

# Lecture 12

(26 Feb)

①

## 2 Notes!

lec 10 p. (42) Stirling (Corollary).

We also have:

### Thm (Stirling)

$$\frac{\Gamma'(z)}{\Gamma(z)} = \log z - \frac{1}{2z} + \sum_{k=1}^R \left( -\frac{B_{2k}}{2k} \right) z^{-2k} + O_{RS} \left( \frac{1}{|z|^{2R+1}} \right)$$

as  $z \rightarrow \infty$  in  $|\text{Arg } z| \leq \pi - \delta$ .

PF

Call the  $\tilde{B}_{2R+1}(z)$  integral term in (42) Thm  $r(z)$ .  
Note  $r(z)$  is nicely analytic and  $r(z) = O(z^{-2R-1})$   
by the Cor on (42). But:

$$r'(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|w-z|=1} \frac{r(w)}{(w-z)^2} dw.$$

Just use  $|\text{Arg } z| \leq \pi - 2\delta$  in place of  $|\text{Arg } z| \leq \pi - \delta$ .

Done.  $\blacksquare$

---

About  $\Gamma'(z) \neq 0$ , lec 10 p. (26). One can avoid Hurwitz's thm.

Thm

Let  $f_n(z) \rightarrow f(z)$  on  $|z| \leq R$  compacta. We assume  $f_n, f$  are analytic. Let  $f_n(z) \neq 0$  for all  $z$  and  $f(z) \neq 0$ . Then:  $f(z) \neq 0$ .

Pf

Zeros of  $f$  are isolated. Hence finite # on each  $|z| \leq R - \epsilon$ . Find  $R_n \uparrow R$  so  $f(R_n e^{i\theta}) \neq 0$ .

Fix any  $N$ . Find  $m, M > 0$  so  $m \leq |f(R_N e^{i\theta})| \leq M$ .

By unif conv,

$$\frac{m}{2} \leq |f_n(R_N e^{i\theta})| \leq 2M, \quad n \geq N.$$

Apply max mod principle to  $f_n$  AND  $1/f_n$ . Get

$$|f_n(z)| \leq 2M \quad n \geq N$$

$$\left| \frac{1}{f_n(z)} \right| \leq \frac{2}{m}$$

on  $|z| \leq R_N$ . So,

$$\frac{m}{2} \leq |f_n| \leq 2M.$$

Let  $N \rightarrow \infty$  to get  $\frac{m}{2} \leq |f| \leq 2M$  on  $|z| \leq R_N$ .

Now let  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Done.  $\square$

END OF NOTES

We then turned to the issue of the entire  $\xi_n$

$$\xi_0(s) = s(s-1) \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s)$$

$$\xi_0(s) = \sum_0 (1-s)$$

order 1, type  $\infty$

lec 11 p. (25) (31)

and trying to get a product expansion over the zeros. I.e., trying to get a "Hadamard factorization" of  $\xi_0$  to justify Riemann's

unproved assertion. [from 1859]

(3)

Standard lemmas.

### Lemma 1

$D \approx$  simply-connected domain.

Let  $f = u + iv$  be analytic on  $D$ .

Then:  $u$  is harmonic on  $D$  (i.e.  $C^2$  and  $u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0$ ).

Conversely, given real-valued  $u$  harmonic on  $D$ . We can cook up  $v$ , harmonic on  $D$ , so  $F = u + iv$  is analytic on  $D$ .



### Cor

Every harmonic  $u$  on  $D$  is actually  $C^\infty$ .

### Lemma 2 (mean-value property)

Let  $u$  be harmonic on  $D$  (as above).

Let  $|z - z_0| \leq R$  be contained in  $D$ .

Then

$$u(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(z_0 + Re^{i\varphi}) d\varphi.$$

### Lemma 3

$D$  as above. Let  $g$  be analytic on  $D$  and  $g(z) \neq 0$ . We can always find an analytic function  $\phi(z)$  on  $D$  such that  $\exp(\phi) = g$ .

[ $\phi$  is unique up to  $+2\pi ik$ ]

Theorem (Jensen's formula) ← Lemma 4

(4)

$D$  as above. Let  $\{|z| \leq R\} \subseteq D$ . Let  $f$  be analytic on  $D$ ,  $f \neq 0$  on  $|z|=R$ ,  $f(0) \neq 0$ .

Then:

$$\ln|f(0)| + \sum_{j=1}^m \ln \frac{R}{|a_j|} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln|f(Re^{i\theta})| d\theta.$$

Here  $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$  are the zeros of  $f$  in  $0 < |z| < R$  listed with multiplicity.

Pf

Wlog  $D = \{|z| < R + \epsilon\}$ ,  $\epsilon$  tiny.

Wlog  $f \neq 0$  on  $\{R \leq |z| < R + \epsilon\}$ . Form analytic fcn:

$$F(z) = f(z) \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{R^2 - \bar{a}_j z}{R(z - a_j)}.$$

Get  $|F| = |f|$  on  $|z|=R$ ,  $F(z) \neq 0$  on  $|z| < R + \epsilon$ .

Apply Lemma 3 to get  $\text{Log } F(z)$ . By lemma 2+1,

$$\ln|F(0)| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln|F(Re^{i\theta})| d\theta.$$

Done.  $\square$

If  $f(0) = 0$ , people usually just pass to  $\frac{f(z)}{z^N}$ .

Thm (Lemma 5)

(5)

Let  $f$  be entire of order  $\leq \rho$ . ( $f \neq 0$ )

Then, counting with multiplicity,

$$n(r) \equiv N[\text{zeros of } f \text{ in } |z| \leq r] = O(r^{\rho+\varepsilon})$$

for all  $r$  large. Here  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

PF

$f(z) = 0 \Rightarrow$  pass to  $g = \frac{f(z)}{z^N}$ .  $g$  is still entire and has order  $\leq \rho$ .

WLOG  $f(0) = 1$ . Know  $\ln M(R; f) \leq R^{\rho+\varepsilon}$ , large  $R$ .  
Perturb  $R$  slightly to make  $f(Re^{i\theta}) \neq 0$ .

Apply Lemma 4 (Jensen):

$$0 + \sum_{j=1}^m \ln \frac{R}{|a_j|} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln |f(Re^{i\varphi})| d\varphi \leq R^{\rho+\varepsilon}.$$

Hence:

$$n\left(\frac{R}{2}\right) \ln 2 \leq R^{\rho+\varepsilon}$$

$$\Rightarrow n(r) = O(r^{\rho+\varepsilon}) \text{ all large } r. \quad \square$$

## KEY THM (Lemma 6, Hadamard/Borel/Carotheodory) <sup>(6)</sup>

$D$  as above.  $f$  analytic on  $D$ .

Suppose  $\{|z - z_0| \leq R\} \subseteq D$ . Let  $f = \sum_0^{\infty} c_n (z - z_0)^n$   
on the closed disk.

Assume further that

$$\operatorname{Re} f(z) \leq M \quad \leftarrow \text{KEY}$$

on the closed disk. Then:

$$(A) \quad |c_n| \leq \frac{2}{R^n} (M - \operatorname{Re} c_0), \quad n \geq 1$$

$$(B) \quad |f(z) - f(z_0)| \leq \frac{2r}{R-r} \{M - \operatorname{Re} c_0\}, \quad |z - z_0| \leq r < R$$

$$(C) \quad \left| \frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{k!} \right| \leq \frac{2R}{(R-r)^{k+1}} \{M - \operatorname{Re} c_0\}, \quad k \geq 1, \quad \downarrow$$

PF

See Ingham 50-51. { There is a famous trick in this proof (starts 50 bottom).  $\square$

## Lemma 7

Suppose we have an entire fcn  $f$  such that  $f(0) \neq 0$ . Let its zeros (listed with multiplicity) be  $\{a_j\}$ . WLOG  $|a_j| \leq |a_{j+1}|$ . Assume that we know  $\rightarrow n(r) = O(r^\beta)$  for large  $r$ . Then:

(5)  $\sum_n \frac{1}{|a_n|^\gamma} < \infty$  for each  $\gamma > \beta$ .

(7)

Pf  
Take  $\delta$  tiny. Look at  $\int_{\delta}^T r^{-\gamma} d n(r)$  and integrate by parts.  $\square$

Corollary

Let  $f$  be entire and  $f(0) \neq 0$ . Then:

$$\sum \frac{1}{|a_n|^{p+\varepsilon}} < \infty, \text{ each } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Pf

Lemma 5 + 7.  $\square$

Thus, we always have (for  $f(z)$  entire)

$$\sum \frac{1}{|a_n|^{\lfloor \rho \rfloor + 1}} < \infty.$$

We let

$$p = \lfloor \rho \rfloor$$

(Do not confuse  $p$  with a prime!)

when we play with a given  $f$ .

When  $p =$  non-neg integer, following Weierstrass <sup>(8)</sup>  
 it is customary to define:

$$E(u; p) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1-u, \quad p=0 \\ (1-u) \exp \left[ u + \frac{u^2}{2} + \dots + \frac{u^p}{p} \right], \quad p \geq 1 \end{array} \right\} .$$

Note that  $E(z; p)$  is entire.

Take  $|u| \leq h < 1$ . With some branch of  $\log$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \log E(u; p) &= \log(1-u) + u + \dots + \frac{u^p}{p} \\ &= -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{u^n}{n} + u + \dots + \frac{u^p}{p} \\ &= -\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{u^n}{n} . \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$|\log E(u; p)| \leq \frac{|u|^{p+1}}{1-|u|} \quad (p=0 \text{ OK too}).$$

Hence:

$$\ln |E(u; p)| \leq \frac{|u|^{p+1}}{1-h}, \quad |u| \leq h < 1 .$$



Given  $p \geq 0$ . Also given  $a_n \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ ,

$a_n \rightarrow \infty$ , and

$$\sum_n \frac{1}{|a_n|^{p+1}} < \infty.$$

(9)

We call

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left(\frac{z}{a_n}; p\right)$$

a CANONICAL PRODUCT of genus  $p$ .

THM

In the above, the canonical product of genus  $p$  converges uniformly & absolutely on  $\mathbb{C}$ -compacta. Hence it is an entire function with zeros exactly at  $\{a_n\}$ .

PF

We use our standard reduction to the

"  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log(1+b_k(z))$  theorem ".

Take  $K \approx \{|z| \leq R\}$ . Restrict attention to  $|a_n| > 1000R$ . Hence, in product, each term

has

$$\left| \frac{z}{a_n} \right| < \frac{1}{1000} \quad \text{for } z \in K.$$

Get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \log E\left(\frac{z}{a_n}; p\right) \right| &\leq \frac{\left|\frac{z}{a_n}\right|^{p+1}}{1 - \frac{1}{1000}} \\
 &\leq (1.01) \left|\frac{z}{a_n}\right|^{p+1} \\
 &\leq (1.01) \left(\frac{1}{1000}\right)^{p+1} \\
 &\leq .002 \quad .
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the "log" is actually Log.

And:

$$\left| \text{Log} E\left(\frac{z}{a_n}; p\right) \right| \leq .002$$

$$\left| E\left(\frac{z}{a_n}; p\right) - 1 \right| \leq .01$$

i.e. "  $|b_n(z)| \leq .01$  " (on  $K$ ).

Must look at

$$\sum_n \left| \text{Log}(1 + b_n(z)) \right|$$

on  $K$ . This sum will be

$$\leq \sum_n (1.01) \left(\frac{R}{|a_n|}\right)^{p+1} \quad \left\{ \text{by the above} \right\}$$

for all  $z \in K$ .  $\Rightarrow$  all is OK.  $\blacksquare$

When we study canonical products, it is helpful to conceptualize them as

(11)

$$\prod E\left(\frac{z}{a_n}; p\right) \equiv \prod_{|a_n| \leq 1000R} E\left(\frac{z}{a_n}; p\right)$$

$$\cdot \prod_{|a_n| > 1000R} E\left(\frac{z}{a_n}; p\right)$$

over  $\{|z| \leq R\}$ .

THIS PART IS NONZERO

### THEOREM (preliminary factorization)

Let  $f$  be entire. Let the order be  $\rho < \infty$ . Put  $\rho = \lfloor \rho \rfloor$ . Let the zeros of  $f$  in  $\mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  be  $\{a_n\}$ . [This set could be empty!] We then have:

$$f(z) = z^N \exp[\phi(z)] \prod_{a_n \neq 0} E\left(\frac{z}{a_n}; p\right),$$

where  $\phi$  is some entire fcn and where the product (if infinite) is abs + uniformly conv on  $\mathbb{C}$ -compacta.

Pf

Pass first to  $g(z) \equiv \frac{f(z)}{z^N}$ , as usual.

The fun  $g$  is entire, order  $\rho$ ,  $g(0) \neq 0$ .

Now review (9) and form

$$h(z) \equiv \frac{g(z)}{\prod_{a_n \neq 0} E\left(\frac{z}{a_n}, \rho\right)} \quad \bullet$$

See (11) top. Get  $h(z) \neq 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

By Lemma 3 applied to  $h(z)$ , we can write  $h = \exp(\phi(z))$ . Done.  $\square$

Hadamard realized, in studying Riemann's work, that he needed some way of controlling  $\phi(z)$  using only information about  $\text{Re } \phi(z)$ .

This is what led to p. (6) Key Thm!

# Hadamard's Factorization Theorem ~ 1893 <sup>(B)</sup>

Given the situation of p. (II) THM.  
We then have that  $\phi(z)$  must be a polynomial of degree  $\leq p$ .

(Recall that  $p = [p]$ .)

In the case of  $\xi_0(s)$ , we had  $p=1$   
and type  $\tau = \infty$ . So, here,

$$\xi_0(s) = e^{As+B} \prod_n E\left(\frac{s}{a_n}, 1\right).$$

Lec II p. 25  
31  
32

(current-day)

The  $\sqrt{\text{proof}}$  of the HFT either follows  
an approach of Landau or else one based  
on the so-called Poisson-Jensen formula  
[a very common identity used in Nevanlinna  
theory]. The proof is function theory,  
not number theory.

(14)  
The Landau approach is remarkable for its simplicity. See:

Landau, Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie,  
Satz 423 (from 1927)

OR  
Landau, Math. Zeitschrift 26 (1927) 170-175.

INGHAM, pages 54(bottom) - 55(bottom)  
is compressed, but follows LANDAU.

↖ I presented the details of this in Lecture #12 and the first half of Lecture #13. I OMIT them here!