#### THE URV & SINGULAR VALUE DECOMPOSITIONS

- Orthogonal subspaces
- Orthogonal projectors, Orthogonal decomposition
- The URV decomposition
- The Singular Value Decomposition
- Properties of the SVD. Relations to eigenvalue problems

Proof. (a), (b) are trivial

(c): Clearly  $Ran(P) = \{x | x = QQ^Ty, y \in \mathbb{R}^r\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ . Any  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  is of the form  $x=Qy,y\in\mathbb{R}^r$ . Take  $Px=QQ^T(Qy)=Qy=x$ . Since x=Px,  $x \in Ran(P)$ . So  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq Ran(P)$ . In the end  $\mathcal{X} = Ran(P)$ .

(d):  $x \in \mathcal{X}^{\perp} \leftrightarrow (x,y) = 0, \forall y \in \mathcal{X} \leftrightarrow (x,Qz) = 0, \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^r \leftrightarrow (Q^Tx,z) = 0$  $0, \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^r \leftrightarrow Q^T x = 0 \leftrightarrow QQ^T x = 0 \leftrightarrow P x = 0 \leftrightarrow x \in \text{Null}(P).$ 

(e): Need to show inclusion both ways.

- $x \in Null(P) \leftrightarrow Px = 0 \leftrightarrow (I P)x = x \rightarrow x \in Ran(I P)$
- $x \in Ran(I-P) \leftrightarrow \exists y \in \mathbb{R}^m | x = (I-P)y \rightarrow Px = P(I-P)y = 0 \rightarrow Px$  $x \in Null(P)$

# Orthogonal projectors and subspaces

Notation: Given a supspace  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  define:

$$\mathcal{X}^{\perp} = \{y \ | y \perp x, \ orall \ x \ \in \mathcal{X} \}$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Let  $Q=[q_1,\cdots,q_r]$  an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal X$ 

How would you obtain such a basis?

 $\triangleright$  Then define orthogonal projector  $P = QQ^T$ 

### **Properties**

a) 
$$P^2=P$$

(a) 
$$P^2 = P$$
 (b)  $(I - P)^2 = I - P$ 

(c) 
$$Ran(P) = \mathcal{X}$$

(c) 
$$Ran(P) = \mathcal{X}$$
 (d)  $Null(P) = \mathcal{X}^{\perp}$ 

(e) 
$$Ran(I-P) = Null(P) = \mathcal{X}^{\perp}$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Note that (b) means that I-P is also a projector

**Result:** Any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$  can be written in a unique way as

$$x=x_1+x_2, \quad x_1 \, \in \, \mathcal{X}, \quad x_2 \, \in \, \mathcal{X}^{\perp}$$

- ightharpoonup Proof: Just set  $x_1 = Px, \quad x_2 = (I-P)x$
- ➤ Note:

$$\mathcal{X}\cap\mathcal{X}^\perp=\{0\}$$

> Therefore:

$$\mathbb{R}^m = \; \mathcal{X} \; \oplus \; \mathcal{X}^\perp$$

➤ Called the Orthogonal Decomposition

GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 - SVD

# Orthogonal decomposition

ightharpoonup In other words  $\mathbb{R}^m=P\mathbb{R}^m\oplus (I-P)\mathbb{R}^m$  or:

 $\mathbb{R}^m = Ran(P) \oplus Ran(I-P)$  or:

 $\mathbb{R}^m = Ran(P) \oplus Null(P)$  or:

 $\mathbb{R}^m = Ran(P) \oplus Ran(P)^{\perp}$ 

- lacksquare Can complete basis  $\{q_1,\cdots,q_r\}$  into orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^m,\,q_{r+1},\cdots,q_m$
- $lacksquare \{q_{r+1},\cdots,q_m\}$  = basis of  $\mathcal{X}^\perp$ . ightarrow  $egin{aligned} dim(\mathcal{X}^\perp) = m-r. \end{aligned}$

9-5 \_\_\_\_\_\_ GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 – SVD

 $\triangleright$  Express the above with bases for  $\mathbb{R}^m$ :

$$[\underbrace{u_1,u_2,\cdots,u_r}_{Ran(A)},\underbrace{u_{r+1},u_{r+2},\cdots,u_m}_{ extbf{Null}(A^T)}]$$

and for  $\mathbb{R}^n$   $[\underbrace{v_1,v_2,\cdots,v_r}_{\pmb{Ran}(\pmb{A^T})},\underbrace{v_{r+1},v_{r+2},\cdots,v_n}_{Null(\pmb{A})}]$ 

ightharpoonup Observe  $u_i^T A v_j = 0$  for i > r or j > r. Therefore

$$U^TAV = R = egin{pmatrix} C & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{m imes n} \quad C \in \ \mathbb{R}^{r imes r} & \longrightarrow$$

 $A = URV^T$ 

➤ General class of URV decompositions

### Four fundamental supspaces - URV decomposition

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and consider  $\operatorname{Ran}(A)^{\perp}$ 

Property 1: 
$$\operatorname{Ran}(A)^{\perp} = Null(A^T)$$

*Proof:*  $x \in \operatorname{Ran}(A)^{\perp}$  iff (Ay, x) = 0 for all y; iff  $(y, A^Tx) = 0$  for all y ...

Property 2: 
$$\operatorname{Ran}(A^T) = Null(A)^{\perp}$$

ightharpoonup Take  $\mathcal{X} = \operatorname{Ran}(A)$  in orthogonal decomoposition. ightharpoonup Result:

$$\mathbb{R}^m = Ran(A) \oplus Null(A^T) \ \mathbb{R}^n = Ran(A^T) \oplus Null(A)$$

 $egin{array}{ll} ext{4 fundamental subspaces} \ Ran(A) & Null(A^T) \ Ran(A^T) & Null(A) \end{array}$ 

GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 – SVD

- Far from unique.
- Show how you can get a decomposition in which C is lower (or upper) triangular, from the above factorization.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Can select decomposition so that R is upper triangular  $\rightarrow$  URV decomposition.
- ightharpoonup Can select decomposition so that R is lower triangular ightarrow ULV decomposition.
- ightharpoonup SVD = special case of URV where R = diagonal

How can you get the ULV decomposition by using only the Householder QR factorization (possibly with pivoting)? [Hint: you must use Householder twice]

# The Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

Theorem For any matrix  $A\in\mathbb{R}^{m\times n}$  there exist unitary matrices  $U\in\mathbb{R}^{m\times m}$  and  $V\in\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$  such that

$$A = U\Sigma V^T$$

where  $\Sigma$  is a diagonal matrix with entries  $\sigma_{ii} \geq 0$ .

$$\sigma_{11} \geq \sigma_{22} \geq \cdots \sigma_{pp} \geq 0$$
 with  $p = \min(n,m)$ 

ightharpoonup The  $\sigma_{ii}$ 's are the singular values. Notation change  $\sigma_{ii}$   $\longrightarrow$   $\sigma_{i}$ 

Proof: Let  $\sigma_1=\|A\|_2=\max_{x,\|x\|_2=1}\|Ax\|_2$ . There exists a pair of unit vectors  $v_1,u_1$  such that

$$Av_1 = \sigma_1 u_1$$

9 \_\_\_\_\_\_ GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 – SVD

➤ Observe that

$$\left\|A_1 inom{\sigma_1}{w}
ight\|_2 \geq \sigma_1^2 + \|w\|^2 = \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \|w\|^2} \left\|inom{\sigma_1}{w}
ight\|_2$$

- ➤ This shows that w must be zero [why?]
- > Complete the proof by an induction argument.

ightharpoonup Complete  $v_1$  into an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$V \equiv [v_1, V_2] = n imes n$$
 unitary

ightharpoonup Complete  $u_1$  into an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ 

$$U \equiv [u_1, U_2] = m imes m$$
 unitary

Define U, V as single Householder reflectors.

> Then, it is easy to show that

$$AV = U imes egin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & w^T \ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \; 
ightarrow \; U^T A V = egin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & w^T \ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \equiv A_1$$

9-10 GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 – SVD

Case 1:

=

A

=

Σ

V

Case 2:

A

U

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j$ 

 $\mathbf{V}^{\mathbf{T}}$ 

#### The "thin" SVD

Consider the Case-1. It can be rewritten as

$$A = \left[ U_1 U_2 
ight] egin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1 \ 0 \end{pmatrix} \, V^T$$

Which gives:

$$A = U_1 \Sigma_1 \ V^T$$

where  $U_1$  is  $m \times n$  (same shape as A), and  $\Sigma_1$  and V are  $n \times n$ 

➤ Referred to as the "thin" SVD. Important in practice.

 $\blacktriangle$ 5 How can you obtain the thin SVD from the QR factorization of A and the SVD of an  $n \times n$  matrix?

GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 - SVD

# Properties of the SVD (continued)

• The matrix A admits the SVD expansion:

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i u_i v_i^T$$

GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 - SVD

- $||A||_2 = \sigma_1$  = largest singular value
- ullet  $\|A\|_F = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i^2
  ight)^{1/2}$
- ullet When A is an n imes n nonsingular matrix then  $\|A^{-1}\|_2 = 1/\sigma_n$

Theorem

9-15

[Eckart-Young-Mirsky] Let  $k \leq r$  and  $A_k = \sum_{i=1}^\kappa \sigma_i u_i v_i^T$  then

$$\min_{rank(B)=k} \|A-B\|_2 = \|A-A_k\|_2 = \sigma_{k+1}$$

A few properties. Assume that

$$\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_r > 0$$
 and  $\sigma_{r+1} = \cdots = \sigma_p = 0$ 

Then:

- rank(A) = r = number of nonzero singular values.
- $\operatorname{Ran}(A) = \operatorname{span}\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r\}$
- Null $(A^T) = \text{span}\{u_{r+1}, u_{r+2}, \dots, u_m\}$
- Ran $(A^T)$  = span $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r\}$
- Null(A) = span $\{v_{r+1}, v_{r+2}, \ldots, v_n\}$

GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 - SVD

Proof: First:  $||A - B||_2 \ge \sigma_{k+1}$ , for any rank-k matrix B.

Consider  $\mathcal{X} = \operatorname{span}\{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_{k+1}\}$ . Note:

$$dim(Null(B)) = n - k \rightarrow Null(B) \cap \mathcal{X} \neq \{0\}$$

[Why?]

Let  $x_0 \in Null(B) \cap \mathcal{X}, x_0 \neq 0$ . Write  $x_0 = Vy$ . Then

$$\|(A-B)x_0\|_2 = \|Ax_0\|_2 = \|U\Sigma V^TVy\|_2 = \|\Sigma y\|_2$$

But  $\|\Sigma y\|_2 \geq \sigma_{k+1} \|x_0\|_2$  (Show this).  $\to \|A-B\|_2 \geq \sigma_{k+1}$ 

Second: take  $B = A_k$ . Achieves the min.

#### Right and Left Singular vectors:

 $egin{aligned} Av_i &= \sigma_i u_i \ A^T u_j &= \sigma_j v_j \end{aligned}$ 

- $\triangleright v_i$ 's = right singular vectors;
- $\triangleright u_i$ 's = left singular vectors.
- ightharpoonup Consequence  $A^TAv_i=\sigma_i^2v_i$  and  $AA^Tu_i=\sigma_i^2u_i$
- $\triangleright$  Right singular vectors ( $v_i$ 's) are eigenvectors of  $A^TA$
- $\triangleright$  Left singular vectors ( $u_i$ 's) are eigenvectors of  $AA^T$
- ightharpoonup Possible to get the SVD from eigenvectors of  $AA^T$  and  $A^TA$  but: difficulties due to non-uniqueness of the SVD

-17 \_\_\_\_\_\_ GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 – SVD

 $\triangleright$  Similarly, U gives the eigenvectors of  $AA^T$ .

$$AA^T = U \underbrace{ egin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1^2 & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{m imes m} U^T$$

#### Important:

 $A^TA = VD_1V^T$  and  $AA^T = UD_2U^T$  give the SVD factors U, V up to signs!

9-19 \_\_\_\_\_\_ GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 – SVD

Define the  $r \times r$  matrix

$$\Sigma_1 = \mathrm{diag}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r)$$

▶ Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and consider  $A^T A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ):

$$A^TA = V\Sigma^T\Sigma V^T \, o \, A^TA = V \, \underbrace{ egin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1^2 & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{n imes n} V^T$$

 $\triangleright$  This gives the spectral decomposition of  $A^TA$ .

9-18 GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 – SVD