

Chow Rings of Matroids

University of Minnesota-Twin Cities REU

Thomas Hameister, Sujit Rao, Connor Simpson

August 2, 2017

- 1 Preliminaries
- 2 Methods for calculating Hilbert series
- 3 Uniform matroids and $M_r(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$
- 4 Future work and other lattices

Motivation

- The **Chow ring** of a ranked atomic lattice L is a graded ring denoted $A(L)$.
- The proof of the Heron-Rota-Welsh conjecture by Adiprasito-Huh-Katz uses properties of $A(L)$ when L is the lattice of flats of a matroid M .
- We are interested in combinatorial information about the lattice L or the matroid M which can be determined from $A(L)$.

Example

- $L(U_{n,r}) = \{A \subseteq [n] \text{ with } \#A \leq r - 1\}$
- $L(M_r(\mathbb{F}_q^n)) = \{A \leq \mathbb{F}_q^n \text{ with } \dim A \leq r - 1\}$
- $L(M(K_n)) = \{\text{partitions of } [n]\}$

Definitions

Definition (Feichtner-Yuzvinsky 2004)

Let L be a ranked lattice with atoms a_1, \dots, a_k . The **Chow ring** of L is

$$A(L) = \mathbb{Z}[\{x_p : p \in L, p \neq \perp\}] / (I + J)$$

where

$$I = (x_p x_q : p \text{ and } q \text{ are incomparable})$$

$$J = \left(\sum_{q \geq a_i} x_q : 1 \leq i \leq k \right).$$

Theorem (Adiprasito-Huh-Katz 2015)

The Heron-Rota-Welsh conjecture is true.

Theorem (Feichtner-Yuzvinsky 2004)

$$H(A(L), t) = 1 + \sum_{\perp = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_m} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{t - t^{\text{rk } x_i - \text{rk } x_{i-1} - 1}}{1 - t}$$

Proposition

If $\eta, \gamma \in (\mathbb{Q}(t))[L]$ are given by

$$\eta(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\text{rk } y - \text{rk } x - 1} t^i$$

and $\gamma = (1 - \eta)^{-1} \zeta$, then $H(A([x, y]), t) = \gamma(x, y)$.

Proposition

$$\gamma_{L \times K} = (1 - t(1 - \gamma_L) \otimes (1 - \gamma_K))^{-1} (\gamma_L \otimes \gamma_K).$$

Motivation: What is $H(A(L \times B_1), t)$?

Motivation: What is $H(A(L \times B_1), t)$?

Observation: the FY formula for $L \times B_1$ is Leibniz rule-like.

Motivation: What is $H(A(L \times B_1), t)$?

Observation: the FY formula for $L \times B_1$ is Leibniz rule-like.

- Define new multiplicands; use them to get $H(A(L), t, s)$

Motivation: What is $H(A(L \times B_1), t)$?

Observation: the FY formula for $L \times B_1$ is Leibniz rule-like.

- Define new multiplicands; use them to get $H(A(L), t, s)$
- $H(A(L), t, 0) = H(A(L), t)$

Motivation: What is $H(A(L \times B_1), t)$?

Observation: the FY formula for $L \times B_1$ is Leibniz rule-like.

- Define new multiplicands; use them to get $H(A(L), t, s)$
- $H(A(L), t, 0) = H(A(L), t)$

Proposition

$$H(A(L \times B_1), t, s) = (1 + \partial_s)H(A(L), t, s)$$

Motivation:

- Many families of lattices such that if L is in the family, then $[z, \top]$ is in the family too for all $z \in L$.

Motivation:

- Many families of lattices such that if L is in the family, then $[z, \top]$ is in the family too for all $z \in L$.
- AHK gives isomorphisms relating Chow rings of these intervals to the Chow ring of the whole

Motivation:

- Many families of lattices such that if L is in the family, then $[z, \top]$ is in the family too for all $z \in L$.
- AHK gives isomorphisms relating Chow rings of these intervals to the Chow ring of the whole

Theorem

Let L be a “nicely ranked” atomic lattice with $\text{rk } L = r + 1$ and $\text{rk}(z) = \text{rk}(z') \implies [z, \top] \cong [z', \top]$. Let $z_2, \dots, z_{r-1} \in L$ with $\text{rk}(z_i) = i$. Then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}} A^q(L) = 1 + \sum_{i=2}^r \#L_i \sum_{p=1}^{i-1} \dim_{\mathbb{Z}} A^{q-p}([z_i, \top])$$

Applications of AHK results

Motivation:

- Many families of lattices such that if L is in the family, then $[z, \top]$ is in the family too for all $z \in L$.
- AHK gives isomorphisms relating Chow rings of these intervals to the Chow ring of the whole

Theorem (A better one!)

Let L be a “nicely ranked” atomic lattice with $\text{rk } L = r + 1$ and $\text{rk}(z) = \text{rk}(z') \implies [z, \top] \cong [z', \top]$. Let $z_2, \dots, z_{r-1} \in L$ with $\text{rk}(z_i) = i$. Then

$$H(A(L), t) = [r + 1]_t + t \sum_{i=2}^r \#L_i [i - 1]_t H([z_i, \top], t)$$

Uniform:

$$H(U_{n,r+1}, t) = [r + 1]_t + t \sum_{i=2}^r \binom{n}{i} [i - 1]_t H(U_{n-i,r+1-i}, t).$$

Uniform:

$$H(U_{n,r+1}, t) = [r+1]_t + t \sum_{i=2}^r \binom{n}{i} [i-1]_t H(U_{n-i,r+1-i}, t).$$

Subspaces:

$$H(A(M_{r+1}(\mathbb{F}_q^n)), t) = [r+1]_t + t \sum_{i=2}^r [i-1]_t \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q H(A(M_{r+1-i}(\mathbb{F}_q^n)), t)$$

Uniform matroids

- Recall $U_{n,r}$ has lattice of flats the truncation of the boolean lattice at rank r .

Uniform matroids

- Recall $U_{n,r}$ has lattice of flats the truncation of the boolean lattice at rank r .
- Some invariants of interest for $A(U_{n,r})$ have combinatorial meaning.

Uniform matroids

- Recall $U_{n,r}$ has lattice of flats the truncation of the boolean lattice at rank r .
- Some invariants of interest for $A(U_{n,r})$ have combinatorial meaning.

Theorem

The Hilbert series of $U_{n,n}$ is the Eulerian polynomial

$$H(A(U_{n,n}), t) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\text{exc}(\sigma)}.$$

Uniform matroids

- For $r < n$, there are surjective maps
 $\pi_{n,r}: A(U_{n,r+1}) \rightarrow A(U_{n,r})$.

Uniform matroids

- For $r < n$, there are surjective maps
 $\pi_{n,r}: A(U_{n,r+1}) \rightarrow A(U_{n,r})$.

Theorem

For $E_{n,k} := \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n : \#\text{fix}(\sigma) \geq k\}$, the Hilbert series of $K_{n,r} = \ker(\pi_{n,r})$ is

$$H(K_{n,r}, t) = \sum_{\sigma \in E_{n,n-r}} t^{r - \text{exc}(\sigma)}$$

Uniform matroids

- For $r < n$, there are surjective maps
 $\pi_{n,r}: A(U_{n,r+1}) \rightarrow A(U_{n,r})$.

Theorem

For $E_{n,k} := \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n : \#\text{fix}(\sigma) \geq k\}$, the Hilbert series of $K_{n,r} = \ker(\pi_{n,r})$ is

$$H(K_{n,r}, t) = \sum_{\sigma \in E_{n,n-r}} t^{r - \text{exc}(\sigma)}$$

- Can be used to characterize Hilbert series for $H(A(U_{n,r}), t)$ for all r .

Uniform matroids

- The Charney-Davis quantity of a graded ring R supported in finitely many degrees is $H(R, -1)$.

Uniform matroids

- The Charney-Davis quantity of a graded ring R supported in finitely many degrees is $H(R, -1)$.

Theorem

For odd r , the Charney-Davis quantity for the uniform matroid, $U_{n,r}$, of rank r and dimension n is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{r-1}{2}} \binom{n}{2k} E_{2k}$$

where $E_{2\ell}$ is the ℓ -th secant number, i.e.

$$\operatorname{sech}(t) = \sum_{\ell \geq 0} E_{2\ell} \frac{t^{2\ell}}{(2\ell)!}$$

q -analogs of uniform matroids: $M_r(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$

- The lattice of flats of $M_r(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$ is the lattice of dimension $\leq r$ subspaces in \mathbb{F}_q^n .

q -analogs of uniform matroids: $M_r(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$

- The lattice of flats of $M_r(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$ is the lattice of dimension $\leq r$ subspaces in \mathbb{F}_q^n .
- Have q -analogues of each piece of data for uniform matroid.

q -analogs of uniform matroids: $M_r(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$

- The lattice of flats of $M_r(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$ is the lattice of dimension $\leq r$ subspaces in \mathbb{F}_q^n .
- Have q -analogues of each piece of data for uniform matroid.

Theorem

The Hilbert series of $M(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$ is

$$H(A(M(\mathbb{F}_q^n)), t) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma) - \text{exc}(\sigma)} t^{\text{exc}(\sigma)}.$$

- There are again surjective maps
 $\pi_{n,r}: A(M_{r+1}(\mathbb{F}_q^n)) \rightarrow A(M_r(\mathbb{F}_q^n)).$

Theorem

The Hilbert series of $K_{n,r} = \ker(\pi_{n,r})$ is

$$H(A(M_r(\mathbb{F}_q^n)), t) = \sum_{\sigma \in E_{n,n-r}} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma) - \text{exc}(\sigma)} t^{r - \text{exc}(\sigma)}.$$

q -analogs of uniform matroids: $M_r(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$

- Let $\cosh_q(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{t^{2n}}{[2n]_q!}$ and $\operatorname{sech}_q(t) = 1/\cosh_q(t)$.

Theorem

For odd r , the Charney Davis quantity of $A(M_r(\mathbb{F}_q^n))$ is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{r-1}{2}} \binom{n}{2k} E_{2k,q}$$

where $E_{2\ell,q}$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{sech}_q(t) = \sum_{\ell \geq 0} E_{2\ell,q} \frac{t^{2\ell}}{[2\ell]_q!}$$

Question: Why look at only matroids? Is the Chow ring still nice for more general lattices?

Question: Why look at only matroids? Is the Chow ring still nice for more general lattices?

- If L is an atomic lattice with atoms E , let

$$d(x, y) := \min \left\{ \#S : S \subseteq E, x \vee \bigvee_{s \in S} s = y \right\}$$

Question: Why look at only matroids? Is the Chow ring still nice for more general lattices?

- If L is an atomic lattice with atoms E , let

$$d(x, y) := \min \left\{ \#S : S \subseteq E, x \vee \bigvee_{s \in S} s = y \right\}$$

- If $d(x, y) = \text{rk}(y) - \text{rk}(x)$, then we get Poincaré duality.

Question: Why look at only matroids? Is the Chow ring still nice for more general lattices?

- If L is an atomic lattice with atoms E , let

$$d(x, y) := \min \left\{ \#S : S \subseteq E, x \vee \bigvee_{s \in S} s = y \right\}$$

- If $d(x, y) = \text{rk}(y) - \text{rk}(x)$, then we get Poincaré duality.
- Can also generalize some early lemmas needed for hard Leftschetz, etc.

Experimentally, the following have symmetric Hilbert series:

- Polytope face lattices
- Simplicial complexes
- Convex closure lattices
- Various manual examples

Experimental results

Experimentally, the following have symmetric Hilbert series:

- Polytope face lattices
- Simplicial complexes
- Convex closure lattices
- Various manual examples

Conjecture

All Chow rings of ranked atomic lattices exhibit Poincaré duality.

Experimentally, the following have symmetric Hilbert series:

- Polytope face lattices
- Simplicial complexes
- Convex closure lattices
- Various manual examples

Conjecture

All Chow rings of ranked atomic lattices exhibit Poincaré duality.

Suggestions for strange families of ranked atomic lattices welcome.

- Experimentally, f -polynomial determines the Hilbert series of the Chow ring of a face lattice

Geometry?

- Experimentally, f -polynomial determines the Hilbert series of the Chow ring of a face lattice
- $H(A(U_{n,n}), t)$ is the h -polynomial of $\Delta(B_n)$.

Geometry?

- Experimentally, f -polynomial determines the Hilbert series of the Chow ring of a face lattice
- $H(A(U_{n,n}), t)$ is the h -polynomial of $\Delta(B_n)$.

Conjecture

$$h(\Delta(L(U_{n,r})), t) = t^2 \sum_{i=1}^r \binom{n-i-1}{r-i} H(A(U_{n,i}), t)$$

Further further work

- In what generality do AHK's results hold?
- Investigate Koszulity. No obstructions yet.
- Eigenvalues, normal forms of ample elements?
- More basic operations on matroids and lattices: what happens to the Chow ring?

Thanks!

- Thank you to Vic Reiner, Ben Strasser, Pasha Pylyavskyy, and all the other mentors and TAs for their advice and help, for good problems, and for a fun summer.
- Also thank you to all the other REU students for being nice.
- We are financially supported by NSF RTG grant DMS-1148634 and by NSF grant DMS-1351590.