

# CHARACTER SHEAVES FOR SYMMETRIC PAIRS

KARI VILONEN AND TING XUE

ABSTRACT. We establish a Springer correspondence for classical symmetric pairs making use of Fourier transform, a nearby cycle sheaf construction and parabolic induction. In particular, we give an explicit description of character sheaves for classical symmetric pairs.

## CONTENTS

|   |    |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction                             | 2  |
| 2. Preliminaries                            | 5  |
| 3. General strategy                         | 8  |
| 4. Classical symmetric pairs                | 18 |
| 5. Character sheaves with nilpotent support | 22 |
| 6. Nearby cycle sheaves                     | 30 |
| 7. Character sheaves                        | 35 |
| 8. Proof of Theorems 7.3-7.5                | 41 |
| 9. The inner involutions on $SL(n)$         | 53 |
| Appendix A. Dual strata                     | 56 |
| Appendix B. Microlocalization               | 59 |
| Appendix C. The combinatorial formulas      | 61 |
| References                                  | 65 |

---

Kari Vilonen was supported in part by the ARC grants DP150103525 and DP180101445 and the Academy of Finland.

Ting Xue was supported in part by the ARC grants DP150103525 and DE160100975.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we work out a theory analogous to the generalized Springer correspondence of [L1] in the context of symmetric pairs. We concentrate on classical symmetric pairs, but our methods are general and should extend to other cases with some minor modifications.

Let  $G$  be a connected complex reductive algebraic group,  $\theta : G \rightarrow G$  an involution and we write  $K = G^\theta$  for the subgroup of fixed points of  $\theta$ . The pair  $(G, K)$  is called a symmetric pair. We write  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$  and let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$  be the decomposition into  $\theta$ -eigenspaces so that  $d\theta|_{\mathfrak{g}_i} = (-1)^i$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}$  denote the nilpotent cone of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and let  $\mathcal{N}_1 = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$  be the nilpotent cone in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ . We write  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$  for the set of irreducible  $K$ -equivariant perverse sheaves  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  whose singular support is nilpotent, i.e., for  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\text{SS}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_1$  where we have identified  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_1^*$  via a non-degenerate bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  which is both  $G$ -invariant and  $\theta$ -invariant. We call the sheaves in  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$  character sheaves.

The classical character sheaves on a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  can be viewed as a special case where one considers the pair  $(G \times G, G)$ , and  $\theta$  switches the factors in  $G \times G$ . Thus, in this paper we concentrate on the cases where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple. More precisely, the goal of this paper is to give a complete description of the set  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$  for symmetric pairs for classical groups. We concentrate on classical groups as those cases fully illustrate our method. Dealing with the other isogeny classes of the classical groups should proceed in the same manner. Just as in [L1] getting a complete description depends on a counting argument. Special cases have been considered before. The case  $(SL(2n), Sp(2n))$  was considered by Grinberg in [G3], Henderson in [H], and Lusztig in [L2]. The case of  $(GL(n), GL(p) \times GL(q))$  was considered by Lusztig in [L2] where he treats  $GL(n)$  in the case of arbitrary semi-simple inner automorphisms. In both of the cases above the Springer theory closely resembles the classical situation. In [CVX] we consider the case  $(SL(n), SO(n))$  where phenomena quite different from the classical case already occur.

Let us write  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)^f$  for character sheaves whose support is all of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ ; we call these character sheaves with full support. To produce full support character sheaves we rely on the nearby cycle construction in [GVX] which, in turn, is based on ideas in [G1, G2]. Sheaves in  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)^f$  are IC-sheaves of certain  $K$ -equivariant local systems on  $\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}$ , the regular semisimple locus of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ . The equivariant fundamental group  $\pi_1^K(\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs})$  is an extended braid group, see (2.2). In §3.3 we construct certain Hecke algebras, following [GVX], and show how their simple modules give us character sheaves with full support. For classical groups this procedure is carried out explicitly in Theorems 6.2 and 6.3. We eventually show that all character sheaves with full support arise from this construction.

The case of inner involutions on  $SL(n)$  behaves slightly differently as  $SL(n)$  has a larger center than the other classical groups. The center  $Z(G)^\theta$  breaks the set  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$  into a direct sum of  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)_\kappa$  over irreducible characters  $\kappa$  of  $Z(G)^\theta$ . For characters  $\kappa$  which are not of order 1 or 2 there will be no members in  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)_\kappa$  which have full support. To construct the character sheaves of maximal support in these cases we present a generalization of the nearby cycle construction in §3.6. We will make use of this generalized construction in Section 9.

Once we have the sheaves  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)^f$  with full support then everything else should arise by induction. We can actually do slightly better and only consider  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)^f$  for split pairs. As was discussed above the case of inner involutions on  $SL(n)$  behaves differently and we have to add more building blocks. With that in mind we make the following definition:

**Definition 1.1.** *The cuspidal character sheaves consist of*

- (1) *The skyscraper sheaf at the origin for the pair  $(GL(1), GL(1))$ ,*
- (2) *The constant sheaf  $\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{g}_1}$  for the pair  $(GL(2), GL(1) \times GL(1))$ ,*
- (3) *Full support character sheaves for split symmetric pairs,*
- (4) *For the quasi-split pair  $(SL(2p+1), S(GL(p+1) \times GL(p)))$  the IC-sheaves of the local systems on the regular nilpotent orbit associated to primitive characters of the component group of the centralizer group.*
- (5) *For the quasi-split pair  $(SL(2p), S(GL(p) \times GL(p)))$  the sheaves  $\text{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{p+p-}, \mathcal{T}_{\rho, \psi_{2p}})$  of (7.5) associated to primitive characters.*
- (6) *Classical cuspidal character sheaves of Lusztig.*

All character sheaves should arise via parabolic induction from cuspidal character sheaves of  $\theta$ -stable Levi subgroups. Conversely, the cuspidal character sheaves are not obtainable via parabolic induction from other character sheaves. In the case of classical groups we prove as Corollary 7.7 with the exception of the pairs  $(SL(n), S(GL(p) \times GL(q)))$  which we have not yet analyzed.

The items (1) and (2) in the above definition are self-explanatory. We comment on items (3), (4), and (5).

**Remark 1.2.** *The full support character sheaves are obtained from simple modules of Hecke algebras of [GVX] as explained in §3.3 and in greater detail in Theorem 6.3. These Hecke algebras are not usually associated to Weyl groups of subgroups of  $G$ . However, as explained in [GVX, §4.3], in the case of split symmetric pairs, i.e., in the cuspidal case, they are Hecke algebras associated to Weyl groups of subgroups of the dual group  $\check{G}$ .*

**Remark 1.3.** *The character sheaves in (4) are character sheaves with nilpotent support. The sheaves  $\text{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{p+p-}, \mathcal{T}_{\rho, \psi_{2p}})$  in (5) associated to primitive characters are obtained via the generalized nearby cycle construction of §3.6 as explained in Section 9.*

As already mentioned, the main goal of this paper is to write down explicitly all character sheaves in the case of classical symmetric pairs. This will, by necessity, heavily depend on the fine structure of classical groups. Therefore the paper contains many explicit constructions which depend on the type of the group.

At the other extreme from character sheaves with full support we have character sheaves with nilpotent support. We write  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)^n$  for these character sheaves. Such sheaves only occur when the involution  $\theta$  is inner. These character sheaves are all supported on Richardson orbits attached to  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroups. From a geometric point of view these are the nilpotent  $K$ -orbits whose closures are images of conormal bundles of closed  $K$ -orbits on the flag manifold  $G/B$  under the moment map. In other words, they are singular supports of discrete series representations. With this as motivation, they have been studied

and classified by Trapa in [T1]. We make use of this classification in our determination of  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)^n$  in Section 5.

To construct the remaining character sheaves we proceed as follows. For each nilpotent  $K$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  on  $\mathcal{N}_1$  we construct in §3.2 the corresponding dual stratum  $\check{\mathcal{O}} \subset \mathfrak{g}_1$ . The character sheaves are locally constant along the strata  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ . For the 0-orbit the dual stratum is  $\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}$ . Just as in the case of  $\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}$  the  $K$ -equivariant fundamental groups  $\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}})$  are extended braid groups, see (3.4). In §7.1 we determine explicitly, in the context of classical groups, the nilpotent orbits  $\mathcal{O}$  such that  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$  supports a character sheaf and we explicitly describe, analogously to the  $\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}$  case, the equivariant fundamental groups  $\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}})$  of these  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$  in terms of extended braid groups. We write down certain Hecke algebras and in terms of these we write down explicitly the local systems on the  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$  whose IC-sheaves are character sheaves.

Putting all of the above together we state our main results as Theorems 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, 7.5, and Corollary 7.7. These theorems provide an explicit description of character sheaves in the cases we consider in this paper.

In this paper we work with varieties over complex number and with sheaves with complex coefficients. However, we can use any field of characteristic zero as coefficients, although sometimes we require the field to contain roots of unity. In the paper [GVX] we work over the integers. Thus, it seems reasonable that one can also develop a modular theory along the lines of this paper. Furthermore, our results should hold for  $\ell$ -adic sheaves in the finite characteristic setting, but at the moment [GVX] is written in the classical topology only.

In [LY], the authors have studied the decomposition of the derived category  $D_K(\mathcal{N}_1)$  into blocks using spiral induction (or parahoric induction) where they treat the general case of semi-simple automorphisms. That can be viewed as another generalization of the Springer theory. It would be interesting to see the connections of our results with their work.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the preliminaries on symmetric pairs, restricted roots, little Weyl groups, and set up the notation. In Section 3 we describe the general strategy to determine the set  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ . We expect this to work for exceptional groups as well as general semi-simple automorphisms (with extra work). In Section 4 we give an explicit description of the classical symmetric pairs we work with and explicitly describe the associated Lie theoretic data. In Section 5 we describe the character sheaves with nilpotent support, i.e., those that are supported on a nilpotent  $K$ -orbit in  $\mathcal{N}_1$ . In Section 6 we apply the nearby cycle construction in [GVX] and describe the character sheaves with full support, i.e., those that are supported on all of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ . In Section 7 we state the main theorems, where the character sheaves are determined. In Section 8 we prove Theorems 7.3, 7.4, and 7.5 by combining the results in the previous sections, parabolic induction and counting arguments. The case of inner involutions on  $SL(n)$  (Theorem 7.2) is dealt with in Section 9. For this, we need a generalisation of the nearby cycle construction, which is given in §3.6. In Appendix A we discuss the dual strata in the classical situations and in Appendix B we discuss microlocalization as it applies in our context. Appendix C by Dennis Stanton contains proofs of combinatorial formulas which are crucial for the proofs in this paper.

**Acknowledgement.** We thank Jeff Adams, Volker Genz, Monty McGovern, Peter Trapa, David Vogan and Roger Zierau for helpful discussions. We also thank the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences at Kyoto University for hospitality and a good working environment. Special thanks are due to Misha Grinberg, Dennis Stanton, and Cheng-Chiang Tsai for invaluable discussions. Furthermore, Dennis Stanton has kindly supplied us with an appendix which is a crucial ingredient in our proofs.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the paper we work with algebraic groups over  $\mathbb{C}$  and with sheaves with complex coefficients. However, our statements are perfectly general and they should hold in other situations. For perverse sheaves we use the conventions of [BBD]. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a perverse sheaf up to a shift we often write  $\mathcal{F}[-]$  for the corresponding perverse sheaf.

**2.1. Character sheaves.** Let  $G$  be a connected reductive algebraic group over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\theta : G \rightarrow G$  an involution. We write  $K = G^\theta$ . The pair  $(G, K)$  is called a symmetric pair. If there exists a maximal torus  $A$  of  $G$  which is  $\theta$ -split, i.e.,  $\theta(t) = t^{-1}$  for all  $t \in A$ , then the pair is called split. The involution  $\theta$  is inner if and only if  $\text{rank } K = \text{rank } G$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$ . The involution  $\theta$  induces an involution on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which in turn gives a decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$  such that  $d\theta|_{\mathfrak{g}_i} = (-1)^i$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}$  denote the nilpotent cone of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and let  $\mathcal{N}_1 = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$ . Then  $K$  acts on  $\mathcal{N}_1$  with finitely many orbits [KR]. We identify  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_1^*$  via a  $K$ -invariant non-degenerate bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  (given by a  $G$ -invariant and  $\theta$ -invariant non-degenerate bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ ).

Let us write  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$  for the set of irreducible  $K$ -equivariant perverse sheaves  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  whose singular support is nilpotent, i.e., for  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\text{SS}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathcal{N}_1$ . This is the set of character sheaves on  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ .

Let us write  $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$  for the set of irreducible  $K$ -equivariant perverse sheaves on  $\mathcal{N}_1$ . This set is parametrized by pairs  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E})$ , where  $\mathcal{O}$  is a  $K$ -orbit on  $\mathcal{N}_1$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  is an irreducible  $K$ -equivariant local system on  $\mathcal{O}$  (up to isomorphism), i.e.,

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{g}, K) = \{ \text{IC}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E}) \mid \mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{N}_1 \text{ is a } K\text{-orbit and } \mathcal{E} \text{ is an irreducible } K\text{-equivariant local system on } \mathcal{O} \text{ (up to isomorphism)} \}.$$

Consider the Fourier transform

$$\mathfrak{F} : P_K(\mathfrak{g}_1)_{\mathbb{C}^*\text{-conic}} \rightarrow P_K(\mathfrak{g}_1)_{\mathbb{C}^*\text{-conic}},$$

where  $P_K(\mathfrak{g}_1)_{\mathbb{C}^*\text{-conic}}$  is the category of  $K$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -conic perverse sheaves on  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ . The functor  $\mathfrak{F}$  is an equivalence of categories and by definition

$$\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{g}, K)) = \text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K).$$

This implies, in particular, that the set  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$  is finite. Note that Lusztig calls the sheaves in  $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$  orbital complexes and the character sheaves in  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$  anti-orbital complexes.

There are two important extreme cases of character sheaves. The case when their support is all of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ ; in this case we say that the character sheaf has full support and write  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)^f$  for them. The other extreme occurs when their support is nilpotent; in this case we say that the character sheaf has nilpotent support and we write  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)^n$  for them.

**2.2. Restricted roots and the little Weyl group.** Let  $(G, K)$  be a symmetric pair. We fix a maximal abelian subspace  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ . We write  $A$  for the maximal  $\theta$ -split torus of  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Recall that  $\theta$ -split means that  $\theta(a) = a^{-1}$  for all  $a \in A$ . Let  $T \supset A$  be a  $\theta$ -stable maximal torus of  $G$ . We have  $\text{Lie } T = \mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{c}$ , where  $\mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$ .

Let  $\Phi \subset X^*(T) = \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{G}_m)$  be the root system of  $(\mathfrak{g}, T)$ . For each  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , we write  $\check{\alpha} \in X_*(T) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T)$  for the corresponding coroot. Let  $\Phi^{\text{R}} \subset \Phi$  (resp.  $\Phi^{\text{Im}} \subset \Phi$ ,  $\Phi^{\text{Cx}} \subset \Phi$ ) be the set of real (resp. imaginary, complex) roots, where we say that

a root  $\alpha \in \Phi$  is real (resp. imaginary, complex), if  $\alpha|_{\mathfrak{c}} = 0$  (resp.  $\alpha|_{\mathfrak{a}} = 0$ , otherwise),  
or equivalently, if  $\theta\alpha = -\alpha$  (resp.  $\theta\alpha = \alpha$ , otherwise).

Then  $\Phi^{\text{R}}$  and  $\Phi^{\text{Im}}$  are subroot systems of  $\Phi$ . Furthermore, let  $\rho^{\text{R}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^{\text{R},+}} \alpha$ ,  $\rho^{\text{Im}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^{\text{Im},+}} \alpha$  (with respect to some positive systems of  $\Phi^{\text{R}}$  and  $\Phi^{\text{Im}}$  respectively) and let

$$\Phi^{\text{C}} = \{\alpha \in \Phi^{\text{Cx}} \mid (\alpha, \rho^{\text{R}}) = (\alpha, \rho^{\text{Im}}) = 0\}.$$

Then  $\Phi^{\text{C}}$  is also a sub root system of  $\Phi$ .

Let  $W_{\mathfrak{a}} := N_K(\mathfrak{a})/Z_K(\mathfrak{a})$  be the little Weyl group of the pair  $(G, K)$ . We have (see [V, Proposition 4.16])

$$W_{\mathfrak{a}} \cong W^{\text{R}} \times (W^{\text{C}})^{\theta}$$

where  $W^{\text{C}}$  (resp.  $W^{\text{R}}$ ) is the Weyl group of the root system  $\Phi^{\text{C}}$  (resp.  $\Phi^{\text{R}}$ ) and  $(W^{\text{C}})^{\theta} \subset W^{\text{C}}$  is the subgroup that consists of the elements in  $W^{\text{C}}$  commuting with  $\theta$ . It is well-known that  $W_{\mathfrak{a}}$  is also the Weyl group of the restricted root system, denoted by  $\Sigma$ , i.e., the not necessarily reduced root system formed by the restrictions of  $\alpha \in \Phi$  to  $\mathfrak{a}$ . We have

$$\mathfrak{g} = Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\bar{\alpha} \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{\alpha}},$$

where we write  $\bar{\alpha}$  for restricted roots and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{\alpha}}$  for the corresponding root space. Note that  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{\alpha}}$  is not necessarily one dimensional. In particular, if  $\bar{\alpha}$  is the restriction of a complex root  $\alpha$ , then the root space  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{\alpha}}$  is at least two dimensional, since  $\alpha$  and  $-\theta\alpha$  restricts to the same restricted root  $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha - \theta\alpha)/2$ .

Let  $s \in W_{\mathfrak{a}}$  be a reflection, i.e.,  $s = s_{\bar{\alpha}}$  for some  $\bar{\alpha} \in \Sigma$ . We define

$$(2.1) \quad \delta(s) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\bar{\alpha} \in \Sigma \\ s\bar{\alpha} = s}} \dim \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{\alpha}}.$$

If  $\delta(s) = 1$ , we write  $\alpha_s$  for the unique positive real root in  $\Phi^{\text{R}}$  such that  $s_{\bar{\alpha}_s} = s$ .

**2.3. The equivariant fundamental group.** We say that an element  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  is regular in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  if  $\dim Z_K(x) \leq \dim Z_K(y)$  for all  $y \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}$  denote the set of regular semisimple elements in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  and set  $\mathfrak{a}^{rs} = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}$ . We write  $\pi_1^K(\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}, a)$  for the equivariant fundamental group with base point  $a \in \mathfrak{a}^{rs}$ .

Consider the adjoint quotient map

$$f : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1 // K \cong \mathfrak{a} / W_{\mathfrak{a}}.$$

As in [GVX] it gives rise to the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & I & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{B}_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}} := \pi_1^K(\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}, a) & \xrightarrow{\bar{q}} & B_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}} \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \bar{p} & & \downarrow p \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & I & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{W}_{\mathfrak{a}} := N_K(\mathfrak{a}) / Z_K(\mathfrak{a})^0 & \xrightarrow{q} & W_{\mathfrak{a}} \longrightarrow 1, \end{array}$$

where

$$I = Z_K(\mathfrak{a}) / Z_K(\mathfrak{a})^0$$

is a 2-group and  $B_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}} = \pi_1(\mathfrak{a}^{rs} / W_{\mathfrak{a}}, \bar{a})$  is the braid group associated to  $W_{\mathfrak{a}}$ ,  $\bar{a} = f(a)$ .

In [GVX], we have constructed an explicit splitting of the top exact sequence and thus we write

$$(2.3) \quad \widetilde{B}_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}} \simeq I \rtimes B_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}},$$

and we note that the braid group  $B_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}}$  acts on  $I$  through the quotient  $p : B_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}} \rightarrow W_{\mathfrak{a}}$ . Such a splitting is not unique. The example in §3.7 illustrates how the splitting affects the labelling of character sheaves.

**2.4. Weyl groups and Hecke algebras.** Let us write

$W_n$  for the Weyl group of type  $B_n$  (or  $C_n$ ).

We use the convention that  $W_0 = \{1\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_{W_n, c_0, c_1}$  denote the Hecke algebra generated by  $T_{s_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , with relations

$$\begin{aligned} T_{s_i} T_{s_j} &= T_{s_j} T_{s_i}, \quad |i - j| > 1, \quad T_{s_i} T_{s_{i+1}} T_{s_i} = T_{s_{i+1}} T_{s_i} T_{s_{i+1}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 2, \\ T_{s_{n-1}} T_{s_n} T_{s_{n-1}} T_{s_n} &= T_{s_n} T_{s_{n-1}} T_{s_n} T_{s_{n-1}}, \\ (T_{s_i} - c_0)(T_{s_i} + 1) &= 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 1; \quad (T_{s_n} - c_1)(T_{s_n} + 1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

When  $c_0 = 1$  and  $c_1 = -1$ , the group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[S_n]$  is naturally a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{H}_{W_n, 1, -1}$ . It is shown in [DJ, §5.4] that we get a natural bijection between the set of simple modules of  $\mathbb{C}[S_n]$  and the set of simple modules of  $\mathcal{H}_{W_n, 1, -1}$ : each simple module of  $\mathbb{C}[S_n]$  naturally extends to a simple module of  $\mathcal{H}_{W_n, 1, -1}$  by letting  $T_{s_n}$  act by  $-1$ .

Let us write  $\mathcal{P}(n)$  for the set of partitions of  $n$ . For each  $\beta \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ , we write  $L_{\beta}$  for the simple  $\mathcal{H}_{W_n, 1, -1}$ -module indexed by  $\beta$ . We write

$$(2.4) \quad \text{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_{W_n, 1, -1}) = \{L_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \mathcal{P}(n)\}.$$

When  $c_0 = c_1 = 1$ , the set of simple  $\mathcal{H}_{W_n,1,1} = \mathbb{C}[W_n]$ -modules is parametrized by  $\mathcal{P}_2(n)$ , the set of bi-partitions of  $n$ , i.e., the set of pairs of partitions  $(\mu, \nu)$  with  $|\mu| + |\nu| = n$ . We write

$$\text{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_{W_n,1,1}) = \{L_\rho \mid \rho \in \mathcal{P}_2(n)\}.$$

Let  $d(k)$  (resp.  $e(k)$ ) denote the number of simple modules of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{W_k,-1,-1}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{H}_{W_k,-1,1}$ ). By [AM], we have the following generating functions

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_k d(k)x^k = \prod_{s \geq 1} (1 + x^{2s})(1 + x^s), \quad \sum_k e(k)x^k = \prod_{s \geq 1} (1 + x^{2s-1})(1 + x^s).$$

Let us write

$$W'_n \text{ for the Weyl group of type } D_n.$$

We use the convention that  $W'_0 = \{1\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_{W'_n,-1}$  denote the Hecke algebra of the Weyl group of type  $D_n$  with parameter  $-1$ . According to [G],

$$(2.6) \quad \text{the number of simple modules of } \mathcal{H}_{W'_n,-1} \text{ is } \frac{e(n)}{2}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

### 3. GENERAL STRATEGY

In this section we describe our general strategy to determine character sheaves for symmetric pairs. We will carry out this strategy for the classical symmetric pairs in the subsequent sections. The strategy works also for exceptional groups. We refer the readers to this section for notational conventions.

**3.1. Central character.** Let us consider  $Z(G)^\theta$ . It acts on the category  $P_K(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  and of course also on the corresponding derived category  $D_K(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ . Both of these categories break into a direct sum of subcategories  $P_K(\mathfrak{g}_1)_\kappa$  and  $D_K(\mathfrak{g}_1)_\kappa$  where  $\kappa$  runs through the irreducible characters  $\kappa : Z(G)^\theta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ . The full subcategories  $P_K(\mathfrak{g}_1)_\kappa$  and  $D_K(\mathfrak{g}_1)_\kappa$  consist of objects on which  $Z(G)^\theta$  acts via the character  $\kappa$ . In particular, both  $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$  and  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$  break into direct sums of subcategories  $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{g}, K)_\kappa$  and  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)_\kappa$ . The Fourier transform preserves the central character and so we have

$$\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{g}, K)_\kappa) = \text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)_\kappa.$$

The central character will play a crucial role when we work with  $SL(n)$  and inner involutions.

**3.2. Dual strata for symmetric spaces and supports of character sheaves.** In this section we extend the discussion in Appendix A to symmetric pairs.

We consider a reductive group  $G$  with an involution  $\theta$  and a fixed point group  $K = G^\theta$  as well as our usual decomposition of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ . For each nilpotent  $K$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\mathcal{N}_1$  we consider its conormal bundle

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}} = T_{\mathcal{O}}^* \mathfrak{g}_1 = \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_1 \mid x \in \mathcal{O} \ [x, y] = 0\}.$$

Let us consider the projection  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  of  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}$  to the second coordinate:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \{y \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \mid \text{there exist an } x \in \mathcal{O} \text{ with } [x, y] = 0\}.$$

We will construct an open (dense) subset  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  such that the projection  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{O}}$  has constant maximum rank above  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ . Thus the  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$  are submanifolds of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  and they have the following property:

For any  $\mathcal{F} \in P_K(\mathcal{N}_1)$  the Fourier transform  $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{F})$  is smooth along all the  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ .

This property follows from the fact that the Fourier transform preserves the singular support. Moreover, for each  $\text{IC}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E}) \in P_K(\mathcal{N}_1)$ ,

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Supp } \mathfrak{F}(\text{IC}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E})) = \overline{\check{\mathcal{O}}'}, \text{ for some } \mathcal{O}' \subset \bar{\mathcal{O}}.$$

As in Appendix A we consider the adjoint quotient  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \xrightarrow{f} \mathfrak{g}_1//K \cong \mathfrak{a}/W_{\mathfrak{a}}$ . We have:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{\mathcal{O}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & f(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathfrak{a}^{\phi}/W_{\mathfrak{a}^{\phi}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g}_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathfrak{g}_1//K & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathfrak{a}/W_{\mathfrak{a}} \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are inclusions and the upper righthand corner is constructed as follows. Let us consider an element  $e \in \mathcal{O}$  and a normal  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple  $\phi = (e, f, h)$  such that  $f \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $h \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ . Recall that we have

$$\mathfrak{g}^e = \mathfrak{g}^{\phi} \oplus \mathfrak{u}^e,$$

where  $\mathfrak{g}^{\phi} = \mathfrak{g}^e \cap \mathfrak{g}^h$ ,  $\mathfrak{u} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \mathfrak{g}(i)$ , see (A.1). Thus

$$\mathfrak{g}_1^e = \mathfrak{g}_1^{\phi} \oplus (\mathfrak{u}^e \cap \mathfrak{g}_1).$$

Let  $\mathfrak{a}^{\phi} \subset \mathfrak{g}_1^{\phi}$  be a maximal abelian subspace such that every semisimple element in  $\mathfrak{g}_1^{\phi}$  is  $K^{\phi}$ -conjugate to some element in  $\mathfrak{a}^{\phi}$ , where  $K^{\phi} = G^{\phi} \cap K = Z_K(e) \cap Z_K(f) \cap Z_K(h)$ . We choose  $\mathfrak{a}^{\phi}$  such that it lies in  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Let  $W_{\mathfrak{a}^{\phi}}$  be the little Weyl group  $N_{K^{\phi}}(\mathfrak{a}^{\phi})/Z_{K^{\phi}}(\mathfrak{a}^{\phi})$ . The same argument as in Appendix A shows that  $f(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}) \cong \mathfrak{a}^{\phi}/W_{\mathfrak{a}^{\phi}}$  and we write

$$\tilde{f} : \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}^{\phi}/W_{\mathfrak{a}^{\phi}}.$$

Note also that, analogously to (A.2) we have

$$(3.2) \quad N_K(\mathfrak{a}^{\phi})/Z_K(\mathfrak{a}^{\phi}) = N_{K^{\phi}}(\mathfrak{a}^{\phi})/Z_{K^{\phi}}(\mathfrak{a}^{\phi}) = W_{\mathfrak{a}^{\phi}}.$$

For an element  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ , we write  $x = x_s + x_n$  for the Jordan decomposition of  $x$  into semisimple part  $x_s$  and nilpotent part  $x_n$ . Then  $x_s, x_n \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $[x_s, x_n] = 0$ . Let  $(\mathfrak{a}^{\phi})^{rs}$  denote the regular semisimple locus of  $\mathfrak{a}^{\phi}$  defined with respect to the symmetric pair  $(G^{\phi}, K^{\phi})$ . Proceeding again as in Appendix A we have the following:

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $x = x_s + x_n \in \mathfrak{g}_1^e$  and  $x_s \in (\mathfrak{a}^{\phi})^{rs}$ , then  $x_n \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ .*

We now define

$$(3.3) \quad \check{\mathcal{O}} = \{y \in \check{\mathcal{O}} \mid y = y_s + y_n, \tilde{f}(y) \in (\mathfrak{a}^\phi)^{rs}/W_{\mathfrak{a}^\phi}, y_n \in \mathcal{O}\}.$$

This establishes a correspondence:

$$\mathcal{O} \leftrightarrow \check{\mathcal{O}}.$$

Repeating the arguments in Appendix A we obtain the following description of the equivariant fundamental groups of  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ :

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & Z_{K^\phi}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi)/Z_{K^\phi}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi)^0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{K^\phi}((\mathfrak{g}_1^\phi)^{rs}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{q}} & B_{W_{\mathfrak{a}^\phi}} \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & Z_K(\mathfrak{a}^\phi + e)/Z_K(\mathfrak{a}^\phi + e)^0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{q}} & B_{W_{\mathfrak{a}^\phi}} \longrightarrow 1, \end{array}$$

where  $B_{W_{\mathfrak{a}^\phi}} = \pi_1((\mathfrak{a}^\phi)^{rs}/W_{\mathfrak{a}^\phi})$  is the braid group associated to  $W_{\mathfrak{a}^\phi}$ . Recall that, as in Appendix A, we use the terminology ‘‘braid group’’ even when  $W_{\mathfrak{a}^\phi}$  is not a Coxeter group.

In view of (3.1), each character sheaf is supported on some  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ . For each symmetric pair considered here, we will describe explicitly the set of nilpotent orbits  $\mathcal{O}$  for which the corresponding  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$  supports a character sheaf. We also write down the representations of  $\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}}) = \pi_1^{K^\phi}((\mathfrak{g}_1^\phi)^{rs})$  whose IC-sheaves are character sheaves. When  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$  supports a character sheaf then  $W_{\mathfrak{a}^\phi}$  turns out to be a Coxeter group and the rows in (3.4) can be split as in (2.2) following [GVX, Section 4.4]. We will later construct such explicit splittings. The choice of such a splitting will affect the way in which the character sheaves are labelled.

**3.3. Character sheaves with full support.** In this section we recall the main construction from [GVX] and explain how it will be used to construct character sheaves with full support. All character sheaves with full support are of the form  $\mathrm{IC}(\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}, \mathcal{L})$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  is an irreducible  $K$ -equivariant local system on  $\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}$ . For split symmetric pairs these character sheaves can be considered to be cuspidal, i.e., they do not arise by induction from  $\theta$ -stable Levi subgroups.

Let us recall the notation from §2.3. We write  $X_{\bar{a}} = f^{-1}(\bar{a})$  for the fiber of the adjoint quotient map  $f : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}/W_{\mathfrak{a}}$  at  $\bar{a} \in \mathfrak{a}^{rs}/W_{\mathfrak{a}}$ . Let  $\hat{I}$  denote the set of irreducible characters of the 2-group  $I$ . Consider a character  $\chi \in \hat{I}$  and note that the equivariant fundamental group of  $X_{\bar{a}}$  is given by

$$\pi_1^K(X_{\bar{a}}, a) \cong I = Z_K(\mathfrak{a})/Z_K(\mathfrak{a})^0,$$

where  $f(a) = \bar{a}$ . Therefore, the character  $\chi$  gives rise to a rank one  $K$ -equivariant local system  $\mathcal{L}_\chi$  on  $X_{\bar{a}}$ . We base change  $f$  to the family

$$f_{\bar{a}} : \mathcal{Z}_{\bar{a}} = \{(x, c) \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathbb{C} \mid f(x) = c\bar{a}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

where the  $\mathbb{C}$ -action on  $\mathfrak{a}/W_{\mathfrak{a}}$  is induced by the action on  $\mathfrak{a}$  so that  $f(ca) = cf(a)$ . By construction this family is  $K$ -equivariant. We define the nearby cycle sheaf associated to  $\chi \in \hat{I}$  as

$$(3.5) \quad P_\chi = \psi_{f_{\bar{a}}} \mathcal{L}_\chi[-] \in \mathrm{Perv}_K(\mathcal{N}_1).$$

As the group  $K$  is not necessarily connected, a character of the component group  $K/K^0 = I/I^0$  enters the description of the Fourier transform  $\mathfrak{F}P_\chi$ , where we have written

$$I^0 = Z_{K^0}(\mathfrak{a})/Z_{K^0}(\mathfrak{a})^0,$$

see [GVX, §3.3]. It was denoted by  $\tau$  there but we denote it by  $\iota$  in this paper, i.e.,

$$(3.6) \quad \iota : I \rightarrow I/I^0 = K/K^0 \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$$

is the character determined by the action of  $M_{\mathbb{R}}/(M_{\mathbb{R}} \cap K_{\mathbb{R}}^0) \cong K/K^0$  on  $\wedge^{\text{top}}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{R}})$ , where  $K_{\mathbb{R}}$  is the compact form of  $K$ ,  $M_{\mathbb{R}} = Z_{K_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathfrak{a})$ ,  $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{Lie } K_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{Lie } M_{\mathbb{R}}$ . In particular, if  $K = K^0$ , then  $\iota$  is the trivial character. We also recall that the  $W_{\mathfrak{a}}$  action on  $\hat{I}$  leaves  $\iota$  fixed. Let  $\mathbb{C}_\iota$  denote the rank one  $K$ -equivariant local system on  $\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}$  given by the representation of  $\pi_1^K(\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}) = I \rtimes B_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}}$  where  $I$  acts via the character  $\iota$  and  $B_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}}$  acts trivially. We have ([GVX, Theorem 3.2])

$$(3.7) \quad \mathfrak{F}P_\chi = \text{IC}(\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}, \mathcal{M}_\chi \otimes \mathbb{C}_\iota)$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_\chi$  is the  $K$ -equivariant local system on  $\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}$  given by the following  $\tilde{B}_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}} = \pi_1^K(\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs})$  representation

$$M_\chi = \mathbb{C}[\tilde{B}_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\tilde{B}_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}}^{\chi,0}]} (\mathbb{C}_\chi \otimes \mathcal{H}_{W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}^0}).$$

To explain the notations in the above formula, recall the map  $p : B_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}} \rightarrow W_{\mathfrak{a}}$  in (2.2). We write

$$W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi} = \text{Stab}_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}}(\chi) \subset W_{\mathfrak{a}}, \quad B_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}}^\chi = p^{-1}(W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}) \subset B_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}}.$$

Recall the Coxeter group  $W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}^0 \subset W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}$  defined by

$$(3.8) \quad W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}^0 = \langle \text{reflections } s \in W_{\mathfrak{a}} \text{ such that, either } \delta(s) > 1, \\ \text{or } \delta(s) = 1 \text{ and } \chi(\check{\alpha}_s(-1)) = 1 \rangle,$$

where  $\delta(s)$  is defined in (2.1) and we view  $\check{\alpha}_s(-1)$  as an element in  $I$  via the natural projection  $Z_K(\mathfrak{a}) \rightarrow I$ . The quotient  $W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}/W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}^0$  is a 2-group. We set

$$B_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}}^{\chi,0} = p^{-1}(W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}^0) \subset B_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{B}_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}}^{\chi,0} = I \rtimes B_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}}^{\chi,0} \subset \tilde{B}_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}} \quad (\text{see (2.3)}).$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}_{W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}^0}$  be the Hecke algebra associated to the Coxeter group  $W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}^0$  defined as follows. We choose a set of simple reflections  $s_{\check{\alpha}_1}, \dots, s_{\check{\alpha}_\ell}$  that generate the Coxeter group  $W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}^0$ . We write  $T_i$  for the generators of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}^0}$  associated to the simple reflections  $s_{\check{\alpha}_i}$ . Then the Hecke algebra is generated by the  $T_i$  subject to the braid relations plus the relations

$$(T_i - 1)(T_i + q_i) = 0, \quad q_i = (-1)^{\delta(s_{\check{\alpha}_i})}.$$

Now  $\mathbb{C}_\chi \otimes \mathcal{H}_{W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}^0}$  is the  $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{B}_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}}^{\chi,0}]$ -module where  $I$  acts via the character  $\chi$  and  $B_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}}^{\chi,0}$  acts via the composition of maps  $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{B}_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}}^{\chi,0}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[B_{W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}^0}] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}^0}$ . Here  $B_{W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}^0}$  is the braid group associated to the Coxeter group  $W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}^0$ . The first map is induced by the map  $B_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}}^{\chi,0} = \pi_1(\mathfrak{a}^{rs}/W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}^0) \rightarrow B_{W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}^0} = \pi_1(\mathfrak{a}_\chi^{rs}/W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}^0)$ , which in turn is induced by the inclusion  $\mathfrak{a}_\chi^{rs} \subset \mathfrak{a}^{rs}$ , where  $\mathfrak{a}_\chi^{rs}$  is the root hyperplane arrangement corresponding to  $W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}^0$ . The second map is given by the natural projection map  $\mathbb{C}[B_{W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}^0}] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}^0}$ .

Let us write

$$(3.9) \quad \Theta_{(G,K)} = \{\text{irreducible representations of } \pi_1^K(\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}) \text{ that appear as composition factors of } M_\chi \otimes \mathbb{C}_\iota, \chi \in \hat{I}\}.$$

For each  $\rho \in \Theta_{(G,K)}$ , we write  $\mathcal{L}_\rho$  for the corresponding  $K$ -equivariant local system on  $\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}$ . It follows from (3.5) and (3.7) that

$$(3.10) \quad \text{IC}(\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}, \mathcal{L}_\rho) \in \text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)^f, \quad \rho \in \Theta_{(G,K)}.$$

We will show that for the symmetric pairs considered in this paper,

$$(3.11) \quad \{\text{IC}(\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}, \mathcal{L}_\rho) \mid \rho \in \Theta_{(G,K)}\} = \text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)^f.$$

To determine the set  $\Theta_{(G,K)}$ , it suffices to determine the composition factors of  $M_\chi$ . Let us note that

$$M_\chi = \mathbb{C}[\tilde{B}_{W_a}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\tilde{B}_{W_a}^{x,0}]} (\mathbb{C}_\chi \otimes \mathcal{H}_{W_{a,x}^0}) = \mathbb{C}[\tilde{B}_{W_a}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\tilde{B}_{W_a}^x]} \otimes (\mathbb{C}_\chi \otimes (\mathbb{C}[B_{W_a}^x] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[B_{W_a}^{x,0}]} \mathcal{H}_{W_{a,x}^0})).$$

Making use of the semidirect product decomposition (2.3) we conclude that the irreducible representations of  $\tilde{B}_{W_a}$  appearing as composition factors of  $M_\chi$  are of the form  $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{B}_{W_a}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\tilde{B}_{W_a}^x]} \otimes (\mathbb{C}_\chi \otimes \rho)$ , where  $\rho$  is an irreducible representation of  $B_{W_a}^x$  which appears as a composition factor in  $\mathbb{C}[B_{W_a}^x] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[B_{W_a}^{x,0}]} \mathcal{H}_{W_{a,x}^0}$ . Since  $B_{W_a}^x/B_{W_a}^{x,0}$  is a 2-group, it suffices to study the decomposition  $\mathbb{C}[B_{W_a}^x] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[B_{W_a}^{x,0}]} \tau$  using Clifford theory, where  $\tau$  is a simple module of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{W_{a,x}^0}$ . In particular, we see that all irreducible representations of  $\tilde{B}_{W_a}$  which appear as composition factors of  $M_\chi \otimes \mathbb{C}_\iota$  can be obtained as quotients of  $M_\chi \otimes \mathbb{C}_\iota$ .

**3.4. Character sheaves with nilpotent support.** In this subsection we classify character sheaves with nilpotent support. They exist in the cases we consider only when  $\theta$  is inner and one expects that to be the case in general, i.e., it should also hold for exceptional groups.

Let us note that if the Fourier transform of  $\text{IC}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E})$  has nilpotent support then the support of the Fourier transform is also  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ . Moreover  $\mathcal{O} = \check{\mathcal{O}}$  and so  $\mathcal{O}$  is self dual. This can be seen as follows. Let us assume that  $\mathfrak{F} \text{IC}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E}) = \text{IC}(\mathcal{O}', \mathcal{E}')$ . By (3.1),  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}' = \check{\mathcal{O}}''$ , where  $\mathcal{O}'' \subset \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ . Now if  $\check{\mathcal{O}}''$  is nilpotent, then  $\check{\mathcal{O}}'' = \mathcal{O}''$ . We conclude that  $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}'' \subset \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ . Similarly, we have  $\mathcal{O} \subset \bar{\mathcal{O}}'$ . Thus  $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}$ . In particular, we see that if the Fourier transform of  $\text{IC}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E})$  has nilpotent support, then  $\mathcal{O}$  is distinguished. We say that an element  $e \in \mathcal{N}_1$  is distinguished if all elements in  $\mathfrak{g}_1^e := Z_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(e)$  are nilpotent, and an orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  is distinguished if any, and hence all, elements in  $\mathcal{O}$  are distinguished.

Here is a general construction of character sheaves with nilpotent support. Let us write  $X$  for the flag manifold of  $G$  and let us consider a closed  $K$ -orbit  $Q$  on  $X$  and its conormal bundle  $T_Q^*X$ . We have a moment map  $\mu : T_Q^*X \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1$ . Let  $B \subset G$  be a point on  $Q$ , i.e., a  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroup. Let  $\mathfrak{b} = \text{Lie } B$  and let  $\mathfrak{n}$  be the nilpotent radical of  $\mathfrak{b}$ . We write  $\mathfrak{b}_i = \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{g}_i$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_i = \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{g}_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ . Since  $\theta$  is inner, we have that (see, for example, [L2, §3.2])

$$(3.12) \quad \mathfrak{b}_1 = \mathfrak{n}_1.$$

Writing  $B_K = B \cap K = B^\theta$  we can identify  $T_Q^*X = K \times^{B_K} \mathfrak{n}_1$ . Using the functoriality of Fourier transform and (3.12), we see that

$$\mathfrak{F}(\mu_* \mathbb{C}_{T_Q^*X}[-]) \cong \mu_* \mathbb{C}_{T_Q^*X}[-].$$

It follows that all direct summands of  $\mu_* \mathbb{C}_{T_Q^*X}[-]$  are character sheaves with nilpotent support (up to shift).

To describe the character sheaves that arise in this way, let us first note that the image of  $\mu(T_Q^*X)$  is the closure of the unique  $K$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\mathcal{N}_1$  such that  $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{b}_1 = \mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{n}_1$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{b}_1 = \mathfrak{n}_1$ , where  $B \in Q$ ; we denote this orbit by  $\mathcal{O}_B$ . In this situation we say that the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_B$  is the Richardson orbit attached to (the  $K$ -orbit of) the  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroup  $B$ . Let us now write

$$\pi_B : K \times^{B_K} \mathfrak{n}_1 \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{O}}_B \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\pi}_B : K \times^{B_K} \mathfrak{n}_1^r \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B$$

for the map  $\mu$  and its restriction to the inverse image of  $\mathcal{O}_B$ ; here  $\mathfrak{n}_1^r = \mathfrak{n}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_B$ . Let us note that two  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroups that are not conjugate under  $K$  can give rise to the same Richardson orbit. Let  $\underline{\mathcal{N}}_1 = \mathcal{N}_1/K$  denote the set of  $K$ -orbits on  $\mathcal{N}_1$ . We write

$$(3.13) \quad \underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^0 = \{\text{Richardson orbits attached to } \theta\text{-stable Borel subgroups}\} \subset \underline{\mathcal{N}}_1.$$

Let  $A_K(\mathcal{O}) := A_K(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{O}$ , where  $A_K(x) := Z_K(x)/Z_K(x)^0$  is the component group of the centralizer. We write  $\hat{A}_K(\mathcal{O})$  for the set of irreducible characters of  $A_K(\mathcal{O})$ .

For an orbit  $\mathcal{O} \in \underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^0$ , let  $\Pi_{\mathcal{O}} \subset \hat{A}_K(\mathcal{O})$  denote the set of irreducible characters of  $A_K(\mathcal{O})$  which appear in the permutation representations of  $A_K(\mathcal{O})$  on the sets of irreducible components of  $\pi_B^{-1}(x)$ , where  $x \in \mathcal{O}$  and  $B$  runs through the  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroups with  $\mathcal{O}_B = \mathcal{O}$  (up to  $K$ -conjugacy). Given an irreducible character  $\phi$  of  $A_K(\mathcal{O})$ , we write  $\mathcal{E}_\phi$  for the irreducible  $K$ -equivariant local system on  $\mathcal{O}$  corresponding to  $\phi$ . The  $\text{IC}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E}_\phi)$  are character sheaves with nilpotent support. We see this as follows. Let us write  $d$  for the fiber dimension of the fibration  $\hat{\pi}_B$ . Decomposing  $R^d(\hat{\pi}_B)_*(\mathbb{C})$  into direct summands

$$R^d(\hat{\pi}_B)_*(\mathbb{C}) \cong \bigoplus_{\phi \in \Pi_{\mathcal{O}_B}} \mathcal{E}_\phi$$

and running through all the closed  $K$ -orbits on  $X$  we obtain the set of the  $\mathcal{E}_\phi$  as above.

We will show that, for the classical symmetric pairs studied in this paper (excluding the  $SL(n)$  case), we can obtain all character sheaves with nilpotent support in this way:

$$(3.14) \quad \text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)^n = \left\{ \text{IC}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E}_\phi) \mid \mathcal{O} \in \underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^0, \phi \in \Pi_{\mathcal{O}} \right\}.$$

As a matter of fact we can do even better. Let  $\mathcal{O} \in \underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^0$ . Recall that it can happen  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{B_1} = \mathcal{O}_{B_2}$  with  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  not  $K$ -conjugate. In this case, the sets of irreducible components of  $\pi_{B_1}^{-1}(x)$  and  $\pi_{B_2}^{-1}(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{O}$  can be different. However, we will show that we can find a  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroup  $B$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_B = \mathcal{O}$  and such that  $\Pi_{\mathcal{O}}$  coincides with the set of irreducible characters of  $A_K(\mathcal{O})$  which appear in the permutation representation of  $A_K(\mathcal{O})$  on the set of irreducible components of  $\pi_B^{-1}(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{O}$ . Thus, to obtain all members

of the  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)^n$  for any  $\mathcal{O} \in \underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^0$  we only need to consider that specific  $\theta$ -stable Borel in (3.14).

In the case when  $G$  is  $SL(n)$ , all character sheaves with nilpotent support are also supported on the Richardson orbits attached to  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroups, although the local systems giving rise to the character sheaves do not all arise in the manner described in this subsection.

Following Lusztig [L3], we say that an orbit  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{N}_1$  is  $\mathfrak{F}$ -thin, if  $\mathfrak{F}(\text{IC}(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C}))$  has nilpotent support. It follows that, in the cases considered here, an orbit  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{N}_1$  is  $\mathfrak{F}$ -thin, if and only if,  $\mathcal{O}$  is a Richardson orbit attached to some  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroup. We also remark that in [L3] it was speculated that  $\mathfrak{F}$ -thin orbits exist only if  $\theta$  is inner. This is true in the cases considered here.

**3.5. Induced character sheaves.** In order to produce all character sheaves, we consider parabolic induction from  $\theta$ -stable Levi subgroups. We recall the notion of induction functors in our setting (see [H, L2]). Let  $L$  be a  $\theta$ -stable Levi subgroup contained in a  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subgroup  $P \subset G$ . We write

$$\mathfrak{l} = \text{Lie } L, \mathfrak{p} = \text{Lie } P, L_K = L \cap K, P_K = P \cap K, \mathfrak{l}_1 = \mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}_1.$$

We define the parabolic induction functor

$$\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}_1 \subset \mathfrak{p}_1}^{\mathfrak{g}_1} : D_{L_K}(\mathfrak{l}_1) \rightarrow D_K(\mathfrak{g}_1)$$

as follows. Consider the diagram

$$(3.15) \quad \mathfrak{l}_1 \xleftarrow{\text{pr}} \mathfrak{p}_1 \xleftarrow{p_1} K \times \mathfrak{p}_1 \xrightarrow{p_2} K \times^{P_K} \mathfrak{p}_1 \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{g}_1,$$

where  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are natural projection maps and  $\pi : (k, x) \mapsto \text{Ad}(k)(x)$ . The maps in (3.15) are  $K \times P_K$ -equivariant, where  $K$  acts trivially on  $\mathfrak{l}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_1$ , by left multiplication on the  $K$ -factor on  $K \times \mathfrak{p}_1$  and on  $K \times^{P_K} \mathfrak{p}_1$ , and by adjoint action on  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ , and  $P_K$  acts on  $\mathfrak{l}_1$  by  $a.l = \text{pr}(\text{Ad } a(l))$ , by adjoint action on  $\mathfrak{p}_1$ , by  $a.(k, p) = (ka^{-1}, \text{Ad } a(p))$  on  $K \times \mathfrak{p}_1$ , trivially on  $K \times^{P_K} \mathfrak{p}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a complex in  $D_{L_K}(\mathfrak{l}_1)$ . Then  $(\text{pr} \circ p_1)^* \mathcal{J} \cong p_2^* \mathcal{J}'$  for a well-defined complex  $\mathcal{J}'$  in  $D_K(K \times^{P_K} \mathfrak{p}_1)$ . We set

$$\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}_1 \subset \mathfrak{p}_1}^{\mathfrak{g}_1} \mathcal{J} = \pi_! \mathcal{J}'[\dim P - \dim L].$$

Note that as the map  $\pi$  is proper the induction functor takes semisimple objects to semisimple objects. Moreover, the Fourier transform commutes with induction:

$$(3.16) \quad \mathfrak{F}(\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}_1 \subset \mathfrak{p}_1}^{\mathfrak{g}_1} \mathcal{J}) \cong \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}_1 \subset \mathfrak{p}_1}^{\mathfrak{g}_1} (\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{J})).$$

We also note that the induction functor preserves the central character in the following sense. As  $Z(G)^\theta \subset Z(L)^\theta$  a central character  $\kappa$  of  $D_{L_K}(\mathfrak{l}_1)$  gives rise to a central character  $\kappa'$  of  $D_K(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  and we have

$$\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}_1 \subset \mathfrak{p}_1}^{\mathfrak{g}_1} : D_{L_K}(\mathfrak{l}_1)_\kappa \rightarrow D_K(\mathfrak{g}_1)_{\kappa'}.$$

In our setting we will define a family of  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subgroups  $P$  and study the character sheaves obtained by inducing character sheaves with full support from the corresponding  $\theta$ -stable Levi subgroups  $L$ . Note that the character sheaves with nilpotent support arise as a special case of induction. That is, they are obtained by inducing the constant sheaf on the  $\theta$ -stable torus contained in the  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroups.

**3.6. Generalization of the nearby cycle construction.** The construction in §3.3 can only produce character sheaves with full support whose central character is of order at most 2 because the action of  $Z(G)^\theta$  factors through  $I$  which is a 2-group. For other central characters there are no character sheaves with full support. In this subsection we present a generalization of the construction in §3.3 which can be used to construct character sheaves of maximal support for a given central character. For our current purposes this phenomenon only occurs for  $SL(n)$ .

In §3.3 we considered sheaves that are obtained as limits of  $K$ -equivariant local systems on regular semi-simple orbits. In order to apply the methods of [G1] the crucial point is that the orbits are closed. Extending the construction of §3.3 to other semi-simple orbits does not give us new character sheaves. To get something more we will extend the construction to  $K$ -orbits which lie on the strata  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$  of §3.2.

We consider a nilpotent orbit  $\mathcal{O}$ , its associated  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple  $\phi = (e, f, h)$ , and the corresponding dual stratum  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ . Continuing to use the notation of §3.2 we consider the family

$$\check{\mathcal{O}} \xrightarrow{\check{f}} \check{\mathcal{O}}//K \cong (\mathfrak{a}^\phi)^{rs}/W_{\mathfrak{a}^\phi}.$$

Let  $a \in (\mathfrak{a}^\phi)^{rs}$ , write  $\bar{a} = \check{f}(a)$  then the fiber  $\check{f}^{-1}(\bar{a}) = X_{a+n} = K \cdot (a+n)$  where  $n \in \mathcal{O}$  is any element which commutes with  $a$ . We choose a character  $\chi$  of  $I^\phi := Z_K(a+n)/Z_K(a+n)^0$  which gives us a  $K$ -equivariant local system  $\mathcal{L}_\chi$  on  $X_{a+n}$ . Finally, we consider the IC-sheaf  $\text{IC}(X_{a+n}, \mathcal{L}_\chi)$  on  $\bar{X}_{a+n}$ . Let us write

$$\check{f}_{\bar{a}} : \check{\mathcal{Z}}_{\bar{a}} = \overline{\{(x, c) \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathbb{C}^* \mid x \in \bar{X}_{c(a+n)}\}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

The  $\text{IC}(X_{a+n}, \mathcal{L}_\chi)$  can also be regarded as a sheaf on  $\check{\mathcal{Z}}_{\bar{a}} - \check{f}_{\bar{a}}^{-1}(0)$  which allows us to form the nearby cycle sheaf  $P_\chi = \psi_{\check{f}_{\bar{a}}} \text{IC}(X_{a+n}, \mathcal{L}_\chi)[-] \in \text{Perv}_K(\mathcal{N}_1)$ . In order to analyze the Fourier transform  $\mathfrak{F}P_\chi$  by the methods in [G1] we impose the following very restrictive hypothesis:

**Assumption 3.2.** *The characteristic variety of  $\text{IC}(X_{a+n}, \mathcal{L}_\chi)$  is irreducible.*

We will now calculate  $\mathfrak{F}P_\chi$  under the above assumption. Recall the identification (3.4). Thus, the character  $\chi$  can also be viewed as a character of  $Z_{K^\phi}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi)/Z_{K^\phi}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi)^0$ . We then form the nearby cycle sheaf  $P_\chi^\phi$  for the datum  $(G^\phi, K^\phi, \chi)$ . Thus, by (3.7) we get<sup>1</sup>

$$(3.17) \quad \mathfrak{F}P_\chi^\phi = \text{IC}((\mathfrak{g}_1^\phi)^{rs}, \mathcal{M}_\chi^\phi),$$

where the  $\mathcal{M}_\chi^\phi$  is given by the construction in §3.3.

We now have

---

<sup>1</sup>Although the result is stated for connected  $G$  the connectedness is not essential.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Under the Assumption 3.2 we have*

$$\mathfrak{F}P_\chi = \mathrm{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{M}_\chi)$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_\chi$  is isomorphic to the  $\mathcal{M}_\chi^\phi$  in (3.17) under the identification (3.4).

We sketch a proof of this theorem. We use the language of stratified Morse theory [GM], following the ideas in [G1, G2, G3, GVX]. Let us consider the singular support  $\mathrm{SS}(\mathrm{IC}(X_{a+n}, \mathcal{L}_\chi)) \subset \mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_1$ . According to [G1, Theorem 1.1] the sheaf  $\mathfrak{F}P_\chi$  is supported on the image of  $\mathrm{SS}(\mathrm{IC}(X_{a+n}, \mathcal{L}_\chi))$  under the projection to the second factor. Note that [G1, Theorem 1.1] is stated for closed orbits and constant sheaves, but it extends to this situation. By Assumption 3.2 we have

$$\mathrm{SS}(\mathrm{IC}(X_{a+n}, \mathcal{L}_\chi)) = \overline{T_{K \cdot (a+n)}^* \mathfrak{g}_1}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{K \cdot (a+n)}^* \mathfrak{g}_1 &= \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_1 \mid x \in K \cdot (a+n) \text{ and } [x, y] = 0\} = \\ &= K \cdot \{(a+n, y) \mid [a+n, y] = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

As is not difficult to see from this description, the closure of the projection to the second factor is the closure of  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ . Thus, we conclude that  $\mathfrak{F}P_\chi = \mathrm{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{M}_\chi)$  for some  $\mathcal{M}_\chi$ . It remains to determine the  $\mathcal{M}_\chi$ .

To determine  $\mathcal{M}_\chi$  we recall the notion of microlocalization and how it works in our context. For future reference, we do so in a bit more generality. Let us consider a  $K$ -equivariant perverse sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathcal{N}_1$ . We will consider the microlocalization  $\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{F})$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  along a nilpotent orbit  $\mathcal{O}$ , see [KS]. The  $\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{F})$  lives on the conormal bundle  $T_{\mathcal{O}}^* \mathfrak{g}_1$  and is generically a local system. We can also consider  $\mu_{\check{\mathcal{O}}}(\mathfrak{F}\mathcal{F})$  on  $T_{\check{\mathcal{O}}}^* \mathfrak{g}_1$ . The two sheaves  $\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\mu_{\check{\mathcal{O}}}(\mathfrak{F}\mathcal{F})$  coincide generically<sup>2</sup>. It is possible (and important!) to make the notion “generic” precise, but for the purposes of this paper we do not need to do so.

Let us apply these considerations to our situations. It suffices to compute the microlocal stalk of  $\mathfrak{F}P_\chi$  at any non-zero point above  $a+e \in \check{\mathcal{O}}$  as those points are all generic. We choose the point  $(a+e, e)$ . Note also that we know a priori that there is no monodromy in the fiber direction. Now, as discussed above, the micro-local stalk  $(\mathfrak{F}P_\chi)_{(a+e, e)}$  coincides with the microlocal stalk  $(P_\chi)_{(e, a+e)}$ . Thus we are reduced to analyzing  $(P_\chi)_{(e, a+e)}$ . As explained in Appendix B the stalk  $(P_\chi)_{(e, a+e)}$  can be expressed via Picard-Lefschetz theory in terms of the critical points of  $a+e$  near  $e$  on  $X_{c(a+e)} \cap (e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f)$ , for  $|c|$  small.

We have:

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $B$  be a small neighborhood of  $e$ . The critical points of  $a+e$  on  $B \cap X_{c(a+e)} \cap (e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f)$  are*

$$\{e + w \cdot (ca) \mid w \in W_{a^\phi}\}.$$

---

<sup>2</sup>They coincide up to Maslov twist, but for our current purposes it does not matter.

*Proof.* By Lemma B.1, the critical points lie in

$$(K \cdot (c(a + e))) \cap (e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f) \cap Z_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi).$$

Let us write  $L = Z_G(\mathfrak{a}^\phi)$ . As  $\mathfrak{a}^\phi$  is  $\theta$ -stable, so is  $L = Z_G(\mathfrak{a}^\phi)$  giving rise to a new symmetric pair. For the new pair  $K_L = K \cap L = Z_K(\mathfrak{a}^\phi)$  and  $\mathfrak{l}_1 = Z_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi)$ . As  $e$  commutes with  $\mathfrak{a}^\phi$  we have  $(e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f) \cap Z_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi) = (e + \mathfrak{l}_1^f)$ . Now, as  $ka$  is the semisimple part and  $ke$  the nilpotent part of  $k(a + e)$  we see that  $k(ca + ce) \in Z_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi)$  implies that  $ka \in Z_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi)$  and  $ke \in Z_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi)$ . Therefore  $k\mathfrak{a}^\phi k^{-1}$  commutes with both  $a$  and  $e$ . Thus,  $k\mathfrak{a}^\phi k^{-1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_1^e$ . We show that  $k\mathfrak{a}^\phi k^{-1} = \mathfrak{a}^\phi$ . Consider an element  $ka'k^{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}_1^e \cap \mathfrak{g}_1^a$ ,  $a' \in \mathfrak{a}^\phi$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}_1^e = \mathfrak{g}_1^\phi \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1^e$ , we can write  $ka'k^{-1} = x + y$  with  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_1^\phi$  and  $y \in \mathfrak{u}_1^e$ . Now,  $0 = [x + y, a] = [x, a] + [y, a]$  and as  $[x, a] \in \mathfrak{g}_1^\phi$  and  $[y, a] \in \mathfrak{u}_1^e$  we have  $[x, a] = 0$  and  $[y, a] = 0$ . Because  $a \in (\mathfrak{a}^\phi)^{rs}$  we conclude that  $[x, \mathfrak{a}^\phi] = 0$  and  $[y, \mathfrak{a}^\phi] = 0$ . This means that  $x \in \mathfrak{a}^\phi$  and thus  $[x, y] = 0$ . Now as  $ka'k^{-1} = x + y$  is semisimple we conclude that  $y = 0$ , i.e.,  $ka'k^{-1} \in \mathfrak{a}^\phi$ . Thus  $k\mathfrak{a}^\phi k^{-1} = \mathfrak{a}^\phi$  and then  $k \in N_K(\mathfrak{a}^\phi)$ .

We have shown that  $(K \cdot (c(a + e))) \cap Z_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi) \subset \{a'' + N_K(\mathfrak{a}^\phi).ce \mid a'' \in \mathfrak{a}^\phi\}$ . This implies that

$$(K \cdot (c(a + e))) \cap (e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f) \cap Z_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi) \subset \{a'' + N_K(\mathfrak{a}^\phi).ce \mid a'' \in \mathfrak{a}^\phi\} \cap (e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f).$$

Now let  $a'' \in \mathfrak{a}^\phi$  and  $k \in N_K(\mathfrak{a}^\phi)$ . Then  $a'' + k.ce \in (e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f)$  implies that  $k.ce \in e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f$ . Since  $e + \mathfrak{l}_1^f$  is a normal slice to the orbit  $K_L \cdot e = K_L \cdot ce$  in  $\mathfrak{l}_1$ , it is a subset of the normal slice  $e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f$  to the  $G$ -orbit through  $e$ . Thus the intersection  $(G \cdot e) \cap (e + \mathfrak{l}_1^f) = e$ . This implies that  $k.ce = e$ . We have shown that

$$X_{c(a+e)} \cap (e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f) \cap Z_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi) \subset e + N_K(\mathfrak{a}^\phi).(ca) \subset e + \mathfrak{a}^\phi.$$

Finally, note that  $N_K(\mathfrak{a}^\phi)/Z_K(\mathfrak{a}^\phi) \cong N_{K^\phi}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi)/Z_{K^\phi}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi) = W_{\mathfrak{a}^\phi}$  by (3.2).

Conversely, the points  $e + W_{\mathfrak{a}^\phi} \cdot (ca)$  are critical points of  $a + e$  on  $(K \cdot (c(a + e))) \cap (e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f) \cap Z_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi)$ .  $\square$

The critical points in the above lemma are also precisely the critical points of  $a \in \mathfrak{a}^\phi$  on  $K^\phi \cdot ca \subset \mathfrak{g}_1^\phi$ . Moreover the Picard-Lefschetz theory of  $a + e$  on  $(K \cdot (c(a + e))) \cap (e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f) \cap Z_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi)$  is exactly the same as the Picard-Lefschetz theory of  $a$  on  $K^\phi \cdot ca$ . Thus, the theorem follows.

**3.7. An example.** In this subsection we consider the special case  $(SL(2), SO(2))$  to illustrate how the description of the character sheaves depends on the choice of the splitting of the top row of (2.2). The choice of a splitting comes up also in (7.1). It affects the labelling of the local systems in the way we illustrate here.

We have  $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathbb{C}^2$  and  $K = \mathbb{C}^*$ . The  $\mathbb{C}^*$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  by  $c \cdot (x, y) = (cx, c^{-1}y)$ . The nilpotent cone is given by  $\mathcal{N}_1 = \{(x, y) \mid xy = 0\}$ . There are three nilpotent orbits, the zero orbit  $\mathcal{O}_0$ , the two regular nilpotent orbits  $\mathcal{O}_1^I$  and  $\mathcal{O}_1^{II}$ , corresponding to the coordinate axes. The

orbits  $\mathcal{O}_1^I$  and  $\mathcal{O}_1^{II}$  are self dual and  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}$ . In this case diagram (2.2) becomes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})/2\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{q}} & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \tilde{p} & & \downarrow p & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & 1. \end{array}$$

There are two character sheaves with full support where the  $I = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  acts nontrivially: the Fourier transforms of the IC-sheaves associated to non-trivial local systems on the orbits  $\mathcal{O}_1^I$  and  $\mathcal{O}_1^{II}$ . Once we choose a splitting of  $\tilde{q}$  one of those local system corresponds to the trivial representation of  $B_1 = \mathbb{Z}$  and the other to the non-trivial one.

#### 4. CLASSICAL SYMMETRIC PAIRS

As explained in the introduction we will give a complete classification of character sheaves for classical groups. The answer heavily depends on the detailed structure of classical groups. In this section we summarize the detailed structure we will be using.

**4.1. The classical symmetric pairs.** Let  $G$  be one of the classical groups,  $SL(n)$ ,  $PGL(n)$ ,  $SO(N)$  or  $Sp(2n)$ . The classical symmetric pairs are

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Type A I} & (G, K) = (SL(n), SO(n)). \\ \text{Type A II} & (G, K) = (SL(2n), Sp(2n)). \\ \text{Type A III} & (G, K) = (SL(n), S(GL(p) \times GL(q))) \\ & \text{or } (G, K) = (PGL(n), P(GL(p) \times GL(q))), p + q = n. \\ \text{Type BDI} & (G, K) = (SO(N), S(O(p) \times O(q))), p + q = N. \\ \text{Type CI} & (G, K) = (Sp(2n), GL(n)). \\ \text{Type CII} & (G, K) = (Sp(2n), Sp(2p) \times Sp(2q)), p + q = n. \\ \text{Type DIII} & (G, K) = (SO(2n), GL(n)). \end{array}$$

The case of type A I has been dealt with in [CVX]. The case of type A II has been studied in [G3], [H], and [L2]. Thus our focus is on the remaining cases. We make use of the following concrete descriptions of  $(G, K)$ .

**Type A III.** Let  $V = V^+ \oplus V^-$  be a complex vector space of dimension  $n$ , where  $V^+ = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_p\}$ ,  $V^- = \text{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_q\}$ , and  $p + q = n$ . We take  $G = SL(V)$  and  $K = S(GL(V^+) \times GL(V^-))$ , or  $G = PGL(V)$  and  $K = P(GL(V^+) \times GL(V^-))$ . We note that in the case of  $G = PGL(V)$  and  $p = q$ , the notation  $P(GL(V^+) \times GL(V^-))$  for  $K$  is not ideal as  $K$  has two connected components. The elements in the non-connected component exchange  $V^+$  and  $V^-$ .

**Type BDI.** Let  $V = V^+ \oplus V^-$  be a complex vector space of dimension  $N$  equipped with a non-degenerate bilinear form  $(, )$  such that  $(V^+, V^-) = 0$ , where  $V^+ = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_p\}$  and  $V^- = \text{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_q\}$ , and  $(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{i+j, p+1}$  and  $(f_i, f_j) = \delta_{i+j, q+1}$ . We take  $G = SO(N) = SO(V, (, ))$  and  $K = S(O(V^+) \times O(V^-))$ .

**Type C I.** Let  $V = V^+ \oplus V^-$  be a complex vector space of dimension  $2n$  equipped with a non-degenerate symplectic form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  such that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{V^+} = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{V^-} = 0$ , where  $V^+ = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ ,  $V^- = \text{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ , and  $\langle e_i, f_j \rangle = \delta_{i+j, n+1}$ . We take  $G = Sp(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  and  $K = G \cap (GL(V^+) \times GL(V^-)) \cong GL(n)$ .

**Type C II.** Let  $V = V^+ \oplus V^-$  be a complex vector space of dimension  $2n$  equipped with a non-degenerate symplectic form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  such that  $\langle V^+, V^- \rangle = 0$ , where  $V^+ = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_{2p}\}$  and  $V^- = \text{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_{2q}\}$ , and  $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{i+j, 2p+1}$ ,  $\langle f_i, f_j \rangle = \delta_{i+j, 2q+1}$ , for  $i < j$ . We take  $G = Sp(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  and  $K = Sp(V^+) \times Sp(V^-)$ .

**Type D III.** Let  $V = V^+ \oplus V^-$  be a complex vector space of dimension  $2n$  equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  such that  $(\cdot, \cdot)|_{V^+} = (\cdot, \cdot)|_{V^-} = 0$ , where  $V^+ = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ ,  $V^- = \text{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ , and  $(e_i, f_j) = \delta_{i+j, n+1}$ . We take  $G = SO(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$  and  $K = G \cap (GL(V^+) \times GL(V^-)) \cong GL(n)$ .

We note that the split pairs are type C I, and type B D I when  $q = [N/2]$  (assuming that  $p \geq q$ ). Moreover  $\theta$  is an inner involution except in type D I when  $p$  and  $q$  are both odd.

**4.2. Nilpotent orbits and component groups of the centralizers.** In this subsection we recall the classification of nilpotent  $K$ -orbits on  $\mathcal{N}_1$  and the description of the components groups  $A_K(x) = Z_K(x)/Z_K(x)^0$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{N}_1$ . Using the Sekiguchi-Kostant correspondence, this is reduced to the same question for real nilpotent orbits, see, for example, [CM] and [SS]. For completeness, we include the results here.

The normal  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple is an effective tool to study the classification of nilpotent  $K$ -orbits and the component groups. Let  $x \in \mathcal{N}_1$ . Recall that we can attach a canonical  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subgroup  $P$  to  $x$  as follows (see for example [J, §5]). We first choose a normal  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple  $\{x, y, h\}$  such that  $h \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ . Let  $\phi : SL_2 \rightarrow G$  be the homomorphism such that  $d\phi$  maps the standard triple in  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  to  $x, y, h$ . Let  $\tau : \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow G$  be defined by  $t \mapsto \phi(\text{diag}(t, t^{-1}))$ . Then  $\tau$  defines the parabolic subgroup  $P$  as the group of all  $g \in G$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tau(t)g\tau(t)^{-1}$  exists. Moreover, we have the following decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}(i), \quad \mathfrak{g}(i) = \{z \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [h, z] = iz\}.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{p} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}(i) = \text{Lie } P$ . Let  $L = Z_G(\text{Im } \tau)$ . Then  $L$  is a  $\theta$ -stable Levi subgroup of  $P$ . Let  $U_P$  be the unipotent radical of  $P$ . We have  $Z_G(x) = Z_L(x)Z_{U_P}(x)$ . It follows that

$$Z_K(x) = Z_G(x) \cap K = Z_{L_K}(x)Z_{U_P \cap K}(x), \quad L_K = L \cap K.$$

Since  $Z_{U_P \cap K}(x)$  is a unipotent group and thus connected, we have

$$(4.1) \quad A_K(x) = Z_{L_K}(x)/Z_{L_K}(x)^0.$$

For classical groups the following notions are used in the parametrization of  $K$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{N}_1$ . A signed Young diagram is a Young diagram with each box labeled  $+$  or  $-$  so that signs alternate across rows. Two signed Young diagrams are regarded as equivalent if and only if one can be obtained from the other by interchanging rows of equal length. A signed Young diagram is said to have signature  $(p, q)$  if there are  $p$  boxes labeled  $+$  and  $q$  boxes labeled  $-$ .

From now on, we write a signed Young diagram as

$$(4.2a) \quad \lambda = (\lambda_1)_+^{p_1} (\lambda_1)_-^{q_1} (\lambda_2)_+^{p_2} (\lambda_2)_-^{q_2} \cdots (\lambda_s)_+^{p_s} (\lambda_s)_-^{q_s},$$

where  $\lambda = (\lambda_1)^{p_1+q_1} (\lambda_2)^{p_2+q_2} \cdots (\lambda_s)^{p_s+q_s}$  is the corresponding partition,  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \cdots > \lambda_s > 0$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ ,  $p_i + q_i > 0$  is the multiplicity of  $\lambda_i$  in  $\lambda$ , and  $p_i \geq 0$  (resp.  $q_i \geq 0$ ) is the number of rows of length  $\lambda_i$  that begins with sign  $+$  (resp.  $-$ ). For later use, it is more convenient to use numbers rather than signs. Thus we will sometimes replace the subscript  $+$  by 0 and  $-$  by 1 and write the signed Young diagram in (4.2a) as

$$(4.2b) \quad \lambda = (\lambda_1)_0^{p_1} (\lambda_1)_1^{q_1} (\lambda_2)_0^{p_2} (\lambda_2)_1^{q_2} \cdots (\lambda_s)_0^{p_s} (\lambda_s)_1^{q_s}.$$

Given a signed Young diagram  $\lambda$ , we write  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$  for the corresponding nilpotent orbit in  $\mathcal{N}_1$ .

In what follows we describe the parametrization of the nilpotent  $K$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{N}_1$  and the component groups  $A_K(x)$  of the centralizers for  $x \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  is of the form (4.2a).

**Type A III** If  $G = SL(n)$ , or  $G = PGL(n)$  and  $p \neq q$ , then the nilpotent  $K$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{N}_1$  are parametrized by signed Young diagrams with signature  $(p, q)$ . If  $G = PGL(n)$  and  $p = q$ , two signed Young diagrams with only even length rows correspond to the same nilpotent orbit if one can be obtained from another by interchanging  $+$  and  $-$  in all boxes.

If  $G = SL(n)$ , then

$$(4.3) \quad A_K(x) \cong \mathbb{Z}/d_\lambda \mathbb{Z}, \quad d_\lambda = \gcd(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s).$$

Let  $\xi_d$  be a generator of  $A_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda) := A_K(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ . We say that a character  $\chi \in \widehat{A}_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda)$  is of order  $m$  if  $\chi(\xi_d)^m = 1$  and  $\chi(\xi_d)^k \neq 1$  for  $1 \leq k \leq m-1$ . Let us write

$$(4.4) \quad \widehat{A}_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda)_m \text{ for the set of order } m \text{ irreducible characters of } A_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda).$$

Note that  $\widehat{A}_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda)_m \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $m|d_\lambda$ .

If  $G = PGL(n)$ , then  $K$  is connected when  $p \neq q$  and  $K/K^0 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  when  $p = q$ . We have

$$(4.5) \quad A_K(x) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \text{ if } p_i = q_i \text{ for all } i \text{ and } A_K(x) = 1 \text{ otherwise.}$$

**Type BDI** The orbits are parametrized by signed Young diagrams of signature  $(p, q)$  such that for each fixed even length, the number of rows beginning with  $+$  coincides with the number beginning with  $-$  except that each signed Young diagram with only even length rows corresponds to two orbits. In the latter case, we write  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda^I$  and  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda^{II}$  for those two orbits. This can only happen when  $(G, K) = (SO(4m), S(O(2m) \times O(2m)))$ . Returning to the general case, note that the number of rows with a fixed even length is even. In terms of (4.2a) this means that we have  $p_i = q_i$  when  $\lambda_i$  is even.

We have

$$(4.6) \quad A_K(x) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r_\lambda},$$

$$r_\lambda = |\{i \in [1, s] \mid \lambda_i \text{ odd and } p_i > 0\}| + |\{i \in [1, s] \mid \lambda_i \text{ odd and } q_i > 0\}| - 1,$$

if at least one part of  $\lambda$  is odd;  $r_\lambda = 0$  otherwise.

**Type CI** The orbits are parametrized by signed Young diagrams of signature  $(n, n)$  such that for each fixed part of odd length, the number of rows beginning with  $+$  coincides with the number beginning with  $-$ ; in particular, the number of rows with a fixed odd length is even. In terms of (4.2a) this means that  $p_i = q_i$  if  $\lambda_i$  is odd.

We have

$$A_K(x) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r_\lambda},$$

$$(4.7) \quad r_\lambda = |\{i \in [1, s] \mid \lambda_i \text{ even and } p_i > 0\}| + |\{i \in [1, s] \mid \lambda_i \text{ even and } q_i > 0\}|.$$

**Type CII** The orbits are parametrized by signed Young diagrams of signature  $(2p, 2q)$  with the following properties:

- (1) For rows of a fixed even length, the number of beginning signs “ $+$ ” equals that of beginning signs “ $-$ ”.
- (2) For rows of a fixed odd length, the number of rows where the beginning sign is “ $+$ ” is even and the number of rows with beginning sign “ $-$ ” is also even.

In terms of (4.2a), we have  $p_i = q_i$  if  $\lambda_i$  is even and both  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  are even if  $\lambda_i$  is odd.

We have that  $A_K(x) = 1$ .

**Type DIII** The orbits are parametrized by signed Young diagrams of signature  $(n, n)$  with the following properties:

- (1) For all rows of a fixed odd length, the number of rows beginning with sign “ $+$ ” equals the number of rows with beginning sign “ $-$ ”.
- (2) For rows of a fixed even length, the number of rows where the beginning sign is “ $+$ ” is even and the number of rows with beginning sign “ $-$ ” is also even.

In terms of (4.2a), we have  $p_i = q_i$  if  $\lambda_i$  is odd and both  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  are even if  $\lambda_i$  is even.

We have that  $A_K(x) = 1$ .

4.2.1. *Type BDI.* In the remainder of this subsection, we illustrate, in the case of  $(G, K) = (SO(N), S(O(p) \times O(q)))$ ,  $N = p + q$ , how to use the discussion at the beginning of this subsection to classify the nilpotent orbits and to determine the component groups. The other cases can be derived entirely similarly. For simplicity, we assume that  $N$  is odd.

Let  $x \in \mathcal{N}_1$  and let  $\{x, y, h\}$  be a normal  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple. Then  $h \in \mathfrak{g}_0 = \text{Lie } K$ . In particular,  $hV^+ \subset V^+$  and  $hV^- \subset V^-$ . Let

$$(4.8) \quad V = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} V(i)_+^{\oplus p_i} \oplus \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} V(i)_-^{\oplus q_i}$$

be the decomposition of  $V$  into irreducible  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules under  $\{x, y, h\}$ , where  $V(i)_+$  (resp.  $V(i)_-$ ) denotes an irreducible  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  module of highest weight  $i$  such that the lowest weight vector lies in  $V^+$  (resp.  $V^-$ ) and  $p_i$  (resp.  $q_i$ ) denotes the multiplicity. We show that

$$p_i = q_i \text{ if } i \text{ is odd.}$$

Assume that  $v_k \in V$  is such that  $hv_k = kv_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We have  $(hv_k, v_l) + (v_k, hv_l) = (k+l)(v_k, v_l) = 0$ . Thus

$$(4.9) \quad (v_k, v_l) \neq 0 \Rightarrow k + l = 0.$$

Let us write in this paragraph  $V(i) = V(i)_+$  or  $V(i)_-$ . We show that

$$(4.10) \quad \text{if } v \in V(i), w \in V(j), \text{ and } i \neq j, \text{ then } (v, w) = 0.$$

We can assume that  $i < j$ . Suppose that  $v = x^k v_0$  and  $w = x^l w_0$ , where  $v_0$  and  $w_0$  are the lowest weight vectors of  $V(i)$  and  $V(j)$  respectively. If  $(v, w) \neq 0$ , then  $-i + 2k - j + 2l = 0$  by (4.9). This implies that  $(v, w) = (-1)^l (x^{k+l} v_0, w_0) = 0$  since  $k + l = (i + j)/2 > i$ .

Assume that  $i \geq 0$  is odd and  $p_i > 0$ . Let  $v_0 \in V(i)_+^{\oplus p_i}$  be a lowest weight vector of weight  $-i$ . We have that  $(x^i v_0, v_0) = 0$  since  $x^i v_0 \in V^-$ ,  $v_0 \in V^+$  and  $(V^+, V^-) = 0$ . As the form  $(\ , \ )$  is non-degenerate and  $(V^+, V^-) = 0$ , in view of (4.10), there exists  $w_0 \in V(i)_-^{\oplus q_i}$  such that  $(x^i v_0, w_0) \neq 0$ . Using induction, one sees that  $p_i$  has to equal  $q_i$  if  $i$  is odd.

With the notation above, we represent the orbit of  $x$  using the following signed Young diagram  $(i+1)_+^{p_i} (i+1)_-^{q_i} \cdots$ . Similar argument shows that we can choose vectors  $v_{j_i}^i \in V^+$ ,  $j_i \in [1, p_i]$ ,  $w_{j_i}^i \in V^-$ ,  $j_i \in [1, q_i]$  such that  $V$  has the orthogonal decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_{i \text{ odd}} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{p_i} \text{span}\{x^{m_i} v_{j_i}^i, x^{m_i} w_{j_i}^i, m_i \in [0, i]\} \\ \bigoplus_{i \text{ even}} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{p_i} \text{span}\{x^{m_i} v_{j_i}^i, m_i \in [0, i]\} \bigoplus_{i \text{ even}} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{q_i} \text{span}\{x^{m_i} w_{j_i}^i, m_i \in [0, i]\}$$

and

$$(x^k v_{j_i}^i, x^l w_{j_i}^i) = \delta_{k+l, i}, \quad (x^k v_{j_i}^i, x^l v_{j_i}^i) = (x^k w_{j_i}^i, x^l w_{j_i}^i) = 0 \text{ when } i \text{ is odd}; \\ (x^k v_{j_i}^i, x^l v_{j_i}^i) = \delta_{k+l, i} = (x^k w_{j_i}^i, x^l w_{j_i}^i) \text{ when } i \text{ is even}.$$

The above discussion establishes the bijection between nilpotent  $K$ -orbits on  $\mathcal{N}_1$  and the appropriate signed Young diagrams.

Let  $x \in \mathcal{N}_1$  be as above and let  $g \in Z_{L_K}(x)$ . We write  $(V(i)_{\pm}^{\oplus p_i})_{-i}$  for the subspace consisting of (lowest weight) vectors of weight  $-i$ . Then for each  $i \geq 0$ , the subspaces  $(V(i)_+^{\oplus p_i})_{-i}$  and  $(V(i)_-^{\oplus q_i})_{-i}$  are  $g$ -stable. Let us write  $W(i) = (V(i)_+^{\oplus p_i})_{-i} \oplus (V(i)_-^{\oplus p_i})_{-i}$  if  $i$  is odd and  $W(i) = (V(i)_+^{\oplus p_i})_{-i}$  or  $(V(i)_-^{\oplus p_i})_{-i}$  if  $i$  is even. We denote the restriction of  $g$  to  $W(i)$  by  $g_i : W(i) \rightarrow W(i)$ . Define a new bilinear form on  $W(i)$  as follows  $(v, w)_i = (x^i v, w)$ . Then  $(v, w)_i$  is a non-degenerate symplectic bilinear form if  $i$  is odd and a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form if  $i$  is even. Note that  $g \in Z_{L_K}(x)$  if and only if  $(g_i v, g_i w)_i = (v, w)_i$  for  $v, w \in W(i)$ . Thus we have

$$Z_{L_K}(x) \cong \prod_{i \text{ odd}} Sp(p_i + q_i) \times S\left(\prod_{i \text{ even}} O(p_i) \times O(q_i)\right).$$

Recalling (4.1) we obtain (4.6).

## 5. CHARACTER SHEAVES WITH NILPOTENT SUPPORT

In this section we determine all character sheaves with nilpotent support in the cases considered in this paper. They only arise for the symmetric pairs  $(G, K)$  in §4.1 for which  $\theta$  is an inner involution. We use the notation of that section. Let us recall our strategy from

§3.4. At the beginning of this section we will concentrate on specifying for each  $\mathcal{O} \in \underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^0$  (see (3.13)) a special  $\theta$ -stable Borel  $B$  such that we only need to consider

$$\mathring{\pi}_B : K \times^{B_K} \mathfrak{n}_1^r \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B = \mathcal{O}$$

to obtain all character sheaves supported on  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ . Recall that in the case of  $SL(n)$  there are some sheaves that do not arise from this construction. Those are dealt with in §5.3.

The orbits which belong to  $\underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^0$  have been determined in each case by Trapa in [T1]. We recall his result:

**Proposition 5.1** ([T1]). *(i) Suppose that  $(G, K)$  is of type A III, CI, C II or D III. Then the  $K$ -orbit in  $\mathcal{N}_1$  corresponding to a signed Young diagram of the form (4.2b) is the Richardson orbit attached to a  $\theta$ -stable Borel group if and only if*

- (type A III) for each  $i$ , either  $p_i = 0$  or  $q_i = 0$ .
- (type CI) for  $\lambda_i$  odd,  $p_i = q_i = 0$ , and for  $\lambda_i$  even, either  $p_i = 0$  or  $q_i = 0$ .
- (type C II) for  $\lambda_i$  even,  $p_i = q_i \leq 1$ , and for  $\lambda_i$  odd, either  $p_i = 0$  or  $q_i = 0$ .
- (type D III) for  $\lambda_i$  odd,  $p_i = q_i \leq 1$ , and for  $\lambda_i$  even, either  $p_i = 0$  or  $q_i = 0$ .

*(ii) Suppose that  $(G, K)$  is of type BI, or of type DI where both  $p$  and  $q$  are even so that  $\theta$  is inner. Then the  $K$ -orbit in  $\mathcal{N}_1$  corresponding to a signed Young diagram of the form (4.2b) is a Richardson orbit attached to a  $\theta$ -stable Borel group if and only if the following two conditions hold.*

- (1) for  $\lambda_i$  even,  $p_i = q_i = 0$ , and for  $\lambda_i$  odd, either  $p_i = 0$  or  $q_i = 0$ . Namely the signed Young diagram  $\lambda$  is of the form  $(2\mu_1 + 1)_{\epsilon_1} (2\mu_2 + 1)_{\epsilon_2} \cdots (2\mu_k + 1)_{\epsilon_k}$ , where  $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_k \geq 0$ ,  $\epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $\epsilon_i = \epsilon_j$  if  $\mu_i = \mu_j$ .
- (2) we further require
  - (a) when  $N$  is odd,  $\epsilon_1 \equiv q \pmod{2}$  and  $\epsilon_{2i} + \mu_{2i} \equiv \epsilon_{2i+1} + \mu_{2i+1} \pmod{2}$  for  $i \geq 1$ ;
  - (b) when  $N$  is even,  $\epsilon_{2i-1} + \mu_{2i-1} \equiv \epsilon_{2i} + \mu_{2i} \pmod{2}$  for  $i \geq 1$ .

Let us write

$$\text{SYD}^0(G, K)$$

for the set of signed Young diagrams corresponding to the orbits in  $\underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^0$ .

Here are the detailed results.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Assume that  $(G, K)$  is one of the following pairs,  $(PGL(n), P(GL(p) \times GL(q)))$ ,  $(Sp(2n), Sp(2p) \times Sp(2q))$ ,  $(Sp(2n), GL(n))$ , or  $(SO(2n), GL(n))$ . The set of character sheaves with nilpotent support is*

$$\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)^n = \{\text{IC}(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C}) \mid \mathcal{O} \in \underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^0\}.$$

**Remark 5.3.** *The theorem holds also for the symmetric pair  $(GL(p+q), GL(p) \times GL(q))$ . This is a special case of [L2].*

Suppose that  $(G, K) = (SO(N), S(O(p) \times O(q)))$ , where both  $p$  and  $q$  are even when  $N$  is even. Let  $\mathcal{O} \in \underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^0$  be a Richardson orbit attached to some  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroup. We

will define a set of characters  $\Pi_{\mathcal{O}} \subset \widehat{A}_K(\mathcal{O})$  in what follows and we will, later in this section, show that it is exactly the set of characters  $\Pi_{\mathcal{O}} \subset \widehat{A}_K(\mathcal{O})$  defined in §3.4. Proposition 5.1 (ii) implies that  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\mu} \in \underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^0$ , where

$$(5.1) \quad \mu = (2\mu_1 + 1)_{\epsilon_1}^{m_1} (2\mu_2 + 1)_{\epsilon_2}^{m_2} \cdots (2\mu_s + 1)_{\epsilon_s}^{m_s},$$

$\mu_1 > \mu_2 > \cdots > \mu_s \geq 0$ ,  $m_i > 0$  and  $\epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . From §4.2 we conclude

$$(5.2) \quad A_K(\mathcal{O}) \cong S(O(m_1) \times \cdots \times O(m_s)) / S(O(m_1) \times \cdots \times O(m_s))^0 \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{s-1}.$$

For  $1 \leq i \leq s-1$ , let  $\delta_i$  correspond to the non-trivial element in  $S(O(m_i) \times O(m_{i+1})) / (SO(m_i) \times SO(m_{i+1})) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $A_K(\mathcal{O})$  is generated by  $\delta_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s-1$ .

We define  $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}} = \Omega_{\mu} \subset \{1, \dots, s\}$  to be the set of  $j \in [1, s]$  such that

- (1)  $\sum_{a=j}^s m_a$  is even,
- (2) if  $j \geq 2$ , then either  $\mu_{j-1} \geq \mu_j + 2$  or  $\epsilon_{j-1} = \epsilon_j$ .

We set  $l_{\mu} = l_{\mathcal{O}} := |\Omega_{\mathcal{O}}|$ . Suppose that  $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}} = \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$ ,  $l = l_{\mathcal{O}}$ , and we write  $j_{l+1} = s+1$ . Note that  $j_1 = 1$  if and only if  $N$  is even. Thus  $l_{\mathcal{O}} \geq 1$  when  $N$  is even. The subset  $\Pi_{\mathcal{O}} \subset \widehat{A}_K(\mathcal{O})$  is defined as follows

$$(5.3) \quad \Pi_{\mathcal{O}} = \{\chi \in \widehat{A}_K(\mathcal{O}) \mid \chi(\delta_r) = 1 \text{ if } r+1 \notin \Omega_{\mathcal{O}}\}.$$

In other words  $\chi(\delta_r)$  is allowed to take the value  $-1$  precisely when  $r+1 \in \Omega_{\mathcal{O}}$ . In particular, we see that  $|\Pi_{\mathcal{O}}| = 2^{l_{\mathcal{O}}}$  if  $N$  is odd and  $|\Pi_{\mathcal{O}}| = 2^{l_{\mathcal{O}}-1}$  if  $N$  is even as in the latter case  $j_1 = 1$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** *Assume that  $(G, K) = (SO(N), S(O(p) \times O(q)))$ , where either  $p$  or  $q$  is even. The set of character sheaves with nilpotent support is*

$$\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)^n = \{\text{IC}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E}_{\phi}) \mid \mathcal{O} \in \underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^0, \phi \in \Pi_{\mathcal{O}}\},$$

where  $\Pi_{\mathcal{O}}$  is defined in (5.3).

**Theorem 5.5.** *Assume that  $(G, K) = (SL(p+q), S(GL(p) \times GL(q)))$ . The set of character sheaves with nilpotent support is*

$$\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)^n = \{\text{IC}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E}_{\phi}) \mid \mathcal{O} \in \underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^0, \phi \in \widehat{A}_K(\mathcal{O})_{\text{odd}}\},$$

where  $\widehat{A}_K(\mathcal{O})_{\text{odd}}$  denotes the set of irreducible characters of odd order of  $A_K(\mathcal{O})$  (see (4.4)).

Our goal in this section is to show that the sheaves  $\text{IC}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E}_{\phi})$  in Theorems 5.2, 5.4, and 5.5 belong to  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)^n$ . In the case of Theorems 5.2 and 5.4 this follows from the discussion in §3.4, Proposition 5.6 and Proposition 5.7 below. For Theorem 5.5, see §5.3. The fact that we indeed have all of  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)^n$  will only follow once we show that we have constructed sufficiently many character sheaves.

**5.1. Conjugacy classes of  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroups.** We continue to assume that  $\theta$  is inner. The  $K$ -conjugacy classes of  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroups of  $G$  are precisely the closed  $K$ -orbits on the flag manifold  $X = G/B$ . As we consider inner involutions  $\theta$  a maximal torus  $T$  of  $K$  is also maximal in  $G$ . The closed  $K$ -orbits are then given by  $K$ -orbits of the

fixed point set  $X^T$ . The Weyl group  $W(G, T)$  acts transitively on  $X^T$  and in this manner we get an identification

$$\{\text{closed } K\text{-orbits on } X = G/B\} \longleftrightarrow W(G, T)/W(K, T).$$

Recall our concrete description of classical symmetric pairs  $(G, K)$  and the basis  $\{e_i\}, \{f_j\}$  of  $V$  (see §4.1). In this description  $T$  can be taken to be the torus consisting of diagonal matrices. Let us write  $n = [N/2]$  if  $G = SO(N)$ . Let  $\tilde{K} = O(p) \times O(q)$  in the case of type DI and  $\tilde{K} = K$  otherwise. Working with  $\tilde{K}$  makes the discussion below more uniform. From the discussion above it is easy to see that the  $\tilde{K}$ -conjugacy classes of  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroups in  $G$  are parametrized by *ordered* sequences of pairs  $(a_i, b_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , such that

$$a_i, b_i \in \{0, 1\}, a_i + b_i = 1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = p & & \sum_{i=1}^n b_i = q & \text{ in type A III and C II.} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor & & \sum_{i=1}^n b_i = \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor & \text{ in type BDI.} \end{aligned}$$

Let us write  $B_{\{(a_i, b_i)\}}$  for a  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroup of  $G$  corresponding to such a sequence. Concretely  $B_{\{(a_i, b_i)\}}$  can be taken as the subgroup of  $G$  which stabilizes the following flag

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V_n = \mathbb{C}^n & \text{ in type A III,} \\ 0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V_n \subset V_n^\perp \subset \cdots \subset V_1^\perp \subset V & \text{ otherwise,} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$V_i = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_{\sum_{j=1}^i a_j}\} \oplus \text{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_{\sum_{j=1}^i b_j}\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

It is slightly more convenient to state the result in terms of pairs, but of course  $b_i = 1 - a_i$  so it suffices to specify a sequence of  $a_i$ 's.

In the case of type DI, each  $\tilde{K}$ -orbit of  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroups in  $G$  decomposes into two  $K$ -orbits. In this case, we write  $B_{\{(a_i, b_i)\}}^\omega$ ,  $\omega = \text{I, II}$ , for two non- $K$ -conjugate  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroups of  $G$ .

Let us write  $\mathcal{O}_{\{(a_i, b_i)\}}$  for  $\mathcal{O}_{B_{\{(a_i, b_i)\}}}$ , i.e., the dense orbit in  $K \cdot \mathfrak{n}_1$ . Note that in the case of type DI  $\mathcal{O}_{B_{\{(a_i, b_i)\}}^{\text{I}}} = \mathcal{O}_{B_{\{(a_i, b_i)\}}^{\text{II}}}$ .

**5.2. Springer fibers.** Let  $B$  be a  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroup of  $G$ . Recall the map  $\pi_B : K \times^{B_K} \mathfrak{n}_1 \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{O}}_B$ . Let  $x \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}_B$ . The fiber  $\pi_B^{-1}(x)$  is  $\mathcal{B}_x \cap Q$ , where  $\mathcal{B}_x \subset X = G/B$  is the Springer fiber and  $Q$  is the  $K$ -orbit of  $B$  in  $X$ . Moreover, it is a union of irreducible (actually connected) components of the Springer fiber  $\mathcal{B}_x$  and these components form a single orbit under  $A_K(x)$  because  $T_Q^*X$  is  $K$ -connected.

The following proposition is proved in [BZ, Corollary 33] for type CI, and in [T2] for types A III, C II, and D III.

**Proposition 5.6** ([BZ], [T2]). *Assume that  $(G, K)$  is not of type BDI. Then the fibers  $\pi_B^{-1}(x)$  are irreducible for  $x \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}_B$ .*

As was pointed out earlier, it follows from this proposition and the discussion in §3.4 that the IC sheaves in Theorem 5.2 are character sheaves with nilpotent support.

In the remainder of this subsection we study the pairs  $(G, K) = (SO(N), S(O(p) \times O(q)))$ , where both  $p$  and  $q$  are even if  $N$  is even. Recall our general strategy from §3.4. Thus, given a Richardson orbit  $\mathcal{O}$ , we are looking for a  $\theta$ -stable Borel  $B$  such that  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_B$  and so that we only need to consider  $\tilde{\pi}_B : K \times^{B_K} \mathfrak{n}_1^r \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B = \mathcal{O}$  to obtain all character sheaves with nilpotent support.

Let  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_\mu \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}_1^0$ , where  $\mu$  is as in (5.1). Recall the set  $\Omega_\mathcal{O} = \Omega_\mu = \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$ ,  $l = l_\mathcal{O}$ , defined at the beginning of the section. Let

$$\sigma_k = \sum_{a=j_k}^{j_{k+1}-1} m_a \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq l.$$

The  $\sigma_k$  are even. Let  $x \in \mathcal{O}$ . Using the notation in (4.8), we set

$$W_k = \bigoplus_{a=j_k}^{j_{k+1}-1} V(2\mu_a)_0^{\oplus m_a}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq l,$$

where  $V(2\mu_a)_0$  is the 0-weight space of  $V(2\mu_a)$ . We have  $\dim W_k = \sigma_k$  and  $(\cdot)|_{W_k}$  is non-degenerate.

Let us write  $\text{OGr}(k, V)$  for the variety of  $k$ -dimensional isotropic subspaces in  $V$  and if  $W$  is a subspace of  $V$  we write

$$\text{IGr}(k, W) = \{V_k \subset W \mid V_k \text{ is isotropic in } V\};$$

here and in what follows the subscript will indicate the dimension, i.e.,  $\dim V_k = k$ .

**Proposition 5.7.** *There exists a  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroup  $B \subset G$  such that  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_B$  and such that for  $x \in \mathcal{O}$  we have*

$$H^{top}(\tilde{\pi}_B^{-1}(x)) \cong \bigotimes_{k=1}^l H^{top}(\text{OGr}(\sigma_k/2, W_k)),$$

where  $\tilde{\pi}_B : \tilde{K} \times^{B_K} \mathfrak{n}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_B$ . This isomorphism is compatible with the action of  $A_K(x)$ .

The group  $A_K(x)$  from (5.2) acts in the natural way on  $H^{top}(\text{OGr}(\sigma_k/2, W_k)) = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ . The action of  $A_K(x)$  on the set of irreducible (or equivalently, connected) components of  $\tilde{\pi}_B^{-1}(x)$  is transitive when  $N$  is odd but has two orbits when  $N$  is even. In the  $N$  even case we only consider one of the orbits. Taking into account the discussion from §3.4 and the explicit description of the action of  $A_K(x)$  on  $H^{top}(\text{OGr}(\sigma_k/2, W_k))$  we conclude that the IC sheaves in Theorem 5.4 are character sheaves with nilpotent support.

*Proof of Proposition 5.7.* Let us write  $n = [N/2]$ . Recall that  $\tilde{K}$ -orbits of  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroups are parametrized by ordered sequences of numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , where  $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $|\{1 \leq i \leq n \mid a_i = 0\}| = [p/2]$ . Then  $|\{1 \leq i \leq n \mid a_i = 1\}| = [q/2]$  as if  $N$  is even then  $p$  and  $q$  are even. It is more convenient to work with  $\tilde{K}$ , so we continue to do so.

We define the special  $\theta$ -stable Borel by induction as follows. Let us assume that  $1 \leq k \leq s$  is such that  $\epsilon_1 = \dots = \epsilon_k = \epsilon$  and  $\epsilon_k \neq \epsilon_{k+1}$  (if  $k < s$ ). Note that if  $\mu_k = 0$ , then  $k = s$ . Let us define

$$(5.4) \quad m_\mu = \begin{cases} \sum_{a=1}^k m_a & \text{if } \mu_k \neq 0 \\ \sum_{a=1}^{k-1} m_a + \lfloor \frac{m_k}{2} \rfloor & \text{if } \mu_k = 0 \end{cases}$$

We define

$$a_1 = \dots = a_{m_\mu} = \epsilon.$$

We write  $m = m_\mu$  and without loss of generality we assume that  $\epsilon = 0$ . Let

$$V_m = \ker x \cap \text{Im } x^{2\mu_k} \text{ if } \mu_k \geq 1, \text{ and } V_m \in \text{IGr}(m, \ker x) \text{ if } \mu_k = 0.$$

We note that then  $V_m \subset V^\epsilon$  (here  $V^0 = V^+$  and  $V^1 = V^-$ ). Let  $V' = (V_m)^\perp / V_m$ . Then  $(,)$  induces a non-degenerate bilinear form  $(,)'$  on  $V'$ . Moreover,  $\theta$  induces an involution  $\theta'$  on  $SO(V', (,)' )$  and thus we obtain a new symmetric pair  $(G', K') = (SO(N - 2m), S(O(p - 2m) \times O(q)))$ .

Consider the map  $x' : V_m^\perp / V_m \rightarrow V_m^\perp / V_m$  induced by  $x \in \mathcal{O}_\mu$ . Then  $x' \in \mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}_{\mu'}$  where

$$(5.5) \quad \mu' = \begin{cases} (2\mu_1 - 1)_{\epsilon+1}^{m_1} (2\mu_2 - 1)_{\epsilon+1}^{m_2} \cdots (2\mu_k - 1)_{\epsilon+1}^{m_k} (2\mu_{k+1} + 1)_{\epsilon+1}^{m_{k+1}} \cdots & \text{if } \mu_k \geq 1 \\ (2\mu_1 - 1)_{\epsilon+1}^{m_1} (2\mu_2 - 1)_{\epsilon+1}^{m_2} \cdots (2\mu_{k-1} - 1)_{\epsilon+1}^{m_{k-1}} 1_\epsilon^{m_k - 2\lfloor m_k/2 \rfloor} & \text{if } \mu_k = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that in passing from  $\mu$  to  $\mu'$  only the first  $\sum_{i=1}^k m_i$  terms in the partition change. Let  $m'_\mu$  be defined for  $\mu'$  as in (5.4). We define

$$a_{m_\mu+1} = \dots = a_{m_\mu+m_{\mu'}} \equiv \epsilon + 1.$$

Continuing in this manner we produce a sequence  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ .

Let  $B'$  be a  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroup defined by the sequence  $a_{m_\mu+1}, \dots, a_n$  for the symmetric pair  $(G', K') = (SO(N - 2m), S(O(p - 2m) \times O(q)))$ . Let us write  $\tilde{\pi}_B : \tilde{K} \times^{B_K} \mathfrak{n}_1 \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{O}}_B$  and  $\tilde{\pi}'_{B'} : \tilde{K}' \times^{B'_{K'}} \mathfrak{n}'_1 \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{B'}$ . By the induction hypothesis, we can assume that  $\mathcal{O}_{B'} = \mathcal{O}_{\mu'}$ , where  $\mu'$  is as in (5.5). Then we have  $\mathcal{O}_B = \mathcal{O}_\mu$  by applying [T1, Proposition 7.1]. Let us write  $B$  for the  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroup defined by the sequence  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ .

We will now determine the components of  $\tilde{\pi}_B^{-1}(x)$  and we again proceed by induction. We first show that

$$(5.6) \quad \Omega_\mathcal{O} = \begin{cases} \Omega_{\mathcal{O}'} \sqcup \{k\} & \text{if } \mu_k = 0 \text{ and } m_k \text{ is even} \\ \Omega_{\mathcal{O}'} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$(5.7) \quad l_{\mathcal{O}'} = \begin{cases} l_\mathcal{O} - 1 & \text{if } \mu_k = 0 \text{ and } m_k \text{ is even} \\ l_\mathcal{O} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now,  $\epsilon_{k-1} = \epsilon_k$ . Thus, whether  $k \in \Omega_\mathcal{O}$  depends only on the fact whether  $\sum_{a=k}^s m_a$  is even. Let us first consider the case  $\mu_k = 0$ . Then  $k = s$  and if  $m_k$  is even then  $k \in \Omega_\mathcal{O}$  but  $k \notin \Omega_{\mathcal{O}'}$  and if  $m_k$  is odd then  $k \notin \Omega_\mathcal{O}$  and  $k \notin \Omega_{\mathcal{O}'}$ .

Thus we are reduced to the case  $\mu_k \geq 1$  and it suffices to check that  $k+1 \in \Omega_\Theta$  if and only if  $k+1 \in \Omega'_\Theta$ . We have the following cases

- (1)  $\sum_{a=k+1}^s m_a$  is odd, then  $k+1 \notin \Omega_\Theta$  and  $k+1 \notin \Omega'_\Theta$ ;
- (2)  $\sum_{a=k+1}^s m_a$  is even and  $\mu_k \geq \mu_{k+1} + 2$ . Thus  $k+1 \in \Omega_\Theta$  and  $k+1 \in \Omega'_\Theta$  because at step  $k+1$  there is no sign change.
- (3)  $\sum_{a=k+1}^s m_a$  is even and  $\mu_k = \mu_{k+1} + 1$ . Then, as  $\epsilon \neq \epsilon_{k+1}$  we see that  $k+1 \notin \Omega_\Theta$ . Also,  $k+1 \notin \Omega'_\Theta$  as in this case  $\Omega'_\Theta \subset \{1, \dots, s\} - \{k+1\}$ .

This proves (5.6) and thus (5.7).

Let us write  $l = l_\Theta$ ,  $l' = l_{\Theta'}$  and let

$$W'_b = \bigoplus_{a=j_b}^{j_{b+1}-1} V(2\mu'_a)_0^{\oplus m_a}, \quad 1 \leq b \leq l',$$

where, we recall,  $\mu'_a = \mu_a - 1$  for  $1 \leq a \leq k$ . It is now easy to verify that

$$(5.8) \quad \dim \tilde{\pi}_B^{-1}(x) = \dim (\tilde{\pi}'_{B'})^{-1}(x') + \begin{cases} \frac{m^2 - m}{2} & \text{if } \mu_k \geq 1, \\ \frac{m^2 - m}{2} + \frac{m_k(m_k - 2)}{8} & \text{if } \mu_k = 0 \text{ and } m_k \text{ even,} \\ \frac{m^2 - m}{2} + \frac{m_k^2 - 1}{8} & \text{if } \mu_k = 0 \text{ and } m_k \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the map

$$p : \pi^{-1}(x) \rightarrow \text{IGr}(m, \ker x), \quad \mathcal{F} = (V_i) \mapsto V_m.$$

In the case when  $\mu_k \geq 1$ , let  $V_m^0 = \ker x \cap \text{Im } x^{2\mu_k}$ . By construction  $p^{-1}(V_m^0) \cong \mathcal{F}(V_m^0) \times (\tilde{\pi}'_{B'})^{-1}(x')$ , where  $\mathcal{F}(V_m^0)$  is the complete flag variety of  $V_m^0$ . By (5.8), we then conclude that  $p^{-1}(V_m^0) = \pi^{-1}(x)$  and hence  $\pi^{-1}(x) \cong \mathcal{F}(V_m^0) \times (\tilde{\pi}'_{B'})^{-1}(x')$ . Therefore

$$\text{H}^{top}(\tilde{\pi}_B^{-1}(x)) \cong \text{H}^{top}((\tilde{\pi}'_{B'})^{-1}(x')).$$

Let us now consider the case when  $\mu_k = 0$ . We first note that

$$\text{IGr}(m, \ker x) \cong \text{OGr}([m_k/2], V(0)_0^{\oplus m_k}) \cong \text{OGr}([m_k/2], m_k).$$

For each  $V_m \in \text{IGr}(m, \ker x) \cong \text{OGr}([m_k/2], m_k)$ , the fiber  $p^{-1}(V_m) \cong \mathcal{F}(V_m) \times (\tilde{\pi}'_{B'})^{-1}(x')$ . Thus,  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  fibers over  $\text{OGr}([m_k/2], m_k)$  with fiber  $\mathcal{F}(V_m) \times (\tilde{\pi}'_{B'})^{-1}(x')$ . Therefore, because  $\text{OGr}([m_k/2], m_k)$  is simply connected, we conclude that

$$\text{H}^{top}(\tilde{\pi}_B^{-1}(x)) \cong \text{H}^{top}(\text{OGr}([m_k/2], m_k)) \otimes \text{H}^{top}((\tilde{\pi}'_{B'})^{-1}(x')).$$

We have

$$\text{H}^{top}(\text{OGr}([m_k/2], m_k)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & m_k \text{ is odd} \\ \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} & m_k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

We note that  $\text{OGr}(\sigma_b/2, W_b) \cong \text{OGr}(\sigma_{b'}/2, W'_b)$ ,  $1 \leq b \leq l'$  and we can assume by induction that the theorem holds for  $\text{H}^{top}((\tilde{\pi}'_{B'})^{-1}(x'))$ . The discussion above implies that  $\text{H}^{top}(\tilde{\pi}_B^{-1}(x)) \cong \text{H}^{top}((\tilde{\pi}'_{B'})^{-1}(x'))$  except when  $\mu_k = 0$  and  $m_k$  is even. In that case  $\sigma_l = m_k$  and  $W_l = V(0)_0^{\oplus m_k}$ , and thus

$$\text{H}^{top}(\tilde{\pi}_B^{-1}(x)) \cong \text{H}^{top}(\text{OGr}(\sigma_l/2, W_l)) \otimes \text{H}^{top}((\tilde{\pi}'_{B'})^{-1}(x')).$$

This concludes the induction step.  $\square$

**5.3. The case of  $SL(n)$ .** Let  $(G, K) = (SL(n), S(GL(p) \times GL(q)))$ . In this subsection we show that the IC sheaves in Theorem 5.5 are indeed character sheaves with nilpotent support. More precisely, let  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_\lambda \in \underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^0$ . Let  $m = 2k + 1$  and let  $\mathcal{E}_m$  be an irreducible  $K$ -equivariant local system on  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$  given by an order  $m$  irreducible character of  $A_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda)$ . We show that

$$(5.9) \quad \mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \mathcal{E}_m) \in \mathrm{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)^n.$$

Since  $m|d_\lambda$ , we can assume that

$$\mathcal{O}_\lambda = (m\mu_1)_{\delta_1} (m\mu_2)_{\delta_2} \cdots (m\mu_s)_{\delta_s},$$

where  $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_s > 0$  and  $\delta_i \in \{+, -\}$ .

We associate with  $\lambda$  a sequence of positive numbers  $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j$  and alternating signs  $\epsilon_i \in \{+, -\}$ , such that  $l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \cdots \leq l_{j_0} = s \geq l_{j_0+1} \geq \cdots \geq l_j$  as follows.

Let  $1 \leq l_a \leq s$ ,  $a = 1, \dots, j_0$ , be such that  $\delta_{l_{a-1}+1} = \cdots = \delta_{l_a}$  and  $\delta_{l_{a-1}} \neq \delta_{l_{a-1}+1}$ . It is clear that we have  $l_a \leq l_{a+1}$ ,  $a = 1, \dots, j_0$ . We have  $l_{j_0} = s$ . Let us write the transpose partition  $(l_{j_0}, \dots, l_1)^t = (\mu'_1, \dots, \mu'_s)$ . Now we set the transpose partition of  $(\mu_1 - \mu'_1, \dots, \mu_s - \mu'_s)$  to be  $(l_{j_0+1} \geq \cdots \geq l_j)$ . Then  $j = \mu_1$ . We set  $\epsilon_1 = \delta_1$  and  $\epsilon_i = -\epsilon_{i+1}$ .

We now consider the  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subgroup of  $G$  which stabilizes the following flag

$$V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V_j \subset V,$$

where  $V_i = \mathrm{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_{\sum_{a=j-i+1}^j l_a(k+\delta_{\epsilon_a,+})}\} \oplus \mathrm{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_{\sum_{a=j-i+1}^j l_a(k+\delta_{\epsilon_a,-})}\}$ . Let  $L$  be the natural  $\theta$ -stable Levi subgroup in  $P$ . We have that

$$L \cong S(GL(ml_1) \times \cdots \times GL(ml_j))$$

$$L^\theta \cong S(GL((k + \delta_{\epsilon_1,+})l_1) \times GL((k + \delta_{\epsilon_1,-})l_1) \times \cdots \times GL((k + \delta_{\epsilon_j,+})l_j) \times GL((k + \delta_{\epsilon_j,-})l_j)).$$

Consider the nilpotent  $L^\theta$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_{m\epsilon_1}^{l_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{O}_{m\epsilon_j}^{l_j} \subset \mathcal{N}_{l_1}$ .

**Lemma 5.8.** *There exists an irreducible  $L^\theta$ -equivariant local system  $\mathcal{E}_L$  on  $\mathcal{O}_L$  given by an order  $m$  irreducible character of  $A_{L^\theta}(\mathcal{O}_L) = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  such that*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{l_1 \subset \mathfrak{p}_1}^{\mathfrak{g}_1} \mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{E}_L) = \mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \mathcal{E}_m) \oplus \cdots \quad (\text{up to shift}).$$

*Proof.* Consider the map  $\pi : K \times^{PK} (\bar{\mathcal{O}}_L + (\mathfrak{n}_P)_1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ . We claim that  $\mathrm{Im} \pi = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda$ . First we note that  $G \cdot (\overline{L \cdot \mathcal{O}_L} + \mathfrak{n}_P) = \overline{G \cdot \mathcal{O}_\lambda}$ , by the result for induced orbits in the classical situation. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(K \times^{PK} (\bar{\mathcal{O}}_L + (\mathfrak{n}_P)_1)) &= \dim \mathfrak{n}_P + \dim \mathcal{O}_L = \frac{1}{2} \dim(G \times^P (\overline{L \cdot \mathcal{O}_L} + \mathfrak{n}_P)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \dim(G \cdot \mathcal{O}_\lambda) = \dim \mathcal{O}_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it suffices to show that for  $x \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ ,  $\pi^{-1}(x) \neq \emptyset$ . This can be seen using induction on  $j$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $\epsilon_1 = +$  and that using the data  $l_2, \dots$  etc we

have obtained the induced orbit  $\mu' = (m\mu'_1)_- \cdots$ . We have  $\lambda = (m\mu'_1 + m)_+ \cdots (m\mu'_{l_1} + m)_+ (m\mu'_{l_1+1}) \cdots$ . Consider the  $\theta$ -stable Levi  $L' = S(GL(ml_1) \times GL(n - ml_1))$  with  $(L')^\theta = S(GL(kl + l) \times GL(kl) \times GL(p - kl - l) \times GL(q - kl))$  similarly defined using the flag  $0 \subset \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_{p-kl-l}, f_1, \dots, f_{q-kl}\} \subset V$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_{L'} = \mathcal{O}_{m_+^{l_1}} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mu'} \subset \mathcal{N}_{l'_1}$ . Consider the map  $\pi' : K \times^{P'_k} (\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{L'} + (\mathfrak{n}_{P'})_1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ . Let  $x \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ . One checks that  $\{x^{m+h_j}v_j, 1 \leq j \leq l_1, h_j \geq 0, x^{h_j}v_j, l_1 + 1 \leq j \leq s, h_j \geq 0\} \in (\pi')^{-1}(x)$ , where  $\{x^{h_j}v_j\}$  is a Jordan basis of  $x$ . This proves the claim. The lemma follows.  $\square$

Recall that  $m = 2k + 1$ . We now consider the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{m_+^l}$  for the symmetric pair  $(G', K') = (SL(ml), S(GL(kl + l) \times GL(kl)))$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}'_m$  be an irreducible  $K'$ -equivariant local system on  $\mathcal{O}_{m_+^l}$  given by an order  $m$  irreducible character of  $A_{K'}(\mathcal{O}_{m_+^l})$ .

**Lemma 5.9.** *We have  $\text{IC}(\mathcal{O}_{m_+^l}, \mathcal{E}'_m) \in \text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}', K')^n$ . Moreover,*

$$\text{Supp } \mathfrak{F}(\text{IC}(\mathcal{O}_{m_+^l}, \mathcal{E}'_m)) = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l}.$$

*Proof.* The lemma follows by central character considerations. Note that  $Z_{G'}^\theta = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A_{K'}(\mathcal{O}_{m_+^l}) = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  is given by  $\xi_{ml} \mapsto \xi_m$ , where  $\xi_d$  denotes a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus  $Z_{G'}^\theta$  acts on  $\text{IC}(\mathcal{O}_{m_+^l}, \mathcal{E}'_m)$  via an order  $m$  irreducible character.

Let us consider a nilpotent orbit  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_\mu$ ,  $\mu = (\mu_1)_+^{p_1} (\mu_1)_-^{q_1} (\mu_2)_+^{p_2} (\mu_2)_-^{q_2} \cdots (\mu_s)_+^{p_s} (\mu_s)_-^{q_s}$ , let  $\phi_\mathcal{O}$  be the associated  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple. By (4.3) we have

$$Z_{(K')^{\phi_\mathcal{O}}}(\mathfrak{a}^{\phi_\mathcal{O}}) / Z_{(K')^{\phi_\mathcal{O}}}(\mathfrak{a}^{\phi_\mathcal{O}})^0 = \mathbb{Z}/\check{d}_\mathcal{O}\mathbb{Z}, \quad \check{d}_\mathcal{O} = \text{gcd}(2 \text{gcd}(\mu_i, p_i = q_i), \text{gcd}(\mu_i, p_i \neq q_i)).$$

Thus, in view of (3.4), for an IC sheaf of the form  $\text{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_\mu, -)$  to afford an action of  $Z_{G'}^\theta$  via an order  $m$  character,  $m$  has to divide  $\check{d}_\mathcal{O}$ . Since  $m$  is odd, we conclude that  $m|d_\mu$ . By (3.1) if  $\mathfrak{F}(\text{IC}(\mathcal{O}_{m_+^l}, \mathcal{E}'_m))$  is an IC-sheaf on  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_\mu$  then  $\mathcal{O}_\mu \subset \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l}$ . But for such a  $\mu$  we can have  $m|d_\mu$  only when  $\mathcal{O}_\mu = \mathcal{O}$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{F}(\text{IC}(\mathcal{O}_{m_+^l}, \mathcal{E}'_m))$  is supported on the closure of the dual of  $\mathcal{O}_{m_+^l}$ . But the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{m_+^l}$  is distinguished and hence self dual by [P, Theorem 5].  $\square$

Since Fourier transform commutes with parabolic induction, making use of Lemma 5.8 and Lemma 5.9 we conclude that  $\text{Supp } \mathfrak{F}(\text{IC}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \mathcal{E}_m)) \subset K \cdot (\bar{\mathcal{O}}_L + (\mathfrak{n}_P)_1) \subset \mathcal{N}_1$ . Thus (5.9) follows.

## 6. NEARBY CYCLE SHEAVES

In this section, we determine the Fourier transform  $\mathfrak{F}(P_\chi)$  of the nearby cycle sheaves  $P_\chi$  (see §3.3) explicitly for the symmetric pairs  $(G, K)$  in §4.1. For convenience we assume that  $p \geq q$  in this section.

**6.1. Roots and the little Weyl group.** In this subsection we describe the various root systems and the little Weyl groups, for the convenience of the reader.

**Type A III.** We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi &= \{\pm(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}, \quad \Phi^{\text{R}} = \{\pm(\epsilon_{2i-1} - \epsilon_{2i}), i = 1, \dots, q\}, \\ \Phi^{\text{C}} &= \{\pm(\epsilon_{2i-1} - \epsilon_{2j-1}), 1 \leq i < j \leq q, \pm(\epsilon_{2i} - \epsilon_{2j}), 1 \leq i < j \leq q\}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $W_{\mathfrak{a}} \cong W^{\text{R}} \rtimes (W^{\text{C}})^{\theta} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^q \rtimes S_q = W_q$ . The restricted root system  $\Sigma$  is of type  $(BC)_q$  if  $p > q$ , and of type  $C_q$  if  $p = q$ . The restricted roots are  $\pm(\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j)$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq q$ ,  $\pm 2\epsilon_i$ ,  $\pm\epsilon_i$  (if  $q \neq n/2$ ),  $1 \leq i \leq q$ , with root space of dimension 2, 1, and  $2(n - 2q)$ , respectively.

**Type B I.** We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi &= \{\pm(\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j), 1 \leq i < j \leq n; \pm\epsilon_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}, \\ \Phi^{\text{R}} &= \{\pm(\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j), 1 \leq i < j \leq q, \pm\epsilon_i, 1 \leq i \leq q\}, \quad \Phi^{\text{C}} = \emptyset.\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $W_{\mathfrak{a}} = W^{\text{R}} = W_q$ . The restricted root system  $\Sigma$  is of type  $B_q$ . The restricted roots are  $\pm(\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j)$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq q$ ,  $\pm\epsilon_i$ ,  $i \leq q$  with restricted root space of dimension 1 and  $p - q$ , respectively.

**Type D I.** We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi &= \{\pm(\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}, \quad \Phi^{\text{R}} = \{\pm(\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j), 1 \leq i < j \leq q\}, \\ \Phi^{\text{C}} &= \{\pm(\epsilon_q \pm \epsilon_n)\} \text{ if } q < n, \quad \Phi^{\text{C}} = \emptyset \text{ if } q = n.\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $W_{\mathfrak{a}} = W^{\text{R}} \rtimes (W^{\text{C}})^{\theta} = W'_q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = W_q$  if  $q < n$ , and  $W_{\mathfrak{a}} = W^{\text{R}} = W'_n$  if  $q = n$ . The restricted root system  $\Sigma$  is of type  $B_q$  if  $q < n$ ; of type  $D_n$  if  $q = n$ . The restricted roots are  $\pm(\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j)$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq q$ ,  $\pm\epsilon_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq q$ , with restricted root space of dimension 1 and  $p - q$ , respectively.

**Type C I.** We have

$$\Phi = \Phi^{\text{R}} = \{\pm(\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j), 1 \leq i < j \leq n; \pm 2\epsilon_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Thus  $W_{\mathfrak{a}} \cong W^{\text{R}} = W_n$ . This is a split pair.

**Type C II.** We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi &= \{\pm(\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j), 1 \leq i < j \leq n; \pm 2\epsilon_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}, \quad \Phi^{\text{R}} = \{\pm(\epsilon_{2i-1} + \epsilon_{2i}), i = 1, \dots, q\}, \\ \Phi^{\text{C}} &= \{\pm(\epsilon_{2i-1} - \epsilon_{2j-1}), 1 \leq i < j \leq q, \pm(\epsilon_{2i} - \epsilon_{2j}), 1 \leq i < j \leq q\}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $W_{\mathfrak{a}} \cong W^{\text{R}} \rtimes (W^{\text{C}})^{\theta} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^q \rtimes S_q = W_q$ . The restricted root system  $\Sigma$  is of type  $(BC)_q$  if  $q \neq n/2$ , and of type  $C_q$  if  $q = n/2$ . The restricted roots are  $\pm(\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j)$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq q$ ,  $\pm 2\epsilon_i$ , and  $\pm\epsilon_i$  (if  $q < n/2$ ),  $1 \leq i \leq q$ , with root space of dimension 4, 3, and  $4(n - 2q)$ , respectively.

**Type D II.** We write  $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor = m$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi &= \{\pm(\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}, \quad \Phi^{\text{R}} = \{\pm(\epsilon_{2i-1} - \epsilon_{2i}), i = 1, \dots, m\}, \\ \Phi^{\text{C}} &= \{\pm(\epsilon_{2i-1} - \epsilon_{2j-1}), \pm(\epsilon_{2i} - \epsilon_{2j}), 1 \leq i < j \leq m\}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $W_{\mathfrak{a}} \cong W^{\mathbb{R}} \rtimes (W^{\mathbb{C}})^{\theta} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m \rtimes S_m = W_m$ . The restricted root system is of type  $(BC)_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$  if  $n$  is odd, and of type  $C_{\frac{n}{2}}$  if  $n$  is even. The restricted roots are  $\pm(\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j)$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ ,  $\pm 2\epsilon_i$ , and  $\pm\epsilon_i$  (if  $n$  is odd),  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , with root space of dimension 4, 1, and 4 respectively.

**6.2. The group  $I$  and the Weyl group action.** In this subsection we study the groups  $I = Z_K(\mathfrak{a})/Z_K(\mathfrak{a})^0$  and the action of  $W_{\mathfrak{a}}$  on the set  $\hat{I}$  of its irreducible characters. We determine the stabilizer groups  $W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}$  and  $W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi}^0$ , for  $\chi \in \hat{I}$ . Recall that we write  $I^0 = Z_{K^0}(\mathfrak{a})/Z_{K^0}(\mathfrak{a})^0$ . We further recall that  $I^0$  is generated by  $\check{\alpha}(-1)$ ,  $\alpha \in \Phi^{\mathbb{R}}$ , see, for example [K, Theorem 7.55].

**Lemma 6.1.** *We have that*

- (1)  $I = 1$ , if  $(G, K) = (SL(n), S(GL(p) \times GL(q)))$ ,  $p \neq q$ ,  $(PGL(n), P(GL(p) \times GL(q)))$ ,  $p \neq q$ ,  $(Sp(2n), Sp(2p) \times Sp(2q))$ , or  $(SO(2n), GL(n))$ .
- (2)  $I = I^0 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , if  $(G, K) = (SL(n), S(GL(n/2) \times GL(n/2)))$ .
- (3)  $I = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $I^0 = 1$  if  $(G, K) = (PGL(n), P(GL(n/2) \times GL(n/2)))$ .
- (4)  $I = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^q$ ,  $I^0 = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{q-1}$ , if  $(G, K) = (SO(N), S(O(p) \times O(q)))$ . Moreover, the action of  $W_{\mathfrak{a}}$  on  $\hat{I}$  has  $q + 1$  orbits.
- (5)  $I = I^0 = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ , if  $(G, K) = (Sp(2n), GL(n))$ . Moreover, the action of  $W_{\mathfrak{a}}$  on  $\hat{I}$  has  $n + 1$  orbits.

*Proof.* We note that if  $G$  is simply connected, then  $K = K^0$  and thus  $I = I^0$ . In general, we have  $I/I^0 = K/K^0$ . The claims (1)-(3) can be checked easily. The claims (4)-(5) is readily checked since we have the following description of  $I$  and  $I^0$ .

Suppose that  $(G, K) = (SO(N), S(O(p) \times O(q)))$ . Then  $K/K^0 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Let us choose a set of simple roots for the real root system  $\Phi^{\mathbb{R}}$  as follows,  $\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq q - 1$ ,  $\alpha_q = \epsilon_q$ , if  $N$  is odd, and  $\alpha_q = \epsilon_{q-1} + \epsilon_q$ , if  $N$  is even. Let

$$\gamma_i = \check{\alpha}_i(-1) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq q - 1 \text{ and } \gamma_n = \epsilon_q(-1).$$

We have  $I^0 = \langle \gamma_i, 1 \leq i \leq q - 1 \rangle$  and  $I = \langle \gamma_i, 1 \leq i \leq q \rangle$ .

Suppose that  $(G, K) = (Sp(2n), GL(n))$ . Let us choose the simple real roots  $\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$  and  $\alpha_n = 2\epsilon_n$ . Let  $\gamma_i = \check{\alpha}_i(-1)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then  $\gamma_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , generate  $I = I^0$ .  $\square$

In the case of type A III and  $p = q$ , we write  $\chi_0 = 1$  and  $\chi_1 : I = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  for the unique non-trivial character. We have

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi_1} &= W_q, & W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi_1}^0 &= S_q & \text{if } G &= SL_n, \\ W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi_1} &= W_{\mathfrak{a},\chi_1}^0 = W_q & & & \text{if } G &= PGL_n. \end{aligned}$$

In the case of  $(G, K) = (SO(N), S(O(p) \times O(q)))$  or  $(G, K) = (Sp(2q), GL(q))$ , we fix a set of representatives for the  $W_{\mathfrak{a}}$ -orbits in  $\hat{I}$  as follows,

$$(6.1) \quad \chi_0 = 1, \quad \chi_m(\gamma_i) = 1 \text{ if } i \neq m \text{ and } \chi_m(\gamma_m) = -1, \quad 1 \leq m \leq q,$$

where  $\gamma_i \in I$  are defined in the proof of Lemma 6.1.

In the remainder of this subsection, we describe the subgroups  $W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi_m}$  and  $W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi_m}^0$  for  $\chi_m$  defined in (6.1). Let us recall the description of  $W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi} = W_{\chi}^{\mathbb{R}} \rtimes (W^{\mathbb{C}})^{\theta}$  in [GVX]. To determine  $W_{\chi}^{\mathbb{R}}$ , we are reduced to consider the split pair  $(G_s, K_s)$ ; here  $G_s = Z_G(\mathfrak{c})/C^0$ ,  $K_s = G_s^{\theta_s}$ , where  $C = T^{\theta}$  for a fixed maximal torus  $T \subset Z_G(\mathfrak{a})$ ,  $\mathfrak{c} = \text{Lie } C$ , and  $\theta_s$  is the involution induced by  $\theta$ . We see that  $W_{\mathfrak{a}}^{(G_s, K_s)} := N_{K_s}(\mathfrak{a})/Z_{K_s}(\mathfrak{a}) = W^{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $I^{(G_s, K_s)} := Z_{K_s}(\mathfrak{a})/Z_{K_s}(\mathfrak{a})^0 = I$ . Moreover, we have

$$(6.2) \quad W_{\chi}^{\mathbb{R}} = (W_{\mathfrak{a}}^{(G_s, K_s)})_{\chi}, \quad \text{and} \quad W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi}/W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi}^0 \subset W_{\chi}^{\mathbb{R}}/W_{\chi}^{\mathbb{R}, 0}, \quad W_{\chi}^{\mathbb{R}, 0} = (W_{\mathfrak{a}}^{(G_s, K_s)})_{\chi}^0.$$

In particular, if  $G_s$  is semisimple and adjoint, then  $W_{\chi}^{\mathbb{R}} = W_{\chi}^{\mathbb{R}, 0}$  and thus  $W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi} = W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi}^0$ .

Suppose that  $(G, K) = (SO(2n+1), S(O(2n+1-q) \times O(q)))$ ,  $q \leq n$ . In this case,  $G_s$  is semisimple and adjoint. One checks that

$$\{\alpha \in \Phi^{\mathbb{R}} \mid \chi_m(\check{\alpha}(-1)) = 1\} = \{\pm(\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j), 1 \leq i < j \leq m, m < i < j \leq q; \pm\epsilon_i, 1 \leq i \leq q\}.$$

Thus

$$W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi_m} = W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi_m}^0 = W_m \times W_{q-m} = \langle s_1, \dots, s_{m-1}, \tau \rangle \times \langle s_{m+1}, \dots, s_q \rangle,$$

where  $s_i = s_{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i-1}}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ ,  $s_q = s_{\epsilon_q}$  and  $\tau = s_m s_{m+1} \dots s_{q-1} s_q s_{q-1} \dots s_m = s_{\epsilon_m}$ .

Suppose that  $(G, K) = (SO(2n), S(O(n) \times O(n)))$ . Let  $s_i = s_{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i-1}}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , and  $s_n = s_{\epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n}$ . Then

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi_m} &= \langle s_{m+1}, \dots, s_n \rangle \rtimes \langle s_1, \dots, s_{m-1}, \tau_0 \rangle \cong W'_{n-m} \rtimes W_m, \quad 1 \leq m \leq n-1 \\ W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi_m}^0 &= \langle s_1, \dots, s_{m-1}, \tau \rangle \times \langle s_{m+1}, \dots, s_n \rangle \cong W'_m \times W'_{n-m}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq n-1, \\ W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi_m} &= W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi_m}^0 = W'_n, \quad m = 0 \text{ or } n. \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tau_0 = (s_m s_{m+1} \dots s_{n-2} s_n s_{n-1} \dots s_{m+1} s_m) = s_{\epsilon_m} s_{\epsilon_n}$  and  $\tau = \tau_0 s_{m-1} \tau_0 = s_{-(\epsilon_{m-1} + \epsilon_m)}$ . Moreover,  $W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi_m}/W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi_m}^0 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  when  $1 \leq m \leq n-1$ .

In the case  $(G, K) = (SO(2n), S(O(2n-q) \times O(q)))$ ,  $q < n$  we have  $G_s = Z_G(\mathfrak{c})/C^0 \cong SO(2q)$ . Using (6.2) and (6.3), we see that

$$W_{\chi_m}^{\mathbb{R}} = \langle s_1, \dots, s_{m-1}, \tau_0, s_{m+1}, \dots, s_q \rangle,$$

where  $s_i = s_{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i-1}}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq q-1$ ,  $s_q = s_{\epsilon_{q-1} + \epsilon_q}$  and  $\tau_0 = s_m s_{m+1} \dots s_{q-2} s_q s_{q-1} \dots s_{m+1} s_m$ . Let us write  $(W^{\mathbb{C}})^{\theta} = \{1, t\} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $t = s_{\epsilon_q} s_{\epsilon_n}$ . Then  $W_{\mathfrak{a}} = \langle s_1, \dots, s_{q-1}, t \rangle = W_q$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi_m} &= W_{\chi_m}^{\mathbb{R}} \rtimes (W^{\mathbb{C}})^{\theta} = \langle s_1, \dots, s_{m-1}, \tau_0, s_{m+1}, \dots, s_q, t \rangle \\ &= \langle s_1, \dots, s_{m-1}, s_m s_{m+1} \dots s_{q-2} s_q s_{q-1} t s_{q-1} \dots s_{m+1} s_m \rangle \times \langle s_{m+1}, \dots, s_{q-1}, t \rangle \cong W_m \times W_{q-m}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, one checks that

$$\{\alpha \in \Phi^{\mathbb{R}} \mid \chi_m(\check{\alpha}(-1)) = 1\} = \{\pm(\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j), 1 \leq i < j \leq m, m+1 \leq i < j \leq q\}.$$

Thus

$$W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi_m}^0 = \langle s_1, \dots, s_{m-1}, s_{m+1}, \dots, s_{q-1}, s_{\epsilon_i}, i = 1, \dots, q \rangle = W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi_m} \cong W_m \times W_{q-m}.$$

Consider the case  $(G, K) = (Sp(2n), GL(n))$ . Let  $s_i = s_{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq q-1$ , and  $s_n = s_{\epsilon_n}$ . We have

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi_m} &= \langle s_1, \dots, s_{m-1}, \tau \rangle \times \langle s_{m+1}, \dots, s_n \rangle \cong W_m \times W_{n-m}, \\ W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi_m}^0 &= \langle s_1, \dots, s_{m-1}, \tau_0 \rangle \times \langle s_{m+1}, \dots, s_n \rangle \cong W'_m \times W_{n-m}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tau = s_m s_{m+1} \dots s_{n-1} s_n s_{n-1} \dots s_m = s_{\epsilon_m}$  and  $\tau_0 = \tau s_{m-1} \tau = s_{\epsilon_{m-1} + \epsilon_m}$ . In particular,  $W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi_m} / W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi_m}^0 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  when  $1 \leq m \leq n$ .

**6.3. Nearby cycle sheaves.** Using the discussions in §3.3, §6.1 and §6.2, we can now explicitly describe the Fourier transforms of the nearby cycle sheaves. Recall the character  $\iota : I \rightarrow K/K^0 \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ , see (3.6).

Let  $\mathcal{H}_{W_q, c_0, c_1}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{H}_{W'_n, -1}$ ) be the Hecke algebra defined in §2.4. We write  $\mathcal{H}_{W_q, c_0, c_1}$  also for the representation of  $B_{W_q}$  (resp.  $B_{W'_n}$ ) arising from the regular representation of  $\mathcal{H}_{W_q, c_0, c_1}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{H}_{W'_n, -1}$ ) via the natural surjective map  $\mathbb{C}[B_{W_q}] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{W_q, c_0, c_1}$  (resp.  $\mathbb{C}[B_{W'_n}] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{W'_n, -1}$ ).

**Theorem 6.2.** *We have*

$$\mathfrak{F}(P_{\chi_0}) = \text{IC}(\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}, \mathcal{H}_{W_q, 1, -1} \otimes \mathbb{C}_\iota) \quad \text{if } (G, K) = (GL(n), GL(p) \times GL(q)) \\ \text{or in type A III, C II and D III};$$

$$\mathfrak{F}(P_{\chi_1}) = \text{IC}(\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}, \mathbb{C}_{\chi_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{W_q, 1, 1}) \quad \text{in type A III when } G = SL(n) \text{ and } p = q;$$

$$\mathfrak{F}(P_{\chi_1}) = \text{IC}(\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}, \mathbb{C}_{\chi_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{W_q, 1, -1} \otimes \mathbb{C}_\iota) \quad \text{in type A III when } G = PGL(n) \text{ and } p = q,$$

where  $\iota$  is the trivial character unless  $G = PGL(2n)$ ,  $p = q = n$ , and  $n$  is odd. In the latter case  $\iota = \chi_1$ .

In the theorem we have included the pair  $(GL(n), GL(p) \times GL(q))$  for later use.

In the case of type BDI and CI, let  $\chi_m \in \hat{I}$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq q$ , be the characters defined in (6.1). Let us write

$$\tilde{B}_{W_k} = I \rtimes B_{W_k}, \tilde{B}_{W'_k} = I \rtimes B_{W'_k}.$$

**Theorem 6.3.** *We have*

$$\mathfrak{F}P_{\chi_m} = \text{IC}(\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}, \mathcal{M}_{\chi_m} \otimes \mathbb{C}_\iota),$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_{\chi_m}$  is the  $K$ -equivariant local system on  $\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}$  corresponding to the following representation  $M_{\chi_m}$  of  $\pi_1^K(\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs})$

$$M_{\chi_m} \cong \mathbb{C}[\tilde{B}_{W_q}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\tilde{B}_{W_q}^{\chi_m}]} (\mathbb{C}_{\chi_m} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{W_m, -1, -1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{W_{q-m}, -1, -1}) \quad \text{type BI},$$

$$M_{\chi_m} \cong \mathbb{C}[\tilde{B}_{W_n}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\tilde{B}_{W_n}^{\chi_m, 0}]} (\mathbb{C}_{\chi_m} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{W'_m, -1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{W_{n-m}, -1, -1})$$

$$\cong \mathbb{C}[\tilde{B}_{W_n}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\tilde{B}_{W_n}^{\chi_m}]} (\mathbb{C}_{\chi_m} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{W_m, -1, 1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{W_{n-m}, -1, -1}) \quad \text{type CI},$$

$$M_{\chi_m} \cong \mathbb{C}[\tilde{B}_{W'_n}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\tilde{B}_{W'_n}^{\chi_m, 0}]} (\mathbb{C}_{\chi_m} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{W'_m, -1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{W'_{n-m}, -1}) \quad \text{type DI and } p = q,$$

$$M_{\chi_m} \cong \mathbb{C}[\tilde{B}_{W_q}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\tilde{B}_{W_q}^{\chi_m}]} (\mathbb{C}_{\chi_m} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{W_m, -1, 1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{W_{n-m}, -1, 1}) \quad \text{type DI and } p > q,$$

and  $\iota = 1$  unless  $G = SO(2n+1)$  in which case  $\iota$  is given by the unique nontrivial character of  $K/K^0 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

In the theorem above, we set  $\mathcal{H}_{W_0, c_0, c_1} = \mathcal{H}_{W'_0, -1} = \mathbb{C}$ .

In the case of type CI, the second isomorphism in the above theorem is proved in the same way as in [GVX, §6.6], noting that  $W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi_m}$  is also a Coexter group. In particular, the parameter for the simple reflection  $\tau$  of  $W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi_m}$  in (6.4) is 1 since  $\chi(\check{\alpha}) = -1$  for  $\alpha = \epsilon_k$ .

In the case of  $(G, K) = (SO(2n), S(O(n) \times O(n)))$ , recall from (6.3) that when  $1 \leq m \leq n-1$ , we have  $W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi_m} = W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi_m}^0 \rtimes \langle \tau_0 \rangle$  and  $W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi_m}^0 = \langle s_1, \dots, s_{m-1}, \tau \rangle \times \langle s_{m+1}, \dots, s_n \rangle$ , where  $\tau_0$  acts on the generators of  $W_{\mathfrak{a}, \chi_m}^0$  as follows:  $\tau_0 s_i \tau_0 = s_i$  for  $i < m-1$ ,  $\tau_0 s_{m-1} \tau_0 = \tau$ ,  $\tau_0 s_i \tau_0 = s_i$  for  $m+1 \leq i < n-1$ ,  $\tau_0 s_{n-1} \tau_0 = s_n$ . Thus when  $1 \leq m \leq n-1$ , for each irreducible representation  $\rho$  of  $B_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}}^{\chi_m, 0}$ , the  $\mathbb{C}[B_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}}^{\chi_m}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[B_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}}^{\chi_m, 0}]} \rho$  decomposes into two non-isomorphic irreducible representations of  $B_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}}^{\chi_m}$ .

Recall that in (3.9) we have written  $\Theta_{(G, K)}$  for the set of irreducible  $\pi_1^K(\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs})$ -representations which appear as composition factors of  $M_\chi \otimes \mathbb{C}_\nu$ ,  $\chi \in \hat{I}$ . Applying the discussion at the end of §3.3 and the discussion above for the split pair in type D, we conclude from Theorem 6.3 that

$$(6.5) \quad |\Theta_{(SO(2n+1), S(O(p) \times O(q)))}| = \sum_{k=0}^q d(k)d(q-k),$$

$$(6.6) \quad |\Theta_{(Sp(2n), GL(n))}| = \sum_{k=0}^n d(k)e(n-k),$$

$$(6.7) \quad |\Theta_{(SO(2n), S(O(n) \times O(n))}| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n e(k)e(n-k),$$

$$(6.8) \quad |\Theta_{(SO(2n), S(O(p) \times O(q))}| = \sum_{k=0}^q e(k)e(q-k), \text{ when } p > q,$$

where we have used (2.6) and recall that  $d(k)$  (resp.  $e(k)$ ) denotes the number of simple modules of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{W_k, -1, -1}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{H}_{W_k, -1, 1}$ ), see §2.4.

## 7. CHARACTER SHEAVES

In this section we give a description of the set  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$  of character sheaves for the symmetric pairs  $(G, K)$  in §4.1. We use the notation from that section.

**7.1. Supports of the character sheaves.** To describe the supports of character sheaves, we will define a set  $\mathcal{N}_1^{\text{cs}}$  of nilpotent orbits such that for  $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{N}_1^{\text{cs}}$  the corresponding  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$  will support a character sheaf. Conversely, it will turn out that all supports of character sheaves are of this form. Let us assume that  $p \geq q$ . The  $\mathcal{N}_1^{\text{cs}}$  consists of the following orbits:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{Type A III} & \mathcal{O}_{k, \mu} = \mathcal{O}_{1_+^k 1_-^k \sqcup \mu} & 0 \leq k \leq q, \mu \in \text{SYD}_{(PGL(n-2k), P(GL(p-k) \times GL(q-k))}^0 \\ G = PGL(n) & & \\ \text{Type A III} & \mathcal{O}_{m_+^l m_-^l \sqcup \mu} & m \text{ odd}, 2ml \leq n, \mu \in \text{SYD}_{(SL(n-2ml), S(GL(p-ml) \times GL(q-ml))}^0 \\ G = SL(n) & & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
& \text{with } m|d_\mu \text{ when } l > 0 \\
& \mathcal{O}_{m_+^l m_-^l} \quad 2ml = n, m \geq 1, \text{ if } p = q, \\
\text{Type BDI} \quad \mathcal{O}_{m,k,\mu} = \mathcal{O}_{1_+^m 1_-^m 2_+^k 2_-^k \sqcup \mu} & m \equiv q \pmod{2} \text{ if } N \text{ is even, } 0 \leq m + 2k \leq q, \\
& \mu \in \text{SYD}_{(SO(N-2m-4k), S(O(p-m-2k) \times O(q-m-2k)))}^0, \\
\text{Type CI} \quad \mathcal{O}_{m,k,\mu} = \mathcal{O}_{1_+^m 1_-^m 2_+^k 2_-^k \sqcup \mu} & 0 \leq m + 2k \leq n, \mu \in \text{SYD}_{(Sp(2n-2m-4k), GL(n-m-2k))}^0 \\
\text{Type CII} \quad \mathcal{O}_{k,\mu} = \mathcal{O}_{1_+^{2k} 1_-^{2k} \sqcup \mu} & 0 \leq k \leq q, \mu \in \text{SYD}_{(Sp(2n-4k), Sp(2p-2k) \times Sp(2q-2k))}^0 \\
\text{Type DIII} \quad \mathcal{O}_{k,\mu} = \mathcal{O}_{1_+^{2k} 1_-^{2k} \sqcup \mu} & 0 \leq k \leq [n/2], \mu \in \text{SYD}_{(SO(2n-4k), GL(n-2k))}^0.
\end{array}$$

where  $\lambda \sqcup \mu$  denotes the signed Young diagram obtained by joining  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  together, i.e., the rows of  $\lambda \sqcup \mu$  are the rows of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  rearranged according to the lengths of the rows. We also note that  $\underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^0 \subset \underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^{\text{cs}}$ .

When  $G = K$  we have used the following convention. In this case the set  $\text{SYD}_{(G,K)}^0$  consists of the signed Young diagram which corresponds to the zero orbit. More precisely, in type A III when  $k = q$  then  $\mu = 1_+^{p-q}$ ; in type BDI, when  $q = m + 2k$  then  $\mu = 1_+^{p-q}$ ; in type CI when  $n = m + 2k$  then  $\mu = \emptyset$ ; in type CII when  $q = k$  then  $\mu = 1_+^{2p-2q}$ ; in type DIII when  $k = n/2$  then  $\mu = \emptyset$ .

Also note that when  $(G, K) = (SO(4k), S(O(2k) \times O(2k)))$ , we have written  $\mathcal{O}_{0,k,\emptyset}$  for both  $\mathcal{O}_{0,k,\emptyset}^{\text{I}}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{0,k,\emptyset}^{\text{II}}$ .

**Remark 7.1.** *We recall that for the symmetric pair  $(SL(N), SO(N))$  considered in [CVX] the nilpotent  $K$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{N}_1$  are parametrized by partitions of  $N$  and when  $N$  is even a partition with only even parts corresponds to two nilpotent orbits. In this case, the  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ 's that support character sheave are given by the nilpotent orbits  $\mathcal{O}_{2^k 1^{N-2k}}$ , where as usual, there are two orbits  $\mathcal{O}_{2^{N/2}}^{\text{I}}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{2^{N/2}}^{\text{II}}$  when  $N$  is even.*

Recall that we write  $W_k$  for Weyl group of type  $B_k$  or  $C_k$  and  $W'_k$  for Weyl group of type  $D_k$ . Let us write

$$\tilde{B}_{W_k} = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^k \rtimes B_{W_k}, \quad \tilde{B}_{W'_k} = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^k \rtimes B_{W'_k}.$$

Using (3.4), one readily checks that the equivariant fundamental groups  $\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}})$  are as follows

$$(7.1a) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Type A III} \\ G = PGL(n) \end{array} \quad \pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{k,\mu}) = \begin{cases} B_{W_k} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } 2|d_\mu \\ B_{W_k}, & \text{if } 2 \nmid d_\mu \end{cases};$$

$$(7.1b) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Type A III} \\ G = SL(n) \end{array} \quad \pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l \sqcup \mu}) = B_{W_l} \times \mathbb{Z}/\check{d}\mathbb{Z},$$

$$\check{d} = \begin{cases} \gcd(2m, d_\mu) & \text{if } l > 0, \mu \neq \emptyset \\ d_\mu & \text{if } l = 0 \\ 2m & \text{if } \mu = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

$$(7.1c) \quad \text{Type BDI} \quad \pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m,k,\mu}) = \begin{cases} \tilde{B}_{W'_m} \times \tilde{B}_{W_k} & \text{if } \mu = \emptyset \\ \tilde{B}_{W_m} \times \tilde{B}_{W_k} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r_\mu} & \text{if } \mu \neq \emptyset \end{cases}$$

$$(7.1d) \quad \text{Type CI} \quad \pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m,k,\mu}) = \tilde{B}_{W_m} \times \tilde{B}_{W_k} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r_\mu};$$

$$(7.1e) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Type CII} \\ \&DIII \end{array} \quad \pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{k,\mu}) = B_{W_k},$$

where  $d_\mu$  and  $r_\mu$  are defined in (4.3), (4.6) and (4.7), respectively. Here we use the convention that  $B_{W_0} = B_{W'_0} = \tilde{B}_{W_0} = \tilde{B}_{W'_0} = \{1\}$ .

To illustrate the idea, let us explain the calculation in the case of type BD I. Also, for later use, the argument below fixes the splitting of  $\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m,k,\mu})$  into a product. We assume that  $\mu = (\mu_1)^{m_1} \cdots (\mu_s)^{m_s} 1^{m_0}$ , where each  $\mu_i$  is odd and  $\mu_1 > \cdots > \mu_s > 1$ . We then have

$$G^\phi = \{(g_1, \dots, g_s, g_0, h) \in \prod_{i=1}^s O(m_i) \times O(2m + m_0) \times Sp(2k) \mid \det(g_1 \cdots g_s g_0) = 1\}$$

and

$$K^\phi = \{(g_1, \dots, g_s, h_1, h_2, h_0) \in \prod_{i=1}^s O(m_i) \times O(m) \times O(m + m_0) \times GL(k) \mid \det(g_1 \cdots g_s h_1 h_2) = 1\}.$$

Assume that  $\mu \neq \emptyset$ , i.e., that  $s$  and  $m_0$  are not both zero. Then  $Z_{K^\phi}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi)/Z_{K^\phi}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi)^0 = I_1 \times I_2 \times I_3$ ,  $I_1 = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m$ ,  $I_2 = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^k$ ,  $I_3 = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{s-\delta_{m_0,0}} = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r_\mu} \cong S(\prod_{i=1}^s O(m_i) \times O(m_0))/S(\prod_{i=1}^s O(m_i) \times O(m_0))^0$  and  $B_{W_{\mathfrak{a}^\phi}} = B_{W_m} \times B_{W_k}$ . Moreover the action of  $B_{W_m} \times B_{W_k}$  on  $I_1 \times I_2 \times I_3$  factors through the action of  $B_{W_m}$  on  $I_1$  and the action of  $B_{W_k}$  on  $I_2$ .

**7.2. Character sheaves.** In this subsection we state our main theorems which give an explicit description of character sheaves. We will begin by writing down representations of the fundamental groups in (7.1).

We use the notation from §2.4. We recall that  $\mathcal{P}(k)$  denotes the set of partitions of  $k$ . Let  $\tau \in \mathcal{P}(k)$  and recall the simple module  $L_\tau$  of  $\mathcal{H}_{W_k,1,-1}$  (see (2.4)). We continue to write  $L_\tau$  for the  $B_{W_k}$ -representation obtained by pulling back  $L_\tau$  via the surjective map  $\mathbb{C}[B_{W_k}] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{W_k,1,-1}$ .

**7.2.1. Type A III and  $G = SL(n)$ .** For each partition  $\tau \in \mathcal{P}(l)$  and each order  $m$  irreducible character  $\psi_m$  of  $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{T}_{\tau,\psi_m}$  the irreducible  $K$ -equivariant local system on  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l, m_-^l, \sqcup \mu}$  corresponding to the irreducible representations  $L_\tau \boxtimes \psi_m$  of  $\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l, m_-^l, \sqcup \mu})$ , where  $B_{W_l}$  acts via  $L_\tau$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$  acts via  $\psi_m$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\tau,\psi_m} = L_\tau \boxtimes \psi_m.$$

For each bi-partition  $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_2(l)$  and each primitive character  $\psi_{2m}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{T}_{\rho,\psi_{2m}}$  the irreducible  $K$ -equivariant local system on  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l, m_-^l}$  corresponding to the irreducible representation  $\rho \boxtimes \psi_{2m}$  of  $\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l, m_-^l})$ , where  $B_{W_l}$  acts on  $\rho$  through  $W_l$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$  acts via  $\psi_{2m}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\rho,\psi_{2m}} = L_\rho \boxtimes \psi_{2m}.$$

7.2.2. Type A III,  $G = PGL(n)$  and types C II and D III. For each  $\tau \in \mathcal{P}(k)$ , let  $\mathcal{J}_\tau$  denote the irreducible  $K$ -equivariant local system on  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_{k,\mu}$  corresponding to the  $\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{k,\mu})$ -representation where  $B_{W_k}$  acts via  $L_\tau$  and, in the case of  $PGL(n)$  and  $2|d_\mu$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  acts trivially, i.e.

$$\mathcal{J}_\tau = L_\tau.$$

Here  $\mathcal{J}_\tau = \mathbb{C}$  is the trivial local system if  $k = 0$ .

When  $G = PGL(n)$  and  $p = q = n/2$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{J}_{\tau,\psi_2}$  the irreducible  $K$ -equivariant local system on  $\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}$  given by the  $\pi_1^K(\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}) = B_{W_{n/2}} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -representation  $L_\tau \boxtimes \psi_2$ , where  $\tau \in \mathcal{P}(n/2)$  and  $\psi_2$  is the non-trivial character of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{J}_{\tau,\psi_2} = L_\tau \boxtimes \psi_2.$$

7.2.3. Type C I. For  $\rho \in \Theta_{(Sp(2m), GL(m))}$  and  $\tau \in \mathcal{P}(k)$  we write  $\mathcal{J}_{\rho,\tau}$  for the irreducible  $K$ -equivariant local system on  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m,k,\mu}$  corresponding to the representation  $L_\rho \boxtimes L_\tau$  of  $\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m,k,\mu})$ , where  $\tilde{B}_{W_m}$  acts via  $\rho$ ,  $\tilde{B}_{W_k}$  acts via the  $B_{W_k}$ -representation  $L_\tau$ , and  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r_\mu}$  acts trivially, i.e.,

$$(7.2) \quad \mathcal{J}_{\rho,\tau} = L_\rho \boxtimes L_\tau \boxtimes 1.$$

Here  $\mathcal{J}_{\rho,\tau} = \mathbb{C}$  is the trivial local system if  $m = k = 0$ .

7.2.4. Type B I. For each  $\rho \in \Theta_{(SO(2m+1), S(O(m+1) \times O(m)))}$ ,  $\tau \in \mathcal{P}(k)$ , and  $\phi \in \Pi_{\mathcal{O}_\mu}$  (see (5.3)), let  $\mathcal{J}_{\rho,\tau,\phi}$  denote the irreducible  $K$ -equivariant local system on  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m,k,\mu}$  given by the representation  $L_\rho \boxtimes L_\tau \boxtimes \phi$  of  $\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m,k,\mu})$ , where  $\tilde{B}_{W_m}$  acts via  $\rho$ ,  $\tilde{B}_{W_k}$  acts via the  $B_{W_k}$ -representation  $L_\tau$ , and  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r_\mu}$  acts via  $\phi$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{J}_{\rho,\tau,\phi} = L_\rho \boxtimes L_\tau \boxtimes \phi.$$

Here  $\mathcal{J}_{\rho,\tau,\phi} = \mathcal{E}_\phi$  when  $m = k = 0$ .

7.2.5. Type D I. Let us consider the subgroup  $B_{W_m}^0$  of  $B_{W_m}$  defined as

$$(7.3) \quad B_{W_m}^0 := \text{the kernel of the map } B_{W_m} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

where a word in the braid generators  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$  ( $\sigma_m$  is the special one) is mapping to 0 (resp. 1) if the braid generator  $\sigma_m$  appears even (resp. odd) number of times. Let  $\tilde{B}_{W_m}^0 = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m \rtimes B_{W_m}^0 \subset (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m \rtimes B_{W_m}$ .

For each  $\rho \in \Theta_{(SO(2m), S(O(m) \times O(m)))}$ , let  $\rho$  also denote the representation of  $\tilde{B}_{W_m}^0$  obtained via the surjective map  $\tilde{B}_{W_m}^0 \rightarrow \tilde{B}_{W_m}$  (see (8.3)). Then, as representations of  $\tilde{B}_{W_m}$

$$\mathbb{C}[\tilde{B}_{W_m}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\tilde{B}_{W_m}^0]} \rho = \rho^{\text{I}} \oplus \rho^{\text{II}},$$

where  $\rho^{\text{I}}$  and  $\rho^{\text{II}}$  are non-isomorphic irreducible representations of  $\tilde{B}_{W_m}$ .

In the case when  $\mu \neq \emptyset$ , for  $\tau \in \mathcal{P}(k)$  and  $\phi \in \Pi_{\mathcal{O}_\mu}$ , let  $\mathcal{J}_{\rho^\omega,\tau,\phi}$ ,  $\omega \in \{\text{I}, \text{II}\}$ , denote the irreducible  $K$ -equivariant local system on  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m,k,\mu}$  corresponding to the representation

$L_{\rho^\omega} \boxtimes L_\tau \boxtimes \phi$  of  $\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m,k,\mu})$ , where  $\tilde{B}_{W_m}$  acts via  $\rho^\omega$ ,  $\tilde{B}_{W_k}$  acts via the  $B_{W_k}$ -representation  $L_\tau$ , and  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r_\mu}$  acts via  $\phi$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\rho^\omega, \tau, \phi} = L_{\rho^\omega} \boxtimes L_\tau \boxtimes \phi.$$

Here  $\mathcal{T}_{\rho^\omega, \tau, \phi} = \mathcal{E}_\phi$  when  $m = k = 0$ .

In the case when  $\mu = \emptyset$ , for  $\rho \in \Theta_{(SO(2m), S(O(m) \times O(m)))}$  and  $\tau \in \mathcal{P}(k)$ , let  $\mathcal{T}_{\rho, \tau}$  denote the irreducible  $K$ -equivariant local system on  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m,k,\emptyset}$  corresponding to the representation  $L_\rho \boxtimes L_\tau$  of  $\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m,k,\emptyset})$ , where  $\tilde{B}_{W_m}$  acts via  $\rho$  and  $\tilde{B}_{W_k}$  acts via the  $B_{W_k}$ -representation  $L_\tau$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\rho, \tau} = L_\rho \boxtimes L_\tau.$$

**7.2.6. Character sheaves.** Below we state our main results. We have divided the statements into four different theorems according to the type.

**Theorem 7.2.** *Suppose that  $(G, K) = (SL(n), S(GL(p) \times GL(q)))$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K) = & \left\{ \text{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l \sqcup \mu}, \mathcal{T}_{\tau, \psi_m}) \mid l > 0, m \text{ odd}, \tau \in \mathcal{P}(l), \psi_m \text{ is an order } m \text{ irreducible} \right. \\ & \left. \text{character of } \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \right\} \cup \left\{ \text{IC}(\mathcal{O}_\mu, \mathcal{E}_\psi) \mid \mathcal{O}_\mu \in \underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^0, \psi \in \widehat{A}_K(\mathcal{O}_\mu)_{\text{odd}} \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ \text{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l}, \mathcal{T}_{\rho, \psi_{2m}}) \mid \rho \in \mathcal{P}_2(l), \psi_{2m} \text{ is a primitive character of } \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the above theorem the last member in the union only comes up when  $p = q$  and we have written  $\mathcal{E}_\psi$  for the irreducible  $K$ -equivariant local system on  $\mathcal{O}$  corresponding to  $\psi \in \widehat{A}_K(\mathcal{O})$ .

Let us spell out the cuspidal character sheaves in this case. We only have them for quasi-split pairs. For the pairs  $(G, K) = (SL(2p+1), S(GL(p+1) \times GL(p)))$  they are:

$$(7.4) \quad \left\{ \text{IC}(\mathcal{O}_{(2p+1)_+}, \mathcal{E}_{\psi_{2p+1}}) \mid \psi_{2p+1} \text{ is a primitive character of } \mathbb{Z}/(2p+1)\mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

For the pairs  $(G, K) = (SL(2p), S(GL(p) \times GL(p)))$  they are:

$$(7.5) \quad \left\{ \text{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{p+p-}, \mathcal{T}_{\rho, \psi_{2p}}) \mid \rho \in \mathcal{P}_2(1), \psi_{2p} \text{ is a primitive character of } \mathbb{Z}/2p\mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

**Theorem 7.3.** *(i) Suppose that  $(G, K) = (PGL(n), P(GL(p) \times GL(q)))$ . We have*

$$\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K) = \left\{ \text{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{k,\mu}, \mathcal{T}_\tau) \mid \tau \in \mathcal{P}(k) \right\} \cup (\text{if } p = q) \left\{ \text{IC}(\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}, \mathcal{T}_{\tau, \psi_2}) \mid \tau \in \mathcal{P}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \right\};$$

*(ii) Suppose that  $(G, K)$  is  $(Sp(2n), Sp(2p) \times Sp(2q))$ , or  $(SO(2n), GL(n))$ . We have*

$$\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K) = \left\{ \text{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{k,\mu}, \mathcal{T}_\tau) \mid \tau \in \mathcal{P}(k) \right\}.$$

**Theorem 7.4.** *Suppose that  $(G, K) = (Sp(2n), GL(n))$ . The set of character sheaves is*

$$\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K) = \left\{ \text{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m,k,\mu}, \mathcal{T}_{\rho, \tau}) \mid \rho \in \Theta_{(Sp(2m), GL(m))}, \tau \in \mathcal{P}(k) \right\}.$$

**Theorem 7.5.** (i) Suppose that  $(G, K) = (SO(2n+1), S(O(p) \times O(q)))$ . Then

$$\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K) = \left\{ \text{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m,k,\mu}, \mathcal{T}_{\rho,\tau,\phi}) \mid \rho \in \Theta_{(SO(2m+1), S(O(m+1) \times O(m)))}, \tau \in \mathcal{P}(k), \phi \in \Pi_{\mathcal{O}_\mu} \right\}.$$

(ii) Suppose that  $(G, K) = (SO(2n), S(O(p) \times O(q)))$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K) &= \left\{ \text{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m,k,\mu}, \mathcal{T}_{\rho^\omega, \tau, \phi}) \mid \mu \neq \emptyset, \rho \in \Theta_{(SO(2m), S(O(m) \times O(m)))}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \omega = \text{I or II}, \tau \in \mathcal{P}(k), \phi \in \Pi_{\mathcal{O}_\mu} \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ \text{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m,k,\emptyset}, \mathcal{T}_{\rho, \tau}) \mid m \geq 1, \rho \in \Theta_{(SO(2m), S(O(m) \times O(m)))}, \tau \in \mathcal{P}(k) \right\} \quad (\text{if } p = q) \\ &\cup \left\{ \text{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{0,n/2,\emptyset}^\omega, \mathcal{T}_\tau) \mid \omega = \text{I or II}, \tau \in \mathcal{P}(n/2) \right\} \quad (\text{if } p = q \text{ even}). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 7.6.** In the case of  $(SL(2n), Sp(2n))$ , the nilpotent  $K$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{N}_1$  are parametrized by partitions of  $n$ . Moreover,  $A_K(x) = 1$  for  $x \in \mathcal{N}_1$ . The restricted root system is of type  $A_{n-1}$  with each restricted root space of dimension 4. We have  $W_{\mathfrak{a}} = S_n$  and  $I = 1$ . Thus

$$\text{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K) = \{ \text{IC}(\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}, \mathcal{L}_\tau) \mid \tau \in \mathcal{P}(n) \},$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_\tau$  is the irreducible  $K$ -equivariant local system on  $\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}$  given by the irreducible representation of  $\pi_1^K(\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}) = B_{S_n}$ , where  $B_{S_n}$  acts through  $S_n$  via the irreducible representation corresponding to  $\tau \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ . This recovers the corresponding result in [G2, H, L2].

As mentioned earlier, Theorems 5.2, 5.4 and 5.5 follow from the above theorems for which the proofs are given in the next two sections. Moreover, it follows from the above theorems that all character sheaves with full support arise from the nearby cycle construction, i.e., (3.11) holds.

**Corollary 7.7.** For the symmetric pairs considered in this paper, except inner involutions of  $SL(n)$ , all character sheaves can be obtained from the cuspidal character sheaves of Definition 1.1 via parabolic induction.

We fully expect the result to hold for inner involutions  $SL(n)$ , but have not yet considered this case.

*Proof.* We first claim that all character sheaves with full support for the pair  $(G, K) = (GL(2n), GL(n) \times GL(n))$  can be obtained via parabolic induction from the constant sheaf  $\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{g}_1}$  for the  $\theta$ -stable Levi  $L = GL(2)^n$  and  $L^\theta = (GL(1) \times GL(1))^n$ . This can be seen as follows. Let  $V = V^+ \oplus V^-$ ,  $V^+ = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ ,  $V^- = \text{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ . Let  $G = GL(V)$  and  $K = GL(V^+) \times GL(V^-)$ . Consider the  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subgroup  $P$  that stabilizes the following flag

$$0 \subset V_2 \subset V_4 \subset \dots \subset V_{2n-2} \subset V_{2n} = V, \quad V_{2m} = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_m\} \oplus \text{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}.$$

Let  $L$  be the natural  $\theta$ -stable Levi subgroup. Then  $(L, L^\theta) \cong (GL(2)^n, (GL(1) \times GL(1))^n)$ . Consider the map

$$\pi : K \times^{P_K} \mathfrak{p}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1.$$

One checks readily that

$$\pi_*\mathbb{C}[-] = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}(n)} \mathrm{IC}(\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}, \mathcal{L}_\tau) \oplus \cdots .$$

Since  $\pi_*\mathbb{C}[-] \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{C}P^1}}^{\mathfrak{g}_1} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{1}}$ , the claim follows.

One then checks that for the symmetric pairs  $(Sp(4n), Sp(2n) \times Sp(2n))$  and  $(SO(4n), GL(2n))$ , the character sheaves with full support can be obtained via parabolic induction from the full support character sheaves for the  $\theta$ -stable Levi subgroup  $L = GL(2n)$  with  $L^\theta = GL(n) \times GL(n)$ .

To complete the proof we appeal to Theorems 7.3, 7.4, and 7.5.  $\square$

## 8. PROOF OF THEOREMS 7.3-7.5

In this section we prove Theorems 7.3, 7.4, and 7.5. We do so by producing new character sheaves by parabolic induction. Combining these character sheaves with the ones with nilpotent support and full support we have then shown that the sheaves listed in Theorems 7.3, 7.4, and 7.5 are indeed character sheaves. To prove that we have all of them we show that the number of the sheaves we have constructed coincides with the number of irreducible  $K$ -equivariant local systems on  $\mathcal{N}_1$ , i.e., the number of character sheaves.

We begin by associating to each pair  $(G, K)$  considered a family of  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subgroups  $P_{m,k,\mu}$  together with their  $\theta$ -stable Levi subgroups  $L_{m,k,\mu}$  such that

$$K \cdot (\mathfrak{p}_{m,k,\mu})_1 = \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{m,k,\mu}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{m,k,\mu} \in \underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^{\mathrm{cs}},$$

where  $\underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^{\mathrm{cs}}$  is the set of nilpotent orbits specified in §7.1. We then consider the parabolic induction of character sheaves with full support for the symmetric pair  $(L_{m,k,\mu}, L_{m,k,\mu}^\theta)$ .

**8.1. A family of  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subgroups of  $G$ .** Let  $\mathcal{O}_{m,k,\mu} \in \underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^{\mathrm{cs}}$ . Consider an *ordered* sequence  $(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_{n-m-2k}, b_{n-m-2k})$ , with  $a_i, b_i \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $a_i + b_i = 1$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Type A III} & \quad m = 0, 0 \leq k \leq q \text{ and } \sum a_i = p - k, \quad \sum b_i = q - k. \\ \text{Type BD I} & \quad m \equiv q \pmod{2} \text{ if } N \text{ is even, } 0 \leq m + 2k \leq q, \\ & \quad \text{and } \sum a_i = n - k - \lfloor \frac{q+m}{2} \rfloor, \quad \sum b_i = \lfloor \frac{q-m}{2} \rfloor - k. \\ \text{Type CI} & \quad 0 \leq m + 2k \leq n. \\ \text{Type C II} & \quad m = 0, 0 \leq k \leq q, \sum a_i = p - k \text{ and } \sum b_i = q - k. \\ \text{Type D III} & \quad m = 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq k \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that we write  $\widetilde{K} = O(p) \times O(q)$  in the case of type DI and  $\widetilde{K} = K$  otherwise. The ordered sequence  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}$  defines a  $\widetilde{K}'$ -conjugacy class of  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroup(s)  $B'_{a_i, b_i}$  for the symmetric pair

$$\text{Type A III} \quad (G', K') = (GL(n - 2k), GL(p - k) \times GL(q - k))$$

|           |   |
|-----------|---|
| Type BDI  | $(G', K') = (SO(N - 2m - 4k), S(O(p - m - 2k) \times O(q - m - 2k)))$ |
| Type CI   | $(G', K') = (Sp(2n - 2m - 4k), GL(n - m - 2k))$                       |
| Type CII  | $(G', K') = (Sp(2n - 4k), Sp(2p - 2k) \times Sp(2q - 2k))$            |
| Type DIII | $(G', K') = (SO(2n - 4k), GL(n - 2k))$                                |

We can and will choose a sequence  $\{a_i, b_i\}$  such that the corresponding Richardson orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{B'_{a_i, b_i}}$  is  $\mathcal{O}_\mu$ . Moreover, in type BDI, we choose  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}$  such that the corresponding  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroup  $B'_{a_i, b_i}$  is as in Proposition 5.7 for  $\mathcal{O}_\mu$ . Let us write  $B'_\mu = B'_{a_i, b_i}$ .

We fix such a sequence  $\{a_i, b_i\}$  and define the parabolic subgroup  $P_{m, k, \mu} = P_{m, k, \{a_i, b_i\}}$  to be the subgroup of  $G$  that stabilizes the flag defined as follows

|                 |   |
|-----------------|---|
| Type AIII       | $0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V_{n-m-2k} \subset V$   |
| Type BDI & CI   | $0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_{n-m-2k} \subset V_{n-m} \subset V_{n-m}^\perp \subset V_{n-m-2k}^\perp \subset \cdots \subset V_1^\perp \subset V$ |
| Type CII & DIII | $0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V_{n-m-2k} \subset V_{n-m-2k}^\perp \subset \cdots \subset V_1^\perp \subset V,$                          |

where

$$V_i = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_{\sum_{j=1}^i a_j}\} \oplus \text{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_{\sum_{j=1}^i b_j}\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n - m - 2k,$$

$$V_{n-m} = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_{\sum_{j=1}^{n-m-2k} a_{j+k}}\} \oplus \text{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_{\sum_{j=1}^{n-m-2k} b_{j+k}}\}.$$

The parabolic group  $P_{m, k, \mu}$  is  $\theta$ -stable. Let  $L_{m, k, \mu} = L_{m, k, \{a_i, b_i\}}$  be the natural  $\theta$ -stable Levi subgroup of  $P_{m, k, \mu}$ . We have

|           |  |
|-----------|--|
| Type AIII | $L_{k, \mu} \cong S(GL(2k) \times GL(1)^{n-2k})$ or $P(GL(2k) \times GL(1)^{n-2k})$<br>$L_{k, \mu}^\theta \cong S(GL(k) \times GL(k) \times GL(1)^{n-2k})$ or $P(GL(k) \times GL(k) \times GL(1)^{n-2k});$ |
| Type BI   | $L_{m, k, \mu} \cong SO(2m + 1) \times GL(2k) \times GL(1)^{n-m-2k},$<br>$L_{m, k, \mu}^\theta \cong S(O(m + 1) \times O(m)) \times GL(k) \times GL(k) \times GL(1)^{n-m-2k};$                             |
| Type CI   | $L_{m, k, \mu} \cong Sp(2m) \times GL(2k) \times GL(1)^{n-m-2k},$<br>$L_{m, k, \mu}^\theta \cong GL(m) \times GL(k) \times GL(k) \times GL(1)^{n-m-2k};$   |
| Type CII  | $L_{m, k, \mu} \cong Sp(4k) \times GL(1)^{n-2k}, \quad L_{m, k, \mu}^\theta \cong Sp(2k) \times Sp(2k) \times GL(1)^{n-2k};$   |
| Type DI   | $L_{m, k, \mu} \cong SO(2m) \times GL(2k) \times GL(1)^{n-m-2k},$<br>$L_{m, k, \mu}^\theta \cong S(O(m) \times O(m)) \times GL(k) \times GL(k) \times GL(1)^{n-m-2k};$                                     |
| Type DIII | $L_{m, k, \mu} \cong SO(4k) \times GL(1)^{n-2k}, \quad L_{m, k, \mu}^\theta \cong GL(2k) \times GL(1)^{n-2k}.$   |

Let  $\mathfrak{p}_{m, k, \mu} = \text{Lie } P_{m, k, \mu}$  and  $(\mathfrak{p}_{m, k, \mu})_1 = \mathfrak{p}_{m, k, \mu} \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$ . One readily checks that  $K \cdot (\mathfrak{p}_{m, k, \mu})_1 = \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{m, k, \mu}$ .

Note that in the case of type DI, when  $m = 0$ , the  $\tilde{K}$ -conjugacy class of  $P_{0, k, \mu}$  in  $G/P$  decomposes into two  $K$ -conjugacy classes. As before, we write  $P_{0, k, \mu}^I$  and  $P_{0, k, \mu}^{II}$  for the two  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subgroups that are conjugate under  $\tilde{K}$  but not conjugate under  $K$ .

Moreover, when  $\mu \neq \emptyset$ ,  $K.(\mathfrak{p}_{0,k,\mu}^{\text{I}})_1 = K.(\mathfrak{p}_{0,k,\mu}^{\text{II}})_1$ , and when  $\mu = \emptyset$ , we let  $K.(\mathfrak{p}_{0,n/2,\emptyset}^\omega)_1 = \overline{\check{\mathcal{O}}}_{0,n/2,\emptyset}^\omega$ ,  $\omega = \text{I, II}$ .

For later use, let us describe the locus  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m,k,\mu}$  in more detail.

**Type A III.** Let  $x \in \check{\mathcal{O}}_{k,\mu}$ . Then  $x$  has eigenvalues  $\pm a_1, \dots, \pm a_k$ , each of multiplicity 1, and eigenvalue 0 of multiplicity  $n-2k$ . Moreover, there exist  $v_i^+ \in V^+$ ,  $v_i^- \in V^-$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , such that  $V = W_0 \oplus_{i=1}^k (W_i \oplus W_{-i})$ , where  $W_i = \text{span}\{v_i^+ + v_i^-\}$ ,  $W_{-i} = \text{span}\{v_i^+ - v_i^-\}$ ,  $x|_{W_i} = a_i$ ,  $x|_{W_{-i}} = -a_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , and  $W_0$  is the generalized eigenspace of  $x$  with eigenvalue 0. We obtain a symmetric pair  $(SL(W_0), S(GL(p-k) \times GL(q-k)))$  and  $x|_{W_0} \in \mathcal{O}_\mu$ .

**Type BDI.** Let  $x \in \check{\mathcal{O}}_{m,k,\mu}$ . Then  $x$  has eigenvalues  $\pm a_1, \dots, \pm a_m$ , each of multiplicity 1, eigenvalues  $\pm b_1, \dots, \pm b_k$ , each of multiplicity 2, and eigenvalue 0 of multiplicity  $N-2m-4k$ . Moreover, we have an orthogonal decomposition  $V = W_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^m W_i \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^k U_j$ , where  $W_0$  is the generalized eigenspace of  $x$  with eigenvalue 0,  $W_i = W_{a_i} \oplus W_{-a_i}$ ,  $x|_{W_{a_i}} = a_i$ ,  $x|_{W_{-a_i}} = -a_i$ , and  $U_j = U_{b_j} \oplus U_{-b_j}$  with  $U_{\pm b_j}$  the generalized eigenspace of  $x$  with eigenvalue  $\pm b_j$ . Furthermore, there exist  $v_i^+ \in V^+$ ,  $v_i^- \in V^-$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , such that  $W_i = \text{span}\{v_i^+ + v_i^-\}$ ,  $W_{-i} = \text{span}\{v_i^+ - v_i^-\}$ , and  $(v_i^+, v_i^+) \neq 0$ ,  $(v_i^-, v_i^-) \neq 0$ . Similarly,  $U_{b_j} = \text{span}\{w_j^+ = w_j^+ + w_j^-, u_j = u_j^+ + u_j^-\}$ ,  $U_{-b_j} = \text{span}\{w_j^+ - w_j^-, u_j^+ - u_j^-\}$ , where  $xw_j = b_j w_j$ ,  $xu_j = b_j u_j + w_j$ ,  $(w_j^+, w_j^+) = (w_j^-, w_j^-) = 0$ ,  $(w_j^+, u_j^+) \neq 0$  and  $(w_j^-, u_j^-) \neq 0$ . (The properties about the pairing of the basis elements can be deduced using  $(xv, w) + (v, xw) = 0$  and the fact that  $x$  takes  $V^+$  to  $V^-$  and vice versa.) Since  $(,)$  is nondegenerate on  $W_0$ , we get a symmetric pair  $(SO(N-2m-4k), S(O(p-m-2k) \times O(q-m-2k)))$  and  $x|_{W_0} \in \mathcal{O}_\mu$ .

**Type CI** The description of  $x \in \check{\mathcal{O}}_{m,k,\mu}$  is entirely similar to the case of type BDI except that we have  $\langle v_i^+, v_i^- \rangle \neq 0$ ,  $\langle w_j^+, w_j^- \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle w_j^+, u_j^- \rangle \neq 0$  and  $\langle w_j^-, u_j^+ \rangle \neq 0$ .

**Type CII and DIII** Let  $x \in \check{\mathcal{O}}_{k,\mu}$ . Then  $x$  has eigenvalues  $\pm a_1, \dots, \pm a_k$ , each of multiplicity 2, and eigenvalue 0 of multiplicity  $2n-4k$ . We have an orthogonal decomposition  $V = W_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^k W_i$ ,  $W_i = W_{a_i} \oplus W_{-a_i}$ ,  $x|_{W_{a_i}} = a_i$ ,  $x|_{W_{-a_i}} = -a_i$ ,  $\dim W_{a_i} = \dim W_{-a_i} = 2$ , and  $x|_{W_0} \in \mathcal{O}_\mu$ .

**8.2. Equivariant fundamental groups.** In this subsection, we assume that either  $m \neq 0$  or  $k \neq 0$ . In what follows, to simplify the notation, we omit the subscripts and write  $L = L_{m,k,\mu}$ ,  $P = P_{m,k,\mu}$ , and  $\check{\mathcal{O}} = K.\mathfrak{p}_1$  etc.

Our goal is to study the parabolic induction of character sheaves in  $\text{Char}(\mathfrak{l}, L^\theta)^f$  under the parabolic induction functor  $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}_1 \subset \mathfrak{p}_1}^{\mathfrak{g}_1} : D_{L^\theta}(\mathfrak{l}_1) \rightarrow D_K(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  (see §3.5). To that end we describe in this subsection the relations among the equivariant fundamental groups  $\pi_1^{PK}(\mathfrak{p}_1^r)$ ,  $\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}})$  and  $\pi_1^{L^\theta}(\mathfrak{l}_1^{rs})$ , where

$$P_K = P \cap K, \quad \mathfrak{p}_1^r = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \check{\mathcal{O}},$$

and  $\mathfrak{l}_1^{rs}$  is the set of regular semisimple elements in  $\mathfrak{l}_1$  with respect to the symmetric pair  $(L, L^\theta)$ .

Consider the natural projection map  $\text{pr} : \mathfrak{p}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}_1$ . From the description of  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m,k,\mu}$  at the end of the previous subsection, we see that

$$\text{pr}(\mathfrak{p}_1^r) = \mathfrak{l}_1^{rs}.$$

Arguing as in [CVX, §3.2], we see that

$$(8.1) \quad \text{the canonical map } \Phi : \pi_1^{PK}(\mathfrak{p}_1^r) \rightarrow \pi_1^{L^\theta}(\mathfrak{l}_1^{rs}) \text{ is surjective.}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1^{L^\theta}(\mathfrak{l}_1^{rs}) &\cong B_{W_k}, & \text{Type A III, C II, or D III} \\ \pi_1^{L^\theta}(\mathfrak{l}_1^{rs}) &\cong \tilde{B}_{W_m} \times B_{W_k}, & \text{Type B I or C I} \\ \pi_1^{L^\theta}(\mathfrak{l}_1^{rs}) &\cong \tilde{B}_{W'_m} \times B_{W_k}, & \text{Type D I.} \end{aligned}$$

To determine  $\pi_1^{PK}(\mathfrak{p}_1^r)$  we consider the fibration

$$\overset{\circ}{\pi} : K \times^{PK} \mathfrak{p}_1^r \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{O}}$$

which is the smooth part of the map  $\pi : K \times^{PK} \mathfrak{p}_1^r \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{O}}$ . We first note that  $\pi_1^{PK}(\mathfrak{p}_1^r) = \pi_1^K(K \times^{PK} \mathfrak{p}_1^r)$ . Now,  $\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}})$  acts on the set  $\text{Irr}(\pi^{-1}(x))$  of irreducible components of  $\pi^{-1}(x)$ ,  $x \in \check{\mathcal{O}}$ , with  $\pi_1^K(K \times^{PK} \mathfrak{p}_1^r)$  as stabilizer. Thus we are reduced to study the action of  $\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}})$  on the set  $\text{Irr}(\pi^{-1}(x))$ . To understand this action we describe the fiber  $\pi^{-1}(x)$ ,  $x \in \check{\mathcal{O}}$ .

For  $x \in \check{\mathcal{O}} = \check{\mathcal{O}}_{m,k,\mu}$ , let us write  $x' = x|_{W_0}$ , where  $W_0$  is the generalized eigenspace of  $x$  with eigenvalue 0. Let  $(G', K')$  be the symmetric pair defined by  $W_0$ . Then  $x' \in \mathcal{O}_\mu \subset \mathfrak{p}'_1$ . As before let  $\tilde{K}' = O(p') \times O(q')$  when  $(G', K')$  is of type D I and  $\tilde{K}' = K'$  otherwise. Consider

$$\tilde{\pi}_{B'_\mu} : \tilde{K}' \times^{(B'_\mu)_{K'}} (\mathfrak{n}'_\mu)_1 \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{O}}_\mu.$$

**Lemma 8.1.** *We have*

$$\pi^{-1}(x) \cong \tilde{\pi}_{B'_\mu}^{-1}(x').$$

*Proof.* We prove the lemma in the case of type B D I. The other cases are entirely similar. We show that the map

$$\pi^{-1}(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}_{B'_\mu}^{-1}(x') \quad (V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_{n-m-2k} \subset V_{n-m}) \mapsto (V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_{n-m-2k})$$

is an isomorphism.

Suppose that  $(V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_{n-m-2k} \subset V_{n-m}) \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ . We first show that  $x|_{V_{n-m-2k}} = 0$ , i.e.,  $V_{n-m-2k} \subset W_0$ , the generalized eigenspace of  $x$  with eigenvalue 0. Since  $V_1$  is  $x$ -stable and by definition either  $V_1 \subset V^+$  or  $V_1 \subset V^-$ ,  $x|_{V_1} = 0$ . Continuing by induction with  $x$  applying to  $V_i/V_{i-1}$ , which is one dimensional, we conclude that  $x|_{V_{n-m-2k}} = 0$ . Since  $\dim W_0 = N - 2m - 4k$  and  $n = \lfloor N/2 \rfloor$ , we see that  $V_{n-m-2k}$  is a maximal isotropic subspace in  $W_0$ . Since  $x|_{W_0} = x'$ , it follows that  $(V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_{n-m-2k}) \in \tilde{\pi}_{B'_\mu}^{-1}(x')$ . It remains to show that  $V_{n-m}$  is uniquely determined by  $V_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n - m - 2k$ .

We make use of the description of  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$  given in the end of § 8.1. Recall the orthogonal decomposition  $V = W_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^m W_i \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^k U_j$  and the basis vectors defined there. We claim that  $V_{n-m} = V_{n-m-2k} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \text{span}\{w_j^+, w_j^-\}$ . This can be seen as follows. Consider the map induced by  $x$  on  $V_{n-m}/V_{n-m-2k}$  and the eigenvalues of  $x$ . Note that by definition, if a vector  $v = v^+ + v^- \in V_{n-m}$ ,  $v^\pm \in V^\pm$ , then  $v^+ \in V_{n-m}$  and  $v^- \in V_{n-m}$ . Thus we conclude that the eigenvalue can not be  $\pm a_i$  as  $(v_i^+, v_i^-) \neq 0$  and  $V_{n-m}$  is isotropic. Now there exists some  $j$  such that  $w_j^+, w_j^- \in V_{n-m}$ . Thus  $V_{n-m} \subset \{w_j^+, w_j^-\}^\perp$ . Continuing by induction, we see that the claim holds.  $\square$

Using the above lemma and Proposition 5.6 we conclude that

$$(8.2a) \quad \pi_1^{PK}(\mathfrak{p}_1^r) = \pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}}) \quad \text{in type A III, C I, C II, D III or in type D I when } \mu = \emptyset$$

It remains to consider the types BI and DI when  $\mu \neq \emptyset$ . We first observe that  $K \times^{PK} \mathfrak{p}_1^r$  is connected and hence the action of  $\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}})$  on the set of connected components of  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  is transitive. Also, by the previous lemma and Proposition 5.7 the cardinality of the set of connected components of the fiber  $\pi^{-1}(x)$ , and hence that of the quotient  $\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}})/\pi_1^{PK}(\mathfrak{p}_1^r)$ , is  $2^{l\mu}$ . In particular, we see that the action of  $\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}})$  factors through  $A_{K'}(x')$ . Let us recall  $B_{W_m}^0$  defined in (7.3) and let us write  $\tilde{B}_{W_m}^0 = B_{W_m}^0 \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m$ . Let us also recall the specific splitting of  $\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}})$  as a product in (7.1c). Using it, we can then write

$$(8.2b) \quad \pi_1^{PK}(\mathfrak{p}_1^r) \cong \tilde{B}_{W_m} \times \tilde{B}_{W_k} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r\mu-l\mu} \quad \text{in type BI}$$

$$(8.2c) \quad \pi_1^{PK}(\mathfrak{p}_1^r) \cong \tilde{B}_{W_m}^0 \times \tilde{B}_{W_k} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r\mu-l\mu+1} \quad \text{in type DI when } \mu \neq \emptyset.$$

Moreover in the case of type BI (resp. DI), the factor  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r\mu-l\mu}$  (resp.  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r\mu-l\mu+1}$ ) is given by  $\{a \in A_{K'}(\mathcal{O}_\mu) \mid \phi(a) = 1 \text{ for all } \phi \in \Pi_{\mathcal{O}_\mu}\}$ .

Note that in the case of type D III and  $\mu \neq \emptyset$ , the map  $\Phi$  in (8.1) gives rise to a surjective map

$$(8.3) \quad \zeta : \tilde{B}_{W_m}^0 \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{B}_{W'_m}.$$

**Remark 8.2.** *It was previously known that such a surjective map  $B_{W_m}^0 \rightarrow B_{W'_m}$  exists, see, for example, a discussion in [BT].*

**8.3. Induced nilpotent orbital complexes.** Let us consider the parabolic induction of character sheaves on  $\mathfrak{l}_1$  with full support. For each irreducible representation  $\psi$  of  $\pi_1^{L^\theta}(\mathfrak{l}_1^{rs})$ , we write  $\psi$  also for the irreducible representation of  $\pi_1^{PK}(\mathfrak{p}_1^r)$  obtained via pull-back from the surjective map  $\Phi : \pi_1^{PK}(\mathfrak{p}_1^r) \rightarrow \pi_1^{L^\theta}(\mathfrak{l}_1^{rs})$  in (8.1). Then we have:

$$\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}_1 \subset \mathfrak{p}_1}^{\mathfrak{g}_1} \text{IC}(\mathfrak{l}_1^{rs}, \mathcal{L}_\psi) = \pi_! \text{IC}(K \times^{PK} \mathfrak{p}_1^r, \mathcal{L}_\psi)[-].$$

Furthermore, by the decomposition theorem, we have:

$$\pi_! \text{IC}(K \times^{PK} \mathfrak{p}_1^r, \mathcal{L}_\psi) \cong \text{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}, R^{2d} \pi_!(\mathcal{L}_\psi))[-] \oplus \{\text{other terms}\},$$

where  $d$  is the relative dimension of  $\pi$ . By construction we have

$$R^{2d} \pi_!(\mathcal{L}_\psi) \cong \mathbb{C}[\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}})] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\pi_1^{PK}(\mathfrak{p}_1^r)]} \psi.$$

Let us consider the following decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}[\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}})] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\pi_1^{PK}(\mathfrak{p}_1^r)]} \psi = \psi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \psi_k$$

into irreducible representations of  $\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}})$ . By the discussion above we have

$$(8.4) \quad \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathrm{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{L}_{\psi_i}) \text{ appears as a direct summand of } \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{p}_1}}^{\mathfrak{g}_1} \mathrm{IC}(\mathfrak{l}_1^{rs}, \mathcal{L}_{\psi}) \text{ up to shift.}$$

Let us write  $A[-] \oplus B$  to indicate that  $A$  appears as a direct summand of  $B$  up to shift.

The following claims follow from the description of  $\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}})$  in (7.1a)-(7.1e), the description of  $\pi_1^{PK}(\mathfrak{p}_1^r)$  in (8.2a)-(8.2c) and (8.4).

For each  $\tau \in \mathcal{P}(k)$ , we continue to write  $L_\tau$  for the  $B_{W_k}$ -representation obtained by pulling back  $L_\tau \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{H}_{W_k,1,-1})$  via the surjective map  $\mathbb{C}[B_{W_k}] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{W_k,1,-1}$ .

**Type A III, C II, or D III.** For each  $\tau \in \mathcal{P}(k)$ , let  $\mathcal{L}_\tau$  be the irreducible  $L^\theta$ -equivariant local system on  $\mathfrak{l}_1^{rs}$  given by  $L_\tau$ . Then

$$\mathrm{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{J}_\tau)[-] \oplus \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{p}_1}}^{\mathfrak{g}_1} \mathrm{IC}(\mathfrak{l}_1^{rs}, \mathcal{L}_\tau).$$

**Type C I.** Let  $\mathcal{L}_\rho \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_\tau$ ,  $\rho \in \Theta_{(Sp(2m), GL(m))}$  and  $\tau \in \mathcal{P}(k)$ , be the irreducible  $L^\theta$ -equivariant local system on  $\mathfrak{l}_1^{rs}$  given by the irreducible representation  $\rho \boxtimes L_\tau$  of  $\pi_1^{L^\theta}(\mathfrak{l}_1^{rs})$ , where  $\tilde{B}_{W_m}$  acts via  $\rho$  and  $B_{W_k}$  acts via  $L_\tau$ . Then

$$\mathrm{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{J}_{\rho,\tau})[-] \oplus \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{p}_1}}^{\mathfrak{g}_1} \mathrm{IC}(\mathfrak{l}_1^{rs}, \mathcal{L}_\rho \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_\tau).$$

**Type B I.** Let  $\mathcal{L}_\rho \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_\tau$ ,  $\rho \in \Theta_{(SO(2m+1), S(O(m+1) \times O(m)))}$ , and  $\tau \in \mathcal{P}(k)$ , be the irreducible  $L^\theta$ -equivariant local system on  $\mathfrak{l}_1^{rs}$  given by the irreducible representation  $\rho \boxtimes L_\tau$  of  $\pi_1^{L^\theta}(\mathfrak{l}_1^{rs})$ , where  $\tilde{B}_{W_m}$  acts via  $\rho$  and  $B_{W_k}$  acts via  $L_\tau$ . Then

$$\bigoplus_{\phi \in \Pi_{\mathcal{O}_\mu}} \mathrm{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{J}_{\rho,\tau,\phi})[-] \oplus \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{p}_1}}^{\mathfrak{g}_1} \mathrm{IC}(\mathfrak{l}_1^{rs}, \mathcal{L}_\rho \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_\tau).$$

**Type D I.** Let  $\mathcal{L}_\rho \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_\tau$ ,  $\rho \in \Theta_{(SO(2m), S(O(m) \times O(m)))}$  and  $\tau \in \mathcal{P}(k)$ , be the irreducible  $L^\theta$ -equivariant local system on  $\mathfrak{l}_1^{rs}$  given by the irreducible representation  $\rho \boxtimes L_\tau$  of  $\pi_1^{L^\theta}(\mathfrak{l}_1^{rs})$ , where  $\tilde{B}_{W_m}$  acts via  $\rho$  and  $B_{W_k}$  acts via  $L_\tau$ . Then

$$\bigoplus_{\phi \in \Pi_{\mathcal{O}_\mu}} \bigoplus_{\omega = \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}} \mathrm{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{J}_{\rho^\omega, \tau, \phi})[-] \oplus \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{p}_1}}^{\mathfrak{g}_1} \mathrm{IC}(\mathfrak{l}_1^{rs}, \mathcal{L}_\rho \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_\tau) \text{ when } \mu \neq \emptyset;$$

$$\mathrm{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m,k,\emptyset}, \mathcal{J}_{\rho,\tau})[-] \oplus \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{p}_1}}^{\mathfrak{g}_1} \mathrm{IC}(\mathfrak{l}_1^{rs}, \mathcal{L}_\rho \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_\tau), \text{ when } \mu = \emptyset \text{ and } m > 0.$$

$$\mathrm{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{0,n/2,\emptyset}^\omega, \mathcal{J}_\tau)[-] \oplus \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{p}_1}^\omega}^{\mathfrak{g}_1} \mathrm{IC}((\mathfrak{l}_1^\omega)^{rs}, \mathcal{L}_\tau), \omega = \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}, \text{ when } \mu = \emptyset \text{ and } m = 0.$$

Since Fourier transform commutes with parabolic induction (see (3.16)), in view of (3.10), we conclude that the IC sheaves in Theorems 7.3-7.5 are character sheaves. Since they are

pairwise non-isomorphic, to prove Theorems 7.3-7.5, it suffices to check that the number of the IC sheaves equals the number of character sheaves in each case.

**8.4. Proof of Theorem 7.3.** In each case, we prove the theorem by establishing an explicit bijection of the two sides.

8.4.1. Suppose that  $(G, K) = (PGL(n), P(GL(p) \times GL(q)))$ . Assume that we have a signed Young diagram of signature  $(p, q)$  as follows

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1)_+^{p_1} (\lambda_1)_-^{q_1} \cdots (\lambda_s)_+^{p_s} (\lambda_s)_-^{q_s}.$$

Let  $l_i = \min\{p_i, q_i\}$  and  $k = \sum \lambda_i l_i$ . We associate the above signed Young diagram with the following data

- (1) the partition  $\alpha = (\lambda_1)^{l_1} \cdots (\lambda_s)^{l_s} \in \mathcal{P}(k)$
- (2) the signed Young diagram of signature  $(p - k, q - k)$

$$\mu = (\lambda_1)_+^{p_1 - l_1} (\lambda_1)_-^{q_1 - l_1} \cdots (\lambda_s)_+^{p_s - l_s} (\lambda_s)_-^{q_s - l_s}.$$

Consider the map

$$\mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \mathbb{C}) \mapsto \mathrm{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{1_+^k 1_-^k \sqcup \mu}, \mathcal{T}_{\alpha^t}) \text{ for all } \lambda;$$

$$\mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \mathcal{E}_\lambda) \mapsto \mathrm{IC}(\mathfrak{g}_1^{rs}, \mathcal{T}_{\alpha^t, \psi_2}), \text{ if } p_i = q_i \text{ for all } i,$$

where  $\alpha^t$  denotes the transpose partition of  $\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$  is the unique non-trivial  $K$ -equivariant local system on  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$  (see (4.5)). This establishes the required bijection.

8.4.2. Suppose that  $(G, K) = (Sp(2n), Sp(2n - 2q) \times Sp(2q))$ ,  $q \leq n$ . Assume that we have a signed Young diagram of signature  $(2p, 2q)$  as follows

$$\lambda = (2\lambda_1)_+^{a_1} (2\lambda_1)_-^{a_1} \cdots (2\lambda_s)_+^{a_s} (2\lambda_s)_-^{a_s} (2\mu_1 + 1)_+^{2p_1} (2\mu_1 + 1)_-^{2q_1} \cdots (2\mu_t + 1)_+^{2p_t} (2\mu_t + 1)_-^{2q_t}.$$

Let  $l_i = \min\{p_i, q_i\}$  and  $k = \sum 2[\frac{a_i}{2}] \lambda_i + \sum l_i (2\mu_i + 1)$ . We associate to the above Young diagram the following data

- (1) the partition  $\alpha = (2\lambda_1)^{[\frac{a_1}{2}]} \cdots (2\lambda_s)^{[\frac{a_s}{2}]} (2\mu_1 + 1)^{l_1} \cdots (2\mu_t + 1)^{l_t} \in \mathcal{P}(k)$ ,
- (2) the signed Young diagram of signature  $(2p - 2k, 2q - 2k)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu = & (2\lambda_1)_+^{a_1 - 2[\frac{a_1}{2}]} (2\lambda_1)_-^{a_1 - 2[\frac{a_1}{2}]} \cdots (2\lambda_s)_+^{a_s - 2[\frac{a_s}{2}]} (2\lambda_s)_-^{a_s - 2[\frac{a_s}{2}]} (2\mu_1 + 1)_+^{2p_1 - 2l_1} (2\mu_1 + 1)_-^{2q_1 - 2l_1} \\ & \cdots (2\mu_t + 1)_+^{2p_t - 2l_t} (2\mu_t + 1)_-^{2q_t - 2l_t}. \end{aligned}$$

The map

$$\mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \mathbb{C}) \mapsto \mathrm{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{1_+^{2k} 1_-^{2k} \sqcup \mu}, \mathcal{T}_{\alpha^t})$$

establishes the required bijection.

8.4.3. Suppose that  $(G, K) = (SO(2n), GL(n))$ . Assume that we have a signed Young diagram of signature  $(n, n)$  as follows

$$\lambda = (2\lambda_1 + 1)_+^{a_1} (2\lambda_1 + 1)_-^{a_1} \cdots (2\lambda_s + 1)_+^{a_s} (2\lambda_s + 1)_-^{a_s} (2\mu_1)_+^{2p_1} (2\mu_1)_-^{2q_1} \cdots (2\mu_t)_+^{2p_t} (2\mu_t)_-^{2q_t}.$$

Let  $l_i = \min\{p_i, q_i\}$  and  $k = \sum [\frac{a_i}{2}](2\lambda_i + 1) + \sum 2\mu_i l_i$ . We associate to the above Young diagram the following data

- (1) the partition  $\alpha = (2\lambda_1 + 1)^{[\frac{a_1}{2}]} \cdots (2\lambda_s + 1)^{[\frac{a_s}{2}]} (2\mu_1)^{l_1} \cdots (2\mu_t)^{l_t} \in \mathcal{P}(k)$ ,
- (2) the signed Young diagram of signature  $(n - 2k, n - 2k)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu = & (2\lambda_1 + 1)_+^{a_1 - 2[\frac{a_1}{2}]} (2\lambda_1 + 1)_-^{a_1 - 2[\frac{a_1}{2}]} \cdots (2\lambda_s + 1)_+^{a_s - 2[\frac{a_s}{2}]} (2\lambda_s + 1)_-^{a_s - 2[\frac{a_s}{2}]} \\ & (2\mu_1)_+^{2p_1 - 2l_1} (2\mu_1)_-^{2q_1 - 2l_1} \cdots (2\mu_t)_+^{2p_t - 2l_t} (2\mu_t)_-^{2q_t - 2l_t}. \end{aligned}$$

The map

$$\mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \mathbb{C}) \mapsto \mathrm{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{1_+^{2k} 1_-^{2k} \sqcup \mu}, \mathcal{T}_{\alpha^t})$$

establishes the required bijection.

Let us also record that

$$|\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{so}(2n), GL(n))| = \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{n}{2}]} \mathbf{p}(k) \mathbf{p}(l, k) 2^k \mathbf{do}(n - 2l) = \text{Coefficient of } x^n \text{ in } \prod_{s \geq 1} \frac{1 + x^s}{(1 - x^{2s})^2}$$

where  $\mathbf{p}(k)$  denotes the number of partitions of  $k$ ,  $\mathbf{p}(l, k)$  denotes the number of partitions of  $l$  into (not necessarily distinct) parts of exactly  $k$  different sizes and  $\mathbf{do}(l)$  denotes the number of partitions of  $l$  into distinct odd parts. Here we have used

$$(8.5) \quad \sum_k \mathbf{p}(n, k) 2^k = \text{Coefficient of } x^n \text{ in } \prod_{s \geq 1} \frac{1 + x^s}{1 - x^s}.$$

8.5. **Proof of Theorem 7.4.** Let  $(G, K) = (Sp(2n), GL(n))$ .

**Lemma 8.3.** *We have*

$$(8.6) \quad |\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{sp}(2n), GL(n))| = \text{Coefficient of } x^n \text{ in } \prod_{s \geq 1} \frac{(1 + x^s)^3}{(1 - x^s)^2}.$$

*Proof.* Let us write  $\mathcal{P}(C_n)$  for the set of partitions of  $2n$  such that each odd part occurs with an even multiplicity. Given a partition

$$\lambda = (2\lambda_1)^{m_1} \cdots (2\lambda_s)^{m_s} (2\lambda_{s+1} + 1)^{2m_{s+1}} \cdots (2\lambda_t + 1)^{2m_t} \in \mathcal{P}(C_n),$$

using (4.7) we see that the number of orbital complexes  $\mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E})$  supported on the nilpotent orbits whose underlying Young diagrams have shape  $\lambda$  is

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^s m_i \right) 4^s := M_\lambda.$$

Thus we have that

$$|\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{sp}(2n), GL(n))| = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(C_n)} M_\lambda.$$

For a partition  $\mu = (\mu_1)^{m_1} \cdots (\mu_s)^{m_s}$ , we define  $N_\mu = (\prod_{i=1}^s m_i) 4^s$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(C_n)} M_\lambda = \sum_k \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(k)} N_\mu \cdot \mathbf{od}(n-k),$$

where  $\mathbf{od}(l)$  denotes the number of the partitions of  $l$  into odd parts. Since the number of partition of  $n$  into distinct parts equals the number of partitions of  $n$  into odd parts, we have  $\sum \mathbf{od}(l)x^l = \prod_{s \geq 1} (1+x^s)$ . The lemma follows from

$$\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(n)} N_\mu = \text{Coefficient of } x^n \text{ in } \prod_{s \geq 1} \frac{(1+x^s)^2}{(1-x^s)^2}.$$

This can be seen using (8.5) and the following observation. We can rewrite a partition  $(\mu_1)^{m_1} \cdots (\mu_s)^{m_s}$  into the union of two partitions  $(\mu_1)^{m'_1} \cdots (\mu_s)^{m'_s}$  and  $(\mu_1)^{m_1-m'_1} \cdots (\mu_s)^{m_s-m'_s}$ , where  $0 \leq m'_i \leq m_i$ . Thus we get

$$\left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^s \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_j \leq s} (m_{i_1} - 1) \cdots (m_{i_j} - 1) \right) 4^s = \left( \prod_{i=1}^s m_i \right) 4^s.$$

□

Let us write  $b_C(n)$  for the number of Richardson orbits attached to  $\theta$ -stable Borel subgroups for  $(Sp(2n), GL(n))$ . Using Proposition 5.1 one readily checks that

$$b_C(n) = \sum_k \mathbf{p}(n, k) 2^k = \text{coefficient of } x^n \text{ in } \prod_{s \geq 1} \frac{1+x^s}{1-x^s}.$$

Using (6.6) and (2.5), we see that the number of IC sheaves in Theorem 7.4 is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^n |\Theta_{(Sp(2m), GL(m))}| \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-m}{2} \rfloor} \mathbf{p}(k) b_C(n-m-2k) \\ &= \text{Coefficient of } x^n \text{ in } \prod_{s \geq 1} (1+x^s)^3 \prod_{s \geq 1} \frac{1}{1-x^{2s}} \prod_{s \geq 1} \frac{1+x^s}{1-x^s} \stackrel{(8.6)}{=} |\text{Char}(\mathfrak{sp}(2n), GL(n))|. \end{aligned}$$

**8.6. Proof of Theorem 7.5.** Let  $(G, K) = (SO(p+q), S(O(p) \times O(q)))$ . We write  $\text{SYD}_{p,q}$  for the set of signed Young diagrams that parametrizes  $K$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{N}_1$ . Let us also write

$$(8.7) \quad A_{p,q} = |\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{so}(p+q), S(O(p) \times O(q)))|.$$

Since  $A_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r_\lambda}$  by (4.6), we have

$$A_{p,q} = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{SYD}_{p,q}} 2^{r_\lambda} + \mathbf{p}\left(\frac{q}{2}\right) \delta_{p,q},$$

where  $\mathbf{p}(k)$  is the number of partitions of  $k$  and we set  $\mathbf{p}(\frac{q}{2}) = 0$  if  $q$  is odd. We note that the second term in the above equation arises only when  $p = q$  is even. In the latter case there are two nilpotent orbits corresponding to each partition with only even parts.

The formula in the following proposition is derived and proved by Dennis Stanton.

**Proposition 8.4.**

$$\sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} 2A_{p,q}u^pv^q - 3 \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{p}(q)u^{2q}v^{2q} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-u^{2k}v^{2k}} \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+u^{m+1}v^m)(1+u^mv^{m+1})}{(1-u^{m+1}v^m)(1-u^mv^{m+1})}.$$

*Proof.* The proposition follows from Proposition C.2 in Appendix C, since by (8.7) and (C.1) we have

$$(8.8) \quad wt(p, q) = 2A_{p,q} \text{ if } p+q \text{ is odd,} \quad wt(p, q) = 2A_{p,q} - 3\mathbf{p}\left(\frac{q}{2}\right) \delta_{p,q} \text{ if } p+q \text{ is even.}$$

□

Suppose that either  $p$  or  $q$  is even. Let us now write

$$b_{p,q} = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{SYD}_{(SO(p+q), SO(p) \times O(q))}^0} 2^{|\Pi_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda|}$$

where  $\Pi_{\mathcal{O}}$  is defined in (5.3). Namely,  $b_{p,q}$  is the number of  $\text{IC}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E}_{\phi})$ 's such that  $\mathcal{O} \in \underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^0$  and  $\phi \in \Pi_{\mathcal{O}}$ . Note that we have  $b_{p,q} = b_{q,p}$ .

Let us write  $\mathcal{P}^{\text{odd}}(N)$  for the set of partitions of  $N$  into odd parts. We write a partition  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{odd}}(N)$  as

$$\lambda = (2\mu_1 + 1) + (2\mu_2 + 1) + \cdots + (2\mu_s + 1)$$

where  $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_s \geq 0$ . Note that  $s \equiv N \pmod{2}$ . We set

$$wt_{\lambda} = 3^{\#\{1 \leq j \leq (s-1)/2 \mid \mu_{2j-1} = \mu_{2j} + 1\}} 4^{\#\{1 \leq j \leq (s-1)/2 \mid \mu_{2j-1} \geq \mu_{2j} + 2\}} \text{ when } N \text{ is odd;}$$

$$wt_{\lambda} = 3^{\#\{1 \leq j \leq s/2-1 \mid \mu_{2j} = \mu_{2j+1} + 1\}} 4^{\#\{1 \leq j \leq s/2-1 \mid \mu_{2j} \geq \mu_{2j+1} + 2\}} \text{ when } N \text{ is even.}$$

We then have

$$\sum_{p=0}^{2n+1} b_{p,2n+1-p} = 2 \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{odd}}(2n+1)} wt_{\lambda}, \quad \sum_{p=0}^n b_{2p,2n-2p} = 2 \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{odd}}(2n)} wt_{\lambda}.$$

**Proposition 8.5.** *We have*

$$(8.9a) \quad \sum_{p=0}^{2n+1} b_{p,2n+1-p} = \text{Coefficient of } x^{2n+1} \text{ in } 2x \prod_{k \geq 1} (1+x^{4k})^2 (1+x^{2k})^2$$

$$(8.9b) \quad \sum_{p=0}^n b_{2p,2n-2p} = \text{Coefficient of } x^{2n} \text{ in } \frac{1}{2} \prod_{k \geq 1} (1+x^{4k-2})^2 (1+x^{2k})^2.$$

*Proof.* See §C.2 in Appendix C. □

Let us further write

$$f_{m+1,m} = |\Theta_{(SO(2m+1), S(O(m+1) \times O(m)))}| \text{ and } f_{m,m} = |\Theta_{(SO(2m), S(O(m) \times O(m)))}|.$$

It follows from (2.5), (6.5) and (6.7) that

$$(8.10a) \quad \sum_{m \geq 0} f_{m+1,m} x^m = \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 + x^{2k})^2 (1 + x^k)^2$$

$$(8.10b) \quad \sum_{m \geq 0} f_{m,m} x^m = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 + x^{2k-1})^2 (1 + x^k)^2.$$

Note that in the above we have used the notation that  $b_{0,0} = 1/2$  and  $f_{0,0} = 1/2$ .

Let us denote the number of IC sheaves in Theorem 7.5 by  $A'_{p,q}$ . Let us write  $r = \min\{p, q\}$  for the rank of the symmetric pair. Then we have

$$(8.11a) \quad A'_{p,q} = \sum_{m=0}^r f_{m+1,m} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{r-m}{2} \rfloor} \mathbf{p}(k) b_{p-m-2k, q-m-2k}, \quad \text{if } p+q \equiv 1 \pmod{2},$$

$$(8.11b) \quad A'_{p,q} = 2 \sum_{m=0}^{\frac{r-1}{2}} f_{2m+1, 2m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{r-2m-1}{2}} \mathbf{p}(k) b_{p-2m-2k-1, q-2m-2k-1}, \quad \text{if } p \equiv q \equiv 1 \pmod{2},$$

$$(8.11c) \quad A'_{p,q} = 2 \sum_{m=0}^{\frac{q}{2}} f_{2m, 2m} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{q-2m}{2}} \mathbf{p}(k) b_{p-2m-2k, q-2m-2k} + \frac{3}{2} \mathbf{p}\left(\frac{q}{2}\right) \delta_{p,q}, \quad \text{if } p \equiv q \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

To prove Theorem 7.5, it suffices to show that

$$(8.12) \quad \sum_{p=0}^{2n+1} A_{p, 2n+1-p} = \sum_{p=0}^{2n+1} A'_{p, 2n+1-p}, \quad \sum_{p=0}^{2n} A_{p, 2n-p} = \sum_{p=0}^{2n} A'_{p, 2n-p}$$

since by construction  $A'_{p, 2n+1-p} \leq A_{p, 2n+1-p}$  and  $A'_{p, 2n-p} \leq A_{p, 2n-p}$ . It then follows that

$$(8.13) \quad A_{p,q} = A'_{p,q}.$$

In what follows we prove (8.12). Setting  $u = v = x$  in Proposition 8.4 we see that

$$(8.14a) \quad \sum_{p=0}^{2n+1} A_{p, 2n+1-p} = \text{Coefficient of } x^{2n+1} \text{ in } \frac{1}{2} \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - x^{4k}} \prod_{m \geq 1} \frac{(1 + x^{2m-1})^2}{(1 - x^{2m-1})^2}$$

$$(8.14b) \quad \sum_{p=0}^{2n} A_{p, 2n-p} = \text{Coefficient of } x^{2n} \text{ in } \frac{1}{2} \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - x^{4k}} \prod_{m \geq 1} \frac{(1 + x^{2m-1})^2}{(1 - x^{2m-1})^2} + \frac{3}{2} \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - x^{4k}}.$$

The equations (8.9a), (8.10a), and (8.11a) imply that

$$\sum_{p=0}^{2n+1} A'_{p, 2n+1-p} \text{ equals the coefficient of } x^{2n+1} \text{ in}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(8.15a) \quad & \left( \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 + x^{4k})^2 (1 + x^{2k})^2 \right) \left( \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - x^{4k}} \right) \left( 2x \prod_{m \geq 1} (1 + x^{4m})^2 (1 + x^{2m})^2 \right) \\
& = 2x \left( \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - x^{4k}} \right) \left( \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 + x^{4k})^4 (1 + x^{2k})^4 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The equations (8.9b), (8.10b), (8.11b) and (8.11c) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
(8.15b) \quad & \sum_{p=0}^{2n} A'_{p,2n-p} \text{ equals the coefficient of } x^{2n} \text{ in } 2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 + x^{4k-2})^2 (1 + x^{2k})^2 \right) \\
& \cdot \left( \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - x^{4k}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} \prod_{m \geq 1} (1 + x^{4m-2})^2 (1 + x^{2m})^2 \right) + \frac{3}{2} \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - x^{4k}} \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \left( \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - x^{4k}} \right) \left( \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 + x^{4k-2})^4 (1 + x^{2k})^4 \right) + \frac{3}{2} \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - x^{4k}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now write

$$F(x) = \prod_{m \geq 1} \frac{(1 + x^{2m-1})^2}{(1 - x^{2m-1})^2}.$$

Then (8.12) follows from (8.14a), (8.14b), (8.15a), (8.15b) and the following identities

$$(8.16a) \quad F(x) - F(-x) = 8x \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 + x^{4k})^4 (1 + x^{2k})^4$$

$$(8.16b) \quad F(x) + F(-x) = 2 \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 + x^{4k-2})^4 (1 + x^{2k})^4.$$

The proof of equations (8.16a) and (8.16b) is given in §C.3 in Appendix C. This completes the proof of Theorem 7.5.

**Corollary 8.6.** *Let  $(G, K) = (SO(p+q), S(O(p) \times O(q)))$ , where  $p \geq q$ .*

(1) *The number of character sheaves  $|\text{Char}(G, K)|$  equals the coefficient of  $x^q$  in*

$$\frac{1}{1 + x^{p-q}} \prod_{s \geq 1} \frac{1 + x^s}{(1 - x^s)^3} + \frac{3\delta_{p,q}}{2} \prod_{s \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - x^{2s}}.$$

(2) *Suppose that either  $p$  or  $q$  is even. The number of character sheaves with nilpotent support  $|\text{Char}(G, K)^n|$  equals the coefficient of  $x^q$  in*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{1 + x^{p-q}} \prod_{s \geq 1} \frac{(1 + x^{2s-1})^2}{(1 - x^{2s})^2} & \text{if } p + q \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{1}{1 + x^{p-q}} \prod_{s \geq 1} \frac{(1 + x^{2s})^2}{(1 - x^{2s})^2} & \text{if } p \text{ and } q \text{ are both even.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Part (1) follows from (8.8) and Corollary C.3 in Appendix C, since  $|\text{Char}(G, K)| = A_{p,q}$ .

We prove part (2) in the case of  $p$  and  $q$  both even. The other case is entirely similar and simpler. Let us fix  $2l = p - q \geq 0$ . It follows from (8.11b), (8.11c) and (8.13) that

$$\sum_q A_{q+2l,q} x^q = 2 \left( \sum_m f_{m,m} x^m \right) \left( \sum_k \mathbf{p}(k) x^{2k} \right) \left( \sum_q b_{2q+2l,2q} x^{2q} \right) + \frac{3}{2} \delta_{l,0} \sum_q \mathbf{p}(q) x^{2q}.$$

Thus, using part (1) of the corollary and (8.10b), we see that

$$\frac{1}{1+x^{2l}} \prod_{s \geq 1} \frac{1+x^s}{(1-x^s)^3} + \frac{3}{2} \delta_{l,0} \prod_{s \geq 1} \frac{1}{1-x^{2s}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_q b_{2q+2l,2q} x^{2q} &= \frac{1}{1+x^{2l}} \prod_{s \geq 1} \frac{(1+x^s)(1-x^{2s})}{(1-x^s)^3(1+x^{2s-1})^2(1+x^s)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{1+x^{2l}} \prod_{s \geq 1} \frac{1}{(1-x^s)^2(1+x^{2s-1})^2} = \frac{1}{1+x^{2l}} \prod_{s \geq 1} \frac{(1+x^s)^2}{(1-x^{2s})^2(1+x^{2s-1})^2} \\ &= \prod_{s \geq 1} \frac{(1+x^{2s})^2}{(1-x^{2s})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 9. THE INNER INVOLUTIONS ON $SL(n)$

In this section prove Theorem 7.2 and thus we consider the symmetric pair  $(G, K) = (SL(n), S(GL(p) \times GL(q)))$ ,  $p + q = n$ ,  $p \geq q$ . Recall the notation  $d_\lambda$  for the greatest common divisor of the parts in the partition  $\lambda$  from (4.3).

We start with the geometric construction from §3.6. We consider  $G = SL(2m\ell)$  and the symmetric pair with  $K = S(GL(m\ell) \times GL(m\ell))$ . The center  $Z(G) = \mu_{2m\ell} = \mathbb{Z}/2m\ell\mathbb{Z}$ ,<sup>3</sup> and as the involution in this case is inner, we also have  $Z(G)^\theta = Z(G) = \mathbb{Z}/2m\ell\mathbb{Z}$ .

We consider the nilpotent orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{m'_+ m'_-}$  and the corresponding  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m'_+ m'_-}$ . In this case  $G^\phi = \{g \in GL(2\ell) \mid \det(g)^m = 1\}$  and  $K^\phi = \{(h_+, h_-) \in GL(\ell) \times GL(\ell) \mid \det(h_+ h_-)^m = 1\}$ . We observe that  $Z(G^\phi) = Z(G) = \mathbb{Z}/2m\ell\mathbb{Z}$ , that  $G^\phi / (G^\phi)^0 = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} = K^\phi / (K^\phi)^0$ , and that

$$Z_{K^\phi}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi) / Z_{K^\phi}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi)^0 = \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}.$$

**Lemma 9.1.** *Let  $\chi$  be an irreducible character of*

$$Z_K(\mathfrak{a}^\phi + e) / Z_K(\mathfrak{a}^\phi + e)^0 = Z_{K^\phi}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi) / Z_{K^\phi}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi)^0 = \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}.$$

<sup>3</sup>Although it is more natural to think of the center as a multiplicative group we use the additive notation not to confuse it with partitions  $\mu$ .

The characteristic variety of  $\mathrm{IC}(X_{a+e}, \mathcal{L}_\chi)$  is irreducible, i.e., the Assumption 3.2 holds, if the character  $\chi$  is primitive or if  $m$  is odd and  $\chi$  is of order  $m$ .

*Proof.* The possible irreducible components of the characteristic variety, other than the conormal bundle of  $X_{a+e}$ , consist of conormal bundles of orbits of the form  $K \cdot (a + e')$  where  $e'$  commutes with  $a$  and  $e' \in \overline{K \cdot e} \setminus K \cdot e$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{K \cdot (a+e')}^* \mathfrak{g}_1 &= \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_1 \mid x \in K \cdot (a + e') \text{ and } [x, y] = 0\} = \\ &= K \cdot \{(a + e', y) \mid [a + e', y] = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $(a + e', a' + e')$  be a generic vector in  $T_{K \cdot (a+e')}^* \mathfrak{g}_1$ , where  $a' \in (\mathfrak{a}^{\phi_{e'}})^{rs} \subset \mathfrak{a}$  and  $\phi_{e'}$  is defined for  $e'$  in the same way as  $\phi$  for  $e$ . By (4.3)  $Z_K(e')/Z_K(e')^0 = \mathbb{Z}/d_{\lambda_{e'}}\mathbb{Z}$  where  $\lambda_{e'}$  is the partition corresponding to  $e'$ . We have that

$$(9.1) \quad Z_K(a, a', e')/Z_K(a, a', e')^0 = \mathbb{Z}/2d_{\lambda_{e'}}\mathbb{Z},$$

where  $Z_K(a, a', e') = Z_K(a) \cap Z_K(a') \cap Z_K(e')$ . This follows by observing that  $e' \in Z_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(a)$  and that the action of  $Z_K(a)$  on  $Z_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(a)$  can be identified with the diagonal action of  $\{(g_1, \dots, g_l) \in GL(m) \times \dots \times GL(m) \mid \det(g_1 \cdots g_l)^2 = 1\}$  on the product of  $l$ -copies of  $\mathfrak{gl}(m)$ .

Recall that  $\pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l}) = B_{W_l} \times \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$ . The center  $Z(G)$  acts on the  $K$ -equivariant local systems on  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l}$  via  $Z(G) \rightarrow \pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l})$  which lands in the second factor via the surjective map  $Z(G) \rightarrow Z_K(\mathfrak{a}^\phi + e)/Z_K(\mathfrak{a}^\phi + e)^0 = \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus the  $\mathrm{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l}, \mathcal{L}_\chi)$  has central character induced by  $\chi$  and so it is either primitive of order  $2m$ , or of order  $m$  and  $m$  is odd. This implies that  $K$  acts on the microlocal stalks also by such a central character. The action of the center on the microlocal stalk at  $(a + e', a' + e')$  is via the map

$$Z(G) \rightarrow Z_K(a, a', e')/Z_K(a, a', e')^0 = \mathbb{Z}/2d_{\lambda_{e'}}\mathbb{Z}.$$

However, as  $\lambda_{e'} < m^{2l}$  we have  $d_{\lambda_{e'}} < m$  and this forces the microlocal stalk at  $(a + e', a' + e')$  to be zero and hence the characteristic variety of  $\mathrm{IC}(X_{a+e}, \mathcal{L}_\chi)$  is irreducible.  $\square$

We can now apply Theorem 3.3 and obtain

$$(9.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}P_\chi &= \mathrm{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l}, \mathcal{H}_{W_l, 1, 1} \otimes \chi) && \text{if } \chi \text{ is primitive of order } 2m; \\ \mathfrak{F}P_\chi &= \mathrm{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l}, \mathcal{H}_{W_l, 1, -1} \otimes \chi) && \text{if } \chi \text{ is of order } m \text{ and } m \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l}, \mathcal{T}_{\rho, \psi_{2m}}) &\in \mathrm{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K), \\ \text{and when } m \text{ is odd } \mathrm{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l}, \mathcal{T}_{\tau, \psi_m}) &\in \mathrm{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{O}_{m_+^l m_-^l \sqcup \mu} \in \mathcal{N}_1^{\mathrm{cs}}$ , where  $m$  is odd and  $\mu \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $P$  be the  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subgroup of  $G$  which stabilizes the flag  $0 \subset V_{n-2ml} \subset V$ , where  $V_{n-2ml} = \mathrm{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_{p-ml}\} \oplus \mathrm{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_{q-ml}\}$ . Let  $L$  be the natural  $\theta$ -stable Levi subgroup of  $P$ . We have  $L \cong S(GL(2ml) \times GL(n-2ml))$  and  $L^\theta \cong S(GL(ml) \times GL(ml) \times GL(p-ml) \times GL(q-ml))$ .

Consider the stratum  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l} \times \mathcal{O}_\mu$  in  $\mathfrak{l}_1$ . We have

$$\pi_1^{L^\theta}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l} \times \mathcal{O}_\mu) = B_{W_l} \times \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}.$$

Consider the IC sheaf  $\mathrm{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l} \times \mathcal{O}_\mu, \mathcal{L}_\tau \boxtimes \psi_m)$  on  $\mathfrak{l}_1$ , where  $\psi_m$  is an order  $m$  irreducible character of  $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ . This is a character sheaf on  $\mathfrak{l}_1$  because of (9.2) and (5.9). We claim that

$$(9.3) \quad \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{l}_1 \subset \mathfrak{p}_1}^{\mathfrak{g}_1} \mathrm{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l} \times \mathcal{O}_\mu, \mathcal{L}_\tau \boxtimes \psi_m) = \mathrm{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l \sqcup \mu}, \mathcal{T}_{\tau, \psi_m}) \oplus \cdots \text{ (up to shift)}.$$

This can be seen as follows. First note that the image of  $K \times^{P_K} \left( \overline{\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l} \times \mathcal{O}_\mu + (\mathfrak{n}_P)_1} \right)$  under the map  $\pi : K \times^{P_K} \mathfrak{p}_1 \rightarrow K \cdot \mathfrak{p}_1$  is the closure of the stratum  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l \sqcup \mu}$ . It is clear that  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l \sqcup \mu}$  is contained in the image. One then checks that  $\dim K \times^{P_K} \left( \overline{\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l} \times \mathcal{O}_\mu + (\mathfrak{n}_P)_1} \right) = \dim \mathcal{O}_{m_+^l m_-^l \sqcup \mu}$ . Let us write the restriction of  $\pi$  as

$$\pi_0 : K \times^{P_K} \left( \overline{\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l} \times \mathcal{O}_\mu + (\mathfrak{n}_P)_1} \right) \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l \sqcup \mu}.$$

Let  $x \in \check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l \sqcup \mu}$ . We show that  $\pi_0^{-1}(x)$  consists of a single point. Indeed, if  $V_{n-2ml} \in \pi_0^{-1}(x)$ , then  $x|_{V_{n-2ml}} \in \mathcal{O}_\mu$  and  $x|_{V/V_{n-2ml}} \in \check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l}$ . Thus  $V_{n-2ml}$  equals the generalized eigenspace of  $x$  with eigenvalue 0. We conclude that  $\pi_1^{P_K} \left( \left( \overline{\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l} \times \mathcal{O}_\mu + (\mathfrak{n}_P)_1} \right) \cap \check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l \sqcup \mu} \right) \cong \pi_1^K(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l \sqcup \mu})$ . The claim (9.3) follows from the same argument as in §8.3.

Hence it follows from (9.3) that

$$\mathrm{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l \sqcup \mu}, \mathcal{T}_{\tau, \psi_m}) \in \mathrm{Char}(\mathfrak{g}, K).$$

To prove Theorem 7.2, it remains to establish a bijection of both sides. We will establish more refined bijections in the following using central character considerations.

Let us first note that if  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda \subset \mathcal{N}_1$  and  $2m|d_\lambda$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , then  $p = q$ . Recall that we have written  $\widehat{A}_K(\mathcal{O})_d$  for the set of order  $d$  irreducible characters of  $A_K(\mathcal{O})$  and for a character  $\psi \in \widehat{A}_K(\mathcal{O})$  we write  $\mathcal{E}_\psi$  the local system on  $\mathcal{O}$  given by  $\psi$ .

**Proposition 9.2.** (1) *For each odd  $m$ , there are bijections induced by the Fourier transform as follows*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{F} : \left\{ \mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \mathcal{E}_\psi) \mid \mathcal{O}_\lambda \notin \underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^0, m|d_\lambda, \psi \in \widehat{A}_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda)_m \right\} \\ & \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \mathrm{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l \sqcup \mu}, \mathcal{T}_{\tau, \psi_m}) \mid \tau \in \mathcal{P}(l), l > 0, \psi_m \text{ is an order } m \text{ irreducible character of } \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \right\} \\ & \mathfrak{F} : \left\{ \mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \mathcal{E}_\psi) \mid \mathcal{O}_\lambda \in \underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^0, m|d_\lambda, \psi \in \widehat{A}_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda)_m \right\} \\ & \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \mathrm{IC}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \mathcal{E}_\psi) \mid \mathcal{O}_\lambda \in \underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^0, m|d_\lambda, \psi \in \widehat{A}_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda)_m \right\} \end{aligned}$$

(2) When  $p = q$ , for each  $m \geq 1$ , there is a bijection induced by the Fourier transform as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{F} : \left\{ \text{IC}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \mathcal{E}_\psi) \mid 2m \mid d_\lambda, \psi \in \widehat{A}_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda)_{2m} \right\} \\ \rightsquigarrow & \left\{ \text{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l}, \mathcal{T}_{\rho, \psi_{2m}}) \mid l = \frac{n}{2m}, \rho \in \mathcal{P}_2(l), \psi_{2m} \text{ is a primitive character of } \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_\lambda$  be an orbit such that  $m \mid d_\lambda$  and  $\mathcal{O} \notin \underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^0$ . We have

$$\lambda = (md_1)_+^{p_1} (md_1)_-^{q_1} \cdots (md_s)_+^{p_s} (md_s)_-^{q_s}.$$

Moreover  $|\widehat{A}_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda)_m| = \varphi(m)$ , where  $\varphi$  is the Euler function of integers. Let  $l_i = \min\{p_i, q_i\}$ . Let  $l = \sum d_i l_i$ . Since  $\mathcal{O} \notin \underline{\mathcal{N}}_1^0$ ,  $l > 0$ . We attach to  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$  the partition  $\tau = (d_1^{l_1} \cdots d_s^{l_s})$  and

$$\mu = (md_1)_+^{p_1 - l_1} (md_1)_-^{q_1 - l_1} \cdots (md_s)_+^{p_s - l_s} (md_s)_-^{q_s - l_s}.$$

There are  $\varphi(m)$  order  $m$  irreducible characters of  $\mathbb{Z}/\check{d}\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus we have a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \text{IC}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \mathcal{E}_\psi) \mid \psi \in \widehat{A}_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda)_m \right\} \sim \\ & \left\{ \text{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l \sqcup \mu}, \mathcal{T}_{\tau, \psi_m}) \mid \psi_m \text{ is an order } m \text{ irreducible character of } \mathbb{Z}/\check{d}\mathbb{Z} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Part (1) then follows.

(2) Let  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_\lambda$  be an orbit such that  $2m \mid d_\lambda$ . We have

$$\lambda = (2md_1)_+^{p_1} (2md_1)_-^{q_1} \cdots (2md_s)_+^{p_s} (2md_s)_-^{q_s}.$$

Moreover  $|\widehat{A}_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda)_{2m}| = \varphi(2m)$ . We attach to  $\lambda$  the bipartition  $\rho_\lambda = (d_1^{p_1} \cdots d_s^{p_s})(d_1^{q_1} \cdots d_s^{q_s})$ . There are  $\varphi(2m)$  primitive characters of  $\mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus we have a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \text{IC}(\mathcal{O}_\lambda, \mathcal{E}_\phi) \mid \phi \in \widehat{A}_K(\mathcal{O}_\lambda)_{2m} \right\} \\ \sim & \left\{ \text{IC}(\check{\mathcal{O}}_{m_+^l m_-^l}, \mathcal{T}_{\rho_\lambda, \psi_{2m}}) \mid \psi_{2m} \text{ is a primitive character of } \mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus part (2) follows.  $\square$

## APPENDIX A. DUAL STRATA

We will describe the dual strata of nilpotent orbits. Let  $G$  be a reductive algebraic group which for the purposes of this section can be assumed to be semisimple. We write  $\mathfrak{g}$  for its Lie algebra and  $\mathcal{N}$  for the nilpotent cone and we identify  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  with  $\mathfrak{g}$  via the Killing form. For each nilpotent  $G$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\mathcal{N}$  we consider its conormal bundle

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}} = T_{\mathcal{O}}^* \mathfrak{g} = \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \mid x \in \mathcal{O} \text{ } [x, y] = 0\}.$$

Let us consider the projection  $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$  of  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}$  to the second coordinate:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}} = \{y \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \text{there exist an } x \in \mathcal{O} \text{ with } [x, y] = 0\}.$$

We will construct an open (dense) subset  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  such that the projection  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  has constant maximum rank above  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ . Thus the  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$  are submanifolds of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and they have the following property:

For any  $\mathcal{F} \in P_G(\mathcal{N})$  the Fourier transform  $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{F})$  is smooth along all the  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ .

This property follows from the fact that the Fourier transform preserves the singular support.

Before giving the general construction we make a few general comments. If  $\mathcal{O} = \{0\}$  is the zero orbit then  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\check{\mathcal{O}} = \mathfrak{g}^{rs}$ , the set of regular semisimple elements in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The general description of  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$  will be similar.

Let us write  $\mathfrak{t}$  for a Cartan subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $W$  for the Weyl group of  $G$ . We consider the adjoint quotient  $\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{f} \mathfrak{g} // G \cong \mathfrak{t} / W$ . We have:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{\mathcal{O}} & \xrightarrow{f} & f(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathfrak{t}^\phi / W^\phi \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathfrak{g} // G & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathfrak{t} / W \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are inclusions. We first analyze  $f(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})$  and explain the upper right-hand corner. Let us write  $\mathfrak{g}^{ss}$  for the set of semisimple elements in  $\mathfrak{g}$  and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{ss} = \{y \in \mathfrak{g}^{ss} \mid \text{there exist an } x \in \mathcal{O} \text{ with } [x, y] = 0\}$$

for the semisimple locus of  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ . Then we have  $f(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}) = f(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{ss})$  because we can regard  $\mathfrak{g} // G \cong \mathfrak{t} / W$  as consisting of the set of semisimple  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let us consider an element  $e \in \mathcal{O}$  and consider a corresponding  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple  $\phi = (e, f, h)$ . Then we have

$$\mathfrak{g}^e = \mathfrak{g}^\phi \oplus \mathfrak{u}^e,$$

where

$$(A.1) \quad \mathfrak{g}^\phi = \mathfrak{g}^e \cap \mathfrak{g}^h, \quad \mathfrak{u} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \mathfrak{g}(i), \quad \mathfrak{g}(i) = \{z \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [h, z] = iz\}.$$

Recall that  $\mathfrak{g}^\phi$  is reductive and  $\mathfrak{u}$  is nilpotent. In particular, the algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^\phi$  is the centralizer of the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  given by  $\phi = (e, f, h)$  and we write  $G^\phi$  for the corresponding centralizer group.

We observe that any element in  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{ss}$  is  $G$ -conjugate to an element in  $(\mathfrak{g}^e)^{ss}$ . Thus, we see that:

$$f(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}) = f(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{ss}) = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{ss} / G = (\mathfrak{g}^e)^{ss} / G^e.$$

Furthermore, any element in  $(\mathfrak{g}^e)^{ss}$  is  $G^e$ -conjugate to an element in  $(\mathfrak{g}^\phi)^{ss}$ . As  $G^e = G^\phi \ltimes U^e$  is a semidirect product of a reductive group and a unipotent group it is easy to see that if two elements in  $(\mathfrak{g}^\phi)^{ss}$  are  $G^e$ -conjugate they are also  $G^\phi$ -conjugate. Thus we conclude that  $(\mathfrak{g}^e)^{ss} / G^e = (\mathfrak{g}^\phi)^{ss} / G^\phi$ . Finally, putting this all together, we get:

$$f(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}) = (\mathfrak{g}^e)^{ss} / G^e = (\mathfrak{g}^\phi)^{ss} / G^\phi = \mathfrak{g}^\phi // G^\phi.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{t}^\phi \subset \mathfrak{g}^\phi$  be a maximal abelian subspace such that every semisimple element in  $\mathfrak{g}^\phi$  is  $G^\phi$ -conjugate to some element in  $\mathfrak{t}^\phi$ . We choose  $\mathfrak{t}^\phi$  such that it lies in  $\mathfrak{t}$  and adjust  $\mathfrak{t}$  so that

$h \in \mathfrak{t}$ . Thus we have  $\mathfrak{t}^\phi = \mathfrak{t}^e$ . Writing  $\Phi$  for the roots of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{t}$  and writing  $e = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} a_\alpha x_\alpha$ ,  $x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ , we have a concrete expression for  $\mathfrak{t}^\phi$ :

$$\mathfrak{t}^\phi = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Phi_e} \{t \in \mathfrak{t} \mid \alpha(t) = 0\} \quad \Phi_e = \{\alpha \in \Phi \mid a_\alpha \neq 0\} \quad \text{with } e = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} a_\alpha x_\alpha,$$

i.e.,  $\mathfrak{t}^\phi$  is given by the intersection of the root hyperplanes for those roots that occur in the expression of  $e$ . Write

$$W^\phi = N_{G^\phi}(\mathfrak{t}^\phi)/Z_{G^\phi}(\mathfrak{t}^\phi).$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$f(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}) = \mathfrak{g}^\phi // G^\phi = \mathfrak{t}^\phi / W^\phi.$$

Let us call the composition map  $\tilde{f} : \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^\phi / W^\phi$ . Note also that the equality  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{ss}/G = (\mathfrak{g}^\phi)^{ss}/G^\phi$ , which follows from the arguments above, shows that

$$(A.2) \quad W^\phi = N_{G^\phi}(\mathfrak{t}^\phi)/Z_{G^\phi}(\mathfrak{t}^\phi) = N_G(\mathfrak{t}^\phi)/Z_G(\mathfrak{t}^\phi) = N_G(L)/L,$$

Where  $L = Z_G(\mathfrak{t}^\phi)$ . Note that  $W^\phi$  is not necessarily a Coxeter group.

In what follows we write  $x = x_s + x_n$  for the decomposition of an element in its semisimple and nilpotent parts and then  $[x_s, x_n] = 0$ . We now define

$$\check{\mathcal{O}} = \{y \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \mid y = y_s + y_n, \tilde{f}(y) \in (\mathfrak{t}^\phi)^{rs}/W^\phi, y_n \in \mathcal{O}\};$$

here the  $(\mathfrak{t}^\phi)^{rs}$  stands for elements in  $\mathfrak{t}^\phi$  that are regular semisimple in the ambient algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^\phi$ . By construction, the group  $G$  acts on  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$ . To show that  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$  has the desired property we first claim:

**Lemma A.1.** *If  $x = x_s + x_n \in \mathfrak{g}^e$  and  $x_s \in (\mathfrak{t}^\phi)^{rs}$ , then  $x_n \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ .*

*Proof.* First, let us recall that  $e$  is distinguished if all elements in  $\mathfrak{g}^e$  are nilpotent. By [P, Theorem 1], if  $e$  is distinguished and  $x \in \mathfrak{g}^e$ , then  $x \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ .

Let now  $e$  be arbitrary. We have  $x = x_s + x_n \in \mathfrak{g}^e$  and  $x_s \in (\mathfrak{t}^\phi)^{rs}$ . Then also  $x_n \in \mathfrak{g}^e$ . But, now  $G^{x_s} = Z_G(\mathfrak{t}^\phi)$  because  $x_s$  is semisimple. As  $[x_s, x_n] = 0$  we see that also  $x_n \in Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{t}^\phi)$ . Let us consider the group  $H = Z_G(\mathfrak{t}^\phi)/T^\phi$ . Let us write  $\bar{e}$  for  $e$  regarded as a nilpotent element in  $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie}(H)$ . The element  $\bar{e}$  is a distinguished nilpotent in  $\mathfrak{h}$ . To see this it suffices to show that if  $y \in Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{t}^\phi) \cap \mathfrak{g}^e$  is semisimple then  $y \in \mathfrak{t}^\phi$ . But  $\mathfrak{t}^\phi$  is a maximal toral subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}^e$  and so  $y$  must lie in  $\mathfrak{t}^\phi$ . But, now  $G^{x_s}/T^\phi = H$  and  $x_n$  can be viewed as an element in  $\mathfrak{h}^{\bar{e}}$ . As the  $H$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{e}}$  of  $\bar{e}$  is distinguished we see that  $x_n$  lies in  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{e}}$  and hence  $x_n \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ .  $\square$

We now observe that  $\tilde{f}^{-1}((\mathfrak{t}^\phi)^{rs})$  is open dense in  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  and it remains to show that  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$  is dense in  $\tilde{f}^{-1}((\mathfrak{t}^\phi)^{rs})$ . Let us decompose an element  $y \in \tilde{f}^{-1}((\mathfrak{t}^\phi)^{rs})$  into its semisimple and nilpotent parts  $y = y_s + y_n$  and as  $y \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  there exists an element  $x \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $[x, y] = 0$ . Now,  $G$  acts on  $\tilde{f}^{-1}((\mathfrak{t}^\phi)^{rs})$  and we can arrange the element  $x$  to be  $e$ . Thus, up to  $G$ -action  $y = y_s + y_n \in \mathfrak{g}^e$ . Thus, by the above lemma  $y_n \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ . But,  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$  consists of such elements with  $y_n \in \mathcal{O}$ . Thus,  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$  is open (and dense) in  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ . It is now easy to check that the projection  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{O}}$  has constant rank above  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$  and hence  $\check{\mathcal{O}}$  is a manifold.

This establishes a correspondence:

$$\mathcal{O} \leftrightarrow \check{\mathcal{O}}$$

Let us now analyze the equivariant fundamental group  $\pi_1^G(\check{\mathcal{O}})$ . For an element  $a \in (\mathfrak{t}^\phi)^{rs}$  let us write  $a' = a + e$  and furthermore  $X_{a'} = Ga'$ , the  $G$ -orbit of  $a'$ . We then have the following exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1^G(X_{a'}) \rightarrow \pi_1^G(\check{\mathcal{O}}) \rightarrow B_{W^\phi} \rightarrow 1$$

where  $B_{W^\phi} = \pi_1((\mathfrak{t}^\phi)^{rs}/W^\phi)$  denotes the braid group. We note that we use this terminology even when  $W^\phi$  is not a Coxeter group. We have that

$$\pi_1^G(X_{a'}) = Z_G(a')/Z_G(a')^0.$$

Now,

$$Z_G(a') = Z_G(e + \mathfrak{t}^\phi) = G^e \cap G^{\mathfrak{t}^\phi} = (G^\phi \cdot U^e) \cap G^{\mathfrak{t}^\phi} = Z_{G^\phi}(\mathfrak{t}^\phi) \cdot (U^e \cap U^{\mathfrak{t}^\phi}).$$

Thus:

$$\pi_1^G(X_{a'}) = Z_{G^\phi}(\mathfrak{t}^\phi)/Z_{G^\phi}(\mathfrak{t}^\phi)^0.$$

We conclude that we have the following exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow Z_{G^\phi}(\mathfrak{t}^\phi)/Z_{G^\phi}(\mathfrak{t}^\phi)^0 \rightarrow \pi_1^G(\check{\mathcal{O}}) \rightarrow B_{W^\phi} \rightarrow 1.$$

We also have the following exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow Z_{G^\phi}(\mathfrak{t}^\phi)/Z_{G^\phi}(\mathfrak{t}^\phi)^0 \rightarrow \pi_1^{G^\phi}((\mathfrak{g}^\phi)^{rs}) \rightarrow B_{W^\phi} \rightarrow 1.$$

The natural map  $\mathfrak{t}^\phi \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^\phi + e$  induces an embedding

$$(\mathfrak{g}^\phi)^{rs} \subset \check{\mathcal{O}} \quad gt \mapsto g(t + e) \quad \text{for } t \in (\mathfrak{t}^\phi)^{rs} \quad g \in G^\phi.$$

This embedding identifies  $\pi_1^G(\check{\mathcal{O}})$  and  $\pi_1^{G^\phi}((\mathfrak{g}^\phi)^{rs})$  and the two exact sequences above:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & Z_{G^\phi}(\mathfrak{t}^\phi)/Z_{G^\phi}(\mathfrak{t}^\phi)^0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{G^\phi}((\mathfrak{g}^\phi)^{rs}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{q}} & B_{W^\phi} \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & Z_{G^\phi}(\mathfrak{t}^\phi)/Z_{G^\phi}(\mathfrak{t}^\phi)^0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^G(\check{\mathcal{O}}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{q}} & B_{W^\phi} \longrightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

## APPENDIX B. MICROLOCALIZATION

In this appendix we recall the notion of microlocalization and explain how it is used in our context. Let us consider a  $K$ -equivariant perverse sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathcal{N}_1$ . We will consider the microlocalization  $\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{F})$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  along a nilpotent orbit  $\mathcal{O}$ , see [KS]. The  $\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{F})$  lives on the conormal bundle  $T_{\mathcal{O}}^*\mathfrak{g}_1$  and is generically a local system. We will use notation from §3.2.

As it requires no extra work we consider a slightly more general situation. We choose an element  $b \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  and we assume that  $b$  is not nilpotent. We consider the  $K$ -orbit  $X_b = K \cdot b$  and its closure  $\bar{X}_b$ . We choose a character  $\chi$  of  $I_b = Z_K(b)/Z_K(b)^0$  which gives us a  $K$ -equivariant local system  $\mathcal{L}_\chi$  on  $X_b$ . Finally, we consider the IC-sheaf  $\text{IC}(X_b, \mathcal{L}_\chi)$  on  $\bar{X}_b$ . Proceeding as in §3.6 let us write

$$\check{f}_b : \check{\mathcal{Z}}_b = \overline{\{(x, c) \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathbb{C}^* \mid x \in \bar{X}_{c \cdot b}\}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

The  $\mathrm{IC}(X_b, \mathcal{L}_\chi)$  can also be regarded as a sheaf on  $\check{Z}_b - \check{f}_b^{-1}(0)$  which allows us to form the nearby cycle sheaf  $P_\chi = \psi_{\check{f}_b} \mathrm{IC}(X_b, \mathcal{L}_\chi)$  on  $\mathcal{N}_1$ .

We will now give a Morse theoretic description of the local system  $\mu_\mathcal{O}(P_\chi)$ . Let us pick an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple  $\phi = (h, e, f)$  for the nilpotent orbit  $\mathcal{O} = K.e$ . The Kostant-Rallis slice  $e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f$  gives us a normal slice at  $e$  to the orbit  $\mathcal{O}$ . Let us consider a generic element  $\xi = (e, a + n)$  in  $\tilde{\Lambda}_\mathcal{O}$  where  $a$  is a regular semisimple element in  $\mathfrak{a}^\phi$ ,  $n \in \mathcal{O}$ ,  $[a, n] = 0$ , and  $[a + n, e] = 0$ . Note, in particular, that  $a + n \in \check{\mathcal{O}}$ .

We view the  $a + n$  as a linear function on  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  via the Killing form. We write  $\ell$  for its translate satisfying  $\ell(e) = 0$ . Then we have:

$\mu_\mathcal{O}(P_\chi)_{(e, a+n)} = R^0 \Gamma_{\{|x| \mathrm{Re}(\ell(x)) \leq 0\}}(e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f, P_\chi)_e = H^0(B_e \cap (e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f), B_e \cap (e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f) \cap \ell^{-1}(\epsilon); P_\chi)$ ;  
here  $B_e$  is a small ball around  $e$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  is chosen small after the choice of  $B_e$ . As the  $P_\chi$  is a nearby cycle sheaf we have:

$$\mu_\mathcal{O}(P_\chi)_{(e, a+n)} = H^0(B_e \cap (e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f) \cap X_{c,b}, B_e \cap (e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f) \cap X_{c,b} \cap \ell^{-1}(\epsilon); \mathrm{IC}(X_{c,b}, \mathcal{L}_\chi))$$

where  $|c|$  is chosen small relative to the other choices. Just as in [GVX], based on ideas in [G1, G2, G3], this latter expression allows us to calculate  $\mu_\mathcal{O}(P_\chi)_{(e, a+n)}$  and the resulting local system by Morse theory. Let us now assume that the characteristic variety of  $\mathrm{IC}(X_{c,b}, \mathcal{L}_\chi)$  is irreducible. This assumption implies that we can express  $\mu_\mathcal{O}(P_\chi)_{(e, a+n)}$  in terms of the critical points on  $X_{c,b}$ . Let us write  $\{C_j\}_{j \in J}$  for the (non-degenerate) critical points of  $\ell$  on  $B_e \cap (e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f) \cap X_{c,b}$ . Then we have the decomposition of  $\mu_\mathcal{O}(P_\chi)_{(e, a+n)}$  into local terms

$$\mu_\mathcal{O}(P_\chi)_{(e, a+n)} = \bigoplus_{j \in J} M_j \otimes (\mathcal{L}_\chi)_{C_j}.$$

The  $M_j$  are one dimensional vector spaces coming from the critical points  $C_j$ . The isomorphism in the above formula depends on a choice of (non-intersecting) paths from  $\epsilon$  to the critical values  $\ell(C_j)$ . Letting the point  $a + n$  vary gives us the description of the local system in terms of the paths, i.e., by Picard-Lefschetz theory.

**Lemma B.1.** *The critical points of  $a + n$  on  $B \cap X_b \cap (e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f)$  lie in  $X_b \cap (e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f) \cap Z_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(\mathfrak{a}^\phi)$ .*

*Proof.* We first prove this statement in the case of the adjoint actions of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  using an idea from [G3]. We also use the notation from Appendix A. We consider

$$G \cdot b \cap (e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f).$$

The torus  $T^\phi$  acts on this normal slice preserving it. Furthermore, the  $T^\phi$  preserves the function  $a + n$ . Thus, if a critical point is not fixed by  $T^\phi$  then we get a non-discrete critical set, but we know that the critical set has to be discrete near  $e$ . This gives us our conclusion.

To prove the claim in the symmetric space case we observe that any critical point of  $a + n$  on  $X_b \cap (e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f)$  is also a critical point of  $a + n$  on  $G \cdot b \cap (e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f)$  as an easy calculation shows. Thus we get our conclusion. Note also that the converse is obvious, i.e., if a point on  $X_b \cap (e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f)$  is a critical point of  $a + n$  on  $G \cdot b \cap (e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f)$  then it is of course also a critical point of  $a + n$  on  $X_b \cap (e + \mathfrak{g}_1^f)$ .  $\square$

APPENDIX C. THE COMBINATORIAL FORMULAS

by Dennis Stanton<sup>4</sup>

Let us write

$$(A; q)_\infty = \prod_{s=0}^{\infty} (1 - Aq^s), \quad (A; q)_n = \frac{(A; q)_\infty}{(Aq^n; q)_\infty} = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 - Aq^i),$$

$$(A, B; q)_n = (A; q)_n (B; q)_n, \text{ etc.}$$

**C.1. Weighted type  $(p, q)$  allowable signed Young diagrams.** Recall from §8.6 that  $\text{SYD}_{p,q}$  denotes the set of signed Young diagrams that label the nilpotent  $K$ -orbits for the symmetric pair  $(SO(p+q), S(O(p) \times O(q)))$ . Namely,  $\text{SYD}_{p,q}$  consists of signed Young diagrams of signature  $(p, q)$  such that for each fixed even length, the number of rows beginning with  $+$  coincides with the number beginning with  $-$ . For each  $\lambda \in \text{SYD}_{p,q}$ , we associate the weight  $2^{r_\lambda+1}$  if  $\lambda$  has at least one odd part and weight 1 otherwise, where  $r_\lambda$  is defined in (4.6).

**Definition C.1.** Let  $wt(p, q)$  denote the weighted sum of the type  $(p, q)$  allowable signed Young diagrams, i.e.,

$$(C.1) \quad wt(p, q) = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{SYD}_{p,q}} 2^{r_\lambda+1} - \mathbf{p}\left(\frac{q}{2}\right) \delta_{p,q},$$

where  $\mathbf{p}(k)$  is the number of partitions of  $k$  and we set  $\mathbf{p}(q/2) = 0$  if  $q$  is odd.

**Proposition C.2.** The generating function for  $wt(p, q)$  is

$$F(u, v) = \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} wt(p, q) u^p v^q = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - u^{2k} v^{2k}} \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + u^{m+1} v^m)(1 + u^m v^{m+1})}{(1 - u^{m+1} v^m)(1 - u^m v^{m+1})}.$$

*Proof.* An odd part of  $2m+1$  can occur, with multiplicity  $0, 1, 2, \dots, r, \dots$ , which contributes

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 + 2(u^{m+1}v^m + u^m v^{m+1}) + (2u^{2(m+1)}v^{2m} + 4u^{2m+1}v^{2m+1} + 2u^{2m}v^{2(m+1)}) + \dots \\ & + (2u^{r(m+1)}v^{rm} + 4u^{rm+r-1}v^{rm+1} + \dots + 4u^{rm+1}v^{rm+r-1} + 2u^{rm}v^{r(m+1)}) + \dots \\ & = 1 + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (u^m v^m)^r \left( \frac{u^{r+1} - v^{r+1}}{u - v} + uv \frac{u^{r-1} - v^{r-1}}{u - v} \right) \\ & = \frac{(1 + u^{m+1}v^m)(1 + u^m v^{m+1})}{(1 - u^{m+1}v^m)(1 - u^m v^{m+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

An even part  $2k$ , since it has even multiplicity, clearly gives

$$\frac{1}{1 - u^{2k} v^{2k}}.$$

□

<sup>4</sup>School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, USA. E-mail: stant001@umn.edu.

Recall the  $q$ -Gauss theorem is

$$(C.2) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a; q)_m (b; q)_m}{(q; q)_m (c; q)_m} \left(\frac{c}{ab}\right)^m = \frac{(c/a; q)_{\infty} (c/b; q)_{\infty}}{(c; q)_{\infty} (c/ab; q)_{\infty}}.$$

**Corollary C.3.** *Let  $k \geq 0$ . The generating function of the  $k^{\text{th}}$  diagonal is*

$$F_{k\text{diag}}(t) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} wt(p+k, p)t^{2p} = \frac{2}{1+t^{2k}} \frac{\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1+t^{2m})}{\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1-t^{2m})^3}.$$

*Proof.* We use the  $q$ -binomial theorem with  $q = uv$  for  $F(u, v) = \sum_{p, q=0}^{\infty} wt(p, q)u^p v^q$ :

$$\begin{aligned} F(u, v) &= \frac{1}{(u^2 v^2; u^2 v^2)_{\infty}} \times \frac{(-u; uv)_{\infty}}{(u; uv)_{\infty}} \times \frac{(-v; uv)_{\infty}}{(v; uv)_{\infty}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1; q)_m}{(q; q)_m} u^m \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1; q)_m}{(q; q)_m} v^m. \end{aligned}$$

So the  $k$ -th diagonal term is

$$\frac{1}{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1; q)_{m+k} (-1; q)_m}{(q; q)_{m+k} (q; q)_m} q^m.$$

This sums by the  $q$ -Gauss theorem to

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}} \frac{(-1; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-q^k; q)_m (-1; q)_m}{(q^{k+1}; q)_m (q; q)_m} q^m \\ &= \frac{1}{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}} \frac{(-1; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} \frac{(-q; q)_{\infty} (-q^{k+1}, q)_{\infty}}{(q^{k+1}; q)_{\infty} (q; q)_{\infty}} = \frac{2}{1+q^k} \frac{(-q; q)_{\infty}}{(q; q)_{\infty}^3}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the given answer with  $q = t^2$ . □

**C.2. Proof of Proposition 8.5.** Recall that  $\mathcal{P}^{\text{odd}}(N)$  denotes the set of partitions of  $N$  into odd parts. We write a partition  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{odd}}(N)$  as

$$\lambda = (2\mu_1 + 1) + (2\mu_2 + 1) + \cdots + (2\mu_s + 1)$$

where  $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_s \geq 0$ . Note that  $s \equiv N \pmod{2}$ . We set

$$wt_{\lambda} = 3^{\#\{1 \leq j \leq (s-1)/2 \mid \mu_{2j-1} = \mu_{2j} + 1\}} 4^{\#\{1 \leq j \leq (s-1)/2 \mid \mu_{2j-1} \geq \mu_{2j} + 2\}} \text{ when } N \text{ is odd;}$$

$$wt_{\lambda} = 3^{\#\{1 \leq j \leq s/2 - 1 \mid \mu_{2j} = \mu_{2j+1} + 1\}} 4^{\#\{1 \leq j \leq s/2 - 1 \mid \mu_{2j} \geq \mu_{2j+1} + 2\}} \text{ when } N \text{ is even.}$$

Let us write

$$b_n = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{odd}}(2n+1)} wt_{\lambda}, \quad c_n = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{odd}}(2n)} wt_{\lambda}.$$

C.2.1. *Equation (8.9a)*. The equation (8.9a) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^{2n+1} = q(-q^4; q^4)_{\infty}^2 (-q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^2.$$

This can be seen as follows. Suppose  $\lambda$  with odd parts has an odd number of parts, say  $2k + 1$ . Consider the columns of  $\lambda$ , which have possible sizes  $1, 2, \dots, 2k + 1$ .

The part  $2k + 1$  occurs an odd number of times, the generating function is

$$\frac{q^{2k+1}}{1 - q^{4k+2}}.$$

The part  $2k$  occurs an even number of times, the generating function is

$$\frac{1}{1 - q^{4k}}.$$

The part  $2k - 1$  occurs an even number of times, the weighted generating function is

$$1 + 3q^{4k-2} + \frac{4q^{8k-4}}{1 - q^{4k-2}}.$$

This continues down to part size 1, to obtain the generating function

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^{2n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2k+1}}{1 - q^{4k+2}} \frac{1}{(1 - q^4)(1 - q^8) \dots (1 - q^{4k})} \prod_{i=1, \text{odd}}^{2k-1} \left( 1 + 3q^{2i} + \frac{4q^{4i}}{1 - q^{2i}} \right).$$

Because

$$1 + 3x + \frac{4x^2}{1 - x} = \frac{(1 + x)^2}{1 - x}$$

this may be written as

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^{2n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2k+1}}{1 - q^{4k+2}} \frac{(-q^2; q^4)_k (-q^2; q^4)_k}{(q^4; q^4)_k (q^2; q^4)_k} = \frac{q}{1 - q^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-q^2; q^4)_k (-q^2; q^4)_k}{(q^6; q^4)_k (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{2k}.$$

Applying  $q$ -Gauss theorem (C.2) with  $q \rightarrow q^4$  and  $a = b = -q^2, c = q^6$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^{2n+1} = \frac{q}{1 - q^2} \frac{(-q^4; q^4)_{\infty} (-q^4; q^4)_{\infty}}{(q^6; q^4)_{\infty} (q^2; q^4)_{\infty}} = q(-q^4; q^4)_{\infty}^2 (-q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^2.$$

Here we have used the number of partitions of  $n$  into odd parts equals the number of partitions of  $n$  into distinct parts, i.e.,

$$(-q; q)_{\infty} = 1/(q; q^2)_{\infty}.$$

C.2.2. *Equation (8.9b).* The equation (8.9b) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n q^{2n} = \frac{1}{4} (-q^2; q^4)_{\infty}^2 (-q^2; q^2)_{\infty}.$$

Assume  $\lambda$  is a partition into odd parts with  $2k$  parts. We argue as in §C.2.1, this time the even parts have weights. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n q^{2n} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2k}}{1 - q^{4k}} \frac{1}{(1 - q^2)(1 - q^6) \cdots (1 - q^{2(2k-1)})} \prod_{i=1, \text{even}}^{2k-2} \left( 1 + 3q^{2i} + \frac{4q^{4i}}{1 - q^{2i}} \right). \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2k}}{1 - q^{4k}} \frac{(-q^4; q^4)_{k-1} (-q^4; q^4)_{k-1}}{(q^4; q^4)_{k-1} (q^2; q^4)_k} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1; q^4)_k (-1; q^4)_k}{(q^2; q^4)_k (q^4; q^4)_k} q^{2k} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{(-q^2; q^4)_{\infty} (-q^2; q^4)_{\infty}}{(q^2; q^4)_{\infty} (q^2; q^4)_{\infty}} = \frac{1}{4} (-q^2; q^4)_{\infty}^2 (-q^2; q^2)_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

C.3. **Proof of equations (8.16a) and (8.16b).**

**Definition C.4.** *Let*

$$F(q) = \frac{(-q; q^2)_{\infty}^2}{(q; q^2)_{\infty}^2}.$$

The equations (8.16a) and (8.16b) are

**Proposition C.5.**

$$F(q) - F(-q) = 8q(-q^4; q^4)_{\infty}^4 (-q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^4 \quad \text{and} \quad F(q) + F(-q) = 2(-q^2; q^4)_{\infty}^4 (-q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^4.$$

Recall Ramanujan's  ${}_1\psi_1$  formula

**Proposition C.6.**

$${}_1\psi_1(a, b; q; x) =: \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(a; q)_n}{(b; q)_n} x^n = \frac{(ax, q/ax, q, b/a; q)_{\infty}}{(x, b/ax, b, q/a; q)_{\infty}}.$$

for  $|b/a| < |x| < 1$ .

Letting  $q \rightarrow q^2$ ,  $a = -1$ ,  $b = -q^2$ ,  $x = q$  in Ramanujan's  ${}_1\psi_1$  gives

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{q^k}{1 + q^{2k}} = \frac{1}{2} {}_1\psi_1(-1, -q^2; q^2; q) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(-q, -q, q^2, q^2; q^2)_{\infty}}{(q, q, -q^2, -q^2; q^2)_{\infty}} = \frac{1}{2} F(q) \frac{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^2}{(-q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^2}.$$

We take the odd terms in the sum

$$\sum_{k=-\infty, \text{odd}}^{\infty} \frac{q^k}{1 + q^{2k}} = \frac{1}{4} (F(q) - F(-q)) \frac{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^2}{(-q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^2}$$

and the even terms in the sum

$$\sum_{k=-\infty, \text{even}}^{\infty} \frac{q^k}{1+q^{2k}} = \frac{1}{4} (F(q) + F(-q)) \frac{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^2}{(-q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^2}.$$

However

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=-\infty, \text{odd}}^{\infty} \frac{q^k}{1+q^{2k}} &= q \frac{1}{1+q^2} {}_1\psi_1(-q^2, -q^6; q^4; q^2) \\ &= q \frac{1}{1+q^2} \frac{(-q^4, -1, q^4, q^4; q^4)_{\infty}}{(q^2, q^2, -q^6, -q^2; q^4)_{\infty}} = 2q \frac{(-q^4, -q^4, q^4, q^4; q^4)_{\infty}}{(q^2, q^2, -q^2, -q^2; q^4)_{\infty}}, \\ \sum_{k=-\infty, \text{even}}^{\infty} \frac{q^k}{1+q^{2k}} &= \frac{1}{2} {}_1\psi_1(-1, -q^4; q^4; q^2) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(-q^2, -q^2, q^4, q^4; q^4)_{\infty}}{(q^2, q^2, -q^4, -q^4; q^4)_{\infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} (F(q) - F(-q)) &= 2q \frac{(-q^4, -q^4, q^4, q^4; q^4)_{\infty}}{(q^2, q^2, -q^2, -q^2; q^4)_{\infty}} \frac{(-q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^2}{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^2} = 2q \frac{(-q^4; q^4)_{\infty}^2}{(q^2; q^4)_{\infty}^2} \frac{(-q^4; q^4)_{\infty}^2}{(q^2; q^4)_{\infty}^2} \\ &= 2q \frac{(-q^4; q^4)_{\infty}^4}{(q^2; q^4)_{\infty}^4} = 2q (-q^4; q^4)_{\infty}^4 (-q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^4 \\ \frac{1}{4} (F(q) + F(-q)) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{(-q^2, -q^2, q^4, q^4; q^4)_{\infty}}{(q^2, q^2, -q^4, -q^4; q^4)_{\infty}} \frac{(-q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^2}{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (-q^2; q^4)_{\infty}^4 (-q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^4. \end{aligned}$$

## REFERENCES

- [AM] S. Ariki and A. Mathas, The number of simple modules of the Hecke algebras of type  $G(r, 1, n)$ . *Math. Z.* **233** (2000), no. 3, 601–623.
- [BT] B. Baumeister and G. Thomas, Simple dual braids, noncrossing partitions and Mikado braids of type  $D_n$ . arXiv:1703.09599.
- [BBD] A. A. Belinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne, Faisceaux pervers, in *Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I (Luminy, 1981)*, 5–171, Astérisque, 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris.
- [BZ] L. Barchini and R. Zierau, Components of Springer fibers associated to closed orbits for the symmetric pairs  $(Sp(2n), GL(n))$  and  $(O(n), O(p) \times O(q))$  I. *J. Algebra* **345** (2011), 109–136.
- [CVX] T.H. Chen, K. Vilonen and T. Xue, Springer correspondence for the split symmetric pair in type A. arxiv: 1608.06034.
- [CM] D. H. Collingwood and W. M. McGovern, *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Mathematics Series, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York(1993).
- [DJ] R. Dipper and G. James, Representations of Hecke algebras of type  $B_n$ . *J. Algebra* **146** (1992), no. 2, 454–481.
- [G] M. Geck, On the representation theory of Iwahori-Hecke algebras of extended finite Weyl groups, *Represent. Theory* **4** (2000) 370–397.
- [GM] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson. *Stratified Morse theory*. Springer, 1988.
- [G1] M. Grinberg, On the specialization to the asymptotic cone, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **10** (2001), no. 1, 1–17.
- [G2] M. Grinberg, A generalization of Springer theory using nearby cycles, *Represent. Theory* **2** (1998), 410–431 (electronic).

- [G3] M. Grinberg, Morse groups in symmetric spaces corresponding to the symmetric group, *Sel. math., New ser.* **5** (1999), 303–323.
- [GVX] M. Grinberg, K. Vilonen and T. Xue, Nearby cycle sheaves for symmetric pairs, arxiv 1805.02794.
- [H] A. Henderson, Fourier transform, parabolic induction, and nilpotent orbits. *Transform. Groups* **6** (2001), no. 4, 353–370.
- [J] J.C. Jantzen, Nilpotent orbits in representation theory. *Lie theory*, 1–211, *Progr. Math.*, 228, 2004.
- [KS] M. Kashiwara; P. Schapira. *Sheaves on manifolds*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 292. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [K] A. W. Knap, *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, second edition, *Progress in Mathematics*, 140, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2002.
- [KR] B. Kostant; S. Rallis, Orbits and representations associated with symmetric spaces. *Amer. J. Math.* **93** (1971), 753–809.
- [L1] G. Lusztig, Intersection cohomology complexes on a reductive group. *Invent. Math.* **75** (1984), no. 2, 205–272.
- [L2] G. Lusztig, Study of anti-orbital complexes. *Representation theory and mathematical physics*, 259–287, *Contemp. Math.*, 557, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.
- [L3] G. Lusztig, From groups to symmetric spaces. *Representation theory and mathematical physics*, 245–258, *Contemp. Math.*, 557, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.
- [LY] G. Lusztig and Z. Yun,  $\mathbb{Z}/m$ -graded Lie algebras and perverse sheaves, I. *Represent. Theory* **21** (2017), 277–321.
- [P] V. L. Popov, Self-dual algebraic varieties and nilpotent orbits, in *Algebra, arithmetic and geometry, Part I, II (Mumbai, 2000)*, 509–533, *Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math.*, 16, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay.
- [SS] T.A. Springer and R. Steinberg, Conjugacy classes. 1970 *Seminar on Algebraic Groups and Related Finite Groups* pp. 167–266. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 131.
- [T1] P. E. Trapa, Richardson orbits for real classical groups, *J. Algebra* **286** (2005) no. 2, 361–385.
- [T2] P.E. Trapa, Leading-term cycles of Harish-Chandra modules and partial orders on components of the Springer fiber. *Compos. Math.* **143** (2007), no. 2, 515–540.
- [V] D. A. Vogan, Jr., Irreducible characters of semisimple Lie groups. IV. Character-multiplicity duality, *Duke Math. J.* **49** (1982), no. 4, 943–1073.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, VIC 3010, AUSTRALIA,  
AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, HELSINKI, 00014,  
FINLAND

*Email address:* kari.vilonen@unimelb.edu.au

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, VIC 3010, AUSTRALIA,  
AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, HELSINKI, 00014,  
FINLAND

*Email address:* ting.xue@unimelb.edu.au