

# NOTES ON CSP FOR CYCLIC CODES

VICTOR REINER

ABSTRACT. These are notes on a preliminary follow-up to a question of Jim Propp, about cyclic sieving of cyclic codes.

## 1. JIM'S QUESTION

On May 9, 2017, Jim Propp asked the following question on the "Dynamic algebraic combinatorics" list-server:

Has anyone tried applying cyclic sieving to cyclic codes?

To explain, recall an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  of length  $n$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , and is *cyclic* if it is also<sup>1</sup> stable under the action of a cyclic group  $C = \{e, c, c^2, \dots, c^{n-1}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  whose generator  $c$  cyclically shifts codewords  $w$  as follows:

$$c(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) = (w_2, w_3, \dots, w_n, w_1).$$

It is convenient to rephrase this using the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}_q^n &\longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q[x]/(x^n - 1) \\ w = (w_1, \dots, w_n) &\longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x^{i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

After identifying a code  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$  with its image under the above isomorphism, the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearity of  $\mathcal{C}$  together with the cyclic condition is equivalent to  $\mathcal{C}$  forming an *ideal* within the ring  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/(x^n - 1)$ . Since this is a principal ideal ring,  $\mathcal{C}$  is always the set  $(g(x))$  of all multiples of some *generating polynomial*  $g(x)$ . This means that

$$\mathcal{C} = \{h(x)g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]/(x^n - 1) : \deg(h(x)) < n - \deg(g(x))\}$$

and hence one has the relation

$$k := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathcal{C} = n - \deg(g(x)).$$

In this setting, the *dual code*  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  inside  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  is also cyclic, with generating polynomial

$$g^\perp(x) := \frac{x^n - 1}{g(x)}$$

sometimes called the *parity check polynomial* for the primal code  $\mathcal{C}$ . Thus one has

$$k := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathcal{C} = \deg(g^\perp(x)).$$

**Example 1.1.** The cyclic code  $\mathcal{C}$  having  $g^\perp(x) = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^{n-1}$  is called the *parity check code* of length  $n$  (particularly when  $q = 2$ ). Its dual code  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  consisting of the scalar multiples of  $g^\perp(x) = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^{n-1}$  is the *repetition code*.

---

*Date:* May 18, 2017.

<sup>1</sup>In principle, one can consider subsets  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  that are not linear subspaces but stable under cyclic shifts as cyclic codes, but we will ignore these here.

**Example 1.2.** Recall that a degree  $k$  polynomial  $f(x)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  is called *primitive* if it is not only irreducible, but also has the property that the image of the variable  $x$  in the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/(f(x))$  has the maximal possible multiplicative order, namely  $n := q^k - 1$ . Equivalently,  $f(x)$  is primitive when it is irreducible but divides none of the polynomials  $x^d - 1$  for proper divisors  $d$  of  $n$ .

A cyclic code  $\mathcal{C}$  generated by a primitive polynomial  $g(x)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  of degree  $k$  is called a *Hamming code* of length  $n = q^k - 1$  and dimension  $n - k$ . Its dual  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  generated by  $g^\perp(x) = \frac{x^n - 1}{g(x)}$  is a *dual Hamming code* of length  $n$  and dimension  $k$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Recall that a triple  $(X, X(t), C)$  consisting of a finite set  $X$ , a cyclic group  $C = \{e, c, c^2, \dots, c^{n-1}\}$  permuting  $X$ , and a polynomial  $X(t)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ , is said to exhibit the *cyclic sieving phenomenon* (or CSP) if for every  $c^d$  in  $C$ , the number of  $x$  in  $X$  having  $c^d(x) = x$  is given by the substitution  $[X(t)]_{t=\zeta^d}$  where  $\zeta$  is a primitive  $n^{\text{th}}$  root-of-unity.

Jim noted various CSP triples  $(X, X(t), C)$  involving  $X := \mathcal{C}$  a cyclic code in  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , with  $C = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  acting as above, and  $X(t)$  could be either generating function

$$X^{\text{maj}}(t) := \sum_{w \in \mathcal{C}} t^{\text{maj}(w)}, \text{ or}$$

$$X^{\text{inv}}(t) := \sum_{w \in \mathcal{C}} t^{\text{inv}(w)},$$

where the *inversion number*  $\text{inv}(w)$  and *major index*  $\text{maj}(w)$  are defined as follows<sup>2</sup>:

$$\text{inv}(w) := \#\{(i, j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n \text{ and } w_i > w_j\},$$

$$\text{maj}(w) := \sum_{i: w_i > w_{i+1}} i.$$

Here are the codes mentioned by Jim as having such CSP's:

- All repetition codes  $\mathcal{C}$  (trivially).
- All full codes  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{F}_q^n$  (see Theorem 2.1 below).
- All parity check codes (see Theorem 2.1 below).
- All cyclic codes over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  of length 7 (empirically, seeking an explanation).

He found that there was not always such a CSP, but wondered whether there are interesting examples, and suggested that perhaps the Hamming and dual Hamming codes might be good candidates.

## 2. PARITY CHECK CODES

The CSP for full and parity check codes turn out to be special cases of a general CSP for words, following from a result in [3], as pointed out in [2, Prop. 17]:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a collection of words of length  $n$  in a linearly ordered alphabet, stable under the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  acting on the  $n$  positions.*

*Then  $(X, X(t), C)$  exhibits the CSP, where  $X = \mathcal{C}$ , with  $X(t)$  the  $\text{inv}$  or  $\text{maj}$  generating function for  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $C$  the  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -action obtained by restriction from  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ .*

Note  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{F}_q^n$  and parity check codes  $\mathcal{C} = \{w \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : \sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 0\}$  are  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -stable.

---

<sup>2</sup>Note that these definitions require a choice of a linear order on the alphabet  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and it is not clear whether this choice should make a difference in the CSP.

## 3. DUAL HAMMING CODES

Hamming codes do not always have the CSP, but conjecturally their duals do. Before stating a more precise conjecture, we first analyze for a cyclic code  $\mathcal{C}$  the conditions under which  $C = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  acts freely on  $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , and when this action is simply transitive.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$  be a cyclic code with parity check polynomial  $g^\perp(x)$ . Then the  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -action on  $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  is free if and only if*

$$\gcd(g^\perp(x), x^d - 1) = 1$$

for all proper divisors  $d$  of  $n$ .

*Proof.* First note that when a codeword  $w$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is fixed by some element  $c^d \neq e$  in  $C$ , without loss of generality,  $d$  is a proper divisor of  $n$ . Note that this says the polynomial  $h(x)g(x)$  representing  $w$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/(x^n - 1)$  has the property that

$$x^d h(x)g(x) = h(x)g(x) \pmod{x^n - 1}$$

or equivalently  $(x^d - 1)h(x)g(x)$  is divisible by  $x^n - 1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ . Canceling factors of  $g(x)$ , this is equivalent to saying  $(x^d - 1)h(x)$  is divisible by  $g^\perp(x)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ . However, as discussed earlier,  $h(x)$  can be chosen with degree strictly less than  $k = \dim \mathcal{C} = \deg(g^\perp(x))$ , so the existence of such a nonzero  $h(x)$  would be equivalent to  $g(x)$  sharing a common factor with  $x^d - 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$  be a cyclic code of dimension  $k$  with parity check polynomial  $g^\perp(x)$ .*

*Then the  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -action on  $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  is simply transitive (that is, free and transitive) if and only if  $\mathcal{C}$  is dual Hamming, that is, if and only if  $n = q^k - 1$  and  $g^\perp(x)$  is a primitive polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $k = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathcal{C} = \deg(g^\perp(x))$ , the cardinality  $\#(\mathcal{C} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}) = q^k - 1$ . Thus Proposition 3.1 implies  $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  has free and transitive  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -action if and only if  $n (= \#\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = q^k - 1$  and  $\gcd(g^\perp(x), x^d - 1) = 1$  for all proper divisors  $d$  of  $q^k - 1$ .

Now  $g^\perp(x)$  divides into  $x^{q^k - 1} - 1$ , so it must factor as  $g^\perp(x) = \prod_i f_i(x)$ , where  $f_i(x)$  are among the irreducible factors of  $x^{q^k - 1} - 1$ . By definition of primitivity, the only such irreducible factors  $f_i(x)$  which do not appear in any  $x^d - 1$  for a proper divisor  $d$  of  $q^k - 1$  are the primitive irreducible factors of degree  $k$ . But since  $\deg(g^\perp(x)) = k$ , this forces  $g^\perp(x) = f_1(x)$  for one such primitive factor.  $\square$

Proposition 3.2 simplifies the analysis of a CSP for dual Hamming codes. When using the major index generating function  $X^{\text{maj}}(t)$ , it turns out to hinge upon the behavior of the *cyclic descent* statistic

$$\text{cdes}(w) := \#\{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} : w_i > w_{i+1}, \text{ where } w_{n+1} := w_1\},$$

applied to the word  $w_0$  corresponding to its generator polynomial  $g(x)$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$  be a  $k$ -dimensional dual Hamming code, so that one has  $n = q^k - 1$ , with generator  $g(x)$ , and  $w_0$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  its corresponding word. Then  $(X, X^{\text{maj}}(t), \mathcal{C})$  from before exhibits the CSP if and only if  $\gcd(\text{cdes}(w_0), n) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since the CSP involves evaluating  $X(t)$  with  $t$  being various  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots-of-unity, one only cares about  $X(t) \bmod t^n - 1$ . Also, note that cyclically shifting  $w$  to  $c(w)$  has a predictable effect on  $\text{maj}$ , namely

$$\text{maj}(c(w)) = \begin{cases} \text{maj}(w) + \text{cdes}(w) & \text{if } w_n \leq w_1, \\ \text{maj}(w) + \text{cdes}(w) + n & \text{if } w_n > w_1, \end{cases}$$

and hence, in all cases, one has  $\text{maj}(c(w)) \equiv \text{maj}(w) + \text{cdes}(w) \pmod n$ . Hence, as  $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  is the free  $C$ -orbit of  $w_0$ , using  $\equiv$  for equivalence modulo  $t^n - 1$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\text{maj}}(t) &= t^{\text{maj}(\mathbf{0})} + \sum_{w \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} t^{\text{maj}(w)} \\ &\equiv 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} t^{\text{maj}(w_0) + i \text{cdes}(w_0)} \\ &= 1 + t^{\text{maj}(w_0)} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (t^{\text{cdes}(w_0)})^i. \end{aligned}$$

This gives a CSP if and only if  $X^{\text{maj}}(\zeta) = 1$  for all  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots-of-unity  $\zeta \neq 1$ . The above expression for  $X^{\text{maj}}(t) \bmod t^n - 1$  shows that this will occur if and only if all such  $\zeta$  have  $\zeta^{\text{cdes}(w_0)} \neq 1$ , that is, if and only if  $\gcd(\text{cdes}(w_0), n) = 1$ .  $\square$

We come now to a remarkable conjecture.

**Conjecture 3.4.** *Let  $g^\perp(x)$  be a primitive irreducible polynomial of degree  $k$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ , and let  $w_0$  be the word in  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  corresponding to  $g(x) = \frac{x^n - 1}{g^\perp(x)}$ , where  $n := q^k - 1$ .*

- (a) *The value  $\text{cdes}(w_0)$  depends only on  $k$  and  $q$ , not on the choice of  $g^\perp(x)$ .*
- (b) *In fact, this value is*

$$\text{cdes}(w_0) = \frac{p-1}{2} \cdot p^{k-1}$$

*when  $q$  is a **prime**  $p$ , not a prime power  $p^e$  with  $e \geq 2$ .*

*Hence the triple  $(X, X^{\text{maj}}(t), C)$  always gives a CSP for dual Hamming codes  $X = C$  when  $q = p = 2, 3$ , but not always for primes  $q = p \geq 5$ .*

- (c) *Furthermore, for  $q = p = 2, 3$ , an irreducible  $f(x)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$  of degree  $k$  is primitive **if and only if** the word  $w_0$  corresponding to  $\frac{x^{p^k-1}-1}{f(x)}$  has  $\text{cdes}(w_0) = \frac{p-1}{2} \cdot p^{k-1}$ .*

*Remark 3.5.* When  $q$  is a prime power but not a prime, we haven't much tested the assertion of Conjecture 3.4(a) nor looked for a formula as in (b).

If Vic didn't make a computational error then when  $q = 4$  and  $k = 2$ , all 6 of the irreducible quadratics  $g^\perp(x)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_4[x]$ , even those that were not primitive, had the same  $\text{cdes}(w_0) = 5$  for  $w_0$  corresponding to  $g(x) = \frac{x^{15}-1}{g^\perp(x)}$ . On the other hand, this involved making a particular choice of a linear order on  $\mathbb{F}_4$  to compute  $\text{cdes}(w_0)$ .

*Remark 3.6.* The assertion of Conjecture 3.4(c) fails for  $q = 5$  at  $k = 3$ , and fails for  $q = 7$  at  $k = 2$ .

Here is another mystery that seems to occur just for  $q = p = 2$ .

**Conjecture 3.7.** *For  $q = 2$ , the triple  $(X, X^{\text{inv}}(t), C)$  also always gives a CSP for dual Hamming codes  $X = C$ .*

*Remark 3.8.* The assertion of Conjecture 3.7 fails for  $q = 3$ .

*Remark 3.9.* One might optimistically hope that any binary word  $w_0$  in  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  has

$$\sum_{\text{cyclic shifts } w \text{ of } w_0} t^{\text{maj}(w)} \equiv \sum_{\text{cyclic shifts } w \text{ of } w_0} t^{\text{inv}(w)} \pmod{t^n - 1}.$$

Sadly, this is not always true. It even fails for some words with no cyclic symmetry. Of course, Conjecture 3.4(a,b) together with Conjecture 3.7 would show that it is true whenever  $w_0$  corresponds to  $\frac{x^{2^k-1}-1}{f(x)}$  with  $f(x)$  primitive of degree  $k$ .

**Question 3.10.** *What about other famous cyclic codes, such as Reed-Solomon, BCH, Golay?*

**Question 3.11.** *The cyclic descent statistic plays a role in the work of Ahlback and Swanson [1]. Is their work relevant?*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Ahlback and J. Swanson, Refined cyclic sieving on words for the major index statistic, preprint 2017; poster at FPSAC 2017 forthcoming.
- [2] A. Berget, S.-P. Eu, and V. Reiner, Constructions for cyclic sieving phenomena, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **25** (2011), 1297–1314.
- [3] V. Reiner, D. Stanton, and D. White. The cyclic sieving phenomenon, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **108** (2004), 17–50.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455, USA  
*E-mail address:* `reiner@math.umn.edu`