

1 On the Jacobi-Trudi formula for dual stable Grothendieck polynomials

Francisc Bozgan, UCLA

1.1 Review

We will first begin with a review of the facts that we already know about this problem.

Firstly, a semistandard Young tableau T is a Young tableau $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ with positive integer entries which strictly increase in columns and weakly increase in rows.

Secondly, we will define a Schur function : a Schur function is a polynomial s_λ is defined as

$$s_\lambda = \sum_T x^T = \sum_T x_1^{t_1} x_2^{t_2} \cdots x_n^{t_n}, \quad (1)$$

where the summation is over all semistandard Young tableau T of shape λ ; the exponents t_1, \dots, t_n represent the weight of the tableau, in other words the t_i counts the number of occurrences of i in T .

Thirdly, a reverse plane partition is Young tableau with positive integer entries which increase weakly both in rows and columns.

Fourthly, we introduce the dual-stable Grothendieck polynomials, defined as

$$g_\lambda = \sum_T x_T = \sum_T x_1^{t_1} \cdots x_n^{t_n}, \quad (2)$$

where the summation is over all reverse plane partitions T of shape λ ; the exponents t_1, \dots, t_n represent the weight of the reverse plane partition, in other words the t_i counts the number of columns containing i in T .

We will note henceforth $\bar{\lambda} = (\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_n)$ the conjugate of λ , a tableau with λ_i boxes on the i -th column for all i .

We now introduce the **Jacobi-Trudi formulas**, or also known as the **Giambelli formulas**, expressing the schur functions in terms of elementary symmetric polynomials,

e_i , by having the formula

$$s_\lambda = \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (e_{\bar{\lambda}_i - i + j}) \quad (3)$$

or

$$s_\lambda = \begin{vmatrix} e_{\bar{\lambda}_1} & e_{\bar{\lambda}_1+1} & \cdot & e_{\bar{\lambda}_1+n-1} \\ \cdot & e_{\bar{\lambda}_2} & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ e_{\bar{\lambda}_n-n+1} & \cdot & \cdot & e_{\bar{\lambda}_n} \end{vmatrix} \quad (4)$$

Definition. An *elegant filling* (EF) of the skew shape λ/μ is a filling of λ/μ with the following conditions:

(1) the numbers weakly increase in rows and strictly increase in columns; and

(2) the numbers in the row i are in $[1, i-1]$. The number of EFs of λ/μ is denoted by f_λ^μ . In the case where μ is not included in λ we set $f_\lambda^\mu = 0$.

Theorem 1 (Lahm, Pylyavskyy [1]). Let λ be a partition. Then

$$g_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \subseteq \lambda} f_\lambda^\mu s_\mu. \quad (5)$$

1.2 Equivalent Relations

Now, we will prove some equivalences using the Jacobi-Trudi formulas.

Note, $\bar{\lambda} = (m_1, \dots, m_r)$ with $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_r$ and also the symmetric polynomial $w_\lambda = w_{(m_1, \dots, m_r)^T}$ defined by

$$w_\lambda = \begin{vmatrix} \binom{m_1-1}{m_1-1} e_{m_1} + \dots + \binom{m_1-1}{0} e_1 & \cdot & \cdot & \binom{m_1-1}{m_1-1} e_{m_1+r-1} + \dots + \binom{m_1-1}{0} e_r \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \binom{m_r-1}{m_r-1} e_{m_r-r+1} + \dots + \binom{m_r-1}{0} e_{2-r} & \cdot & \cdot & \binom{m_r-1}{m_r-1} e_{m_r} + \dots + \binom{m_r-1}{0} e_1 \end{vmatrix} \quad (6)$$

or by writing the abbreviated formula, we have

$$w_\lambda = \det \left(\left(\binom{m_i-1}{m_i-1} e_{m_i-i+j} + \dots + \binom{m_i-1}{0} e_{j-i+1} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} \right). \quad (7)$$

Note the linear vector $V_x = (e_x \ e_{x+1} \ \dots \ e_{x+r-1})$ where $e_x = 0$ if $x \leq 0$ and $e_0 = 1$.

Therefore equation (6) is becoming

$$w_\lambda = \begin{vmatrix} \binom{m_1-1}{m_1-1}V_{m_1} + \dots + \binom{m_1-1}{0}V_1 \\ \vdots \\ \binom{m_r-1}{m_r-1}V_{m_r-r+1} + \dots + \binom{m_r-1}{0}V_{2-r} \end{vmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

We can split the determinant by using the n -linearity of the determinant like

$$\begin{vmatrix} R_1 + R'_1 \\ R_2 \\ \vdots \\ R_n \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} R_1 \\ R_2 \\ \vdots \\ R_n \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} R'_1 \\ R_2 \\ \vdots \\ R_n \end{vmatrix} \quad (9)$$

therefore we get

$$g_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\sum_{2-i \leq k_i \leq m_i-i+1} \begin{vmatrix} \binom{m_i-1}{k_i+1-2}V_{k_i} \\ \vdots \\ \binom{m_r-1}{k_r+r-2}V_{k_r} \end{vmatrix} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\sum_{2-i \leq k_i \leq m_i-i+1} \prod_{i=1}^r \binom{m_i-1}{k_i+i-2} \begin{vmatrix} V_{k_1} \\ \vdots \\ V_{k_r} \end{vmatrix} \right). \quad (10)$$

We would like to compute now the coefficient of $\begin{vmatrix} V_{\alpha_1} \\ \vdots \\ V_{\alpha_r} \end{vmatrix}$ where $\alpha_1 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_r$

and also $2-i \leq \alpha_i \leq m_i-i+1, \forall 1 \leq i \leq r$.

Actually we can suppose that $\alpha_1 > \dots > \alpha_r$, because if there are i, j such

that $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$ then $\begin{vmatrix} \vdots \\ V_{\alpha_i} \\ \vdots \\ V_{\alpha_j} \\ \vdots \end{vmatrix} = 0$, therefore we can do the previous supposition.

Therefore the coefficient of $\begin{vmatrix} V_{\alpha_1} \\ \vdots \\ V_{\alpha_r} \end{vmatrix}$ will be

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_r} \prod_{i=1}^r \binom{m_i-1}{\alpha_{\sigma(i)+i-2}} \underbrace{\begin{vmatrix} V_{\alpha_{\sigma(1)}} \\ \vdots \\ V_{\alpha_{\sigma(r)}} \end{vmatrix}}_{(-1)^{\epsilon(\sigma)} \begin{vmatrix} V_{\alpha_1} \\ \vdots \\ V_{\alpha_r} \end{vmatrix}} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} (-1)^{\epsilon(\sigma)} \prod_{i=1}^r \binom{m_i}{\alpha_{\sigma(i)+i-2}} \begin{vmatrix} V_{\alpha_1} \\ \vdots \\ V_{\alpha_r} \end{vmatrix} \quad (11)$$

and by noting $\binom{m_i-1}{\alpha_{\sigma(i)}+i-2} = a_{i\sigma(i)}$, it results that $\sum_{\sigma \in S_r} (-1)^{\epsilon(\sigma)} \prod_{i=1}^r \binom{m_i}{\alpha_{\sigma(i)}+i-2} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} (-1)^{\epsilon(\sigma)} \prod_{i=1}^r a_{i\sigma(i)} = \det\left((a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}\right) = \det\left(\binom{m_i-1}{\alpha_j+i-2}_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}\right)$

which yields that

$$(11) = \det\left(\binom{m_i-1}{\alpha_j+i-2}_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}\right) \begin{vmatrix} V_{\alpha_1} \\ \vdots \\ V_{\alpha_r} \end{vmatrix} \quad (12)$$

and we will also note $\alpha_\lambda^\mu = \det\left(\binom{m_i-1}{\alpha_j+i-2}_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}\right)$ where $\mu = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r + r - 1)^T$.

Also, by using Theorem 1, we get that $\begin{vmatrix} V_{\alpha_1} \\ \vdots \\ V_{\alpha_r} \end{vmatrix}$ can be written as the Schur polynomial $s_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r + r - 1)^T} = s_\mu$. Therefore the coefficient of s_μ is in fact exactly α_λ^μ , hence $w_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \in B} \alpha_\lambda^\mu s_\mu$ for some set B of plane partitions.

We will now prove that $\mu \in B$ if and only if $\mu \subseteq \bar{\lambda}$ or the equivalent $\bar{\mu} \subseteq \lambda$.

Proof.

" \implies " If $\mu = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r + r - 1)^T$, with $\alpha_i + i \geq \alpha_{i+1} + i + 1$, thus $\alpha_i \geq \alpha_{i+1} + 1$ and $2 - i \leq \alpha_i \leq m_i - i + 1$, therefore $1 \leq \underbrace{\alpha_i + i - 1}_{\bar{\mu}_i} \leq m_i = \lambda_i$, for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, hence $\mu \subseteq \bar{\lambda}$.

" \impliedby " If $\bar{\mu} \subseteq \lambda$ then take $\alpha_i = \bar{\mu}_i - i + 1, \forall i$, and so $2 - i \leq \alpha_i \leq m_i - i + 1$ and $\alpha_i = \bar{\mu}_i - i + 1 \geq \bar{\mu}_{i-1} - i + 1 = \alpha_{i-1} + 1$, so $\alpha_i > \alpha_{i-1}$, therefore $\mu \in B$.

This proves that

$$w_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \subseteq \lambda} \alpha_\lambda^\mu s_\mu. \quad (13)$$

Lemma:

The two following statements are equivalent:

a). For any plane partition λ , we have $w_\lambda = g_\lambda$;

b). For any $\mu \subseteq \lambda$, we have $f_\lambda^\mu = \det\left(\binom{\bar{\lambda}_i-1}{\bar{\mu}_j-j+i-1}_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}\right)$, where $\bar{\lambda} = (\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_r)$ and $\bar{\mu} = (\bar{\mu}_1, \dots, \bar{\mu}_r)$ (we need that $\bar{\mu}$ has r columns, if not $f_\lambda^\mu = 0$).

Proof: " \implies " If $g_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \subseteq \lambda} f_\lambda^\mu = \sum_{\mu \subseteq \lambda} \alpha_\lambda^\mu = w_\lambda$, and also knowing that the Schur functions form a basis in the space of symmetric polynomials, therefore it results that $f_\lambda^\mu = \alpha_\lambda^\mu = \det\left(\binom{\bar{\lambda}_i-1}{\bar{\mu}_j-j+i-1}_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}\right)$.

” \Leftarrow ” It is clear from (13) and Theorem 1.

We also get a consequence from the lemma: $\det\left(\binom{a_i}{b_j - j + i - 1}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} \geq 0$, where $a_1, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_r$ are integers and $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_r \geq 0, b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_r \geq 0$.

Now we will state the main conjecture of my REU project:

Conjecture. For any plane partition λ we have $w_\lambda = g_\lambda$.

We will prove this conjecture for some special cases.

1.3 Proof of the conjecture in some special cases

Note (i) = column with i boxes and (i, j) = two column plane partition with the first column having i boxes and the second one having j columns.

1.3.1 One column case

Case I : one column case, $\lambda = (r)$. From Theorem 1, $g_{(r)} = \sum_{i=1}^r f_{(r)}^{(i)} s_{(i)}$. We will prove that $f_{(r)}^{(i)} = \binom{r-1}{i-1}$, and by the lemma we get our result.

Let $a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \dots, a_r$ the numbers filled in the elegant filling of the skew-shape $(r)/(i)$, the j -th box containing a_{j+i} . By the definition of the elegant filling we have that $1 \leq a_{i+1} < a_{i+2} < \dots < a_r$ (condition 1) and all $a_j \in [1, j-1]$ for all $j = \overline{i+1, r}$ (condition 2). But actually this is equivalent to pick any $r-i$ distinct numbers in the interval $[1, r-1]$, as by simply doing that both conditions will be satisfied. The number of ways of picking $r-i$ numbers from 1 to r is obviously $\binom{r-1}{r-i} = \binom{r-1}{i-1}$, therefore getting that $f_{(r)}^{(i)} = \binom{r-1}{i-1}$, and the conclusion follows.

We can prove this result through other method also:

Note $S_k^{k+m} = \binom{m}{m} e_{k+m} + \dots + \binom{m}{0} e_k$, where $k, m \geq 0$ and we can easily prove that $S_{k-1}^{k+m} - S_k^{k+m} = S_{k-1}^{k+m-1}$. By induction we get $S_k^{k+m} = \binom{k-1}{k-1} (-1)^{(k-1)-(k-1)} g_{(k+m)} + \dots + \binom{k-1}{0} (-1)^{k-1-0} g_{(m+1)}$, therefore by plugging in $k=1$ we get $S_1^{m+1} = g_{(m+1)} = \binom{m}{m} e_{m+1} + \dots + \binom{m}{0} e_1$, hence $g_{(r)} = \binom{r-1}{r-1} e_r + \dots + \binom{r-1}{0} e_1$.

1.3.2 Two columns case

Case II : $\lambda = (r, s)$ with $r \geq s$.

By using the lemma, we need to prove

$$f_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)} = \left| \begin{array}{cc} \binom{r-1}{i-1} & \binom{r-1}{j-2} \\ \binom{s-1}{i} & \binom{s-1}{j-1} \end{array} \right| = \binom{r-1}{i-1} \binom{s-1}{j-1} - \binom{r-1}{j-2} \binom{s-1}{i} \quad (14)$$

with $i \geq j$, $s \geq j$, $r \geq i$.

We will prove this in multiple steps.

Step1. If $j = 0$ then obviously $f_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)} = 0$, i.e. there is no *elegant filling*.

Suppose that $j = 1$. For the second skew-column $(s)/(j)$ there is only one possibility to have an elegant filling, i.e. starting up to down with 1 till $s - 1$. Then every *elegant filling* of the first column taken separately, will provide an elegant filling of the skew-shape $(r, s)/(i, j)$, therefore the number of elegant fillings of $(r, s)/(i, 1)$ is equal to the number of elegant fillings of $(r)/(i)$, which we computed in the previous case to be $\binom{r-1}{i-1}$, therefore we proved that $f_{(r,s)}^{(i,1)} = \binom{r-1}{i-1}$. Thus, from now on we can suppose that $j \geq 2$.

Step2. Suppose that $s - 1 \leq i$, then $\binom{s-1}{i} = 0$. As there will be no rows with two boxes, any elegant filling of the first skew-column $(r)/(i)$ together with any elegant filling of the skew-column $(s)/(j)$ will make a good elegant filling of $(r, s)/(i, j)$, therefore the number is equal to $f_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)} = f_{(r)}^{(i)} f_{(s)}^{(j)} = \binom{s-1}{j-1} \binom{r-1}{i-1}$. From now on we can suppose that $s - 1 \geq i$.

Step3.

Definition. A *non - elegant filling* (NEF) of a skew-shape $(r, s)/(i, j)$ with two columns such that:

- 1). strictly increases in columns
- 2). there exists at least one row containing two boxes which are strictly decreasing in row
- 3). every number on the $i - th$ row is between 1 and $i - 1$.

We denote the number of *non - elegant fillings* with $n_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)}$.

Definition. A *semi – elegant filling* (SEF) of a skew-shape $(r, s)/(i, j)$ with two columns such that:

- 1). the numbers strictly increase in columns
- 2). every number on the $i - th$ row is between 1 and $i - 1$.

We denote the number of *semi – elegant filling* with $s_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)}$. We can see that in fact these conditions means that every column separately is filled in an *elegant* way. Hence, we can actually compute the number of *semi – elegant fillings*, this being $s_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)} = \binom{r-1}{i-1} \binom{s-1}{j-1}$.

We can obviously see that a *semi – elegant filling* can be either a *non – elegant filling* or an *elegant filling*, therefore we get that $f_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)} + n_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)} = s_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)}$. If we suppose that $f_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)} = \binom{r-1}{i-1} \binom{s-1}{j-1} - \binom{s-1}{j} \binom{r-1}{j-2}$ then this will give us that $n_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)} = \binom{s-1}{i} \binom{r-1}{j-2}$. This means that we need to prove now that the number of NEFs is $\binom{s-1}{i} \binom{r-1}{j-2}$.

Main Theorem. The number of the *NEFs* of the skew-shape $(r, s)/(i, j)$ is $\binom{s-1}{i} \binom{r-1}{j-2}$.

Proof:

1). First we prove if $s - 1 = i$. We consider a *NEF* for the skew-shape $(r, s)/(s - 1, j)$, and let $b_s, \dots, b_r, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_s$ with b_l being the $l - th$ number on the first skew-column and a_l being the $l - th$ number on the second skew-column. Being a *NEF* gives us that $a_{j+1} < \dots < a_s, a_m \in [1, m - 1]$ for all $m = \overline{j+1, s}, b_s < \dots < b_r, b_l \in [1, l - 1]$ for all $l = \overline{s, r}$, and also $a_s < b_s \leq s - 1$. But the latter condition gives us that in fact $a_s \leq s - 2$, therefore $a_m \leq m - 2$ for all $m = \overline{j+1, s}$, making the numbers $a_{j+1} < \dots < a_s < b_s < \dots < b_r$ an *elegant filling* of a skew-shape $(r)/(j - 1)$. Therefore this implies $n_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)} = f_{(r)}^{(j-1)} = \binom{r-1}{j-2} = \binom{r-1}{j-2} \binom{s-1}{i}$, hence the conclusion.

2). Now we suppose that $s \geq i + 2$.

Note $N_\lambda^\mu = \{ \text{all the } NEFs \text{ of the shape } \lambda/\mu \}$ and $E_\lambda^\mu = \{ \text{all the } EFs \text{ of the shape } \lambda/\mu \}$, with $\mu \subseteq \lambda$.

We will construct a bijection between $N_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)}$ and $E_{(r)}^{(j-1)} \times E_{(s)}^{(i+1)}$.

i). We define the bijection h . Take $A \in N_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)}$. Note x_{i+1}, \dots, x_r the numbers in the first column and y_{j+1}, \dots, y_s the numbers in the second one. Let $k = \min \{l \mid x_l > y_l, i+1 \leq l \leq s\}$ (k exists because A is a NEF).

We have that $y_k < x_k \leq k-1$, hence $y_l \leq l-2$ for all $l = \overline{j+1, k}$. Because $x_m \in [1, m-1]$ for all $m \in [i+1, r]$, $y_l \in [1, l-2]$ for all $l \in [j+1, s]$ and also $y_{j+1} < \dots < y_k < x_k < \dots < x_r$, we get that $y_{j+1}, \dots, y_k, x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_r$ can be an *elegant filling* for a skew-shape $(r)/(j-1)$ which belongs to $E_{(r)}^{(j-1)}$. We note this filling by B_A . Also, because $x_m \in [1, m-1] \subset [1, m]$ for all $m = \overline{i+1, k-1}$ and $y_l \in [1, l-1]$ and also $x_{i+1} < \dots < x_{k-1} < y_{k+1} < \dots < y_s$, we get that $x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{k-1}, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_s$ can be an *elegant filling* for a skew-shape $(s)/(i+1)$ which belongs to $E_{(s)}^{(i+1)}$. We note this filling with C_A .

Now, we will define the bijection in the following way : $h : N_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)} \rightarrow E_{(r)}^{(j-1)} \times E_{(s)}^{(i+1)}$ and $h(A) = (B_A, C_A) \in E_{(r)}^{(j-1)} \times E_{(s)}^{(i+1)}$.

ii). We will prove that h is well defined. Suppose that there is an A in $N_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)}$ and $h(A) = (B_A, C_A) = (B'_A, C'_A)$ which implies that $x_m = x'_m$ for all $m = \overline{i+1, r}$ and $y_l = y'_l$ for all $l = \overline{j+1, s}$, hence $B_A = B'_A$ and $C_A = C'_A$ which proves that h is well defined.

iii). At this step we will prove that h is indeed a bijection. As it is clear that the sets $N_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)}$ and $E_{(r)}^{(j-1)} \times E_{(s)}^{(i+1)}$ are finite, it is sufficient to prove that h is surjective.

Take any $B \in E_{(r)}^{(j-1)}$ and $C \in E_{(s)}^{(i+1)}$. We note the numbers in B to be β_j, \dots, β_r with $\beta_l \in [1, l-1]$ for all $l = \overline{j, r}$ and $\beta_j < \dots < \beta_r$, and we also note the numbers in C to be $\alpha_{i+2}, \dots, \alpha_s$ with $\alpha_m \in [1, m-1]$ for all $m = \overline{i+2, s}$ and $\alpha_{i+2} < \dots < \alpha_s$.

Suppose that there exists k such that $k+1 = \min\{l \mid \beta_{l-2} < \alpha_l, l \in [i+2, s]\}$. We have that $\alpha_k \leq \beta_{k-2} < \beta_k \leq k-1$, hence $\alpha_l \in [1, l-2]$ for all $l = \overline{i+2, k}$. We define two sequences x_{i+1}, \dots, x_r and y_{j+1}, \dots, y_s in the following way:

$$x_l = \alpha_{l+1} \text{ for all } l = \overline{i+1, k-1} \text{ and } x_l = \beta_l \text{ for all } l = \overline{k, r} \quad (15)$$

and

$$y_l = \beta_{l-1} \text{ for all } l = \overline{j+1, k} \text{ and } y_l = \alpha_l \text{ for all } l = \overline{k+1, s}. \quad (16)$$

We take a skew-shape $(r, s)/(i, j)$ and we fill it out with x_{i+1}, \dots, x_l on the first column and with y_{j+1}, \dots, y_s on the second column and we note this filling $A_{B,C}$. We have that $x_{i+1} < \dots < x_r$ and $y_{j+1} < \dots < y_s$, $x_m \in [1, m-1]$ for all $m \in [i+1, r]$, $y_l \in [1, l-1]$ for all $l \in [j+1, s]$ and on the k -th row we have $x_k > y_k$, all these conditions prove that $A_{B,C}$ is a $N_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)}$. Now, we can immediately observe that (B, C) is the image through h of $A_{B,C}$ (just apply the algorithm defined in **i**).).

Now, suppose that there is no k such that $k+1 = \min\{l \mid \beta_{l-2} < \alpha_l, l \in [i+2, s]\}$. Hence $\beta_{l-2} \geq \alpha_l, \forall i+2 \leq l \leq s$. This implies that $\alpha_l \in [1, l-3] \subset [1, l-2]$ for all $l = \overline{i+2, s}$. We define two sequences x_{i+1}, \dots, x_r and y_{j+1}, \dots, y_s in the following way:

$$x_l = \alpha_{l+1} \text{ for all } l = \overline{i+1, s-1} \text{ and } x_l = \beta_l \text{ for all } l = \overline{s, r} \quad (17)$$

and

$$y_l = \beta_{l-1} \text{ for all } l = \overline{j+1, s}. \quad (18)$$

we take the skew-shape $(r, s)/(i, j)$ and we fill it out with x_{i+1}, \dots, x_r on the first column and with y_{j+1}, \dots, y_s on the second one and we note this filling $A'_{B,C}$. We have that $x_{i+1} < \dots < x_r$ and $y_{j+1} < \dots < y_s$, $x_l \in [1, l-2] \subset [1, l-1]$ for all $l \in [i+1, r]$, $y_l \in [1, l-2] \subset [1, l-1]$ for all $l \in [j+1, s]$ and on the k -th row we have $x_k > y_k$, all these conditions prove that $A'_{B,C}$ is a $N_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)}$. Again, we see immediately that, in this case also, (B, C) is the image through h of $A'_{B,C}$.

Hence, the conclusion. Therefore, h is surjective, thus also bijective. h is indeed a bijection between $N_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)}$ and $E_{(r)}^{(j-1)} \times E_{(s)}^{(i+1)}$, which implies that the cardinals of $N_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)}$ and $E_{(r)}^{(j-1)} \times E_{(s)}^{(i+1)}$ are equal, so $|N_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)}| = |E_{(r)}^{(j-1)}| \times |E_{(s)}^{(i+1)}| = f_{(r)}^{(j-1)} f_{(s)}^{(i+1)} = \binom{r-1}{j-2} \binom{s-1}{i}$, hence $n_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)} = \binom{r-1}{j-2} \binom{s-1}{i}$, therefore $f_{(r,s)}^{(i,j)} = \binom{r-1}{i-1} \binom{s-1}{j-1} - \binom{s-1}{j} \binom{r-1}{j-2}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Lam, P. Pylyavskyy: Combinatorial Hopf Algebras and K-Homology of Grassmannians, arXiv: math.CO/0705.2189v1
- [2] C. Lenart: Combinatorial Aspects of the K-Theory of Grassmannians, Annals of Combinatorics 4 (2000), 67-8

- [3] H. Bidkhorī, S. Kim: On dual stable Grothendieck polynomials
- [4] A. S. Buch: A Littlewood-Richardson rule for the K-theory of Grassmannians, *Acta Mathematica*, Volume 189, Number 1, 37-78
- [5] R. Stanley: *Enumerative Combinatorics*, vol. 1, Cambridge University Press, New York/Cambridge, 1999.
- [6] R. Stanley: *Enumerative Combinatorics*, vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, New York/Cambridge, 1999