### LARGE SPARSE EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS

- Projection methods
- The subspace iteration
- Krylov subspace methods: Arnoldi and Lanczos
- Golub-Kahan-Lanczos bidiagonalization

# General Tools for Solving Large Eigen-Problems

- Projection techniques Arnoldi, Lanczos, Subspace Iteration;
- Preconditioninings: shift-and-invert, Polynomials, ...
- Deflation and restarting techniques
- Computational codes often combine these three ingredients

## A few popular solution Methods

- Subspace Iteration [Now less popular sometimes used for validation]
- Arnoldi's method (or Lanczos) with polynomial acceleration
- Shift-and-invert and other preconditioners. [Use Arnoldi or Lanczos for  $(A-\sigma I)^{-1}$ .]
- Davidson's method and variants, Jacobi-Davidson
- Specialized method: Automatic Multilevel Substructuring (AMLS).

# Projection Methods for Eigenvalue Problems

#### Projection method onto $oldsymbol{K}$ orthogonal to $oldsymbol{L}$

- $\blacktriangleright$  Given: Two subspaces K and L of same dimension.
- ightharpoonup Approximate eigenpairs  $\tilde{\lambda}$ ,  $\tilde{u}$ , obtained by solving:

Find:  $ilde{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}, ilde{u} \in K$  such that  $( ilde{\lambda}I - A) ilde{u} \perp L$ 

Two types of methods:

Orthogonal projection methods: Situation when L = K.

Oblique projection methods: When  $L \neq K$ .

First situation leads to Rayleigh-Ritz procedure

# Rayleigh-Ritz projection

Given: a subspace X known to contain good approximations to eigenvectors of A.

Question: How to extract 'best' approximations to eigenvalues/ eigenvectors from this subspace?

**Answer:** Orthogonal projection method

- lacksquare Let  $Q=[q_1,\ldots,q_m]$  = orthonormal basis of X
- Orthogonal projection method onto X yields:

$$Q^H(A-\tilde{\lambda}I)\tilde{u}=0$$
  $ightarrow$ 

lacksquare ig|  $Q^HAQy= ilde{\lambda}y$  where  $ilde{u}=Qy$  Known as Rayleigh Ritz process

#### Procedure:

- 1. Obtain an orthonormal basis of X
- 2. Compute  $C = Q^H A Q$  (an  $m \times m$  matrix)
- 3. Obtain Schur factorization of C,  $C = YRY^H$
- 4. Compute  $ilde{U} = QY$

**Property:** if X is (exactly) invariant, then procedure will yield exact eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

<u>Proof:</u> Since X is invariant,  $(A - \tilde{\lambda}I)u = Qz$  for a certain z.  $Q^HQz = 0$  implies z = 0 and therefore  $(A - \tilde{\lambda}I)u = 0$ .

➤ Can use this procedure in conjunction with the subspace obtained from subspace iteration algorithm

## Subspace Iteration

*Original idea:* projection technique onto a subspace of the form  $Y = A^k X$ 

Practically:  $A^k$  replaced by suitable polynomial

Advantages: • Easy to implement (in symmetric case);

Easy to analyze;

Disadvantage: Slow.

ightharpoonup Often used with polynomial acceleration:  $A^kX$  replaced by  $C_k(A)X$ . Typically  $C_k$  = Chebyshev polynomial.

## Algorithm: Subspace Iteration with Projection

- 1. Start: Choose an initial system of vectors  $X = [x_0, \ldots, x_m]$  and an initial polynomial  $C_k$ .
- 2. Iterate: Until convergence do:
  - (a) Compute  $\hat{Z} = C_k(A)X$ . [Simplest case:  $\hat{Z} = AX$ .]
  - (b) Orthonormalize  $\hat{\pmb{Z}}$ :  $[\pmb{Z},\pmb{R}_Z]=\pmb{q}\pmb{r}(\hat{\pmb{Z}},0)$
  - (c) Compute  $B = Z^H A Z$
  - (d) Compute the Schur factorization  $B = Y R_B Y^H$  of B
  - (e) Compute X := ZY.
  - (f) Test for convergence. If satisfied stop. Else select a new polynomial  $C_{k'}^{\prime}$  and continue.

THEOREM: Let  $S_0 = span\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m\}$  and assume that  $S_0$  is such that the vectors  $\{Px_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,m}$  are linearly independent where P is the spectral projector associated with  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_k$  the orthogonal projector onto the subspace  $S_k = span\{X_k\}$ . Then for each eigenvector  $u_i$  of A,  $i=1,\ldots,m$ , there exists a unique vector  $s_i$  in the subspace  $S_0$  such that  $Ps_i = u_i$ . Moreover, the following inequality is satisfied

$$\|(I - \mathcal{P}_k)u_i\|_2 \le \|u_i - s_i\|_2 \left( \left| \frac{\lambda_{m+1}}{\lambda_i} \right| + \epsilon_k \right)^k, \tag{1}$$

where  $\epsilon_k$  tends to zero as k tends to infinity.

KRYLOV SUBSPACE METHODS

## Krylov subspace methods

**Principle:** Projection methods on Krylov subspaces:

$$K_m(A,v_1)=\mathsf{span}\{v_1,Av_1,\cdots,A^{m-1}v_1\}$$

- The most important class of projection methods [for linear systems and for eigenvalue problems]
- Variants depend on the subspace L
- ightharpoonup Let  $\mu=\deg$  of minimal polynom. of  $v_1$ . Then:
  - $K_m = \{p(A)v_1|p = ext{polynomial of degree} \leq m-1\}$
  - $K_m = K_\mu$  for all  $m \ge \mu$ . Moreover,  $K_\mu$  is invariant under A.
  - $dim(K_m)=m$  iff  $\mu\geq m$ .

## Arnoldi's algorithm

- $\triangleright$  Goal: to compute an orthogonal basis of  $K_m$ .
- ightharpoonup Input: Initial vector  $v_1$ , with  $||v_1||_2=1$  and m.

#### ALGORITHM: 1 • Arnoldi's procedure

For 
$$j=1,...,m$$
 do 
$$\textit{Compute } w:=Av_j$$
 For  $i=1,...,j$ , do 
$$\begin{cases} h_{i,j}:=(w,v_i)\\ w:=w-h_{i,j}v_i \end{cases}$$
  $h_{j+1,j}=\|w\|_2;$   $v_{j+1}=w/h_{j+1,j}$  End

Based on Gram-Schmidt procedure

## Result of Arnoldi's algorithm

#### Results:

- 1.  $V_m = [v_1, v_2, ..., v_m]$  orthonormal basis of  $K_m$ .
- 2.  $AV_m=V_{m+1}\overline{H}_m=V_mH_m+h_{m+1,m}v_{m+1}e_m^T$
- 3.  $V_m^T A V_m = H_m \equiv \overline{H}_m$  last row.

## Application to eigenvalue problems

- ightharpoonup Write approximate eigenvector as  $ilde{u} = V_m y$
- Galerkin condition:

$$(A- ilde{\lambda}I)V_my\perp \mathcal{K}_m \quad o \quad V_m^H(A- ilde{\lambda}I)V_my=0$$

ightharpoonup Approximate eigenvalues are eigenvalues of  $H_m$ 

$$H_m y_j = ilde{\lambda}_j y_j$$

Associated approximate eigenvectors are

$$ilde{u}_j = V_m y_j$$

Typically a few of the outermost eigenvalues will converge first.

## Hermitian case: The Lanczos Algorithm

The Hessenberg matrix becomes tridiagonal:

$$A=A^H$$
 and  $V_m^HAV_m=H_m$   $ightarrow H_m=H_m^H$ 

ightharpoonup Denote  $H_m$  by  $T_m$  and  $ar{H}_m$  by  $ar{T}_m$ . We can write

ightharpoonup Relation  $AV_m=V_{m+1}\overline{T_m}$ 

Consequence: three term recurrence

$$eta_{j+1}v_{j+1}=Av_j-lpha_jv_j-eta_jv_{j-1}$$

#### ALGORITHM: 2 Lanczos

- 1. Choose an initial  $v_1$  with  $\|v_1\|_2=1$ ; Set  $eta_1\equiv 0, v_0\equiv 0$
- 2. For j = 1, 2, ..., m Do:
- $3. w_j := Av_j \beta_j v_{j-1}$
- 4.  $\alpha_j := (w_j, v_j)$
- $5. w_j := w_j \alpha_j v_j$
- 6.  $\beta_{i+1} := \|w_i\|_2$ . If  $\beta_{i+1} = 0$  then Stop
- 7.  $v_{j+1} := w_j/\beta_{j+1}$
- 8. EndDo

Hermitian matrix + Arnoldi → Hermitian Lanczos

- $\blacktriangleright$  In theory  $v_i$ 's defined by 3-term recurrence are orthogonal.
- However: in practice severe loss of orthogonality;

Observation [Paige, 1981]: Loss of orthogonality starts suddenly, when the first eigenpair has converged. It is a sign of loss of linear independence of the computed eigenvectors. When orthogonality is lost, then several the copies of the same eigenvalue start appearing.

## Reorthogonalization

- Full reorthogonalization reorthogonalize  $v_{j+1}$  against all previous  $v_i$ 's every time.
- Partial reorthogonalization reorthogonalize  $v_{j+1}$  against all previous  $v_i$ 's only when needed [Parlett & Simon]
- ightharpoonup Selective reorthogonalization reorthogonalize  $v_{j+1}$  against computed eigenvectors [Parlett & Scott]
- No reorthogonalization Do not reorthogonalize but take measures to deal with 'spurious' eigenvalues. [Cullum & Willoughby]

## Lanczos Bidiagonalization

 $\blacktriangleright$  We now deal with rectangular matrices. Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ .

#### ALGORITHM: 3 Golub-Kahan-Lanczos

- 1. Choose an initial  $v_1$  with  $\|v_1\|_2=1$ ; Set  $eta_0\equiv 0, u_0\equiv 0$
- 2. For k = 1, ..., p Do:
- 3.  $\hat{u} := Av_k \beta_{k-1}u_{k-1}$
- 4.  $\alpha_k = \|\hat{u}\|_2$ ;  $u_k = \hat{u}/\alpha_k$
- $5. \qquad \hat{v} = A^T u_k \alpha_k v_k$
- 6.  $\beta_k = \|\hat{v}\|_2$ ;  $v_{k+1} := \hat{v}/\beta_k$
- 7. EndDo

Let:  $egin{aligned} V_{p+1} &= [v_1,v_2,\cdots,v_{p+1}] &\in \mathbb{R}^{n imes(p+1)} \ U_p &= [u_1,u_2,\cdots,u_p] &\in \mathbb{R}^{m imes p} \end{aligned}$ 

$$B_p = egin{bmatrix} lpha_1 & eta_1 & & & & \ & lpha_2 & eta_2 & & & \ & \ddots & \ddots & & \ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ & & & lpha_n & eta_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Let:

$$\blacktriangleright \hat{B}_p = B_p(:, 1:p)$$

$$egin{aligned} igwedge \hat{B}_p &= B_p(:,1:p) \ igwedge V_p &= [v_1,v_2,\cdots,v_p] \ \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes p} \end{aligned}$$

# Result:

$$egin{array}{ll} A^T(AV_p) &= A^T(U_p\hat{B}_p) \ &= V_{p+1}B_p^T\hat{B}_p \end{array}$$

- $ightharpoonup B_p^T \hat{B}_p$  is a (symmetric) tridiagonal matrix of size (p+1) imes p
- ightharpoonup Call this matrix  $\overline{T_k}$ . Then:

$$(A^TA)V_p = V_{p+1}\overline{T_p}$$

- Standard Lanczos relation!
- $\triangleright$  Algorithm is equivalent to standard Lanczos applied to  $A^TA$ .
- ightharpoonup Similar result for the  $u_i$ 's [involves  $AA^T$ ]
- Mork out the details: What are the entries of  $ar{T}_p$  relative to those of  $B_p$ ?