Comments on cranks and the rank D. Stanton, Univ. of Minnesota thanks to Frank Garvan Analytic and Combinatorial Number Theory: The Legacy of Ramanujan in honor of Bruce Berndt Urbana June 6, 2019

Romannijan congruences for
$$p(n)$$

 $p(5n+4) \equiv 0 \mod 5$
 $p(7n+5) \equiv 0 \mod 7$
 $p(11n+6) \equiv 0 \mod 11$
combinatorial proofs splitting

?

Dyson's rank (1944)
rank(
$$\lambda$$
) = $\lambda_1 - \lambda'_1$
rank(μ) = $\lambda_1 - \lambda'_1$
rank(μ) = $4 - 3 > 1$
Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer
proved it works for
5,7 (1954)
And rews-Garvan crank
(June 6, 1987)
AG crank(λ) =
 $\lambda_1 \quad \lambda \text{ has no } 1's$
 $\mu(\lambda) - (\#1^s_{1n}\lambda) \quad \lambda \text{ has}$
 $\mu(\lambda) = \# \text{ parts of } \lambda \quad \text{which}$
are greater than $\#(1^s_{1n}\lambda)$
works for 5,7,11

5-core crank (Garvan-Kim-S. 1990)
Uses the 5-residue diagram of
$$\lambda$$

 $\lambda = 5421$
 $r_0=2$ $r_1=2$ $r_2=3$ $r_3=2$ $r_4=3$
 $5-core \operatorname{crank}(\lambda) = r_1+2r_2-2r_3-r_4$
works for 5,7,11 (7-core, (1-core))

$$rank_{n}(z) = \sum_{\substack{\substack{rank(\lambda)\\ n}}} rank_{n}(z) = \sum_{\substack{\substack{\substack{renk(\lambda)\\ n}\\ n}}} \frac{AGCMANK(\lambda)}{2}$$

$$\frac{AGCMANK(\lambda)}{2} = \sum_{\substack{\substack{\substack{renk(\lambda)\\ n}\\ n}}} \frac{AGCMANK(\lambda)}{2}$$

$$\frac{AGCMANK(\lambda)}{2} = \sum_{\substack{\substack{\substack{renk(\lambda)\\ n}\\ n}}} \frac{AGCMANK(\lambda)}{2}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 5 \operatorname{core \operatorname{crank}}_{Snt4} [z] y^{n+1} =$$

$$\frac{1}{(g_i g)_{\infty}^{5}} \qquad \sum_{\overline{a} \cdot \overline{T} = 1}^{(g_i(\overline{a}))} z^{\frac{4}{2}iq_i}_{i=0} (q_i - \overline{z})^{(g_i(\overline{a}))}_{z} z^{i=0}_{i=0} (q_i - \overline{z})^{(g_i(\overline{a}))}_{z} (q_i - \overline{z})^{(g_i(\overline{a}))}_{i=0} (q_i - \overline{z})^{(g_i($$

? Questions? Combinatorial reductions?
rank
$$= (1+2+2+2+2+2) \times (positive)$$

rank $= (1+2+2+2+2+2) \times (polynomial)$
rank $= (1+2+2+2+2+2) \times (\frac{1-2+2}{2})$
NO

DEFN The modified rank, Mrank,

$$Mrank_n(z) = rank_n(z) + (z^2 - z^2 + z^2 - z^2)$$

$$\lambda = n \qquad n - 1 \longrightarrow n - 2 - n$$

$$\lambda = 1^{n} \qquad 1 - n \longrightarrow 2 - n$$

CONJ Mrank
$$sm4^{(2)}$$
 is a positive cannent
 $1+2+2^2+2^2+2^4$ polynomial
Mrank $mec(2)$ also.

Frank Garvan has verified these for $5n+4 \leq 1000$. $7n+5 \leq 1000$.

Cranks—really, the final problem

Bruce C. Berndt · Heng Huat Chan · Song Heng Chan · Wen-Chin Liaw

Dedicated to our friend George Andrews on his 70th birthday

Received: 29 August 2007 / Accepted: 5 September 2008 / Published online: 1 October 2009 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2009

Abstract A survey of Ramanujan's work on cranks in his lost notebook is given. We give evidence that Ramanujan was concentrating on cranks when he died, that is to say, the final problem on which Ramanujan worked was *cranks—not mock theta functions*.

Keywords Crank · Partitions · Theta functions · Ramanujan's lost notebook · Rank

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) Primary: 11P82 · Secondary: 11P83

H.H. Chan's research is partially supported by National University of Singapore Academic Research Fund, Project Number R146000027112.

B.C. Berndt (🖂)

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, 1409 West Green Street, Urbana, IL 61801, USA e-mail: berndt@illinois.edu

H.H. Chan Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore, 2 Science Drive 2, Singapore 117543, Republic of Singapore e-mail: matchh@nus.edu.sg

S.H. Chan Division of Mathematical Sciences, School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, 21 Nanyang Link, Singapore 637371, Republic of Singapore e-mail: Chansh@ntu.edu.sg

W.-C. Liaw Department of Mathematics, National Chung Cheng University, Min-Hsiung, Chia-Yi 62145, Taiwan, Republic of China e-mail: wcliaw@math.ccu.edu.tw

🖄 Springer

B.C. Berndt's research is partially supported by grant H98230-07-1-0088. from the National Security Agency.

W.-C. Liaw's research is partially supported by grant NSC95-2115-M-194-012 from the National Science Council of the Republic of China.

At first glance, there does not appear to be any reasoning behind the choice of subscripts; note that there is no subscript for the second value. However, observe that in each case if we set a = 1, then the subscript *n* is equal to the right-hand side. The reason ρ does not have a subscript is that the value of *n* in this case would be 3 - 2 = 1, which has been reserved for the first factor. In the table below, we record the content of page 181.

p(1) = 1,	$\lambda_1 = \rho_1,$
p(2) = 2,	$\lambda_2 = \rho_2,$
p(3) = 3,	$\lambda_3 = \rho_3,$
p(4) = 5,	$\lambda_4 = \rho_5,$
p(5) = 7,	$\lambda_5 = \rho_7 \rho ,$
p(6) = 11,	$\lambda_6 = \rho_1 \rho_{11},$
p(7) = 15,	$\lambda_7 = \rho_3 \rho_5,$
p(8) = 22,	$\lambda_8 = \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_{11},$
p(9) = 30,	$\lambda_9 = \rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_5,$
p(10) = 42,	$\lambda_{10} = \rho \rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_7,$
p(11) = 56,	$\lambda_{11} = \rho_4 \rho_7 (a_5 - a_4 + a_2),$
p(12) = 77,	$\lambda_{12} = \rho_7 \rho_{11} (a_4 - 2a_3 + 2a_2 - a_1 + 1),$
p(13) = 101,	$\lambda_{13} = \rho \rho_1 \left(a_{10} + 2a_9 + 2a_8 + 2a_7 + 3a_6 \right)$
	$+4a_5+6a_4+8a_3+9a_2+9a_1+9),$
p(14) = 135,	$\lambda_{14} = \rho_5 \rho_9 (a_5 - a_3 + a_1 + 1),$
p(15) = 176,	$\lambda_{15} = \rho_4 \rho_{11} (a_7 - a_6 + a_4 + a_1),$
p(16) = 231,	$\lambda_{16} = \rho_3 \rho_7 \rho_{11} (a_5 - 2a_4 + 2a_3 - 2a_2 + 3a_1 - 3),$
p(17) = 297,	$\lambda_{17} = \rho_9 \rho_{11} (a_7 - a_6 + a_3 + a_1 - 1),$
p(18) = 385,	$\lambda_{18} = \rho_5 \rho_7 \rho_{11} (a_6 - 2a_5 + a_4 + a_3 - a_2 + 1),$
p(19) = 490,	$\lambda_{19} = \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_5 \rho_7 (a_9 - a_7 + a_4 + 2a_3 + a_2 - 1),$
p(20) = 627,	$\lambda_{20} = \rho \rho_3 \rho_{11} (a_{10} + a_6 + a_4 + a_3 + 2a_2 + 2a_1 + 3),$
p(21) = 792,	$\lambda_{21} = \rho \rho_3 \rho_4 \rho_{11} (a_8 - a_6 + a_4 + a_1 + 2).$

These factors lead to the rapid calculation of values for p(n). For example, since $\lambda_{10} = \rho \rho_2 \rho_3 \rho_7$, then $p(10) = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 = 42$.

Ramanujan evidently was searching for some general principles or theorems on the factorization of λ_n so that he could not only compute p(n) but make deductions about the divisibility of p(n). No theorems are stated by Ramanujan. Is it possible to determine that certain factors appear in some precisely described infinite family of

Deringer

Ramanujan factors for Pq (95-93+9,+1) LAST TWO FACTORS = +3+22+22+22+22+22+22+2+2+2+2+2

CONJ AGCrank
$$s_{n+4}(z)$$
 is a positive
 $(z^{4}+z^{2}+1+z^{2}+z^{4})$ Lawrent polynomial
Frank verified this for
 $5n+4 \leq 1000$.

$$DEFN The modified MAG crank {}^{(n)}(z)$$

$$= AG crank {}_{n}(z) + (z^{n-a} - z^{n} + z^{-n} - \bar{z}^{n})$$

$$CONJ The following are non-negative Laurent pdys$$

$$MAG_{5n+4}^{(5)}(z) \qquad MAG_{7n+5}^{(2)}(z) \qquad MAG_{11n+6}^{(1)}(z)$$

$$I + z + \bar{z}^{2} + \bar{z}^{2} + \bar{z}^{4} + \bar{z}^{4} \qquad (1 + \bar{z} + ... + \bar{z}^{4})$$

Frank checked this for ther \$1000.

CONJ The Following are non-negative polynomials

$$5 \text{ core crank } 5 \text{ (2)}$$

 $1 + 2 + 2^{2} + 2^{4}$



Thank you, Bruce!