Motivation

As researchers in visualization and computer graphics, we seek insight into how we might most effectively use texture to facilitate the accurate perception of the 3D shapes of arbitrary smoothly curving surfaces.

Previous Findings

Experiment 111: Judgments of local surface orientation, measured with a surface attitude probe, are more accurate with an anisotropic pattern when the texture orientation locally follows the first principal direction than when the texture follows a constant uniform direction or turns in the surface



Experiment 2^(a): Discrimination of subtle surface shape differences, measured in a four alternative forced choice task, is possible at lower thresholds, with line and grid-like patterns, when the texture coordinate system is locally aligned with the principal directions than when it is aligned with a constant uniform direction or with a direction that turns in the surface.



Experiment 3^(s): Judgments of local surface orientation, measured with a surface attitude probe, are marginally more accurate with a bi-directional pattern that follows both principal directions than with a uni-directional pattern that follows the first principal direction only [2dir < (1-dir, plain), p<0.01; 2dir<lic, p<0.1]



Shape Categorization from Texture

Victoria

Task and Methods

4AFC Task: indicate the shape category and surface orientation; images were 1000×1000 pixels in resolution, displayed on a 1600×1200 21"monitor, freely viewed

4 shape category choices: ellipsoid, cylinder, saddle, flat 4 surface orientation choices: convex, concave, both, neither 8 texture type conditions (shown at right) 2 viewing conditions: straight-on, oblique 2 projection conditions: perspective, orthographic

4 image rotations: 0°, 90°, 180°, 270°



Main Findings

592 trials, 5 participants (plus 3 additional participants in a version with perspective images only)



