

LARGE SPARSE EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS

- Projection methods
- The subspace iteration
- Krylov subspace methods: Arnoldi and Lanczos
- Golub-Kahan-Lanczos bidiagonalization

General Tools for Solving Large Eigen-Problems

- Projection techniques – Arnoldi, Lanczos, Subspace Iteration;
- Preconditionings: shift-and-invert, Polynomials, ...
- Deflation and restarting techniques
- Computational codes often combine these three ingredients

15-2

Gv14 10.1,10.5.1 – Sparse

A few popular solution Methods

- Subspace Iteration [Now less popular – sometimes used for validation]
- Arnoldi's method (or Lanczos) with polynomial acceleration
- Shift-and-invert and other preconditioners. [Use Arnoldi or Lanczos for $(A - \sigma I)^{-1}$.]
- Davidson's method and variants, Jacobi-Davidson
- Specialized method: Automatic Multilevel Substructuring (AMLS).

Projection Methods for Eigenvalue Problems

Projection method onto K orthogonal to L

- Given: Two subspaces K and L of same dimension.
- Approximate eigenpairs $\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{u}$, obtained by solving:

Find: $\tilde{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}, \tilde{u} \in K$ such that $(\tilde{\lambda}I - A)\tilde{u} \perp L$

- Two types of methods:

Orthogonal projection methods: Situation when $L = K$.

Oblique projection methods: When $L \neq K$.

- First situation leads to Rayleigh-Ritz procedure

15-3

Gv14 10.1,10.5.1 – Sparse

15-4

Gv14 10.1,10.5.1 – Sparse

Rayleigh-Ritz projection

Given: a subspace X known to contain good approximations to eigenvectors of A .

Question: How to extract 'best' approximations to eigenvalues/ eigenvectors from this subspace?

Answer: Orthogonal projection method

➤ Let $Q = [q_1, \dots, q_m]$ = orthonormal basis of X

➤ Orthogonal projection method onto X yields:

$$Q^H(A - \tilde{\lambda}I)\tilde{u} = 0 \rightarrow$$

➤ $Q^H A Q y = \tilde{\lambda} y$ where $\tilde{u} = Q y$ Known as **Rayleigh Ritz process**

15-5 Gvl4 10.1,10.5.1 – Sparse

Subspace Iteration

Original idea: projection technique onto a subspace of the form $Y = A^k X$

Practically: A^k replaced by suitable polynomial

Advantages:

- Easy to implement (in symmetric case);
- Easy to analyze;

Disadvantage: Slow.

➤ Often used with polynomial acceleration: $A^k X$ replaced by $C_k(A)X$. Typically C_k = Chebyshev polynomial.

15-7 Gvl4 10.1,10.5.1 – Sparse

Procedure:

1. Obtain an orthonormal basis of X
2. Compute $C = Q^H A Q$ (an $m \times m$ matrix)
3. Obtain Schur factorization of C , $C = Y R Y^H$
4. Compute $\tilde{U} = Q Y$

Property: if X is (exactly) invariant, then procedure will yield exact eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

Proof: Since X is invariant, $(A - \tilde{\lambda}I)u = Qz$ for a certain z . $Q^H Q z = 0$ implies $z = 0$ and therefore $(A - \tilde{\lambda}I)u = 0$.

➤ Can use this procedure in conjunction with the subspace obtained from subspace iteration algorithm

15-6 Gvl4 10.1,10.5.1 – Sparse

Algorithm: Subspace Iteration with Projection

1. **Start:** Choose an initial system of vectors $X = [x_0, \dots, x_m]$ and an initial polynomial C_k .
2. **Iterate:** Until convergence do:
 - (a) Compute $\hat{Z} = C_k(A)X$. [Simplest case: $\hat{Z} = AX$.]
 - (b) Orthonormalize \hat{Z} : $[Z, R_Z] = qr(\hat{Z}, 0)$
 - (c) Compute $B = Z^H A Z$
 - (d) Compute the Schur factorization $B = Y R_B Y^H$ of B
 - (e) Compute $X := Z Y$.
 - (f) Test for convergence. If satisfied stop. Else select a new polynomial C'_k and continue.

15-8 Gvl4 10.1,10.5.1 – Sparse

THEOREM: Let $S_0 = \text{span}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ and assume that S_0 is such that the vectors $\{Px_i\}_{i=1, \dots, m}$ are linearly independent where P is the spectral projector associated with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$. Let \mathcal{P}_k the orthogonal projector onto the subspace $S_k = \text{span}\{X_k\}$. Then for each eigenvector u_i of A , $i = 1, \dots, m$, there exists a unique vector s_i in the subspace S_0 such that $Ps_i = u_i$. Moreover, the following inequality is satisfied

$$\|(I - \mathcal{P}_k)u_i\|_2 \leq \|u_i - s_i\|_2 \left(\left| \frac{\lambda_{m+1}}{\lambda_i} \right| + \epsilon_k \right)^k, \quad (1)$$

where ϵ_k tends to zero as k tends to infinity.

KRYLOV SUBSPACE METHODS

Krylov subspace methods

Principle: Projection methods on Krylov subspaces:

$$K_m(A, v_1) = \text{span}\{v_1, Av_1, \dots, A^{m-1}v_1\}$$

- The most important class of projection methods [for linear systems and for eigenvalue problems]
- Variants depend on the subspace L
- Let $\mu = \text{deg. of minimal polynom. of } v_1$. Then:
 - $K_m = \{p(A)v_1 | p = \text{polynomial of degree } \leq m - 1\}$
 - $K_m = K_\mu$ for all $m \geq \mu$. Moreover, K_μ is invariant under A .
 - $\dim(K_m) = m$ iff $\mu \geq m$.

Arnoldi's algorithm

- Goal: to compute an orthogonal basis of K_m .
- Input: Initial vector v_1 , with $\|v_1\|_2 = 1$ and m .

ALGORITHM : 1 ■ *Arnoldi's procedure*

```

For j = 1, ..., m do
  Compute w := Av_j
  For i = 1, ..., j, do
    { h_{i,j} := (w, v_i)
      w := w - h_{i,j}v_i
    }
  h_{j+1,j} = \|w\|_2;
  v_{j+1} = w/h_{j+1,j}
End
    
```

- Based on Gram-Schmidt procedure

Result of Arnoldi's algorithm

$$\text{Let: } \bar{H}_m = \begin{pmatrix} x & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x \\ & x & x & x & x \\ & & x & x & x \\ & & & x & x \\ & & & & x \end{pmatrix}, H_m = \begin{pmatrix} x & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x \\ & x & x & x & x \\ & & x & x & x \\ & & & x & x \end{pmatrix}$$

Results:

1. $V_m = [v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m]$ orthonormal basis of K_m .
2. $AV_m = V_{m+1}\bar{H}_m = V_m H_m + h_{m+1,m} v_{m+1} e_m^T$
3. $V_m^T AV_m = H_m \equiv \bar{H}_m$ - last row.

Hermitian case: The Lanczos Algorithm

- The Hessenberg matrix becomes tridiagonal :

$$A = A^H \text{ and } V_m^H AV_m = H_m \rightarrow H_m = H_m^H$$

- Denote H_m by T_m and \bar{H}_m by \bar{T}_m . We can write

$$T_m = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_2 & & & \\ \beta_2 & \alpha_2 & \beta_3 & & \\ & \beta_3 & \alpha_3 & \beta_4 & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & & \beta_m & \alpha_m \end{pmatrix}$$

- Relation $AV_m = V_{m+1}\bar{T}_m$

Application to eigenvalue problems

- Write approximate eigenvector as $\tilde{u} = V_m y$

- Galerkin condition:

$$(A - \tilde{\lambda}I)V_m y \perp \mathcal{K}_m \rightarrow V_m^H (A - \tilde{\lambda}I)V_m y = 0$$

- Approximate eigenvalues are eigenvalues of H_m

$$H_m y_j = \tilde{\lambda}_j y_j$$

- Associated approximate eigenvectors are

$$\tilde{u}_j = V_m y_j$$

- Typically a few of the outermost eigenvalues will converge first.

- Consequence: three term recurrence

$$\beta_{j+1} v_{j+1} = A v_j - \alpha_j v_j - \beta_j v_{j-1}$$

ALGORITHM : 2. Lanczos

1. Choose an initial v_1 with $\|v_1\|_2 = 1$;
Set $\beta_1 \equiv 0, v_0 \equiv 0$
2. For $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ Do:
3. $w_j := A v_j - \beta_j v_{j-1}$
4. $\alpha_j := (w_j, v_j)$
5. $w_j := w_j - \alpha_j v_j$
6. $\beta_{j+1} := \|w_j\|_2$. If $\beta_{j+1} = 0$ then Stop
7. $v_{j+1} := w_j / \beta_{j+1}$
8. EndDo

Hermitian matrix + Arnoldi \rightarrow Hermitian Lanczos

- In theory v_i 's defined by 3-term recurrence are orthogonal.
- However: in practice severe loss of orthogonality;

Observation [Paige, 1981]: Loss of orthogonality starts suddenly, when the first eigenpair has converged. It is a sign of loss of linear independence of the computed eigenvectors. When orthogonality is lost, then several the copies of the same eigenvalue start appearing.

Reorthogonalization

- Full reorthogonalization – reorthogonalize v_{j+1} against all previous v_i 's every time.
- Partial reorthogonalization – reorthogonalize v_{j+1} against all previous v_i 's only when needed [Parlett & Simon]
- Selective reorthogonalization – reorthogonalize v_{j+1} against computed eigenvectors [Parlett & Scott]
- No reorthogonalization – Do not reorthogonalize - but take measures to deal with 'spurious' eigenvalues. [Cullum & Willoughby]

Lanczos Bidiagonalization

- We now deal with rectangular matrices. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$.

ALGORITHM : 3 ■ Golub-Kahan-Lanczos

1. Choose an initial v_1 with $\|v_1\|_2 = 1$;
Set $\beta_0 \equiv 0, u_0 \equiv 0$
2. For $k = 1, \dots, p$ Do:
3. $\hat{u} := Av_k - \beta_{k-1}u_{k-1}$
4. $\alpha_k = \|\hat{u}\|_2$; $u_k = \hat{u}/\alpha_k$
5. $\hat{v} = A^T u_k - \alpha_k v_k$
6. $\beta_k = \|\hat{v}\|_2$; $v_{k+1} := \hat{v}/\beta_k$
7. EndDo

Let: $V_{p+1} = [v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{p+1}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (p+1)}$
 $U_p = [u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$

Let:

$$B_p = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & & & & \\ & \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & \alpha_p & \beta_p \end{bmatrix};$$

- $\hat{B}_p = B_p(:, 1:p)$
- $V_p = [v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$

Result:

- $V_{p+1}^T V_{p+1} = I$
- $U_p^T U_p = I$
- $AV_p = U_p \hat{B}_p$
- $A^T U_p = V_{p+1} B_p^T$

► Observe that :

$$\begin{aligned} A^T(AV_p) &= A^T(U_p\hat{B}_p) \\ &= V_{p+1}B_p^T\hat{B}_p \end{aligned}$$

► $B_p^T\hat{B}_p$ is a (symmetric) tridiagonal matrix of size $(p+1) \times p$

► Call this matrix \overline{T}_k . Then:

$$(A^T A)V_p = V_{p+1}\overline{T}_p$$

► Standard Lanczos relation !

► Algorithm is equivalent to standard Lanczos applied to $A^T A$.

► Similar result for the u_i 's [involves AA^T]

Z01 Work out the details: What are the entries of \overline{T}_p relative to those of B_p ?