

(March 1, 2005)

Artin L-functions

Paul Garrett garrett@math.umn.edu <http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/>

Let \mathfrak{o} be the ring of algebraic integers in a number field k , \mathbf{O} the ring of integers in a finite Galois extension K of k , with Galois group G . For a prime P in \mathbf{O} lying over a prime p in \mathfrak{o} , the **decomposition (sub-)group** $G_P \subset G$ is the subgroup stabilizing (*not necessarily pointwise fixing*) P .

The fixed field $L = K^{G_P}$ of G_P has the property that it is the largest subfield of K (containing k) such that P is the only prime of \mathbf{O} lying over $Q = P \cap L$. The residue fields are related by $\mathfrak{o}/p = \mathbf{O}'/Q$, where \mathbf{O}' is the ring of algebraic integers in L .

Then G_P acts on the residue field \mathbf{O}/P , and in fact *surjects* to the Galois group of \mathbf{O}/P over \mathfrak{o}/p . The kernel I_P is called the **inertia** subgroup, which is trivial if P is unramified over p , so the inertia subgroup is trivial for *almost all* p .

Let \mathfrak{o}/p have q elements. Then the Galois group of \mathbf{O}/P over \mathfrak{o}/p is generated by the Frobenius automorphism $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha^q$. Let Φ_P be the inverse image of $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha^q$ in the decomposition group G_P . There are other notations as well, such as $\Phi_P = (P, K/k)$.

For P *ramified* over p , we only have an I_P -*coset* rather than an *element*, and more complicated considerations are necessary. We won't worry about this, since at worst only finitely many primes are ramified.

Since the Galois group of K/k is *transitive* on primes P lying over p , all the Frobenius elements Φ_P for P over p are *conjugate*. Thus, attached to the prime p *downstairs* is a *conjugacy class* of Frobenius elements in $\text{Gal}(K/k)$.

When the Galois group is *abelian*, the conjugacy class of Frobenius elements Φ_P for primes P over p necessarily consists of a single element, called the **Artin** symbol.

We will associate to a finite-dimensional representation ρ of $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ a Dirichlet series with Euler product, the **Artin L-function**, as follows. To conform with standard usage, now use v to denote a (finite) place of \mathfrak{o} , p_v the associated prime ideal in \mathfrak{o} , q_v the residue field cardinality \mathfrak{o}/p_v , and Φ_v the conjugacy class of Frobenius elements attached to p_v , for v unramified in the extension K/k . Let S be the finite set of (finite) places ramified in K/k . Define the Artin L-function

$$L(s, \rho) = \prod_{v \notin S} \frac{1}{\det(1_\rho - q_v^{-s} \Phi_v)}$$

The indicated determinant is indeed well-defined since it does only depend upon the conjugacy class.

Artin conjectured in the 1930's that for ρ irreducible and not the trivial representation the L-function is *entire*.

For abelian $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ **classfield theory** proves that these L-functions are among the L-functions attached to Hecke characters, and Hecke (and Iwasawa and Tate) proved that Hecke L-functions have analytic continuations, proving Artin's conjecture in this case. In the abelian Galois group case Artin L-functions are called **abelian L-functions**.

For non-abelian $\text{Gal}(K/k)$, R. Brauer proved that there is a *meromorphic* continuation by showing that these L-functions are quotients of products of *abelian* L-functions attached to intermediate fields, by proving that all irreducibles ρ of the Galois group can be expressed as \mathbf{Z} -linear combinations of induced representations of one-dimensional representations on subgroups. This does *not* prove the entire-ness, however.

In the 1960's R. Langlands offered a new viewpoint on Artin's conjecture, namely that for an n -dimensional irreducible ρ the Artin L-function should be equal to an L-function associated to a *cusppform* (or cuspidal *automorphic representation*) on $GL(n)$, whose analytic continuation had been proven just about then, by Jacquet-Piatetski-Shapiro-Shalika, and also by Jacquet-Godement. That is, Langlands changed the issue to assertion that an L-function coming from Galois theory (the Artin L-function) should be equal to an analytically defined L-function (the automorphic one).

Except for the abelian case and two-dimensional examples, very little has been proven.