

(March 1, 2005)

# Artin L-functions

Paul Garrett garrett@math.umn.edu http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/

Let  $\mathfrak{o}$  be the ring of algebraic integers in a number field  $k$ ,  $\mathbf{O}$  the ring of integers in a finite Galois extension  $K$  of  $k$ , with Galois group  $G$ . For a prime  $P$  in  $\mathbf{O}$  lying over a prime  $p$  in  $\mathfrak{o}$ , the **decomposition (sub-)group**  $G_P \subset G$  is the subgroup stabilizing (*not necessarily pointwise fixing*)  $P$ .

The fixed field  $L = K^{G_P}$  of  $G_P$  has the property that it is the largest subfield of  $K$  (containing  $k$ ) such that  $P$  is the only prime of  $\mathbf{O}$  lying over  $Q = P \cap L$ . The residue fields are related by  $\mathfrak{o}/p = \mathbf{O}'/Q$ , where  $\mathbf{O}'$  is the ring of algebraic integers in  $L$ .

Then  $G_P$  acts on the residue field  $\mathbf{O}/P$ , and in fact *surjects* to the Galois group of  $\mathbf{O}/P$  over  $\mathfrak{o}/p$ . The kernel  $I_P$  is called the **inertia** subgroup, which is trivial if  $P$  is unramified over  $p$ , so the inertia subgroup is trivial for *almost all*  $p$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{o}/p$  have  $q$  elements. Then the Galois group of  $\mathbf{O}/P$  over  $\mathfrak{o}/p$  is generated by the Frobenius automorphism  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha^q$ . Let  $\Phi_P$  be the inverse image of  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha^q$  in the decomposition group  $G_P$ . There are other notations as well, such as  $\Phi_P = (P, K/k)$ .

For  $P$  *ramified* over  $p$ , we only have an  $I_P$ -*coset* rather than an *element*, and more complicated considerations are necessary. We won't worry about this, since at worst only finitely many primes are ramified.

Since the Galois group of  $K/k$  is *transitive* on primes  $P$  lying over  $p$ , all the Frobenius elements  $\Phi_P$  for  $P$  over  $p$  are *conjugate*. Thus, attached to the prime  $p$  *downstairs* is a *conjugacy class* of Frobenius elements in  $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ .

When the Galois group is *abelian*, the conjugacy class of Frobenius elements  $\Phi_P$  for primes  $P$  over  $p$  necessarily consists of a single element, called the **Artin** symbol.

We will associate to a finite-dimensional representation  $\rho$  of  $\text{Gal}(K/k)$  a Dirichlet series with Euler product, the **Artin L-function**, as follows. To conform with standard usage, now use  $v$  to denote a (finite) place of  $\mathfrak{o}$ ,  $p_v$  the associated prime ideal in  $\mathfrak{o}$ ,  $q_v$  the residue field cardinality  $\mathfrak{o}/p_v$ , and  $\Phi_v$  the conjugacy class of Frobenius elements attached to  $p_v$ , for  $v$  unramified in the extension  $K/k$ . Let  $S$  be the finite set of (finite) places ramified in  $K/k$ . Define the Artin L-function

$$L(s, \rho) = \prod_{v \notin S} \frac{1}{\det(1_\rho - q_v^{-s} \Phi_v)}$$

The indicated determinant is indeed well-defined since it does only depend upon the conjugacy class.

Artin conjectured in the 1930's that for  $\rho$  irreducible and not the trivial representation the L-function is *entire*.

For abelian  $\text{Gal}(K/k)$  **classfield theory** proves that these L-functions are among the L-functions attached to Hecke characters, and Hecke (and Iwasawa and Tate) proved that Hecke L-functions have analytic continuations, proving Artin's conjecture in this case. In the abelian Galois group case Artin L-functions are called **abelian L-functions**.

For non-abelian  $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ , R. Brauer proved that there is a *meromorphic* continuation by showing that these L-functions are quotients of products of *abelian* L-functions attached to intermediate fields, by proving that all irreducibles  $\rho$  of the Galois group can be expressed as  $\mathbf{Z}$ -linear combinations of induced representations of one-dimensional representations on subgroups. This does *not* prove the entire-ness, however.

In the 1960's R. Langlands offered a new viewpoint on Artin's conjecture, namely that for an  $n$ -dimensional irreducible  $\rho$  the Artin L-function should be equal to an L-function associated to a *cusppform* (or cuspidal *automorphic representation*) on  $GL(n)$ , whose analytic continuation had been proven just about then, by Jacquet-Piatetski-Shapiro-Shalika, and also by Jacquet-Godement. That is, Langlands changed the issue to assertion that an L-function coming from Galois theory (the Artin L-function) should be equal to an analytically defined L-function (the automorphic one).

Except for the abelian case and two-dimensional examples, very little has been proven.