

(October 17, 2019)

## 03. Measure and integral

Paul Garrett garrett@math.umn.edu <http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/>

[This document is [http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/real/notes\\_2019-20/03\\_measure\\_and\\_integral.pdf](http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/real/notes_2019-20/03_measure_and_integral.pdf)]

1. Borel-measurable functions and pointwise limits
2. Lebesgue-measurable functions and almost-everywhere pointwise limits
3. Borel measures
4. Lebesgue integrals
5. Abstract integration, abstract measure spaces
6. Convergence theorems: Fatou, Lebesgue monotone, Lebesgue dominated
7. Iterated integrals, product integrals: Fubini-Tonelli
8. Comparison to continuous functions: Lusin's theorem
9. Comparison to uniform pointwise convergence: Severini-Egoroff
10. Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym theorem

---

### 1. Borel-measurable functions and pointwise limits

Pointwise limits of continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  or on intervals  $[a, b]$  need not be continuous. We want a class of functions closed under taking pointwise limits of sequences. The following is the simplest form of a general discussion.

The collection of *Borel subsets* of  $\mathbb{R}$  is the smallest collection of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  closed under taking *countable unions*, under *countable intersections*, under *complements*, and containing all open and closed subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . This is also called the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra in  $\mathbb{R}$ . We must check that this description makes sense, in the claim below.

More generally, in *any* set  $X$ , a  $\sigma$ -algebra is a set  $A$  of subsets of  $X$ , including  $\phi$  and  $X$ , and so that countable unions and countable intersections of elements of  $A$  are again in  $A$ . Note that  $X$  need not have a topology or metric or any other structure for this notion of  $\sigma$ -algebra to make sense.

The following is the analogue for  $\sigma$ -algebras of the analogous assertion for groups and subgroups, and many other situations.

[1.1] **Claim:** Let  $X$  be an arbitrary non-empty set. Intersections of  $\sigma$ -algebras of subsets of  $X$  are  $\sigma$ -algebras. Thus, the *smallest*  $\sigma$ -algebra containing a given set of sets is the intersection of all  $\sigma$ -algebras containing it.

*Proof:* Let  $S$  be a set of subsets of a set  $X$ , and  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  a collection of  $\sigma$ -algebras containing  $S$ . Let  $A$  be the intersection  $\bigcap_i A_i$ . Given a countable collection  $E_1, E_2, \dots$  of sets in  $A$ , for every  $i \in I$  the set  $E_j$  are in  $A_i$ , so their intersection and union are in  $A_i$ . Since this holds for every  $i \in I$ , that intersection and union are in  $A$ . The argument for complements is even simpler. ///

There is traditional terminology for certain simple types of Borel sets. For example a *countable intersection of open sets* is a  $G_\delta$  set, while a *countable union of closed sets* is an  $F_\sigma$ . The notation can be iterated: a  $G_{\delta\sigma}$  is a countable union of countable intersections of opens, and so on. We will not need this.

A simple useful choice of larger class of functions than continuous is: a real-valued or complex-valued function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  is *Borel-measurable* when the inverse image  $f^{-1}(U)$  is a Borel set for every open set  $U$  in the target space.

First, we verify some immediate desirable properties:

**[1.2] Claim:** The sum and product of two Borel-measurable functions are Borel-measurable. For non-vanishing Borel-measurable  $f$ ,  $1/f$  is Borel-measurable.

*Proof:* As a warm-up to this argument, it is useful to rewrite the  $\varepsilon - \delta$  proof, that the sum of two continuous functions is continuous, in terms of the condition that inverse images of opens are open.

For Borel-measurable  $f, g$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , let  $f \oplus g$  be the  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ -valued function on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $(f \oplus g)(x, y) = (f(x), g(y))$ . Let  $s : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the sum map,  $s(x, y) = x + y$ . Let  $\Delta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  be the diagonal map  $\Delta(x) = (x, x)$ . Both  $s$  and  $\Delta$  are continuous, and

$$(f + g)^{-1} = \Delta^{-1} \circ (f \oplus g)^{-1} \circ s^{-1}$$

Since  $s$  is continuous, for open  $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $s^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ , and is a countable union of open rectangles  $(a_i, b_i) \times (c_i, d_i)$ . Then

$$(f \oplus g)^{-1}(s^{-1}(U)) = \bigcup_i (f \oplus g)^{-1}((a_i, b_i) \times (c_i, d_i)) = \bigcup_i f^{-1}(a_i, b_i) \times g^{-1}(c_i, d_i)$$

and every inverse image  $f^{-1}(a_i, b_i)$  and  $g^{-1}(c_i, d_i)$  is Borel measurable. Then

$$\Delta^{-1}\left(f^{-1}(a_i, b_i) \times g^{-1}(c_i, d_i)\right) = f^{-1}(a_i, b_i) \cap g^{-1}(c_i, d_i) = (\text{Borel measurable})$$

The countable union indexed by  $i$  is still Borel-measurable, so  $(f + g)^{-1}(U)$  is measurable. The arguments for product and inverse are nearly identical, since product and inverse (away from 0) are continuous.

///

It is sometimes useful to allow the target space for functions to be the *two-point compactification*  $Y = \{-\infty\} \cup \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  of the real line, with neighborhood basis  $-\infty \cup (-\infty, a)$  at  $-\infty$  and  $(a, +\infty) \cup \{+\infty\}$  at  $+\infty$  when we need to allow functions to blow up in some fashion. But  $\pm\infty$  are not numbers, and do not admit consistent manipulation as though they were.

A more serious positive indicator of the reasonableness of Borel-measurable functions as a larger class containing continuous functions:

**[1.3] Theorem:** Every pointwise limit of Borel-measurable functions is Borel-measurable. More generally, every countable *inf* and countable *sup* of Borel-measurable functions is Borel-measurable, as is every countable *liminf* and *limsup*.

*Proof:* We prove that a countable  $f(x) = \inf_n f_n(x)$  is measurable. Observe that  $f(x) < b$  if and only if there is some  $n$  such that  $f_n(x) < b$ . Thus,

$$f^{-1}(-\infty, b) = \bigcup_n f_n^{-1}(-\infty, b) = (\text{countable union of measurables}) = (\text{measurable})$$

Further,

$$f^{-1}(-\infty, a] = \bigcap_n f^{-1}(-\infty, a + \frac{1}{n}) = (\text{countable intersection of measurables}) = (\text{measurable})$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(a, b) &= f^{-1}(-\infty, b) - f^{-1}(-\infty, a] = f^{-1}(-\infty, b) \cap (\mathbb{R} - f^{-1}(-\infty, a]) \\ &= (\text{intersection of measurable with complement of measurable}) = (\text{measurable}) \end{aligned}$$

A nearly identical argument proves measurability of countable *sup*s of measurable functions.

A slight enhancement of this argument treats *liminfs* and *limsup*s:  $\limsup_n f_n(x) < b$  if and only if, for all  $n_o$ , there is  $n \geq n_o$  such that  $f_n(x) < b$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \{x : \liminf_n f_n(x) < b\} &= \bigcap_{n_o \geq 1} \left( \bigcup_{n \geq n_o} f_n^{-1}(-\infty, b) \right) \\ &= (\text{countable intersection of countable unions of measurables}) = (\text{measurable}) \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the argument for measurability of pointwise *liminfs* is identical to that for *infs*, and also for *limsup*s. When pointwise  $\lim_n f_n(x)$  exists, it is  $\liminf_n f_n(x)$ , showing that countable limits of measurable are measurable. ///

## 2. Lebesgue-measurable functions and almost-everywhere pointwise limits

A sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of Borel-measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  converges (pointwise) *almost everywhere* when there is a Borel set  $N \subset \mathbb{R}$  of measure 0 such that  $\{f_n\}$  converges pointwise on  $\mathbb{R} - N$ . One of Lebesgue's discoveries was that ignoring what may happen on sets of measure zero was an essential simplifying point in many situations.

However, there are sets of Lebesgue measure 0 that are not Borel sets. Thus, *almost-everywhere* pointwise limits of Borel-measurable functions may fall into a larger class. That is, there is a larger  $\sigma$ -algebra than that of Borel sets. Indeed, the description of the Lebesgue (outer) measure suggests that *any subset  $F$  of a Borel set  $E$  of measure zero should itself be measurable, with measure zero.*

The smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all Borel sets in  $\mathbb{R}$  and containing all subsets of Lebesgue-measure-zero Borel sets is the  $\sigma$ -algebra of *Lebesgue-measurable* sets in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

[2.1] **Claim:** Finite sums, finite products, and inverses (of non-zero) Lebesgue-measurable functions are Lebesgue-measurable.

*Proof:* The proofs in the previous section did not use any specifics of the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets, so the same proofs succeed. ///

[2.2] **Theorem:** Every pointwise-almost-everywhere limit of Lebesgue-measurable functions  $f_n$  is Lebesgue-measurable.

*Proof:* Again, the proofs in the previous section did not use any specifics of the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets. ///

## 3. Borel measures

A *Borel measure*  $\mu$  is an assignment of (often *non-negative*) real numbers  $\mu(E)$  (measures) to Borel sets  $E$ , in a fashion that is *countably additive* for disjoint unions:

$$\mu(E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3 \cup \dots) = \mu(E_1) + \mu(E_2) + \mu(E_3) + \dots \quad (\text{for disjoint Borel sets } E_1, E_2, E_3, \dots)$$

The most important prototype of a Borel measure is *Lebesgue (outer) measure* of a Borel set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ , described by

$$\mu(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n - a_n| : E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n, b_n) \right\}$$

That is, it is the *inf* of the sums of lengths of the intervals in a countable cover of  $E$  by open intervals. For example, any countable set has (Lebesgue) measure 0.

That is, there is a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $A$  including Borel sets (equivalently, including open sets), and  $\mu$  is a (often non-negative real-valued) function on  $A$  with the countable additivity above.

[... *iou* ...]

[3.1] **Remark:** Assuming the Axiom of Choice, one can prove that there is no Borel measure  $\mu$  with  $\sigma$ -algebra containing *all* subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . So our ambitions for assigning measures should be more modest.

## 4. Lebesgue integrals

With such notion of *measure*, there is a corresponding *integrability* and *integral*, due to Lebesgue. It amounts to replacing the literal rectangles used in Riemann integration by more general rectangles, with bases not just intervals, but measurable sets, as follows.

The *characteristic function* or *indicator function*  $\text{ch}_E$  or  $\chi_E$  of a measurable subset  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  is 1 on  $E$  and 0 off. A *simple function* is a finite, positive-coefficiented, linear combination of characteristic functions of bounded measurable sets, that is, is of the form

$$\text{(simple function) } s = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \cdot \text{ch}_{E_i} \quad (\text{with } c_i \geq 0)$$

The *integral* of  $s$  is what one would expect:

$$\int s \, d\mu = \int \left( \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \cdot \text{ch}_{E_i} \right) d\mu = \sum_i c_i \cdot \mu(E_i)$$

Next, the integral of a *non-negative* function  $f$  is the *sup* of the integrals of all simple functions between  $f$  and 0:

$$\int f \, d\mu = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq f} \int s \, d\mu \quad (\text{sup over simple } s \text{ with } 0 \leq s(x) \leq f(x) \text{ for all } x)$$

After proving that the positive and negative parts  $f_+$  and  $f_-$  of Borel measurable real-valued  $f$  are again Borel measurable,

$$\int f \, d\mu = \int f_+ \, d\mu - \int (-f_-) \, d\mu$$

Similarly, for complex-valued  $f$ , break  $f$  into real and imaginary parts.

There are details to be checked:

[4.1] **Theorem:** Borel-measurable functions  $f, g$  taking values in  $[0, +\infty]$  are *integrable*, in the sense that the previous prescription yields an assignment  $f \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \in [0, +\infty]$  such that for positive constants  $a, b$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (af + bg) = a \int_{\mathbb{R}} f + b \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \quad (\text{for all } a, b \geq 0)$$

For complex-valued Borel-measurable  $f, g$ , the absolute values  $|f|$  and  $|g|$  are Borel-measurable. Assuming  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f| < \infty$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |g| < \infty$ , for any complex  $a, b$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (af + bg) = a \int_{\mathbb{R}} f + b \int_{\mathbb{R}} g$$

*Proof:* [... iou ...]

///

For a Borel-measurable function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  and Borel-measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ , the *integral of  $f$  over  $E$*  is

$$\int_E f = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ch}_E \cdot f$$

where  $\text{ch}_E$  is the characteristic function of  $E$ .

## 5. Abstract integration, abstract measure spaces

An elementary but fundamental result is

**[5.1] Proposition:** Let  $f$  be a  $[0, +\infty]$ -valued measurable function on  $X$ . Then there are simple functions  $s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots$  with *non-negative real coefficients* so that for all  $x \in X$ ,  $s_1(x) \leq s_2(x) \leq s_3(x) \leq \dots \leq f(x)$ , and for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\lim_n s_n(x) = f(x)$ .

*Note:* Some authors distinguish between *positive* measures and *complex* measures, where the distinction is meant to be that the former are  $[0, \infty]$ -valued, while the latter are constrained to assume only ‘finite’ complex values.

The *integral of a characteristic function*  $\chi_E$  is taken to be simply

$$\int_X \chi_E d\mu = \mu(E)$$

Then the *integral of a simple function*

$$s(x) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} c_i \chi_{E_i}$$

(with  $c_i \geq 0$ ) is defined to be

$$\int_X \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} c_i \chi_{E_i} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} c_i \int_X \chi_{E_i} d\mu = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} c_i \int_X \mu_{E_i}$$

For a  $[0, +\infty]$ -valued function  $f$ , we write

$$0 \leq s \leq f$$

for a *simple* function  $s$  if  $s$  has *non-negative real* coefficients, and if for all  $x \in X$

$$0 \leq s(x) \leq f(x)$$

Then the *Lebesgue integral* of  $f$  is defined to be

$$\int_X f d\mu = \sup_{s: 0 \leq s \leq f} \int_X s d\mu$$

Note that at this point we can only integrate *non-negative real-valued* functions.

The standard space

$$L^1(X, \mu) = \{\text{complex-valued measurable } f \text{ so that } \int_X |f| d\mu < \infty\}$$

Since  $|f|$  is non-negative real-valued, we can indeed make sense of this. This is the collection of *integrable* functions  $f$ . Then write

$$f(x) = u(x) + iv(x)$$

where both  $u, v$  are real-valued, and write

$$u = u_+ - u_- \quad v = v_+ - v_-$$

where  $u_+, v_+$  are the ‘positive parts’ and where  $u_-, v_-$  are the ‘negative parts’ of these functions. Define the *Lebesgue integral*

$$\int_X f \, d\mu = \int_X u_+ \, d\mu - \int_X u_- \, d\mu + i \int_X v_+ \, d\mu - i \int_X v_- \, d\mu$$

Then we have to check that this definition, in terms of integrals of non-negative functions, really has the presumed properties. It is in proving such that we need the *integrability*.

For brevity, when there is no chance of confusion we will often simply write

$$\int_X f$$

rather than either of

$$\int_X f \, d\mu, \quad \int_X f(x) \, d\mu(x)$$

for the integral of  $f$  on the measure space  $X$  with respect to the measure  $\mu$ .

## 6. Convergence theorems: Fatou, Lebesgue monotone, Lebesgue dominated

Easy, natural examples show that *pointwise* limits  $f = \lim_n f_n$  of measurable functions  $f_n$ , while still measurable, need *not* satisfy  $\int f = \lim \int f_n$ . That is, this failure is not a pathology, but, rather, is completely reasonable. Hence additional conditions are essential to know that the integral of a pointwise limit is the limit of the integrals.

First, a relatively simple initial step:

**[6.1] Theorem:** (*Fatou’s lemma*) For Borel-measurable  $f_n$  with values in  $[0, +\infty]$ , the pointwise  $f(x) = \liminf_n f_n(x)$  is Borel-measurable, and

$$\int \liminf_n f_n(x) \, dx \leq \liminf_n \int f_n$$

*Proof:* [... iou ...]

///

**[6.2] Theorem:** (*Lebesgue: monotone convergence*) Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots$  be a sequence of non-negative real-valued Lebesgue-measurable functions on  $[a, b]$ , with  $f_1(x) \leq f_2(x) \leq \dots$  for all  $x$ . Then  $\int_a^b \lim_n f_n(x) \, dx = \lim_n \int_a^b f_n(x) \, dx$ . This includes the possibility that some of the limits of the pointwise values are  $+\infty$ , and that the integral of the limit is  $+\infty$ .

*Proof:* [... iou ...]

///

**[6.3] Theorem:** (*Lebesgue: dominated convergence*) Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots$  be a sequence of complex-valued Lebesgue-measurable functions on  $[a, b]$ , with  $|f_n(x)| \leq g(x)$  for all  $x$ , for some measurable  $g$  with  $\int_a^b g(x) \, dx < +\infty$ . Then  $\int_a^b \lim_n f_n(x) \, dx = \lim_n \int_a^b f_n(x) \, dx$ .

*Proof:* [... iou ...]

///

## 7. Completions of measures

Let  $X, \mu$  and  $Y, \nu$  be measure spaces with corresponding  $\sigma$ -algebras  $A, B$ . Assume  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $\sigma$ -finite, in the sense that they are countable unions of finite-measure sets.

First, the *product*  $\sigma$ -algebra is the  $\sigma$ -algebra in  $X \times Y$  generated by all products  $E \times F$  with  $E \in A$  and  $F \in B$ .

For *iterated integrals* to make sense, we need to check a few things. For  $E \in A \times B$ , for  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ , let

$$E_x = \{y \in Y : (x, y) \in E\} \quad \text{and} \quad E^y = \{x \in X : (x, y) \in E\}$$

As a consistency check, we have

**[7.1] Theorem:** For  $E \in A \times B$ , for  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ ,  $E_x \in A$  and  $E^y \in B$ . The function  $x \rightarrow \nu(E_x)$  is  $\mu$ -measurable,  $y \rightarrow \mu(E^y)$  is  $\nu$ -measurable, and

$$\int_X \nu(E_x) d\mu(x) = \int_Y \mu(E^y) d\nu(y)$$

*Proof:* [... iou ...]

///

Then the *product measure*  $\mu \times \nu$  can be defined in the expected fashion: for  $E \in A \times B$ ,

$$(\mu \times \nu)(E) = \int_X \nu(E_x) d\mu(x) = \int_Y \mu(E^y) d\nu(y)$$

## 8. Fubini-Tonelli theorem(s)

Let  $X, \mu$  and  $Y, \nu$  be measure spaces with corresponding  $\sigma$ -algebras  $A, B$ . Assume  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $\sigma$ -finite.

**[8.1] Theorem:** (*Fubini-Tonelli*) For complex-valued measurable  $f, g$ , if any one of

$$\int_X \int_Y |f(x, y)| d\mu(x) d\nu(y) \quad \int_Y \int_X |f(x, y)| d\nu(y) d\mu(x) \quad \int_{X \times Y} |f(x, y)| d\mu \times \nu$$

is finite, then they *all* are finite, and are equal. For  $[0, +\infty]$ -valued functions  $f$ ,

$$\int_X \int_Y f(x, y) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) = \int_Y \int_X f(x, y) d\nu(y) d\mu(x) = \int_{X \times Y} f(x, y) d\mu \times \nu$$

although the values may be  $+\infty$ .

*Proof:* [... iou ...]

///

For proof of the theorem, we need the notion of *monotone class*. A monotone class in a set  $X$  is a set  $\mathcal{M}$  of subsets of  $X$  closed under countable ascending unions and under countable descending intersections. That is, if

$$M_1 \subset M_2 \subset M_3 \subset \dots$$

$$N_1 \supset N_2 \supset N_3 \supset \dots$$

are collections of sets in  $\mathcal{M}$ , then

$$\bigcup_i M_i \quad \bigcap_i N_i$$

both lie in  $\mathcal{M}$ , as well. Another characterization of  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$  is that it is the smallest monotone class containing all products  $E \times F$  with  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $F \in \mathcal{B}$ .

Let  $f$  be a  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ -measurable function on  $X \times Y$ . (Note that this does not depend upon having a ‘product measure’, but only upon the sigma-algebra!) Then all the functions

$$x \rightarrow f(x, y) \quad (\text{for fixed } y \in Y)$$

$$y \rightarrow f(x, y) \quad (\text{for fixed } x \in X)$$

are measurable (in appropriate senses). In particular, we could apply this to the *characteristic function* of a set  $G \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ .

Now we come to the point where the sigma-finiteness of  $X$  and  $Y$  is necessary. For  $G \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ , let

$$f(x) = \nu(G_x) \quad g(y) = \mu(G_y)$$

where  $G_x, G_y$  are as above. We have already noted that  $f, g$  are *measurable*. Further,

$$\int_X f(x) d\mu(x) = \int_Y g(y) d\nu(y)$$

This is proven by showing that the collection of  $G$  for which the conclusion is true is a *monotone class* containing all products  $E \times F$ .

In light of the latter equality, we can define the *product measure*  $\mu \times \nu$  on  $G \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$  by

$$(\mu \times \nu)(G) = \int_X f(x) d\mu(x) = \int_Y g(y) d\nu(y)$$

with notation as just above. The *countable additivity* follows from a preliminary version of Fubini’s theorem, namely that if  $f_i$  are countably-many  $[0, +\infty]$ -valued functions on a measure space  $\Omega$ , then

$$\int_\Omega \sum_i f_i = \sum_i \int_\Omega f_i$$

which itself is a little corollary of the monotone convergence theorem.

## 9. Completions of measures

For example, a reasonable measure on  $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$  should include many sets not expressible as countable unions of products  $E \times F$  where  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . For example, diagonal subsets of the form  $D = \{(x, x) : 0 \leq x \leq 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  are not countable unions of products, but should surely be measurable.

One way to accomplish this is by *completion* of the product measure.

Then the *completion* of  $\mu \times \nu$  further assigns measure 0 to *any* subset  $S$  of  $T \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$  with  $(\mu \times \nu)(T) = 0$ , and adjoins all such sets to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ .

**[9.1] Claim:** Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$  is the completion of the product of Lebesgue measures on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof:* [... iou ...]

///



Completing a product measure is usually what we want, but it slightly complicates the statement of the corresponding Fubini-Tonelli theorem:

[9.2] **Theorem:** Let  $X, A, \mu$  and  $Y, B, \nu$  be *complete* measure spaces, with  $X, Y$   $\sigma$ -finite. Let  $f$  be a function on  $X \times Y$  measurable with respect to the *completion* of the product measure. Then  $x \rightarrow f(x, y)$  and  $y \rightarrow f(x, y)$  are  $\mu$ -measurable and  $\nu$ -measurable (only) *almost everywhere*.

*Proof:* [... iou ...] ///

[9.3] **Remark:** To be precise, *completeness* is a property of  $\sigma$ -algebras, not of measures.

---

## 10. Comparison to continuous functions: *Lusin's theorem*

One aspect of the following theorem is that we have not inadvertently needlessly included functions wildly unrelated to continuous functions:

[10.1] **Theorem:** (*Lusin*) Continuous functions approximate Borel-measurable functions well: given Borel-measurable real-valued or complex-valued  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for every Borel subset  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$  of finite Lebesgue measure, there is a relative closed  $E \subset \Omega$  such that  $\mu(\Omega - E) < \varepsilon$ , and  $f|_E$  is *continuous*.

*Proof:* [... iou ...] ///

Not much better can be done than Lusin's theorem says: for example, continuous approximations to the Heaviside step function

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x < 0 \\ 1 & \text{for } x \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

have to go from 0 to 1 *somewhere*, by the Intermediate Value Theorem, so will be in  $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4})$  on an open set of strictly positive measure.

[10.2] **Remark:** It turns out that the everyday use of measure theory, measurable functions, and so on, does *not* proceed by way of Lusin's theorem or similar direct connections with continuous functions, but, rather, by direct interaction with the more general ideas.

---

## 11. Comparison to uniform pointwise convergence: *Severini-Egoroff*

[11.1] **Theorem:** (*Severini, Egoroff*) Pointwise convergence of sequences of Borel-measurable functions is approximately *uniform* convergence: given a almost-everywhere pointwise-convergent sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of Borel-measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for every Borel subset  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$  of finite Lebesgue measure, there is a Borel subset  $E \subset \Omega$  such that  $\{f_n\}$  converges *uniformly* pointwise on  $E$ .

*Proof:* [... iou ...] ///

[11.2] **Remark:** Despite the connection that the Severini-Egoroff theorem makes between pointwise and *uniform* pointwise convergence, this idea turns out *not* to be the way to understand convergence of measurable functions. Instead, the game becomes ascertaining additional conditions that guarantee convergence of integrals, as earlier.

## 12. Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym theorem

Let  $\mu, \nu$  be two positive measures on a common sigma algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  on a set  $X$ . Say that  $\nu$  is *absolutely continuous* with respect to  $\mu$  if  $\mu(E) = 0$  implies  $\nu(E) = 0$  for all measurable sets  $E$ . This is often written  $\nu \ll \mu$ . The measure  $\mu$  is *supported on* or *concentrated on* a subset  $X_o$  of  $X$  if, for all measurable  $E$ ,

$$\mu(E) = \mu(E \cap X_o)$$

The two measures  $\mu, \nu$  are *mutually singular* if  $\mu$  is supported on  $X_1$  and  $\nu$  is supported on  $X_2$  and  $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$ . This is often written  $\mu \perp \nu$ .

[12.1] **Theorem:** Theorem. Let  $\mu, \nu$  be positive measures on a common sigma-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  on a set  $X$ . There is a unique pair of positive measures  $\nu_a$  and  $\nu_s$  so that

$$\nu_a \ll \mu \quad \nu_s \perp \mu$$

Further, there is  $\varphi \in L^1(X, \mu)$  so that for any measurable set  $E$

$$\nu_a(E) = \int_X \varphi d\mu$$

The function  $\varphi$  is the *Radon-Nikodym derivative* of  $\nu_a$  with respect to  $\mu$ , and is often written as

$$\varphi = \frac{d\nu_a}{d\mu}$$

The pair  $(\nu_a, \nu_s)$  is the *Lebesgue decomposition* of  $\nu$  with respect to  $\mu$ .

---