1} \cdot a_2, \dots \right)$$

is a bounded linear map, and gives  $(T - \mu)^{-1}$ .

[2.3] Two-sided shift has no eigenvalues Let  $V$  be the Hilbert space of *two-sided* sequences  $(\dots, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, \dots)$  with natural inner product

$$\langle (\dots, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, \dots), (\dots, b_{-1}, b_0, b_1, \dots) \rangle = \dots + a_{-1}b_{-1} + a_0b_0 + a_1b_1 + \dots$$

The right and left *two-sided* shift operators are

$$(R \cdot a)_n = a_{n-1} \qquad (L \cdot a)_n = a_{n+1}$$

These operators are mutual adjoints, mutual inverses, so are unitary. Being unitary, their operator norms are 1, so their spectra are non-empty compact subsets of the unit circle.

*They have no eigenvalues:* indeed, for  $Rv = \lambda \cdot v$ , if there is any index  $n$  with  $v_n \neq 0$ , then the relation  $Rv = \lambda \cdot v$  gives  $v_{n+k+1} = \lambda \cdot v_{n+k}$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $|\lambda| = 1$ , such a vector is not in  $\ell^2$ .

Nevertheless, we claim that  $\lambda \in \sigma(L)$  for every  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| = 1$ , and similarly for  $R$ . Indeed, for  $\lambda$  *not* in the spectrum, there is a continuous linear operator  $(L - \lambda)^{-1}$ , so  $|(L - \lambda)v| \geq \delta \cdot |v|$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . It is easy to make *approximate* eigenvectors for  $L$  for any  $|\lambda| = 1$ : let

$$v^{(\ell)} = (\dots, 0, \dots, 0, 1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \lambda^3, \dots, \lambda^\ell, 0, 0, \dots)$$

Obviously it doesn't matter where the non-zero entries begin. From

$$(L - \lambda)v^{(\ell)} = (\dots, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, \lambda^{\ell+1}, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$|(L - \lambda)v^{(\ell)}| = \sqrt{1 + 1}$ , while  $|v^{(\ell)}| = \sqrt{\ell + 1}$ . Thus,  $|(L - \lambda)v^{(\ell)}|/|v^{(\ell)}| \longrightarrow 0$ , and there can be no  $(L - \lambda)^{-1}$ . Thus, every  $\lambda$  on the unit circle is in  $\sigma(R)$ .

[2.4] Compact multiplication operators on  $\ell^2$  For a sequence of complex numbers  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ , we claim that the multiplication operator

$$T : (a_1, a_2, \dots) \longrightarrow (\lambda_1 \cdot a_1, \lambda_2 \cdot a_2, \dots)$$

---

[1] To make a countable dense subset of  $C$ , for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  cover  $C$  by finitely-many disks of radius  $1/n$ , each meeting  $C$ , and in each choose a point of  $C$ . The union over  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  of these finite sets is countable and dense in  $C$ .

is *compact*. We already showed that it has eigenvalues exactly  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ , and spectrum the *closure* of  $\{\lambda_j\}$ . Thus, the spectrum includes 0, but 0 is an *eigenvalue* only when it appears among the  $\lambda_j$ , which may range from 0 times to infinitely-many times.

To prove that the operator is compact, we prove that the image of the unit ball is pre-compact, by showing that it is *totally bounded*. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , take  $k$  such that  $|\lambda_i| < \varepsilon$  for  $i > k$ . The ball in  $\mathbb{C}^k$  of radius  $\max\{|\lambda_j| : j \leq k\}$  is precompact, so has a finite cover by  $\varepsilon$ -balls, centered at points  $v^1, \dots, v^N$ . For  $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots)$  with  $|v| \leq 1$ ,

$$Tv = (\lambda_1 v_1, \lambda_2 v_2, \dots, \lambda_k v_k, 0, 0, \dots) + (0, \dots, 0, \lambda_{k+1} v_{k+1}, \lambda_{k+2} v_{k+2}, \dots)$$

With  $v^j$  the closest of the  $v^1, \dots, v^N$  to  $(\lambda_1 v_1, \lambda_2 v_2, \dots, \lambda_k v_k, 0, 0, \dots)$ ,

$$|Tv - v^j| < \varepsilon + |(0, \dots, 0, \lambda_{k+1} v_{k+1}, \lambda_{k+2} v_{k+2}, \dots)| < \varepsilon + \varepsilon \cdot |(0, \dots, 0, v_{k+1}, v_{k+2}, \dots)| \leq \varepsilon + \varepsilon \cdot |v| \leq 2\varepsilon$$

Thus, the image of the unit ball under  $T$  is covered by finitely-many  $2\varepsilon$ -balls. ///

**[2.5] Multiplication operators on  $L^2[a, b]$**  For  $\varphi \in C^0[a, b]$ , we claim that the multiplication operator

$$M_\varphi : L^2[a, b] \longrightarrow L^2[a, b]$$

by

$$M_\varphi f(x) = \varphi(x) \cdot f(x)$$

is *normal*, and has spectrum the image  $\varphi[a, b]$  of  $\varphi$ . The eigenvalues are  $\lambda$  such that  $\varphi(x) = \lambda$  on a subset of  $[a, b]$  of positive measure. The normality is clear, so, beyond eigenvalues, we need only examine continuous spectrum, not residual.

On one hand, if  $\varphi(x) = \lambda$  on a set of positive measure, there is an infinite-dimensional sub-space of  $L^2[0, 1]$  of functions supported there, and all these are eigenvectors. On the other hand, if  $f \neq 0$  in  $L^2[0, 1]$  and  $\varphi(x) \cdot f(x) = \lambda \cdot f(x)$ , even if  $f$  is altered on a set of measure 0, it must be that  $\varphi(x) = \lambda$  on a set of positive measure.

To understand the continuous spectrum, for  $\varphi(x_0) = \lambda$  make *approximate eigenvectors* by taking  $L^2$  functions  $f$  supported on  $[x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta]$ , where  $\delta > 0$  is small enough so that  $|\varphi(x) - \varphi(x_0)| < \varepsilon$  for  $|x - x_0| < \delta$ . Then

$$\|(M_\varphi - \lambda)f\|_{L^2}^2 = \int |\varphi(x) - \lambda|^2 \cdot |f(x)|^2 dx \leq \varepsilon^2 \cdot \|f\|_{L^2}^2$$

Thus,  $\inf_{f \neq 0} \|(M_\varphi - \lambda)f\|_{L^2} / \|f\|_{L^2} = 0$ , so  $M_\varphi - \lambda$  is not invertible. If  $\lambda$  is not an eigenvalue, it is in the continuous spectrum. On the other hand, if  $\varphi(x) \neq \lambda$ , then there is some  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|\varphi(x) - \lambda| \geq \delta$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ , by the compactness of  $[0, 1]$ . Then

$$\|(M_\varphi - \lambda)f\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_0^1 |\varphi(x) - \lambda|^2 \cdot |f(x)|^2 dx \geq \int_0^1 \delta^2 \cdot |f(x)|^2 dx = \delta^2 \cdot \|f\|_{L^2}^2$$

Thus, there is a continuous inverse  $(M_\varphi - \lambda)$ , and  $\lambda$  is *not* in the spectrum.

### 3. Simplest Rellich compactness lemma

One characterization of the  $s^{\text{th}}$  Levi-Sobolev space of functions  $H^s(A)$  on a product  $A = (S^1)^{\times n}$  of circles  $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  is as the closure of the function space of *finite* Fourier series with respect to the Levi-Sobolev norm (squared)

$$\left| \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_\xi e^{i\xi \cdot x} \right|_{H^s}^2 = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |c_\xi|^2 \cdot (1 + |\xi|^2)^s \quad (s \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ on finite Fourier series})$$

The standard orthonormal basis for  $H^s(A)$  is

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \cdot \frac{e^{i\xi \cdot x}}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2}} \quad (\text{with } \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n)$$

By the Plancherel theorem, the map from  $L^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)$  (with counting measure) to  $L^2(A)$  by

$$\{c_\xi : \xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n\} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_\xi \frac{e^{i\xi \cdot x}}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2}}$$

is an isometric isomorphism.

For  $s > t$ , there is a continuous inclusion  $H^s(A) \rightarrow H^t(A)$ . In terms of these orthonormal bases, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^2(A) & \xrightarrow{T} & L^2(A) \\ \approx \downarrow & & \downarrow \approx \\ H^s(A) & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} & H^t(A) \end{array}$$

given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{c_\xi\} & \xrightarrow{T} & \left\{ (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{t-s}{2}} \cdot c_\xi \right\} \\ \approx \downarrow & & \downarrow \approx \\ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \sum_{\xi} c_\xi \frac{e^{i\xi \cdot x}}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2}} & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} & \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \sum_{\xi} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{t-s}{2}} \cdot c_\xi \frac{e^{i\xi \cdot x}}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{t/2}} \end{array}$$

Since  $s > t$ , the number  $\lambda_\xi = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{t-s}{2}}$  are bounded by 1, and have unique limit point 0. In particular,  $T : L^2(A) \rightarrow L^2(A)$  is *compact*.

Thus, we have the simplest instance of *Rellich's compactness lemma*: the inclusion  $H^s(A) \rightarrow H^t(A)$  is *compact* for  $s > t$ .

## 4. Cautionary examples: non-normal operators

[4.1] Shift operators on one-sided  $\ell^2$  We claim the following: The right-shift

$$R : (a_1, a_2, \dots) \longrightarrow (0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$$

and the left-shift

$$L : (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) \longrightarrow (a_2, \dots)$$

are mutual adjoints. These operators are not normal, since  $L \circ R = 1_{\ell^2}$  but

$$R \circ L : (a_1, a_2, \dots) \longrightarrow (0, a_2, \dots)$$

The eigenvalues of the left-shift  $L$  are all complex numbers in the open unit disk in  $\mathbb{C}$ . In particular, there is a *continuum* of eigenvalues and eigenvectors, so they *cannot be mutually orthogonal*. The spectrum  $\sigma(L)$  is the closed unit disk.

The right-shift  $R$  has *no* eigenvalues, has continuous spectrum the unit circle, and residual spectrum the open unit disk with 0 removed.

Indeed, suppose

$$(0, a_1, a_2, \dots) = R(a_1, a_2, \dots) = \lambda \cdot (a_1, a_2, \dots)$$

With  $n$  the lowest index such that  $a_n \neq 0$ , the  $n^{\text{th}}$  component in the eigenvector relation gives  $0 = a_{n-1} = \lambda \cdot a_n$ , so  $\lambda = 0$ . Then, the  $(n+1)^{\text{th}}$  component gives  $a_n = \lambda \cdot a_{n+1} = 0$ , contradiction. This proves that  $R$  has *no* eigenvalues.

Oppositely, for  $|\lambda| < 1$ ,

$$L(1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \dots) = (\lambda, \lambda^2, \dots) = \lambda \cdot (1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \dots)$$

so every such  $\lambda$  is an eigenvector for  $L$ . On the other hand, for  $|\lambda| = 1$ , in an eigenvector relation

$$(a_2, \dots) = L(a_1, a_2, \dots) = \lambda \cdot (a_1, a_2, \dots)$$

let  $n$  be the smallest index  $n$  with  $a_n \neq 0$ . Then  $a_{n+1} = \lambda \cdot a_n$ ,  $a_{n+2} = \lambda \cdot a_{n+1}$ ,  $\dots$ , so

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (0, \dots, 0, a_n, \lambda a_n, \lambda^2 a_n, \dots)$$

But this is not in  $\ell^2$  for  $|\lambda| = 1$  and  $a_n \neq 0$ , so  $\lambda$  on the unit circle is *not* an eigenvalue.

For  $|\lambda| = 1$ , we can make *approximate*  $\lambda$ -eigenvectors for  $L$  by

$$v^{[N]} = (1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^N, 0, 0, \dots)$$

since

$$(L - \lambda)v^{[N]} = (\lambda, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^N, 0, 0, 0, \dots) - \lambda \cdot (1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^N, 0, 0, \dots) = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \lambda^{N+1}, 0, 0, \dots)$$

Since

$$\frac{|(L - \lambda)v^{[N]}|}{|v^{[N]}|} = \frac{|\lambda|^{N+1}}{(1 + |\lambda|^2 + \dots + |\lambda|^{2N})^{1/2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N+1}} \rightarrow 0$$

there can be no continuous  $(L - \lambda)^{-1}$ . Thus,  $\lambda$  on the unit circle is in the spectrum, but not in the point spectrum.

That the unit circle is in the spectrum also follows from the observation above that all  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| < 1$  are eigenvalues, and the fact that the spectrum is *closed*.

The spectrum of  $L$  is bounded by the operator norm  $|L|_{\text{op}}$ , and  $|L|_{\text{op}}$  is visibly 1, so is nothing *else* in the spectrum.

To see that the unit circle is the *continuous* spectrum of  $L$ , as opposed to *residual*, we show that  $L - \lambda$  has dense image for  $|\lambda| = 1$ . Indeed, for  $w$  such that, for all  $v \in \ell^2$ ,

$$0 = \langle (L - \lambda)v, w \rangle = \langle v, (L^* - \bar{\lambda})w \rangle = \langle v, (R - \bar{\lambda})w \rangle$$

we would have  $(R - \bar{\lambda})w = 0$ . However, we have seen that  $R$  has no eigenvalues. Thus,  $L - \lambda$  always has dense image, and the unit circle is continuous spectrum for  $L$ .

Reversing that discussion, every  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| < 1$  is in the residual spectrum of  $R$ , because such  $\lambda$  is not an eigenvalue, and  $R - \lambda$  does *not* have dense image: for  $w$  a  $\bar{\lambda}$ -eigenvector for  $L$ ,

$$\langle (R - \lambda)v, w \rangle = \langle v, (R^* - \bar{\lambda})w \rangle = \langle v, (L - \bar{\lambda})w \rangle = \langle v, 0 \rangle = 0$$

That is, the image  $(R - \lambda)\ell^2$  is in the orthogonal complement to the eigenvector  $w$ . The same computation shows that the unit circle is *continuous* spectrum for  $R$ , because it is *not* eigenvalues for  $L$ .

**[4.2] Volterra operator** We will show that the Volterra operator  $Vf(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$  on  $L^2[0, 1]$  is *compact*, but not self-adjoint, that its spectrum is  $\{0\}$ , and that it has no eigenvalues.

A relation  $Tf = \lambda \cdot f$  for  $f \in L^2$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$  implies  $f$  is *continuous*:

$$|\lambda| \cdot |f(x+h) - f(x)| = |Tf(x+h) - Tf(x)| \leq \int_x^{x+h} 1 \cdot |f(t)| dt \leq |h|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot |f|_{L^2}$$

The fundamental theorem of calculus would imply  $f$  is continuously differentiable and  $\lambda \cdot f' = (Tf)' = f$ . Thus,  $f$  would be a constant multiple of  $e^{x/\lambda}$ , by the mean value theorem. However, by Cauchy-Schwarz-Bunyakowsky, for a  $\lambda$ -eigenfunction

$$|\lambda| \cdot |f(x)| \leq |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot |f|_{L^2}$$

No non-zero multiple of the exponential satisfies this. Thus, there are no eigenvectors for *non-zero* eigenvalues.

For  $f \in L^2[0, 1]$  and  $Tf = 0 \in L^2[0, 1]$ ,  $Tf$  is almost everywhere 0. Since  $x \rightarrow Tg(x)$  is unavoidably *continuous*,  $Tf(x)$  is 0 for all  $x$ . Thus, for all  $x, y$  in the interval,

$$0 = 0 - 0 = Tf(y) - Tf(x) = \int_x^y f(t) dt$$

That is,  $x \rightarrow Tf(x)$  is orthogonal in  $L^2[0, 1]$  to all characteristic functions of intervals. Finite linear combinations of these are dense in  $C^o[0, 1]$  in the  $L^2$  topology, and  $C^o[0, 1]$  is dense in  $L^2[0, 1]$ . Thus  $f = 0$ , and there are no eigenvectors for the Volterra operator.

To see that  $T$  is *compact*, rewrite it as being given by an *integral kernel*  $K(x, y)$ :

$$Tf(x) = \int_0^x f(y) dy = \int_0^1 K(x, y) f(y) dy \quad \left( \text{with } K(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & (\text{for } 0 \leq y < x) \\ 1 & (\text{for } x < y \leq 1) \end{cases} \right)$$

Thus,  $T$  is Hilbert-Schmidt, and compact. The adjoint  $T^*$  is given by the integral kernel  $K^*(x, y) = \overline{K(y, x)}$ , visibly different from  $K(x, y)$ , so  $T$  is *not* self-adjoint.

To see that the spectrum is *at most*  $\{0\}$ , show that the *spectral radius* is 0:

$$\begin{aligned} T^n f(x) &= \int_0^x \int_0^{x_{n-1}} \dots \int_0^{x_2} \int_0^{x_1} f(t) dt dx_1 \dots dx_{n-1} = \int_0^x f(t) \left( \int_t^x \int_t^{x_{n-1}} \dots \int_t^{x_2} dx_1 \dots dx_{n-1} \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^x f(t) \cdot \frac{(x-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} dt \end{aligned}$$

From this,  $|T^n|_{\text{op}} \leq \frac{1}{n!}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \log \lim_{2n} \left( \frac{1}{(2n)!} \right)^{1/2n} &= -\lim_{2n} \frac{1}{2n} \cdot \log(2n)! = -\lim_{2n} \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 2n} \log k \\ &= -\lim_{2n} \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} (\log k + \log(2n - k + 1)) \leq -\lim_{2n} \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{k}} (\log k + \log(2n - k + 1)) \\ &\leq -\lim_{2n} \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{k}} \log 2n = -\lim_{2n} \frac{\log 2n}{2} = -\infty \end{aligned}$$

since  $k(2n - k) \geq 2n$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , noting the sign. That is,  $\lim_n |T^n|_{\text{op}}^{1/n} = 0$ , so the spectral radius is 0. Since the spectrum is non-empty, it must be exactly  $\{0\}$ .