

Lecture 29  
(Wed, 4 May)

We wish to prove

$$\Psi(x) - x = \Omega_{\pm}(x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log \log \log x)$$

using the Ingham method from 1936, not the one in the book, pp. 92-100 (which follows Littlewood).

Ingham 1936 = Acta Arithmetica 1 (1936) 201-211

We use a variant in technique stressed by A. Selberg.



Since  $\Psi(x) - x = \Omega_{\pm}(x^{\Theta - \delta})$  (Ing 90) à la Lec 21, (17)  
we take  $\Theta = \frac{1}{2}$  wlog. I.e., we assume RH.

Know:

①  $\sum \frac{1}{|p|^2} < \infty$  for  $\xi(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(\frac{s}{2}) \zeta(s)$  Lec 13  
p. (5)

②  $\Psi_1(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{\zeta'(0)}{\zeta(0)} x + B - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{1-2k}}{2k(2k-1)} - \sum_p \frac{x^{p+1}}{p(p+1)}$

$B = \frac{\zeta'(-1)}{\zeta(-1)}$  = unimportant,  $x \geq 1$

Lec 16  
p. (5)

Ing 73

③ For  $x \geq 1 + \delta_0$

$$\psi^*(x) = x - \frac{\Gamma'(0)}{\Gamma(0)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{-2k}}{2k} - \sum_p \frac{x^p}{p}$$

with some reasonable conditional convergence on the  $p$ -sum over compact subsets of  $[1 + \delta_0, \infty)$ .

Also:

$$\frac{\Gamma'(0)}{\Gamma(0)} = \ln(2\pi) ;$$

Lec 18 (35)  
Ing 77

$$\psi^*(x) \equiv \frac{\psi(x+0) + \psi(x-0)}{2} .$$

Let:

$$E_1(x) = - \frac{\Gamma'(0)}{\Gamma(0)} x + B - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{1-2k}}{2k(2k-1)}$$

$$E(x) = - \frac{\Gamma'(0)}{\Gamma(0)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{-2k}}{2k} .$$

These fcn's are clearly  $C^\infty$  and we have

$$E = E_1'$$

Obviously

$$\psi_1(x) - \frac{x^2}{2} - E_1(x) = - \sum_p \frac{x^{p+1}}{p(p+1)} ;$$

$$\psi^*(x) - x - E(x) = - \sum_p \frac{x^p}{p} .$$

DEF (modified remainder terms)

$$P(x) \approx \psi^*(x) - x - E(x)$$

$$P_1(x) \approx \psi_1(x) - \frac{x^2}{2} - E_1(x)$$

Notice that  $x + E(x)$  and  $\frac{x^2}{2} + E_1(x)$  are  $C^\infty$ , and that  $P$  and  $P_1$  are piecewise  $C^1$ . In addition,  $P_1$  is continuous.

FACT 1

For  $x \geq 2$ ,

$$P_1(x) = b_1 + \int_2^x P(t) dt \cdot$$

$b_1 =$  some real constant.

Pf

$$\begin{aligned} \int_2^x P(t) dt &= \int_2^x [\psi(t) - t - E(t)] dt \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{by def of } \psi^* \\ \text{and baby integrals} \end{array} \\ &\approx \int_2^x [\psi(t) - t - E_1'(t)] dt \\ &= \text{constant} + \psi_1(x) - \frac{x^2}{2} - E_1(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\{ \psi_1(x) = \int_1^x \psi(v) dv, \psi(v) = 0 \text{ for } v < 2 \right\}.$$



(4)

By (2) bottom, we also have:

$$P_1(x) = - \sum_p \frac{x^{\frac{3}{2} + iy}}{(\frac{1}{2} + iy)(\frac{3}{2} + iy)}$$

$p \equiv \frac{1}{2} + iy$ , as usual

The series is unif conv on  $[a, \infty)$  compacta.

FACT 2 (generalization of Dirichlet's "pigeon hole" principle)  $\leftarrow$  see Ing 94

Let  $a_1, \dots, a_N$  be real numbers. Let  $T_0$  and  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_N$  be positive. Then, there exist integers  $x_j$  and a number  $t_0$  so that

$$|t_0 a_j - x_j| < \delta_j \quad \text{all } j \in [1, N]$$

$$T_0 \leq t_0 \leq T_0 \prod_{k=1}^N (1 + \lceil \frac{1}{\delta_k} \rceil) \quad \star$$

The number  $t_0$  can be taken to be a multiple of  $T_0$ .

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Note:} \\ 1 + \lceil \frac{1}{\delta_k} \rceil \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\delta_k} \end{array} \right\}$$

$\star \lceil x \rceil$  can be replaced if desired by  $\lceil x \rceil' = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lceil x - \varepsilon \rceil$ . Useful for Ing 94.

PF

Classical pigeon hole principle:

$m+1$  things dumped into  $m$  boxes

$\Rightarrow$  some box contains  $\geq 2$  things.

Look at  $[0,1)^N$ . Partition this into

$$\underbrace{1 + \left\lfloor \frac{1}{\delta_1} \right\rfloor} \times \dots \times \underbrace{1 + \left\lfloor \frac{1}{\delta_N} \right\rfloor} \quad \leftarrow \text{total } m$$

subboxes (each semi-open; also disjoint). Note  $\delta_j > 1 \Rightarrow 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{1}{\delta_j} \right\rfloor = 1 \Rightarrow$  no action in coordinate # $j$ .

Look at the  $m+1$  points

$$(t_{a_1}, \dots, t_{a_N}) \text{ mod } 1 \quad \leftarrow \text{in } [0,1)^N$$

For  $t = qT_0$ ,  $0 \leq q \leq m$ . Apply classical pigeon hole principle. Get obvious  $0 \leq q' < q'' \leq m$ ,  $t' < t''$ ,

$$|t''_{a_j} - t'_{a_j} - \text{integer}| < \frac{1}{1 + \left\lfloor \frac{1}{\delta_j} \right\rfloor}$$

each  $j$ . But  $1 + \lfloor u \rfloor > u^*$  when  $u > 0$ . Let

$t_D = t'' - t' = (q'' - q')T_0$ . This works.  $\blacksquare$

\* For  $\lfloor u \rfloor'$ , have  $1 + \lfloor u \rfloor' \geq u$ .

To continue, we now define

$$F(v) = \alpha + \int_1^v \frac{P(e^u)}{\sqrt{e^u}} du, \quad v \geq 1,$$

where  $\alpha =$  a suitable constant (yet to be assigned).

Note

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(v) &= \alpha + \int_e^{e^v} \frac{P(x)}{\sqrt{x}} \frac{dx}{x} && \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x = e^u \\ u = \ln x \end{array} \right\} \\
 &= \alpha + \int_e^{e^v} x^{-3/2} P(x) dx \\
 &= \alpha + \int_e^{e^v} x^{-3/2} dP_1(x) && \text{(R-5 style)} \\
 &= \alpha + \left[ x^{-3/2} P_1(x) \right]_e^{e^v} - \int_e^{e^v} P_1(x) \left(-\frac{3}{2}\right) x^{-5/2} dx \\
 &= \alpha + b_2 + e^{-3/2 v} P_1(e^v) + \frac{3}{2} \int_e^{e^v} P_1(x) x^{-5/2} dx
 \end{aligned}$$

we propose to plug in (4) top

So, let's just look at:

$$x_0^{-3/2} P_1(x_0) + \frac{3}{2} \int_{x_0}^{\infty} e^{-x} P_1(x) x^{-5/2} dx$$

(7)

$$= \sum_{\gamma} \frac{(-1)^{\gamma}}{(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma)(\frac{3}{2} + i\gamma)} \left[ x_0^{-3/2} x_0^{\frac{3}{2} + i\gamma} + \frac{3}{2} \int_{x_0}^{\infty} e^{-x} x^{\frac{3}{2} + i\gamma} x^{-5/2} dx \right].$$

But,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{2} \int_{x_0}^{\infty} e^{-x} x^{\frac{3}{2} + i\gamma} x^{-5/2} dx &= - \int_{x_0}^{\infty} e^{-x} x^{\frac{3}{2} + i\gamma} d(x^{-3/2}) \\ &= - \left[ x^{\frac{3}{2} + i\gamma} x^{-3/2} \right]_{x_0}^{\infty} e^{-x} \quad (\text{by parts}) \\ &\quad + \int_{x_0}^{\infty} e^{-x} x^{-3/2} d(x^{\frac{3}{2} + i\gamma}) \\ &= - x_0^{-3/2} x_0^{\frac{3}{2} + i\gamma} \\ &\quad + e^{-3/2} e^{\frac{3}{2} + i\gamma} \\ &\quad + (\frac{3}{2} + i\gamma) \int_{x_0}^{\infty} e^{-x} x^{-3/2} x^{\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma} dx \end{aligned}$$

(8)

$$= -\gamma^{-\frac{3}{2}} \gamma^{\frac{3}{2}+i\gamma} + e^{i\gamma} + \left(\frac{3}{2}+i\gamma\right) \left[\frac{\gamma^{i\gamma}}{i\gamma}\right] \gamma$$

⇓

$$\frac{(-1)}{\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\gamma\right)\left(\frac{3}{2}+i\gamma\right)} \left[ \text{big bracket on (7) top} \right]$$

$$= \frac{(-1)}{\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\gamma\right)\left(\frac{3}{2}+i\gamma\right)} \left[ e^{i\gamma} + \left(\frac{3}{2}+i\gamma\right) \left( \frac{\gamma^{i\gamma}}{i\gamma} - \frac{e^{i\gamma}}{i\gamma} \right) \right]$$

$$= \gamma^{i\gamma} \frac{(-1)}{(i\gamma)\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\gamma\right)} + \frac{e^{i\gamma}}{(i\gamma)\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\gamma\right)} - \frac{e^{i\gamma}}{\left(\frac{3}{2}+i\gamma\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\gamma\right)}$$

⇓

↙ see (6) middle

$$F(\nu) = \alpha + \underline{b_3} - \sum_{\gamma} \frac{1}{(i\gamma)\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\gamma\right)} \gamma^{i\gamma} \quad \gamma \equiv e^{\nu}$$

⇓

it is now natural to  
declare  $q = -b_3$

(9)



$$F(v) = -b_3 + \int_1^v \frac{P(e^u)}{\sqrt{e^u}} du$$

$$F(v) = - \sum_{\gamma} \frac{e^{i\gamma v}}{(i\gamma)(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma)}$$

The sum over  $\gamma$  is unif conv for  $v \in \mathbb{R}$ .  
[Remember  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ .]

---

Recollection of baby Fourier analysis.

$$\tilde{f}(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-iux} dx$$

$$\frac{f(x+0) + f(x-0)}{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{f}(u) e^{iux} du$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \max(0, b-|x|) e^{-iux} dx = \left( \frac{\sin(\frac{u}{2}b)}{u/2} \right)^2$$

$$\max(0, b - |x|) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\sin \frac{u}{2} b}{\frac{u}{2}} \right)^2 e^{iux} du \quad (10)$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\max\left(0, 1 - \frac{|x|}{2\pi}\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\sin \pi u}{\pi u} \right)^2 e^{iux} du$$

$$\max\left(0, 1 - \frac{|x|}{2\pi}\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi x} \right)^2 e^{iux} dx \quad \bullet$$

We let  $k(x) = \left( \frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi x} \right)^2$ . Thus,

$$\tilde{k}(u) = \max\left(0, 1 - \frac{|u|}{2\pi}\right) \quad \bullet$$

Crucial Facts:

$$k(x) \geq 0, \quad \text{support of } \tilde{k} = [-2\pi, 2\pi]$$

$$k \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad \tilde{k} \geq 0,$$

$$k, k' = O(x^{-2}) \quad \text{for } |x| \geq 1 \quad \bullet$$

$$\left\{ k(x) = \text{Fejer kernel} \right\}$$


---

WANT TO NOW CONSIDER: (11)

$$\int_{t-A}^{t+A} \frac{P(e^v)}{\sqrt{e^v}} k[N(v-t)] dv$$

with  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A = \text{fixed positive integer;} \\ N = \text{positive integer (kept very large);} \\ t = \text{real and very large.} \end{array} \right.$

---

Recall

$$F(v) = b_4 + \int_1^v \frac{P(e^u)}{\sqrt{e^u}} du \quad (9) \text{ box}$$

Need:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t-A}^{t+A} k[N(v-t)] dF(v) \quad (R-5) \\ &= k[N(v-t)] F(v) \Big|_{t-A}^{t+A} \\ & \quad - \int_{t-A}^{t+A} F(v) k'[N(v-t)] N dv \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\{ \underline{\text{but } k(\pm NA) = 0} \right\}$$

$$= -N \int_{t-A}^{t+A} k'[N(v-t)] F(v) dv. \quad (12)$$

We can now substitute

$$F(v) = - \sum_{\gamma} \frac{e^{i\gamma v}}{(i\gamma)(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma)} \quad \text{ala (9)}.$$

Do this manipulation term-by-term. Clearly there is no harm in taking  $\gamma > 0$  and then getting the case  $\gamma < 0$  by taking a conjugate.

Accordingly, with  $\gamma > 0$ , get:

$$\frac{N}{(i\gamma)(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma)} \int_{t-A}^{t+A} k'[N(v-t)] e^{i\gamma v} dv$$

$$\left\{ w = N(v-t), \quad v = t + \frac{w}{N}, \quad dv = \frac{dw}{N} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{N}{(i\gamma)(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma)} \int_{-NA}^{NA} k'(w) e^{i\gamma(t + \frac{w}{N})} \frac{dw}{N}$$

$$= \frac{e^{i\gamma t}}{(i\gamma)(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma)} \int_{-NA}^{NA} k'(w) e^{i\frac{\gamma}{N} w} dw$$

$O(w^{-2})$  for  $|w|$  large

$$= \frac{e^{iyt}}{(iy)(\frac{1}{2}+iy)} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k'(w) e^{i\frac{y}{N}w} dw + O\left(\frac{1}{NA}\right) \right].$$


---

Pause for a second!!

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k'(w) e^{igw} dw &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{igw} dk(w) \\ &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(w) d_w(e^{igw}) \\ &= -ig \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(w) e^{igw} dw \\ &= -ig \max\left(0, 1 - \frac{|g|}{2\pi}\right) \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$


---

We therefore have:

$$\textcircled{12} \text{ line 1} = \sum_{y>0} \frac{e^{iyt}}{(iy)(\frac{1}{2}+iy)} \left[ -i\frac{y}{N} \max\left(0, 1 - \frac{|y/N|}{2\pi}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{NA}\right) \right]$$

+ CONJUGATE

$$= \sum_{0 < \gamma < 2\pi N} \frac{e^{i\gamma t}}{(i\gamma)(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma)} \frac{(-i\gamma)}{N} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2\pi N}\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{\text{all } \gamma} \frac{1}{\gamma^2} O\left(\frac{1}{NA}\right)$$

+ CONJUGATE

$$= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{0 < \gamma < 2\pi N} \frac{e^{i\gamma t}}{\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2\pi N}\right)$$

+ CONJUGATE

$$+ O\left(\frac{1}{NA}\right)$$

Here, note that

$$2 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{e^{i\phi}}{\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma} \right\} = 2 \left\{ \frac{\frac{1}{2} \cos \phi + \gamma \sin \phi}{\frac{1}{4} + \gamma^2} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{\cos \phi + (2\gamma) \sin \phi}{\frac{1}{4} + \gamma^2}$$

So, we get

$$\textcircled{12} \text{ line 1} = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{0 < \gamma < 2\pi N} \frac{\cos(\gamma t) + 2\gamma \sin(\gamma t)}{\frac{1}{4} + \gamma^2} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2\pi N}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{NA}\right)$$



$$\int_{t-A}^{t+A} \frac{P(e^v)}{\sqrt{e^v}} k [N(v-t)] dv$$

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{NA}\right)$$

$$-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{0 < \gamma < 2\pi N} \frac{\cos(\gamma t) + 2\gamma \sin(\gamma t)}{\frac{1}{4} + \gamma^2} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2\pi N}\right)$$

THE FINITENESS OF THIS RANGE IS CRUCIAL.  $\textcircled{10}$  lines 5+7  
 This is Ingham's Trick.

(16)

Remember that "A" is fixed.

Also note that

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{0 < \gamma < 2\pi N} \frac{\cos(\gamma t)}{\frac{1}{4} + \gamma^2} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2\pi N}\right) = \underline{\underline{O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)}}.$$

And:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\gamma}{\frac{1}{4} + \gamma^2} - \frac{2\gamma}{\gamma^2} &= 2\gamma \cdot O(\gamma^{-4}) \\ &= O(\gamma^{-3}) \end{aligned}$$

↓

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{0 < \gamma < 2\pi N} \left[ \frac{2\gamma}{\frac{1}{4} + \gamma^2} - \frac{2\gamma}{\gamma^2} \right] \sin(\gamma t) \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2\pi N}\right) \\ = \underline{\underline{O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)}}. \end{aligned}$$

↓↓

{ by recalling (11) }

$$\int_{t-A}^{t+A} \frac{P(e^v)}{\sqrt{e^v}} k[N(v-t)] dv$$

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) - \frac{2}{N} \sum_{0 < \gamma < 2\pi N} \frac{\sin \gamma t}{\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2\pi N}\right)$$

$$= \Theta \frac{\beta}{N} - \frac{2}{N} \sum_{0 < \gamma < 2\pi N} \frac{\sin \gamma t}{\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2\pi N}\right)$$

with  $\beta \geq 1$ ,  $|\Theta| \leq 1$ .

NOTE THAT the last sum can be taken over  $0 < \gamma \leq 2\pi N$ , if desired.

Ingham 1936

T

$\eta$

$\omega$

Here:

N

A

t

$$\begin{aligned} w &= N(v-t) \\ v &= t + \frac{w}{N} \end{aligned}$$

See Ingham p. 207 eq (11).

Ingham's statement is thus effectively:

$$\int_{-T\eta}^{T\eta} G\left(\omega + \frac{w}{T}\right) K(w) \frac{dw}{T}$$

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) - \frac{2}{T} \sum_{0 < \gamma < 2\pi T} \frac{\sin \gamma \omega}{\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2\pi T}\right).$$

As noted already on ① (top), we plan to use a variant of Ingham's approach.

---

To continue, we now follow a direct approach with certain CHOICES. We'll hide the rough calculations which motivated these!!

Also, we will not seek an optimal constant in  $\psi(x) \sim x = \Omega_{\pm}(x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log \log \log x)$

on ①. That constant is conjectured to be arbitrarily large. [Ing, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, p. xiv.]

Let  $G \approx$  a sufficiently large constant. We hold  $G$  frozen.

$$0 < \gamma_n \leq 2\pi N$$

Apply ④ FACT 2 to get:

$$\left| t_0 \frac{\gamma_n}{2\pi} - (\text{integer}) \right| < \frac{\gamma_n}{2\pi G N}$$

$$T_0 \leq t_0 \leq T_0 \prod_{0 < \gamma_n \leq 2\pi N} \left( 1 + \frac{2\pi G N}{\gamma_n} \right)$$

We'll select  $T_0$  in a few moments; it will be very big.

Get:

standard  $|\ominus| \leq 1$  meaning

$$t_0 \gamma_n = 2\pi(\text{integer}) + \ominus \frac{\gamma_n}{GN}$$

$$0 < \frac{\gamma_n}{GN} \leq \frac{2\pi N}{GN} = \frac{2\pi}{G} < 10^{-6} \text{ (say) } .$$

$G > 2\pi(10^6)$

Put

$$h = \frac{1000}{GN}$$

Let

$$t_1 = t_0 + h$$

← à la Selberg

(similarly, at very end, consider  $t_1 = t_0 - h$ ).

Clearly:

$$0 < h < 10^{-6} \text{ (since } N = \text{giant) } .$$

(20)

$$0 < \gamma_n \leq 2\pi N$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma_n t_1 &\approx \gamma_n t_0 + \gamma_n h \\
 &= 2\pi(\text{integer}) + \underbrace{\left( \ominus \frac{\gamma_n}{GN} + \frac{1000 \gamma_n}{GN} \right)}
 \end{aligned}$$

but

$$\frac{999 \gamma_n}{GN} \leq \underbrace{\left( \ominus \frac{\gamma_n}{GN} + \frac{1000 \gamma_n}{GN} \right)} \leq \frac{1001 \gamma_n}{GN} \leq \frac{2\pi(1001)}{G} < .002$$

and  $\frac{999 \gamma_n}{GN} = \frac{999}{1000} \gamma_n (h)$

⇓

$$\left[ \text{mod } 2\pi \text{ part of } \gamma_n t_1 \right] \in \left[ \frac{999}{1000} \gamma_n h, .002 \right)$$

$t_0 = \text{NOT useful}$

⇓

$$\sin(\gamma_n t_1) \geq \sin \left[ \frac{999}{1000} \gamma_n h \right] > 0$$

↑  
**KEY!!**

⇓ baby calc

$$\sin(\gamma_n t_1) \geq \frac{998}{1000} \gamma_n h > 0$$

$$\frac{\sin(\gamma_n t_1)}{\gamma_n} \geq \frac{998}{1000} h > 0$$

$$\sum_{0 < \gamma_n \leq 2\pi N} \frac{\sin(\gamma_n t_1)}{\gamma_n} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_n}{2\pi N}\right) \quad \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{as on} \\ \text{(17) top} \end{array}$$

$$\geq \sum_{0 < \gamma_n \leq 2\pi N} (.998) h \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_n}{2\pi N}\right)$$

$$\geq \sum_{0 < \gamma_n \leq \pi N} (.49) h$$

$$= (.49) \frac{1000}{6N} \mathcal{N}(\pi N)$$

where  $\mathcal{N}(v) = \#\{0 < \gamma \leq v, \text{ with multiplicity}\}$

$$\approx \frac{v}{2\pi} \ln \frac{v}{2\pi} + O(v), \quad v \geq 2$$

{ recall Lec 15 p. (29) }

$$N = \text{giant}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(\pi N) = \frac{N}{2} \ln \frac{N}{2} + O(N)$$

$$\mathcal{N}(\pi N) = \frac{N}{2} \ln N + O(N)$$

---

$$\text{also } \mathcal{N}(2\pi N) = N \ln N + O(N)$$

---



$$\sum_{0 < \gamma_n \leq 2\pi N} \frac{\sin(\gamma_n t_j)}{\gamma_n} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_n}{2\pi N}\right)$$

$$\geq (.49) \frac{1000}{GN} \left[ \frac{N}{2} \ln N + O(N) \right]$$

$$\geq (240) \frac{1}{G} \ln N$$

{ rather crudely ! }



(17) top  
at  $t_j$

$$\leq \frac{\beta}{N} - (480) \frac{\ln N}{GN} \leq -450 \frac{\ln N}{GN}$$

for  $N$  suff large,  $G$  frozen,  $\beta < \frac{\ln N}{G}$  .

So, with our  $t_0 \leftrightarrow t_1$  construction,  
it emerges that

$$\int_{t_1-A}^{t_1+A} \frac{P(e^v)}{\sqrt{e^v}} k[N(v-t_1)] dv$$

$$\leq -c \frac{\ln N}{N}$$

holds for all  $N$  suff. large with  $c = \frac{450}{G}$ ,  
i.e. some (fixed) appropriately small  $c$ .

For convenience, we now just declare

$$T_0 = \prod_{0 < \gamma_n \leq 2\pi N} \left( 1 + \frac{2\pi G N}{\gamma_n} \right)$$

on p. 18 bottom. Recall too that  $k(w) \geq 0$ .

We seek to transform the  $-c \frac{\ln N}{N}$  estimate  
into information about negative values of  $P$ .

$$T_0 \leq t_0 \leq T_0^2 \quad \text{on } (18) \text{ bot}$$

$$T_0 \leq t_1 \leq 2T_0^2$$

$$\frac{2\pi GN}{\gamma_n} \leq 1 + \frac{2\pi GN}{\gamma_n} \leq \frac{4\pi GN}{\gamma_n} \quad \text{trivially}$$

$$\prod_{0 < \gamma_n \leq 2\pi N} \frac{2\pi GN}{\gamma_n} \leq T_0 \leq \prod_{0 < \gamma_n \leq 2\pi N} \frac{4\pi GN}{\gamma_n}$$

$$(G) \quad \prod_{0 < \gamma_n \leq 2\pi N} \frac{2\pi N}{\gamma_n} \leq T_0 \leq (2G) \prod_{0 < \gamma_n \leq 2\pi N} (*)$$

$$\log(T_0) = [N \log N + O(N)] [\ln G + \Theta \ln 2] + \sum_{0 < \gamma_n \leq 2\pi N} \ln \left( \frac{2\pi N}{\gamma_n} \right)$$

best written as  $(\eta = t_i \gamma)$

$$\int_{\eta}^{2\pi N} \log \left( \frac{2\pi N}{x} \right) d\eta(x)$$

$\xi(x) \neq 0$   
on  $\mathbb{R}$

$$\sum_{0 < \gamma_n \leq 2\pi N} \ln \left( \frac{2\pi N}{\gamma_n} \right)$$

$$= 0 - 0 - \int_{\eta}^{2\pi N} g(x) \left( -\frac{1}{x} \right) dx \quad \text{by parts}$$

$$= \int_{\eta}^{2\pi N} \frac{g(x)}{x} dx$$

$$\int_{\eta}^{2\pi N} \frac{g(x)}{x} dx \sim \int_{2\pi}^{2\pi N} \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \frac{x}{2\pi} dx$$

$$= \int_1^N \ln y dy$$

$$= N \ln N - N + 1$$

$$= N \ln N + O(N)$$

$$\sum_{0 < \gamma_n \leq 2\pi N} \ln \left( \frac{2\pi N}{\gamma_n} \right) = N \ln N + O(N)$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln T_0 = N \log N \cdot (\ln G + \lambda)$$

with some  $\lambda \in [1, 2]$

G  
Frozen  
+ big

$$\Rightarrow \ln \ln T_0 \sim \ln N \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty \cdot$$

(26)

Needless to say, as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\ln \ln x^\alpha \sim \ln \ln x \sim \ln \ln x^\beta$$

For any  $0 < \alpha < 1 < \beta$ . This has relevance

for (24) line 1+2. EG  $\ln \ln t_0 \sim \ln \ln t_1 \sim \ln \ln T_0$ .

Looking at  $t_1$  and (23) BOX, let

$$\mathcal{M} = \inf_{[t_1-A, t_1+A]} \frac{P(e^v)}{\sqrt{e^v}} \cdot$$

Get

$$\mathcal{M} \int_{t_1-A}^{t_1+A} k[N(v-t_1)] dv \leq -c \frac{\ln N}{N}$$

non-neg

$$\left\{ w = N(v-t_1), v = t_1 + \frac{w}{N} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M} \int_{-NA}^{NA} k(w) \frac{dw}{N} \leq -c \frac{\ln N}{N}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{here } A \geq 1, N \text{ giant, } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(w) dw = 1 \\ \text{cf. (10) line 3} \end{array} \right\}$$

⇓

$$M \leq -O \ln N$$

(27)

⇓

$$M \approx -\frac{99}{100} O \ln \ln T_0 \quad \text{see (25) bottom}$$

but

$$0 < h < 10^{-6} \quad (19)$$

$A = \text{pos. integer}$  (11) (fixed)

$$t_0 - 2A \leq t_1 - A \leq t_1 + A \leq t_0 + 2A \quad \text{crudely}$$

$$\ln \ln \ln [e^{t_1 - A}, e^{t_1 + A}] \subseteq \ln \ln \ln [e^{t_0 - 2A}, e^{t_0 + 2A}]$$

↖  
 $\ln \ln \ln [e^v\text{-range}]$

↓  
numerically asymptotic  
to

$$\ln \ln \ln (e^{t_0})$$

$$= \ln \ln t_0$$

by (26) top, get:

numerically asymptotic to

$$\boxed{\ln \ln T_0}$$

{ but  
see  
line 2  
above }

⇒

Yes!

It follows that:

$$\frac{P(x)}{\sqrt{x}} = \Omega_{-} [\ln \ln \ln x]$$

holds with a constant of, say,  $-\frac{98}{100}e$ .

One similarly establishes

$$\frac{P(x)}{\sqrt{x}} = \Omega_{+} [\ln \ln \ln x]$$

using  $t_0 - h$  as  $t_1$ . See (19) second box!

On (2) + (3),

$$E(x) = O(1) \quad \text{for } x \geq 2 \quad (\text{obviously})$$

$$P(x) = \psi^*(x) - x - E(x) \quad \text{by def}$$

$$P(x) = \psi(x) - x + O(\ln x) \cdot$$

Thus:

$$\psi(x) - x = \Omega_{\pm} \left( x^{\frac{1}{2}} \ln \ln \ln x \right) \cdot$$

OK

We won't bother to explain the corresponding results for

$$\prod(x) \sim li(x)$$

$\prod(x)$  Lec 14 p. (7) (8)  
Lec 21 p. (17)

and, then, in regard to

$$\pi(x) - li(x) \cdot$$

See Ingham (book) p. 103 theorem 35. One also recalls Ingham, p. 90 theorem 32! The issue of making things effective [e.g., by tampering with the kernel function  $k(w)$ ], which was highlighted on p. 105, is quite interesting and was the subject of some famous work by S. Skewes (late 1930s - early 1950s).

"The Skewes Number"

(eg, see google.com)

Ingham, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, page xiii <sup>also viii</sup> references this <sup>(work)</sup>.  
The Selberg trick is also touched on there...

See also the Hejhal - Odlyzko paper in the TURING centenary volume!!