

Lecture 1 (20 Jan 2016)

These notes will be a kind of loose/informal diary of what was discussed in the lecture. They are not a textbook, nor will they strive for "completeness".

Analytic Number Theory = that part of number theory wherein results are obtained by use of functions and appropriate analytic techniques.

Traditionally, the "analytic techniques" have come from complex analysis (i.e., the theory of analytic functions). But, this focus has gradually been widened.

Multiplicative A.N.T. (loosely speaking) deals with aspects/functions which are intimately tied to prime numbers and the unique factorization property of the positive integers.

②

The first 2 lectures will use elementary analysis combined with arithmetic to get some nontrivial information about primes.

I assume the unique factorization thm as being known: i.e.,

$$n = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_r^{e_r},$$

uniquely with primes $p_1 < \cdots < p_r$ and $e_j \geq 1$.

Theorem 1 (Euclid)

The number of primes is infinite.

PF

Assume not. Let the full list be $p_1 < \cdots < p_m$.
Form $N = p_1 \cdots p_m + 1 = \text{integer}$. Factor N .
Therefore, some p_ℓ divides N . But,

$$\frac{N}{p_\ell} = p_1 \cdots \overset{1}{p_\ell} \cdots p_m + \frac{1}{p_\ell}.$$

1 means omit

This is not an integer (since $p_1 = 2$).

Contrad! 

Def:

$$\pi(x) = N[p : p \leq x] \quad \text{for } x > 0.$$

By thm 1, $\pi(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

The idea of the proof of thm 1 can be used to prove $\pi(x) \approx \text{li} \ln x$. See FOR $x \geq a$ Hardy-Wright, thm 10. This is not very interesting.

Notation:

$$f(t) = O[g(t)] \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

means $|f(t)| \leq M|g(t)|$ for $t \geq t_0$, some large t_0 . But:

$$f(t) = O[g(t)] \quad \text{for } t \geq Q$$

means $|f(t)| \leq M|g(t)|$ for all $t \geq Q$.

In most situations, one can "get" the 2nd version merely by inflating M appropriately. This is why I wrote M .

Def:

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \ln p, \quad \psi(x) = \sum_{p^m \leq x} \ln p \quad (x > 0).$$

Empty sums are defined to be 0; hence
 $\theta(x) = \psi(x) = 0$ for $x < 2$.

Notation used by Chebyshev!

Obviously

$$\psi(x) = \theta(x) + \theta(x^{1/2}) + \theta(x^{1/3}) + \dots,$$

where eventually $\theta(x^{1/N}) = 0$.

Thm 2

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \left[\frac{\ln x}{\ln p} \right] \ln p \quad (x \geq 2).$$

For $x < 2$, both sides are 0.

Proof

Choose any prime $p \leq x$. We seek its total contribution to the def. of $\psi(x)$. It will contribute a $\ln p$ so long as $p^m \leq x$, i.e. $m \leq \frac{\ln x}{\ln p}$, i.e. $m \leq \left[\frac{\ln x}{\ln p} \right]$. Thus,

we collectively get $\left[\frac{\ln x}{\ln 2} \right] \ln p$. Now, just add over all relevant p . (5)

Thm 3

(a) $\psi(x) = \theta(x) + O\left[x^{\frac{1}{2}} (\ln x)^2\right]$, $x \geq 2$;

(b) we get $\psi(x) = \theta(x) + O(x^{1/2})$ if we can somehow prove that $\theta(y) = O(y)$ for all $y \geq 1$.

Pf

Need to estimate $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \theta(x^{1/n})$. But $\theta(x^{1/n}) = 0$ once $x^{1/n} < 2$, i.e. $n > \frac{\ln x}{\ln 2}$. Let:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \theta(x^{1/n}) &= \sum_{n=2}^L \theta(x^{1/n}), \quad L = \left[\frac{\ln x}{\ln 2} \right] + 10 \\ &\leq (L-1) \theta(x^{1/2}) \leq L \sum_{p \leq x^{1/2}} \ln p \\ &\leq L \ln x \sum_{p \leq x^{1/2}} \frac{1}{p} \leq L \ln x \sum_{n \leq x^{1/2}} \frac{1}{n} \\ &= O\left[x^{\frac{1}{2}} (\ln x)^2\right] \quad \{\text{very crudely}\}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (a). For (b), we need to look

at $\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \theta(x^{1/n}) = \sum_{n=3}^L \theta(x^{1/n})$.

A trivial modification shows that this sum is $O[x^{\frac{1}{3}}(lux)^2]$. Hence, ⑥

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x) &= \theta(x) + \theta(x^{1/2}) + O[x^{\frac{1}{3}}(lux)^2] \\ &= \theta(x) + O(x^{1/2})\end{aligned}$$

by the hypothesis about $\theta(y)$. \square

Thm 4 (Legendre - early 1800s)

Given $m \geq 2$. We then have

$$m! = \prod_p E_p, \quad E_p = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{m}{p^j} \right\rfloor.$$

Proof

We use "baby set theory" (i.e., classes).

Write $m! = \prod_{k=1}^m k$. The only primes that "go into" k are clearly $\leq m$.

With this being the case, temporarily fix any prime $p \leq m$. Choose L so that $p^L \leq m < p^{L+1}$.

Say that $k \in [1, m]$ is of "type j " when

$$k = p^j (\text{integer relatively prime to } p).$$

Here $j \geq 0$. THINK UNIQUE FACTORIZATION THM.

Let $v_j = \text{card} \{k \in [1, m] : k \text{ is of type } j\}$.

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
v_0 + v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_L &= m && \text{type } 0, \dots, L \\
v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_L &= \left\lfloor \frac{m}{p} \right\rfloor && 1, \dots, L \\
v_2 + \dots + v_L &= \left\lfloor \frac{m}{p^2} \right\rfloor && 2, \dots, L \\
&\vdots && \\
v_L &= \left\lfloor \frac{m}{p^L} \right\rfloor && L
\end{aligned}$$

Delete the first row and ^(then) add! Get:

$$v_1 + 2v_2 + 3v_3 + \dots + Lv_L = \sum_{j=1}^L \left\lfloor \frac{m}{p^j} \right\rfloor.$$

But, by construction, we clearly have

$$E_p = v_1 + 2v_2 + \dots + Lv_L.$$

(Just think about this a second!)

Now, just slap together all the p^{E_p} . ▣

clearly any primes $> m$
 get $E_p = 0$, exactly as
 they should

Chebyshev became interested in quotients of various factorials which turn out to be integers.

EG, the binomial coefficient $\binom{2n}{n} = \frac{(2n)!}{n!n!}$.

He sought to use standard Stirling-type estimates to get information about the number of primes in certain intervals.

Clearly, then ψ will be vital here. ↖ p. 6

We note:

BABY LEMMA

Let x be a positive rational (say, $\frac{m}{n}$ in lowest terms). The relation

$$x = p_1^{A_1} \dots p_r^{A_r} = p_1^{B_1} \dots p_r^{B_r} \quad (p_1 < \dots < p_r)$$

holds with $A_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $B_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ only if $A_j = B_j$.

If $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ (i.e., $n=1$), each A_j is necessarily ≥ 0 .

(9)

Pf

Let G be giant. Notice that

$$(p_1 \cdots p_r)^G p_1^{A_1} \cdots p_r^{A_r} = (p_1 \cdots p_r)^G p_1^{B_1} \cdots p_r^{B_r} = \text{integer}.$$

By unique factorization thm, $G + A_j = G + B_j$. \square

The Baby Lemma says that unique factorization extends to \mathbb{Q}^+ in an obvious way.

It also implies that the numbers $\ln p_j$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} .

Thm 5 (elementary integral calculus)

$$m \geq 2 \Rightarrow$$

$$\ln(m!) = m \ln m - m + O(\ln m).$$

Pf

Suppose $y = f(x)$ is continuous, non-neg, and increasing on $1 \leq x \leq N+1$. By drawing a picture, clearly

$$f(1) + \cdots + f(N) \leq \int_1^{N+1} f(t) dt \leq f(2) + \cdots + f(N+1)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq \int_1^{N+1} f(t) dt - \sum_{j=1}^N f(j) \leq f(N+1) - f(1).$$

Simply put $f(t) = \ln t$ to get:

$$0 \leq \int_1^{N+1} \ln t dt - \sum_{j=1}^N \ln j \leq \ln(N+1)$$

$$\left\{ \text{but } \int_1^x \ln t dt = x \ln x - x + 1, \quad x \geq 1 \right\}$$

$$\Downarrow$$
$$0 \leq (N+1) \ln(N+1) - N - \ln(N!) \leq \ln(N+1)$$

$$\Downarrow$$
$$(N+1) \ln(N+1) - N - \ln(N!) = \omega \ln(N+1)$$

for some $\omega \in [0, 1]$

$$\Downarrow$$
$$\begin{aligned} \ln(N!) &= (N+1) \ln(N+1) - N - \omega \ln(N+1) \\ &= N \ln(N+1) + (1-\omega) \ln(N+1) - N \\ &= N \left[\ln N + \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{N}\right) \right] + O(\ln N) - N \\ &= N \ln N + O(1) + O(\ln N) - N \\ &= N \ln N - N + O(\ln N) \end{aligned}$$



Let

$$L(x) = \sum_{k \leq x} \ln k \quad \text{for } x > 0.$$

For $x < 2$, $L(x) = 0$. For $x \geq 2$, $L(x) = \ln([x]!)$.

Thm 6

$$L(x) = x \ln x - x + O(\ln x) \quad \text{for } x \geq 2.$$

Pf

By inflating the constant, WLOG $x \geq 100$.

Let $M \leq x < M+1$. By Thm 5,

$$L(x) = L(M) = M \ln M - M + O(\ln M).$$

The derivative of $t \ln t - t$ is $\ln t$. Hence $x \ln x - x$ differs from $M \ln M - M$ by at most $\ln(M+1)$. As such,

$$\begin{aligned} L(x) &= x \ln x - x + O(\ln(M+1)) + O(\ln M) \\ &= x \ln x - x + O(\ln x). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Thm 7

Define (following von Mangoldt) :

$$\Lambda(n) = \begin{cases} \ln p, & n = p^j \ (j \geq 1) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \cdot$$

Here $n \geq 1$. We have:

$$(a) \quad \psi(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \quad ;$$

$$(b) \quad L(x) = \sum_{k \leq x} \left[\frac{x}{k} \right] \Lambda(k) \quad ;$$

← for $\log \lfloor x \rfloor!$

$$(c) \quad L(x) = \psi(x) + \psi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + \psi\left(\frac{x}{3}\right) + \dots \cdot$$

Proof

When $x < 2$, each of (a)(b)(c) just states $0 = 0$.
So, WLOG, $x \geq 2$.

Assertion (a) is now a tautology. OK

For (b), write $M \leq x < M+1$. By (i) (top), obviously

$$L(x) = L(M) = \log(M!) \cdot$$

By Thm 4, Legendre-style,

$$L(M) = \sum_p E_p \ln p = \sum_p \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{M}{p^j} \right] \right) \ln p$$

$$= \sum_{\text{all } k \leq M} \left[\frac{M}{k} \right] \Lambda(k) \cdot$$

The key issue now ~~comes~~ boils down to:

if $p^j \leq M$ ($j \geq 1$), why is $\lfloor \frac{x}{p^j} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{M}{p^j} \rfloor$?

To verify this, let

$$l = \lfloor \frac{M}{p^j} \rfloor \quad (j \geq 1) .$$

We have

$$\frac{M}{p^j} = l + \phi \quad \text{with} \quad 0 \leq \phi < 1 .$$

Write $M = p^j(\text{integer}) + r$, $0 \leq r \leq p^j - 1$ à la Euclid.

Clearly,

$$0 \leq \phi \leq \frac{p^j - 1}{p^j} .$$

Also write $x = M + \theta$, $0 \leq \theta < 1$. We then have:

$$\begin{aligned} l \leq \frac{x}{p^j} &= \frac{M + \theta}{p^j} = \frac{M}{p^j} + \frac{\theta}{p^j} = l + \phi + \frac{\theta}{p^j} \\ &< l + \frac{p^j - 1}{p^j} + \frac{1}{p^j} = l + 1 , \end{aligned}$$


which gives $\lfloor \frac{x}{p^j} \rfloor = l = \lfloor \frac{M}{p^j} \rfloor$, as desired.

Accordingly:

$$L(x) = \sum_{k \leq x} \lfloor \frac{x}{k} \rfloor \Lambda(k) . \quad \text{(OK)}$$

To prove (c), we start with $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \psi(\frac{x}{m})$. (cf. (a))

Take any given integer p^A with $A \geq 1$. We ask: how many times does $1(p^A)$ assert "I am present" within $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \psi(\frac{x}{m})$? This number will clearly be the largest l so that $p^A \leq \frac{x}{l}$. In other words, $l \leq \frac{x}{p^A}$ or $l \leq \lfloor \frac{x}{p^A} \rfloor$. The collective contribution of p^A to $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \psi(\frac{x}{m})$ will therefore be $\lfloor \frac{x}{p^A} \rfloor 1(p^A)$.

In view of (b), it is now evident that $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \psi(\frac{x}{m})$ must reduce to $L(x)$. (OK) 

about 1850

Chebyshev played with $\binom{2n}{n} = \frac{(2n)!}{n!n!}$ and was therefore motivated to examine

$$L(x) \sim 2L(\frac{x}{2})$$

N.B. Theorem 7(b) applies to $L(x) - 2L(\frac{x}{2})$, but it is easier to use Thm 7(c).

Suppose for a moment that $x \geq 4$. A quick calculation with Thm 6 gives

$$L(x) - 2L\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = x(\ln 2) + O(\ln x).$$

By inflating the constant à la (3) (bottom), the same relation holds for $x \geq 2$.

On the other hand, by Thm 7(c),

$$L(x) - 2L\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \psi(x) - \psi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + \psi\left(\frac{x}{3}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{x}{4}\right) \pm \dots$$

(where, as usual, the terms are eventually 0).

We therefore have:

$$x(\ln 2) + O(\ln x) = \psi(x) - \psi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + \psi\left(\frac{x}{3}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{x}{4}\right) \pm \dots$$

for all $x \geq 2$.

THIS LAST RELATION CAN BE MANIPULATED!

Recall that $\psi(y)$ is non-neg and monotonically increasing. See Thm 7 (a). (16)

Accordingly:

$$x(\ln 2) + O(\ln x) = \psi(x) - [\psi(\frac{x}{2}) - \psi(\frac{x}{3})] - \dots$$

\Downarrow

$$\psi(x) \geq x(\ln 2) + O(\ln x) \quad \cdot$$

At the same time,

$$x \ln 2 + O(\ln x) = [\psi(x) - \psi(\frac{x}{2})] + [\psi(\frac{x}{3}) - \psi(\frac{x}{4})] + \dots$$

\Downarrow

$$\psi(x) - \psi(\frac{x}{2}) \leq x \ln 2 + O(\ln x) \quad \cdot$$

In both instances, one keeps $x \geq 2$.

Take $x \geq 1000$ (say) and make an iteration as follows :

$$\psi(x) - \psi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \leq x \ln 2 + B \ln x \quad \text{step 1}$$

$$\psi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{x}{4}\right) \leq \frac{x}{2} \ln 2 + B \ln \frac{x}{2} \quad \text{step 2}$$

⋮

$$\psi\left(\frac{x}{2^r}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{x}{2^{r+1}}\right) \leq \frac{x}{2^r} \ln 2 + B \ln \frac{x}{2^r} \quad \text{step } r+1$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{take } \frac{x}{2^r} \in [4, 8] \text{ for safety} \\ \text{hence } r = \frac{\ln x - \Omega}{\ln 2}, \ln 4 \leq \Omega \leq \ln 8 \end{array} \right\}$$

ADD

⇓

$$\psi(x) + O(1) \leq 2x \ln 2 + \cancel{B \ln x} + B(r+1) \ln x$$

⇓

$$\psi(x) \leq x(\ln 4) + \frac{B}{\ln 2} (\ln x)^2$$

⇓

$$\psi(x) \leq x(\ln 4) + O[\ln^2 x].$$

To include $2 \leq x < 1000$, one can inflate the constant.

Theorem A (Chebyshev \approx 1850)

For $x \geq 2$, we have:

$$x(\ln 2) + O(\log x) \leq \psi(x) \leq x(\ln 4) + O(\log^2 x);$$

$$x(\ln 2) + O(x^{1/2}) \leq \theta(x) \leq x(\ln 4) + O(x^{1/2}).$$

Proof

The case of $\psi(x)$ was just done. (OK)

Since $0 \leq \theta(y) \leq \psi(y)$, clearly $\theta(y) = O(y)$ for all $y \geq 1$. Recalling Thm 3(b), we have

$$\theta(x) = \psi(x) + O(x^{1/2}),$$

which produces the inequality for $\theta(x)$. (OK) ▮
then

Notice that

$$\pi(x) \ln x = \sum_{p \leq x} \ln x \geq \sum_{p \leq x} \ln p = \theta(x) \cdot$$

In light of this, theorem A assures us that

$$\pi(x) \geq (\ln 2 - \varepsilon) \frac{x}{\ln x} \quad .693147^+$$

for $x \geq x_0(\varepsilon)$. Here $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary.

(p. ③ line 5 is trivial in comparison.)

The celebrated Prime Number Theorem, which we seek to prove soon, states that

$$\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty \cdot$$

We'll say more about $\pi(x)$ in Lecture 2.