

Lecture 13
(2 Mar 2016)

I began by finishing the proof of the Hadamard factorization theorem.

I then turned to some simple function-theoretic facts and some corollaries of HFT.

Simple Facts

- (I) f order ρ , g order $\rho' < \rho \Rightarrow f+g$ order ρ
 - (II) f order ρ_1 , g order $\rho_2 \Rightarrow fg$ order $\leq \max\{\rho_1, \rho_2\}$
 - (III) $p(z) \neq 0$ polynomial, f order ρ
 $\Rightarrow p(z)f(z)$ order ρ too
 - (IV) f order ρ . Zeros at $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$. Then
 $g(z) = \frac{f(z)}{(z-a_1)\dots(z-a_m)}$ order ρ .
 - (V) Let f have order ρ . Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then:
 $h(z) = f(z+z_0)$ has order ρ .
- { Must fiddle and use max mod principle }

(VI) Let f be entire, even, and order ρ .

Then

$$g(z) = f(z^{1/2}) \quad \text{has order } \rho/2.$$

Cor 1 to HFT

Let f be entire, order ρ , $\rho \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $a \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $f(z) = a$ has infinitely many roots.

Pf

$\rho \notin \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \rho > 0$. Let $g = f(z) - a$.

g has order ρ . Suppose $g(z)$ has only finitely many zeros. $\rho(g) = \rho$, $\rho = \lfloor \rho \rfloor$.

Apply HFT.

$$g(z) = z^N e^{\phi(z)} \prod_{n=1}^{\mathcal{N}} E\left(\frac{z}{a_n}; \rho\right), \quad \mathcal{N} < \infty$$

But $\rho < \rho < \rho + 1$. RHS has order AT MOST ρ since $\deg \phi \leq \rho$. {Recall def of E , Lec 12 p. 8.}

Contradiction! \blacksquare

(3)

Cor 2 to HFT (a form of the baby Picard thm)

Let f be entire, order $\rho > 0$, $\rho \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Then $f(z)$ assumes every $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with
AT MOST ONE exception.

Pf

Suppose 2 exceptions: $f \neq \alpha$, $f \neq \beta$. Write

$$g(z) = \frac{f(z) - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha}.$$

Order ρ again. And $g \neq 0, 1$.

Apply HFT. Get $g = \exp(\phi)$, $\phi = \text{polynomial}$,
degree $\leq \rho$. HERE $\rho = \rho$.

But, order of $g(z)$ is $\rho = \rho$, so $\deg \phi = \rho$.
Since $\rho \geq 1$, we can solve $\phi(z) = 2k\pi i$ for
any integer k . Thus, we get many points
 z_k where $g(z_k) = 1$. Contrad! \square

WE NOW GO TO $\Sigma_0(5) !!$

(4)

THM

Recall $\xi_0(s) = s(s-1) \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(\frac{s}{2}) \zeta(s)$ a la Lec 11 p. (25) + (31). We have $\xi_0(s) = \xi_0(1-s)$, $\xi_0(1) = 1$. Also order $\rho = 1$ and type $\tau = \infty$.

$\xi_0(s)$ has infinitely many zeros; these lie exclusively in $\{0 < \operatorname{Re}(s) < 1\}$.

PF

Let $f(z) = \xi_0(\frac{1}{2} + z)$ so f has order 1 AND $f(z)$ IS EVEN. Form $g(z) = f(z^{1/2})$, which has order 1/2. Page (2) top.

By Cor 1, g has infinitely many zeros! Hence so does f , and hence ξ_0 .

By Lec 11 p. (27), $\xi_0 \neq 0$ along the real axis.

For $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, we know $s(s-1) \neq 0$, $\pi^{-s/2} \neq 0$, $\Gamma(\frac{s}{2}) \neq 0$, $\zeta(s) = \prod_p \frac{1}{1-p^{-s}} \neq 0$.

The same is true for $s = 1+it$, t real $\neq 0$. (Recall $\zeta(1+it) \neq 0$ was proved Lec 6 p. (7).)

(5)

Hence, $\xi_0(s) \neq 0$ for all $\text{Re}(s) \geq 1$.

By $\xi_0(s) = \xi_0(1-s)$, get same for $\text{Re}(s) \leq 0$.

So, all zeros lie in $\{0 < \text{Re}(s) < 1\}$. \square

$$\xi_0(1) = \xi_0(0) = 1, \quad \xi_0(s) = \xi_0(1-s).$$

HFT now implies

$$\xi_0(s) = e^{A+Bs} \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{s}{p}\right) e^{s/p}$$

$$0 < \text{Re}(p) < 1.$$

It might be better to use a letter other than p (to avoid confusion with order p).

But "everyone" uses p for these zeros, Riemann, Landau, etc etc.

$$\text{Order} = 1, \quad [\text{order}] + 1 = 2 \quad \text{for } \xi_0.$$

So,

$$\sum_p \frac{1}{|p|^2} < \infty.$$

We had $\xi_0(x) \neq 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, so (6)

$$\boxed{\operatorname{Im}(\rho) \neq 0}.$$

Recall that:

$$\xi_0(s) = 1 + s(s-1) \int_1^{\infty} \left[y^{\frac{1-s}{2}} + y^{\frac{s}{2}} \right] \psi(y) \frac{dy}{y}$$

$$\approx \sum_1^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 y}$$

à la Lec // p. (25). Clearly

$$\xi_0(\bar{s}) = \overline{\xi_0(s)}, \quad \text{all } s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Hence any zeros occur in conjugate pairs. The CANONICAL PRODUCT on (5) is thus real-valued for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. So is $\xi_0(s)$. As such, we conclude B must be real.

Letting $s \rightarrow 0$ on (5) middle, we get $e^A = 1$, so WLOG $A = 0$.

With some branches,

$$\log \zeta_0(s) = A + Bs + \sum_p \log \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{s}{p}\right) e^{s/p} \right\} \cdot$$

Keep s on some $\{|s| \leq R\}$ at first!

Following Riemann, get:

$$\frac{\zeta_0'(s)}{\zeta_0(s)} = B + \sum_p \left\{ \frac{1}{s-p} + \frac{1}{p} \right\}$$

with nice convergence on \mathbb{C} -compacta away from the p 's
(Weierstrass conv theorem)

But, (4) line 2,

$$\log \zeta_0(s) = \log s + \log(s-1) - \frac{s}{2} \ln \pi + \log \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) + \log I(s)$$

⇓

$$\frac{\zeta_0'(s)}{\zeta_0(s)} = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \pi + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'(\frac{s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})} + \frac{I'(s)}{I(s)}$$

⑧

$$\Gamma'(z+1) \equiv z \Gamma'(z)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma'(z+1)}{\Gamma(z+1)} \equiv \frac{1}{z} + \frac{\Gamma'(z)}{\Gamma(z)}$$



$$\frac{\zeta_0'(s)}{\zeta_0(s)} = \frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \pi + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'(\frac{s}{2}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2}+1)} + \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)}$$

On (7) bottom, if desired, one could also substitute

$$\frac{\Gamma'(z)}{\Gamma(z)} = -\gamma - \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{z+n} \right)$$

from Lec 10 p. (30). We'll skip this for now.

Combine (7) line 5 with line 3 above. Get:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = & -\frac{1}{s-1} + \left(\beta + \frac{1}{2} \ln \pi \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'(\frac{s}{2}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2}+1)} \\ & + \sum_p \left(\frac{1}{s-p} + \frac{1}{p} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thm (Riemann)

Away from the set $\{1\} \cup \{p\} \cup \{-2k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$
we have

$$\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = -\frac{1}{s-1} + (B + \frac{1}{2} \ln \pi) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'(s/2 + 1)}{\Gamma(s/2 + 1)} + \sum_p \left(\frac{1}{s-p} + \frac{1}{p} \right) \cdot$$

PF

As above. \blacksquare

$$3 + 4 \cos \theta + \cos 2\theta = 2(1 + \cos \theta)^2 \geq 0$$

$$-\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_2^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s} = \sum_2^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^{\sigma}} n^{-it}$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[-\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} \right] = \sum_2^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^{\sigma}} \cos(t \ln n)$$

$$\sigma = \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1 \quad \bullet$$

Fact

$$\sigma > 1, t \in \mathbb{R}, t \neq 0$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[3 \frac{f'(s)}{f(s)} + 4 \frac{f'(s+it)}{f(s+it)} + \frac{f'(s+2it)}{f(s+2it)} \right] \leq 0.$$

PF

As above. \square

Abbreviate Thm on p. 9 as

$$\frac{f'(s)}{f(s)} = -g(s) + f(s)$$

$$\sum_p \left(\frac{1}{s-p} + \frac{1}{p} \right)$$

For a few moments. Keep $\sigma > 1$.

We immediately get:

$$\operatorname{Re} [3f(\sigma) + 4f(\sigma+it) + f(\sigma+2it)]$$

$$\leq \operatorname{Re} [3g(\sigma) + 4g(\sigma+it) + g(\sigma+2it)],$$

$t \neq 0$ real, $\sigma > 1$.

THM (classical zero-free region) (11)

There exists an absolute constant $a > 0$ so that

$$\zeta(s) \neq 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \left\{ \sigma > 1 - \frac{a}{\log(|t|+2)} \right\}.$$

Proof

Essentially following INGHAM 59-60.

WLOG $t > 0$.

We can always re-adjust "a" to take care of bounded t . So, WLOG, we need only look at the case of $t > G$, G = giant.

We play with (10) bottom for $1 < \sigma < 3$, $t > G$. Remember that

$$g(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} \sim b + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(\frac{s}{2}+1)$$

for some real constant b . Hence $g(s)$ is rather explicit.

Recall Stirling for $\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}$; Lec 12 p. ①.

⑫

We clearly get

$$g(s) = O(\ln t)$$

$$\underline{1 < \operatorname{Re}(s) < 3}$$

anytime $\operatorname{Im}(s) \geq 100$, say.

Of course, for $1 < \sigma < 3$,

$$g(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma-1} + O(1).$$

On ⑩ bottom, we get:

$$\operatorname{Re} [3f(\sigma) + 4f(\sigma+it) + f(\sigma+2it)]$$

$$\leq \frac{3}{\sigma-1} + A \ln t \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} 1 < \sigma < 3 \\ t > G \end{array} \right\}.$$

Here:

$$f(s) \equiv \sum_p \left\{ \frac{1}{s-p} + \frac{1}{p} \right\}. \quad \leftarrow \text{⑨ line 5}$$

For $\sigma > 1$ and $p = \beta + iy$, note that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{s-p} + \frac{1}{p} \right) = \frac{\sigma - \beta}{(\sigma - \beta)^2 + (t - y)^2} + \frac{\beta}{\beta^2 + y^2} \geq 0 \quad \begin{array}{l} ||| \\ \bullet \bullet \bullet \end{array}$$

Consider now any zero $\rho_0 = \beta_0 + i\gamma_0$ of ζ_0 with $\gamma_0 > G$.

Apply (12) lines 8+9 keeping (12) bottom in mind. Get:
← last line
↑ KEY

$$4 \frac{\sigma - \beta_0}{(\sigma - \beta_0)^2 + (t - \gamma_0)^2} \leq \frac{3}{\sigma - 1} + A \log t$$

all $1 < \sigma < 3$, $t > G$.

Notice that

$$LHS \leq \frac{4}{\sigma - \beta_0}$$

trivially for ANY $\sigma > 1$. For $\sigma \geq 3$, get

$$LHS \leq \frac{4}{3 - \beta_0} \leq 2.$$

By revising A, we can thus say

$$4 \frac{\sigma - \beta_0}{(\sigma - \beta_0)^2 + (t - \gamma_0)^2} \leq \frac{3}{\sigma - 1} + \tilde{A} \ln t$$

for ANY $\sigma > 1$ and $t > G$. (And any ρ_0 with $\gamma_0 > G$)

Put $t = \gamma_0$ to see that

$$\frac{4}{\sigma - \beta_0} \leq \frac{3}{\sigma - 1} + \tilde{A} \ln \gamma_0$$

for all $\sigma > 1$.

Let $\sigma \rightarrow 1$ to see that $\beta_0 = 1$ is impossible (a fact we already know).

One expects that $\sigma - \beta_0$ and $\sigma - 1$ of comparable size will be most illuminating. Take:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= 1 + \lambda(1 - \beta_0), \quad \lambda > 0 \\ \sigma - \beta_0 &= \sigma - 1 + 1 - \beta_0 = (\lambda + 1)(1 - \beta_0) \end{aligned}$$



get

$$\frac{4}{(1 + \lambda)(1 - \beta_0)} - \frac{3}{\lambda(1 - \beta_0)} \leq \tilde{A} \ln \gamma_0$$

any $\lambda > 0$.

For large λ , obviously $\frac{4}{1 + \lambda} - \frac{3}{\lambda} > 0$ since $4 > 3$.

$\lambda = 4$ gives

$$\frac{.80 - .75}{1 - \beta_0} \leq \tilde{A} \ln \gamma_0$$

\Downarrow

$$1 - \beta_0 \geq \frac{.05}{\tilde{A} (\ln \gamma_0)}$$

Hence,

$$\beta_0 \leq 1 - \frac{.05}{\tilde{A} (\ln \gamma_0)}$$

This is sufficient to prove Thm on p. (11).

