

(October 16, 2009)

Characterization of differential operators

Paul Garrett garrett@math.umn.edu <http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/>

Differential operators obviously do not increase support when applied to test functions. The converse is certainly not clear. [Peetre 1959,60] proved this, incorporating corrections from L. Carleson. We follow [Halgason 1984] pp 236-238, who adapts the argument from [Narasimhan 1968].

[0.0.1] Theorem: Let V be a smooth manifold. A not-necessarily-continuous linear map $D : C_c^\infty(V) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(V)$ that *does not increase supports* is a differential operator with smooth coefficients.

Proof: First, claim that the non-increase of support property implies that, for a test function f and a point x , for any test function φ identically 1 on a neighborhood of x , suitable truncation does not affect D , in the sense that

$$(Df)(x) = (D(\varphi f))(x)$$

Indeed, $f = \varphi f + (1 - \varphi)f$, and D is linear, so

$$Df = D(\varphi f) + D((1 - \varphi)f)$$

The non-increase of support implies that $D((1 - \varphi)f)(x) = 0$, yielding the claim.

This truncation property immediately allows us to consider the corresponding local problem, of operators on open subsets of Euclidean spaces, without loss of generality.

Next, the non-increase of support allows an extension of D to *all* smooth functions on V by using cut-off functions: given smooth f and a point x , let φ be a test function identically 1 on a neighborhood of x , and define $Df(x) = D(\varphi f)(x)$. The latter is well-defined by the previous claim.

Let $|f|_{U,m}$ be the sup on U of sups of the derivatives of f of orders $\leq m$.

Next, claim that for f smooth on U with derivatives of order $\leq m$ vanishing at 0, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a smooth function g vanishing identically in a neighborhood of 0, coinciding exactly with f *outside* a larger neighborhood of 0, such that $|f - g|_{U,m} < \varepsilon$. Let φ be a smooth function identically 0 on $|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, identically 1 for $|x| \geq 1$, and $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ everywhere. Then consider the family of modifications of f given by

$$g_\delta(x) = \varphi(x/\delta) \cdot f(x) \quad (\text{for } \delta > 0 \text{ small})$$

Each g_δ agrees with f outside the δ -ball B_δ at 0. It would suffice to prove

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} |f - g_\delta|_{B_\delta, m} = 0$$

Since f vanishes to order m at 0,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} |f|_{B_\delta, m} = 0$$

so we must prove that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} |g_\delta|_{B_\delta, m} = 0$$

For multi-index α , apply Leibniz' rule to the α^{th} derivative of g_δ :

$$g_\delta^{(\alpha)}(x) = \sum_{\beta + \gamma = \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \delta^{-|\alpha|} \varphi^{(\beta)}(x/\delta) f^{(\gamma)}(x)$$

Thus,

$$|g_\delta^{(\alpha)}(x)| \ll \sum_{\beta + \gamma = \alpha} \delta^{-|\beta|} |f^{(\gamma)}(x)| \quad (\text{with } x \in B_\delta)$$

with implied constant independent of f and δ . The derivative $f^{(\gamma)}$ vanishes to order $m - |\gamma|$ at 0, so, from the Taylor expansion of f at 0,

$$\sup_{B_\delta} |f^{(\gamma)}| = o(\delta^{m-|\gamma|})$$

Thus,

$$\sup_{B_\delta} |g_\delta^{(\alpha)}(x)| = o\left(\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \delta^{m-|\beta|-|\gamma|}\right) = o(\delta^{m-|\alpha|})$$

Thus, as claimed, $|f - g_\delta|_{B_\delta, m} \rightarrow 0$.

Next, claim a somewhat weaker *continuity* assertion than the theorem, namely, that for every point x_o there is a sufficiently small neighborhood U of x_o , integer m , such that

$$|Df|_{U,0} \ll |f|_{U,m} \quad (\text{for } f \in C_c^\infty(U - \{x_o\}))$$

with the implied constant independent of f . This follows by a diagonal argument: if this failed at some x_o , then for given compact-closure neighborhood U_0 of x_o there is $f_1 \in C_c^\infty(U_0 - \{x_o\})$ such that

$$|Df_1|_0 \geq 2^2 \cdot |f_1|_1$$

Let U_1 be the zero-set of f_1 , so $U_0 - \bar{U}_1$ is a neighborhood of x_o , and there is $f_2 \in C_c^\infty(U_0 - \bar{U}_1 - \{x_o\})$ such that

$$|Df_2|_0 \geq 2^4 \cdot |f_2|_2$$

By induction, obtain open sets U_i with $\bar{U}_i \cap \bar{U}_j = \emptyset$ for $i, j \geq 1$, and test functions

$$f_i \in C_c^\infty(U_0 - \bar{U}_1 - \dots - \bar{U}_{i-1} - \{x_o\})$$

with

$$|Df_i|_0 \geq 2^{2i} \cdot |f_i|_i$$

Then the sum

$$\sum_i \frac{f_i}{2^i \cdot |f_i|_i}$$

converges and gives a test function, equal to the i^{th} summand $f_i/(2^i \cdot |f_i|_i)$ on U_i . The linearity and non-increase of support of D imply that

$$Df|_{U_i} = \frac{1}{2^i \cdot |f_i|_i} \cdot Df_i|_{U_i}$$

Thus, there exists $x_i \in U_i$ such that $Df(x_i) > 2^i$. But f is continuous and compactly supported, so this is impossible, proving the claim.

Next, thinking in terms of that last weak continuity, we prove a *local* result: for a neighborhood U of a point x , under the continuity hypothesis

$$|Df|_{U,0} \ll |f|_{U,m}$$

on a sufficiently small neighborhood of x , D is a differential operator with smooth coefficients. For the proof of this, for each $x \in U$ and multi-index α , let

$$P_{x,\alpha}(y) = (x - y)^\alpha = (x_1 - y_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (x_n - y_n)^{\alpha_n}$$

For $f \in C_c^\infty(U)$ and fixed $x \in U$, consider a subsum of the Taylor expansion of f near x ,

$$F = f - \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \frac{1}{\alpha!} f^{(\alpha)}(x) \cdot P_{\alpha,x}$$

This F vanishes to order m at x . As shown above, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a test function Φ_ε vanishing identically in a neighborhood of x (depending upon ε), agreeing identically with F outside a larger neighborhood of x (depending on ε), and with $|F - \Phi_\varepsilon|_m \leq \varepsilon$. The continuity assumption gives $|D(F - \Phi_\varepsilon)|_0 \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The non-increase of support implies that each $D\Phi_\varepsilon$ vanishes identically near x . Thus, $|DF(x)| < \varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$, so $DF(x) = 0$. Thus, for each $x \in U$,

$$Df(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \frac{1}{\alpha!} f^{(\alpha)}(x) \cdot DP_{\alpha,x}(x)$$

To understand $b_\alpha(x) = DP_{\alpha,x}(x)$, observe that it is a sum of terms $P_\beta(x) y^\beta$ with P_β a polynomial. By linearity of D ,

$$D\left(\sum_{\beta} P_\beta(x) \cdot y^\beta\right) = \sum_{\beta} P_\beta(x) \cdot D(y^\beta)$$

By hypothesis $D(y^\beta)$ is a test function, so the diagonal

$$DP_{x,\alpha}(x) = \sum_{\beta} P_\beta(x) \cdot D(x^\beta)$$

is a finite sum of polynomial multiples of test functions, and is a test function itself. Thus, the expression for $Df(x)$ exhibits it as a differential operator with smooth coefficients on U .

Finally, we reduce the general question of expressibility of D to the local one, essentially by a partition of unity argument. At each $x \in V$, let U_x be a small-enough neighborhood of x , m_x an integer, so that we have a continuity bound

$$|Df|_{U_x,0} \ll |f|_{U_x,m_x} \quad (\text{for } f \in C_c^\infty(U_x - \{x\}))$$

with implied constant independent of f . For an open $U \subset V$ with compact closure $\bar{U} \subset V$, take a finite subcover U_{x_1}, \dots, U_{x_n} of the opens U_x . Let $\{\varphi_j\}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to the cover U_{x_1}, \dots, U_{x_n} and $V - \bar{U}$ of V . For f a test function on the set

$$U' = U - \{x_1\} - \dots - \{x_n\}$$

certainly

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \varphi_j \cdot f = \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi_j \cdot f$$

and each $\varphi_j f$ satisfies a corresponding continuity bound. Expanding the derivatives of $\varphi_j f$ by Leibniz, we find that f itself satisfies such a continuity bound on U_{x_j} , and, therefore, satisfies a uniform continuity bound throughout U' . Thus, on U' , D is a differential operator with smooth coefficients

$$Df(x) = \sum_j a_j(x) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^\alpha f(x) \quad (\text{for } x \in U', f \in C_c^\infty(U'))$$

In fact, the non-increase of support property allows us to extend the validity of this to $f \in C_c^\infty(U)$, at least for $x \in U'$: take $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(U')$ identically 1 near x and identically 0 near every x_i . Then $\varphi f \in C_c^\infty(U')$, and the property $D(\varphi f)(x) = Df(x)$ observed earlier gives

$$Df(x) = \sum_j a_j(x) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^\alpha f(x) \quad (\text{for } x \in U', f \in C_c^\infty(U))$$

Finally, because both sides of the last equation are continuous in x , this equality holds not merely for $x \in U'$, but for $x \in U$. This holds for every $\bar{U} \subset V$, so is valid on V . ///

[Helgason 1984] S. Helgason, *Groups and geometric analysis*, Academic Press, 1984.

[Narasimhan 1968] R. Narasimhan, *Analysis on real and complex manifolds*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.

[Peetre 1959,1960] J. Peetre, *Une caractérisation abstraite des opérateurs différentiels*, Math. Scand. **7** (1959), 211-218; *Rectification*, *ibid* **8** (1960), 116-120.