

# Energy and Time Histograms: Fluxes determination in calorimeters

Khalid Hassoun\*<sup>1b</sup>

Vincent Boudry†<sup>1b</sup>

March 7, 2024

## Abstract

In high-granularity calorimetry, as proposed for detectors at future Higgs factories, the requirements on electronics can have a strong impact on the design of the detector, especially via the cooling and acquisition systems. This project aims to establish the typical fluxes in the calorimeters: deposited energy, number of cells above the electronics threshold, additional heat, and associated data, etc. Here, a software package is presented which outputs histograms for different selections of the calorimeter components from fully simulated physics and background events. The ILD calorimeter system is taken as a specific example, upon which different histograms are obtained for representative parts of the calorimeter and for various machine configurations. Examples of histograms are shown, along with all details of the data used and the simulation.

## 1 Introduction

One of the ways to improve the performance of the detectors for future Higgs, Electroweak or top factories (such as the ILC, CLIC, CEPC and FCC-ee) is to make use of the Particle Flow Algorithms [1]; this is the approach adopted for e.g. the SiD [2], ILD [3], CLICdet [4], CEPC baseline [5] and CLD [6] detector concepts. The PFA performance relies on imaging calorimeters, able to distinguish the individual contributions from neutral and charged particle showers, associated with a precision tracker system. The required granularity is typically below the centimetre scale for the ECAL, and slightly above for the HCAL [7, 5], resulting in 10 to 100 million channels for each of them.

The original designs, SiD and ILD, have been made for the ILC running conditions, with center-of-mass (CM) energy of 250 to 1000 GeV, and bunch rate of 5 Hz. Since then, other colliders have been proposed with widely different running conditions: CLIC can reach a much higher CM energy (3 TeV) and repeating rates. FCC-ee and CEPC would have continuous operation with huge luminosity for the Z-boson peak at a CM energy of 91.2 GeV.

Given the need to potentially adapt the calorimetric system to various conditions, estimating the static and dynamic behaviour of particle energy deposition became necessary. The former can be represented by the energy distribution along with its spatial derivatives (radius, azimuthal angle), and the latter can be represented by the time distribution along with its derivatives. These distributions will serve as a guide to the power needed from the electronics to optimize the electronics and prevent them from overshooting the target, thereby ensuring they do not adversely affect the calorimeter's physics.

This paper presents a software package <sup>1</sup> that enables obtaining the desired distributions for any chosen part of the calorimeter from a full simulation of the main expected physics processes. We apply it here first on the simulated ILD calorimeter, which is a very suitable choice because the ILD calorimeter is divided into logical coordinates, which facilitates the selection of various parts of the

---

\*University of Hawaii at Manoa

†Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet (LLR), CNRS, École polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 91120 Palaiseau, France.

<sup>1</sup>It can be found here: <https://github.com/LLR-ILD/CalorimeterFluxes>

# Coherent feedback for quantum expander in gravitational wave observatories

Niels Böttner, Joe Bentley, Roman Schnabel, and Mikhail Korobko\*  
*Institut für Quantenphysik und Zentrum für Optische Quantentechnologien ,  
Universität Hamburg, Luruper Chaussee 149, 22761 Hamburg, Germany*  
(Dated: March 7, 2024)

The observation of gravitational waves from binary neutron star mergers offers insights into properties of extreme nuclear matter. However, their high-frequency signals in the kHz range are often masked by quantum noise of the laser light used. Here, we propose the “quantum expander with coherent feedback”, a new detector design that features an additional optical cavity in the detector output and an internal squeeze operation. This approach allows to boost the sensitivity at high frequencies, at the same time providing a compact and tunable design for signal extraction. It allows to tailor the sensitivity of the detector to the specific signal frequency range. We demonstrate that our design allows to improve the sensitivity of the high-frequency detector concept NEMO (neutron star extreme matter observatory), increasing the detection rates by around 15%. Our approach promises new level of flexibility in designing the detectors aiming at high-frequency signals.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Since the first detection of gravitational waves (GWs) from a binary black hole merger in 2015 [1], the field of gravitational-wave observation has entered a new phase where events are detected every few days [2–5]. The anticipated new generation of GW detectors, such as Einstein Telescope [6] and Cosmic Explorer [7], will be so sensitive, that they are predicted to sense multiple events per minute. These detectors, like the current generation [8–10], focus on detecting signals at frequencies below 1 kHz. At frequencies above, the detectors are not sensitive enough to observe the post-merger effects that produce signals in the several kHz frequency range. This information, however, is crucial for understanding the fundamental properties of the ultra-dense matter in neutron stars [11–13] or possible deviations from general relativity [14, 15].

The sensitivity of detectors at high frequencies is limited by the optical bandwidth of cavities used to enhance the light and signal powers. Modern detectors feature arm cavities, as well as additional cavities to enhance the light power (power recycling cavity, PRC) and increase the detection bandwidth (signal extraction cavity, SEC). The signal is enhanced by cavities within the detector bandwidth up to  $\sim 500$  Hz, but suppressed at high frequencies above  $\sim 1$  kHz (HF). In order to further increase the sensitivity, current detectors employ frequency-dependent quantum squeezed light [16] suppressing quantum noise in a broad frequency band [17]. This approach however does not increase the detection bandwidth [18]. One way to overcome this limitation is to design a detector specifically targeting HF range by creating an additional optical resonance at kHz frequencies. This can be achieved by three different ways: by detuning the detector from its resonance [19, 20], by increasing the length of the SEC, as planned for the proposed

neutron star extreme matter observatory (NEMO) [21], and by adding a second long SEC in a detuned detector [22–24]. With the HF resonance, the energy exchange between the SEC and arm cavities occurs at the characteristic frequency of a few kHz (called the sloshing frequency [25]), and the signal at these frequencies is resonantly enhanced. Long SEC promises high sensitivity at HF, but also comes at a price of increased complexity and costs of the experiment. At the same time, it restricts the peak operation range to 1-3 kHz signals, limiting the sensitivity towards lower frequency signals.

Several alternative approaches appeared in the recent years, which enhance the detection bandwidth by employing active elements directly inside the detector. This can be achieved in a variety of ways [26–31], and we focus on the internal squeezing approach, where quantum correlated light is produced directly inside the detector, either in a degenerate [32–36] or non-degenerate way [37]. In order to enhance the detection bandwidth, internal squeezing should be combined with the use of HF sloshing resonance, creating a quantum expander (QE) configuration [38]. It can be effectively used both with short and long SEC [39], but in the latter case suffers from the challenges of long SEC.

In this work, we increase the flexibility of the long SEC when used with QE using coherent feedback approach [40–43]. Coherent feedback allows to modify quantum state of the detector without using any additional measurements. In our proposal, is realized via an additional short optical cavity at the output of the detector. The combined Quantum Expander with coherent feedback (QECF), shown in Fig. 1 keeps the expanded detection bandwidth feature of the QE but provides better flexibility in choosing the frequency at which the sensitivity is maximally enhanced. Importantly, it significantly decreases the required SEC length by optimizing the resonance condition in the two cavities. We demonstrate how our approach allows to tailor the sensitivity of the detector to a specific signal frequency range. We compare our approach to the NEMO configuration and show the potential amplitude sensitivity gain of  $\sim 15\%$

---

\* mkorobko@physnet.uni-hamburg.de

# Thermally Stable Peltier Controlled Vacuum Chamber for Electrical Transport Measurements

S. F. Poole,<sup>1</sup> O. J. Amin,<sup>1</sup> A. Solomon,<sup>1</sup> L. X. Barton,<sup>1</sup> R. P. Champion,<sup>1</sup> K. W. Edmonds,<sup>1</sup> and P. Wadley<sup>1</sup>  
*School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, United Kingdom*

(\*Electronic mail: Peter.Wadley@nottingham.ac.uk)

(Dated: 7 March 2024)

The design, manufacture and characterisation of an inexpensive, temperature controlled vacuum chamber with millikelvin stability for electrical transport measurements at and near room temperature is reported. A commercially available Peltier device and high-precision temperature controller are used to actively heat and cool the sample space. The system was designed to minimise thermal fluctuations in spintronic and semiconductor transport measurements but the general principle is relevant to a wide range of electrical measurement applications. The main issues overcome are the mounting of a sample with a path of high thermal conductivity through to the Peltier device and the heat-sinking of said Peltier device inside of a vacuum. A copper slug is used as the mount for a sample and a large copper block is used as a thermal feedthrough before a passive heatsink is used to cool this block. The Peltier device provides 20 W of heating and cooling power achieving a maximum range of 30 K below and 40 K above the ambient temperature. The temperature stability is within 5 mK at all set points with even better performance above ambient temperature. A vacuum pressure of  $10^{-8}$  hPa is achievable. As a demonstration, we present experimental results from current-induced electrical switching of a CuMnAs thin film. Transport measurements with and without the Peltier control emphasise the importance of a constant temperature in these applications. The thermal lag between the sample space measurement and the sample itself is observed through magnetoresistance values measured during a temperature sweep.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Electrical transport measurements are ubiquitous in materials research, such as in the study of electronic, thermoelectric and spintronic devices<sup>1-6</sup>. In most cases, these devices are used at or near to ambient conditions, with the device encased in a protective case, such as under potting compound. The characterisation measurements performed in the pursuit of such devices, however, are typically performed in atmosphere, lacking effective temperature control, or in cryostats which often do not perform well near to room temperature.

Here, the design, manufacture and characterisation of an inexpensive, stable temperature controlled vacuum chamber for electrical transport measurements at and near room temperature are reported. This system uses a Peltier device (also known as a thermo-electric heat pump, thermo-electric generator, or thermo-electric cooler) to control the sample temperature and has a short thermal path between the sample and a thermal reservoir to aid in the removal of waste heat from the sample.

A Peltier device is a bi-directional heat pump capable of high precision temperature control within a  $\sim 50$  K range relative to room temperature. They are therefore a popular solution for temperature control near ambient temperature in many non-vacuum applications<sup>7</sup>. A Peltier device can rapidly switch between heating and cooling to achieve stable and high precision temperature control. Only a few other systems have been developed which utilise Peltier devices to control temperature inside vacuum chambers, for example for x-ray<sup>8</sup> and neutron scattering<sup>9</sup>, and material processing applications<sup>10</sup>. In Ref. 11, Raihane *et al.* report on a system in which a Peltier device, mounted outside a vacuum chamber, is used to control the temperature for measurements of the glass transition tem-

perature in thin film polymers. Their design is effective for their specific requirements, however for transport measurement applications, several features could be optimised.

In Ref. 11, the vacuum chamber is sealed with copper gaskets making swapping samples expensive and difficult; the sample cell is separately sealed introducing more complexity; and the number of electrical connections to the sample is fewer than required for more general transport measurements. Our aim was to create a system that is more generally applicable to condensed matter research and to also include the following performance criteria: pressure  $< 10^{-6}$  hPa, stability within  $\pm 10^{-2}$  K and range of  $-5-50$  °C. The sample should have up to 12 electrical connections and there must be a good thermal path between the Peltier device and the sample. Additionally, the Peltier power wires should be electrically shielded from the measurement wires to avoid interference.

The resulting design, photographed in Fig. 1, utilises a large mass of high purity copper as a thermal feedthrough, to which the Peltier device and sample space is attached. This copper is then cooled external to the vacuum using a conventional passive method. The system has since become a staple in our research and so we hope to share our insights with the reader now. In the following, the key design features are explained with some important compromises being highlighted before the performance in terms of vacuum and temperature control are reported. To demonstrate a typical application and highlight the significance of stable temperature control, we present as an example some current-induced switching measurements of an antiferromagnetic CuMnAs thin film. Finally some suggestions and possible improvements are provided for others wishing to produce a similar system who may have slightly different requirements or expectations.

# Characterization of the low electric field and zero-temperature two-level-system loss in hydrogenated amorphous silicon

Fabien Defrance\* and Andrew D. Beyer  
*Jet Propulsion Laboratory,  
California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA, 91109*

Shibo Shu, Jack Sayers, and Sunil R. Golwala  
*California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA, 91125*  
(Dated: December 1, 2023)

Two-level systems (TLS) are an important, if not dominant, source of loss and noise for superconducting resonators such as those used in kinetic inductance detectors and some quantum information science platforms. They are similarly important for loss in photolithographically fabricated superconducting mm-wave/THz transmission lines. For both lumped-element and transmission-line structures, native amorphous surface oxide films are typically the sites of such TLS in non-microstripline geometries, while loss in the (usually amorphous) dielectric film itself usually dominates in microstriplines. We report here on the demonstration of low TLS loss at GHz frequencies in hydrogenated amorphous silicon (a-Si:H) films deposited by plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition in superconducting lumped-element resonators using parallel-plate capacitors (PPCs). The values we obtain from two recipes in different deposition machines,  $7 \times 10^{-6}$  and  $12 \times 10^{-6}$ , improve on the best achieved in the literature by a factor of 2–4 for a-Si:H and are comparable to recent measurements of amorphous germanium. Moreover, we have taken care to extract the true zero-temperature, low-field loss tangent of these films, accounting for temperature and field saturation effects that can yield misleading results. Such robustly fabricated and characterized films render the use of PPCs with deposited amorphous films a viable architecture for superconducting resonators, and they also promise extremely low loss and high quality factor for photolithographically fabricated superconducting mm-wave/THz transmission lines used in planar antennas and resonant filters.

©2023. All rights reserved.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The standard tunneling model (STM) is the reference model used to describe the properties of amorphous dielectrics at low temperatures (below a few Kelvins) [1, 2]. According to this model, amorphous dielectrics have defect states with two physical configurations (*e.g.*, locations of an atom or groups of atoms) with different energies, forming a quantum two-state (“two-level”) system with inter-state tunneling [1, 3]. When the two-level systems (TLSs) present in amorphous dielectrics have an electric dipole moment, they can couple to an oscillating electric field present in the dielectric (in superconductive resonators used in qubits or kinetic inductance detectors, for example) and convert some of the energy stored in the electric field into phonon emission, resulting in dielectric loss and noise. In superconducting qubits, dielectric loss is a major source of decoherence, as first inferred by Martinis et al. [4]. In kinetic inductance detectors, dielectric loss adds noise and can degrade responsivity and multiplexability [5, 6], especially in parallel-plate capacitor (PPC) geometries that are desirable in some applications. In superconducting transmission line used in photolithographically fabricated planar antennas and resonant bandpass filters at mm-wave/THz frequencies, dielectric loss determines attenuation length [7] and limits spectral resolution [8]. Therefore, low-loss dielectrics are highly desirable for diverse low-temperature applications.

Crystalline dielectrics have very low dielectric loss because of their low density of defects [9], but incorporation of crystalline dielectrics into elements like PPCs or superconducting microstripline transmission lines requires complex fabrication techniques [10, 11]. When simpler techniques are used to fabricate the single-layer equivalents (transmission line resonators using coplanar waveguide (CPW), lumped element resonators using interdigitated capacitors (IDCs), superconducting CPW transmission line), they exhibit TLS loss due to the formation of oxide at the exposed surfaces of the superconducting material and substrate [12–14]. Choosing superconducting materials having a weak reactivity with oxygen, and thus producing a very thin oxide layer, yields interesting results with materials such as rhenium or nitrides (TiN, NbN, etc.) [13–15]. However, this solution limits designs to use of a few superconductive materials.

By contrast, multi-layer structures incorporating an easily deposited, low-loss, amorphous dielectric would have the benefit of confining the electric field inside the dielectric, drastically limiting the participation of surface oxides that enhance the loss of CPW and IDC structures on crystalline dielectric. Such multi-layer structures would enable significant progress in a wide range of low-temperature applications. KID development would benefit from the option of replacing large IDCs limited by poorly controllable surface-oxide loss with order-of-magnitude smaller PPCs limited by well-controlled bulk dielectric film loss. Microstripline KID designs would become less challenging to implement (*cf.* [16]). Low-loss microstripline would also enable more sophisticated planar

\* fabien.m.defrance@jpl.nasa.gov

# Pulse shape discrimination in an organic scintillation phoswich detector using machine learning techniques

Yujin Lee, Jinyoung Kim, Byoung-cheol Koh, and Chang Hyon Ha

*Department of Physics, Chung-Ang University, Seoul 06974, Republic of Korea*

Young Soo Yoon

*Korea Research Institute of Standards and Science, Daejeon 34113, Republic of Korea*

## Abstract

We developed machine learning algorithms for distinguishing scintillation signals from a plastic-liquid coupled detector known as a phoswich. The challenge lies in discriminating signals from organic scintillators with similar shapes and short decay times. Using a single-readout phoswich detector, we successfully identified  $\gamma$  radiation signals from two scintillating components. Our Boosted Decision Tree algorithm demonstrated a maximum discrimination power of  $3.02 \pm 0.85$  standard deviation in the 950 keV region, providing an efficient solution for self-shielding and enhancing radiation detection capabilities.

Keywords:

# Complete NLO corrections to top-quark pair production with isolated photons

---

Daniel Stremmer and Malgorzata Worek

*Institute for Theoretical Particle Physics and Cosmology, RWTH Aachen University,  
D-52056 Aachen, Germany*

*E-mail:* [daniel.stremmer@rwth-aachen.de](mailto:daniel.stremmer@rwth-aachen.de), [worek@physik.rwth-aachen.de](mailto:worek@physik.rwth-aachen.de)

**ABSTRACT:** We compute for the first time the so-called complete NLO corrections to top-quark pair production with one and two isolated photons in the di-lepton top-quark decay channel. The Narrow Width Approximation is used for the modeling of unstable top quarks and  $W$  bosons. Higher-order QCD and EW effects as well as photon bremsstrahlung are consistently included at all stages: in production and top-quark decays. We present results at the integrated and differential fiducial cross-section level for both processes for the LHC Run II center-of-mass energy of  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV. In addition, we investigate the scale choice in photonic observables. Finally, the individual size of each subleading contribution is discussed in detail and the origin of the main subleading corrections is scrutinised. For the latter case, alternative calculations are performed in which the subleading NLO corrections are included only in the production of  $t\bar{t}\gamma$  and  $t\bar{t}\gamma\gamma$ .

**KEYWORDS:** Higher-Order Perturbative Calculations, Top Quark, Specific QCD Phenomenology

TTK-24-06, P3H-24-005

# An assessment of $\Upsilon$ -states above $B\bar{B}$ -threshold using a constituent-quark-model based meson-meson coupled-channels framework

P. G. Ortega,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> D. R. Entem,<sup>1,2,†</sup> F. Fernández,<sup>2,‡</sup> and J. Segovia<sup>3,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Departamento de Física Fundamental, Universidad de Salamanca, E-37008 Salamanca, Spain*

<sup>2</sup>*Instituto Universitario de Física Fundamental y Matemáticas (IUFFyM),  
Universidad de Salamanca, E-37008 Salamanca, Spain*

<sup>3</sup>*Departamento de Sistemas Físicos, Químicos y Naturales,  
Universidad Pablo de Olavide, E-41013 Sevilla, Spain*

(Dated: March 7, 2024)

The  $\Upsilon(10753)$  state has been recently observed by the Belle and Belle II collaborations with enough global significance to motivate an assessment of the high-energy spectrum usually predicted by any reasonable *naïve* quark model. In the framework of a constituent quark model which satisfactorily describes a wide range of properties of conventional hadrons containing heavy quarks, the quark-antiquark and meson-meson degrees of freedom have been incorporated with the goal of elucidating the influence of open-bottom meson-meson thresholds into the  $\Upsilon$  states whose masses are within the energy range of the  $\Upsilon(10753)$ 's mass. It is well known that such effects could be relevant enough as to generate dynamically new states and thus provide a plausible explanation of the nature of the  $\Upsilon(10753)$  state. In particular, we have performed a coupled-channels calculation in which the bare states  $\Upsilon(4S)$ ,  $\Upsilon(3D)$ ,  $\Upsilon(5S)$  and  $\Upsilon(4D)$  are considered together with the threshold channels  $B\bar{B}$ ,  $B\bar{B}^*$ ,  $B^*\bar{B}^*$ ,  $B_s\bar{B}_s$ ,  $B_s\bar{B}_s^*$  and  $B_s^*\bar{B}_s^*$ . Among the results we have described, the following conclusions are of particular interest: (i) a richer complex spectrum is gained when thresholds are present and bare bound states are sufficiently non-relativistic; (ii) those poles obtained in the complex energy plane do not have to appear as simple peaks in the relevant cross sections; and (iii) the  $\Upsilon(10750)$  candidate is interpreted as a dressed hadronic resonance whose structure is an equally mixture of a conventional  $b\bar{b}$  state and  $B^*\bar{B}^*$  molecule.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The so-called  $\Upsilon$ -family, also known as bottomonia, are bound states made of a  $b$ -quark and its antiquark,  $\bar{b}$ , with quantum numbers  $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$ . They were identified for the first time by the E288 Collaboration at Fermilab in 1977 while studying proton scattering on  $Cu$  and  $Pb$  targets in an energy regime of muon-antimuon invariant mass larger than 5 GeV [1, 2]. The three observed states were called  $\Upsilon(1S)$ ,  $\Upsilon(2S)$  and  $\Upsilon(3S)$ ; later, they were better studied at various  $e^+e^-$  storage rings and through their radiative decays into the  $\chi_{bJ}(2P)$  and  $\chi_{bJ}(1P)$  states, with  $J = 0, 1, 2$ , in a series of experiments in the 1980s [3–6]. Despite such early experimental efforts, during the next two decades there were no significant contributions to the spectrum of the  $\Upsilon$ -family, except the presumably radial excitations of  $S$ -wave nature  $\Upsilon(4S)$ ,  $\Upsilon(10860)$  and  $\Upsilon(11020)$  [7, 8]. This has been largely because the  $B$ -factories were not considered ideal facilities for the study of the bottomonium system since their beam energy was tuned to peak at the  $\Upsilon(4S)$  mass, 10579 MeV, which decays in almost 100% of cases to a  $B\bar{B}$  pair.

The situation has changed dramatically in the last twenty years with more than two dozens of unconven-

tional charmonium- and bottomonium-like states, the so-called XYZ mesons, observed at B-factories (BaBar, Belle and CLEO),  $\tau$ -charm facilities (CLEO-c and BESIII) and also proton-(anti-)proton colliders (CDF, D0, LHCb, ATLAS and CMS). For an extensive presentation of the status of heavy quarkonium physics, the reader is referred to several reviews [9–29].

Within the  $\Upsilon$ -family, the Belle collaboration [30] reported in 2019 a cross section measurement of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(nS)$ , with  $n = 1, 2, 3$ , at energies from 10.52 to 11.02 GeV, observing a new structure,  $\Upsilon(10753)$ , with Breit-Wigner parameters:

$$M = (10752.7 \pm 5.9^{+0.7}_{-1.1}) \text{ MeV}, \quad (1)$$

$$\Gamma = (35.5^{+17.6+3.9}_{-11.3-3.3}) \text{ MeV}, \quad (2)$$

where the first error is statistical and the second is systematic. The global significance of the new resonance was 5.2 standard deviations, including systematic uncertainty. Later on, the Belle II collaboration [31] reported in 2022 the first observation of  $\omega\chi_{bJ}(1P)$  ( $J = 0, 1, 2$ ) signals at  $\sqrt{s} = 10.745$  GeV. By combining Belle II data with Belle results at  $\sqrt{s} = 10.867$  GeV, they find energy dependencies of the Born cross sections for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\chi_{b1,b2}(1P)$  to be consistent with the shape of the  $\Upsilon(10753)$  resonance; this time, the Breit-Wigner parameters were

$$M = (10753 \pm 6) \text{ MeV}, \quad \Gamma = (36^{+18}_{-12}) \text{ MeV}, \quad (3)$$

and the suggested quantum numbers  $J^P = 1^-$ .

Note also that experimentalists have been able to distinguish the  $\Upsilon(1^3D_2)$  state of the triplet  $\Upsilon(1^3D_J)$ ,

\* pgortega@usal.es

† entem@usal.es

‡ fdz@usal.es

§ jsegovia@upo.es

# How these characterized higher charmonia shape the puzzling data of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$ cross section

Tian-Cai Peng,<sup>1,2,3,4,\*</sup> Zi-Yue Bai,<sup>1,2,3,4,†</sup> Jun-Zhang Wang,<sup>5,1,‡</sup> and Xiang Liu<sup>1,2,3,4,6,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Physical Science and Technology, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China*

<sup>2</sup>*Lanzhou Center for Theoretical Physics, Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics of Gansu Province, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China*

<sup>3</sup>*Key Laboratory of Quantum Theory and Applications of MoE, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China*

<sup>4</sup>*Research Center for Hadron and CSR Physics, Lanzhou University and Institute of Modern Physics of CAS, Lanzhou 730000, China*

<sup>5</sup>*School of Physics and Center of High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*

<sup>6</sup>*MoE Frontiers Science Center for Rare Isotopes, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China*

(Dated: March 7, 2024)

Recently, the BESIII Collaboration performed a precise measurement of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$  cross section. It is puzzling that the resonance parameters of the reported  $Y(4230)$  show a substantial divergence from the previously measured results in both the open-charmed and hidden-charmed decay channels, and the line shape asymmetry of the data approaching 4.2 GeV also suggests that it might be difficult to characterize the details of the structure around 4.2 GeV by a single resonance. This has motivated our great curiosity about how the charmonium states are distributed in the measured energy range and how they shape the puzzling data of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$  cross section. In this work, we use five theoretically constructed charmonia in the range of 4.0 ~ 4.5 GeV, i.e.  $\psi(4040)$ ,  $\psi(4160)$ ,  $\psi(4220)$ ,  $\psi(4380)$ , and  $\psi(4415)$ , to apply a combined fit to the data, in which their calculated decay ratios into  $\eta J/\psi$  via hadronic loop mechanism are taken as input. The fit results can reproduce the measured cross section data well, especially for the subtle line shape around 4.2 GeV, showing that the structure around 4.2 GeV is possible from the contribution of both  $\psi(4160)$  and  $\psi(4220)$ .

## I. INTRODUCTION

With the continuous accumulation of data, an increasing number of charmoniumlike  $XYZ$  states have been documented in various experimental endeavors, such as Belle, BESIII, LHCb, and CMS. This surge in reported states has invigorated the field of hadron spectroscopy, giving it a remarkable level of activity and importance (see review articles [1–9] for more details). This research landscape has led to significant advances in our construction of hadron spectroscopy. In particular, it has advanced our understanding of the intricate non-perturbative dynamics associated with the strong interactions, which is a central frontier of contemporary physics research.

Recently, the BESIII Collaboration made a remarkable discovery by measuring the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$  cross section over a center-of-mass energy range of  $\sqrt{s} = 3.808 - 4.951$  GeV [10]. This measurement was made by analyzing a data sample with an integrated luminosity of  $22.42 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  [10]. To depict this data, BESIII introduced three resonant structures, i.e., the charmonium state  $\psi(4040)$  and two charmoniumlike states, namely  $Y(4230)$  and  $Y(4360)$ . The resonance parameters of these introduced states were determined. For the  $Y(4230)$  state, the resonance mass was found to be  $m_{Y(4230)} = 4219.7 \pm 2.5 \pm 4.5$  MeV, and the corresponding width was measured to be  $\Gamma_{Y(4230)} = 80.7 \pm 4.4 \pm 1.4$  MeV. Similarly, the  $Y(4360)$  state showed a mass of  $m_{Y(4360)} = 4386 \pm 13 \pm 17$  MeV, accompanied by a width of  $\Gamma_{Y(4360)} = 177 \pm 32 \pm 13$  MeV [10]. It is worth noting that the observed width of the  $Y(4230)$  state,

as reported, exceeds those found in other hidden-charm and open-charm decay channels. These include processes such as  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$  [11, 12],  $\pi^0\pi^0 J/\psi$  [13],  $\psi(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$  [14],  $\pi^+\pi^-\psi(3686)$  [15],  $h_c\pi^+\pi^-$  [16],  $\chi_{c0}\omega$  [17],  $K^+K^- J/\psi$  [18], and  $D^0D^{*-}\pi^+$  [19]. This difference in width hints at some distinct nature of this reported  $Y(4230)$  structure in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$  [10].

As collected by the Particle Data Group (PDG) [20], the family of charmonium encompasses two well-established entities, namely  $\psi(4160)$  and  $\psi(4415)$ , in addition to  $\psi(4040)$ . However, upon meticulous examination of the experimental data reported by BESIII, a conspicuous absence is observed within the cross section distribution of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$ , pertaining specifically to the aforementioned charmonium states,  $\psi(4160)$  and  $\psi(4415)$ . Remarkably, the established charmonium  $\psi(4160)$  possess sizable decay fractions into  $\eta J/\psi$  channel according to the theoretical calculation [21]. Moreover, if carefully checking the BESIII's data, we may find an asymmetric line shape, associated with the resonance structure denoted as  $Y(4230)$ . Confronted by this puzzling phenomenon existing in the cross section data of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$  [10], a pressing need arises for the formulation of a cogent resolution to address this perplexing issue. We should mention the distinct characterized energy level structure of higher charmonia within the framework of the unquenched picture, a contribution credited to the diligent efforts of the Lanzhou group [22–24]. In the newly constructed  $J/\psi$  family, there are six vector charmonium states in the energy range of 4 ~ 4.5 GeV, i.e.,  $\psi(4040)$ ,  $\psi(4160)$ ,  $\psi(4220)$ ,  $\psi(4380)$ ,  $\psi(4415)$ , and  $\psi(4500)$  [22]. In this work, we reveal how these characterized higher charmonia shape the puzzling data of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$  cross section.

This paper is organized as follows. After the introduction, we briefly review the status of these higher charmonia in the range of 4.0 ~ 4.5 GeV, which are used to solve the puzzles

\*Electronic address: pengtc20@lzu.edu.cn

†Electronic address: baizy15@lzu.edu.cn

‡Electronic address: wangjzh2022@pku.edu.cn

§Electronic address: xiangliu@lzu.edu.cn

# Controlling volume fluctuations for studies of critical phenomena in nuclear collisions

Romain Holzmann,<sup>1,\*</sup> Volker Koch,<sup>2,3,†</sup> Anar Rustamov,<sup>1,‡</sup> and Joachim Stroth<sup>4,1,5,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung, 64291 Darmstadt, Germany*

<sup>2</sup>*Nuclear Science Division Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Berkeley, CA, 94720, USA*

<sup>3</sup>*ExtreMe Matter Institute EMMI, GSI, 64291 Darmstadt, Germany*

<sup>4</sup>*Institut für Kernphysik, Goethe-Universität, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany*

<sup>5</sup>*Helmholtz Research Academy Hesse for FAIR (HFHF),  
Campus Frankfurt , 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany*

We generalize and extend the recently proposed method [1] to account for contributions of system size (or volume/participant) fluctuations to the experimentally measured moments of particle multiplicity distributions. We find that in the general case there are additional biases which are not directly accessible to experiment. These biases are, however, parametrically suppressed if the multiplicity of the particles of interest is small compared to the total charged-particle multiplicity, e.g., in the case of proton number fluctuations at top RHIC and LHC energies. They are also small if the multiplicity distribution of charged particles per wounded nucleon is close to the Poissonian limit, which is the case at low energy nuclear collisions, e.g., at GSI/SIS18. We further find that mixed events are not necessarily needed to extract the correction for volume fluctuations, albeit it can help if event statistics is small, which is typically the case for reconstructing the higher-order cumulants. We provide the formulas to correct pure and mixed cumulants of particle multiplicity distributions up to any order together with their associated biases.

arXiv:2403.03598v1 [nucl-th] 6 Mar 2024

---

\* r.holzmann@gsi.de

† vkoch@lbl.gov

‡ a.rustamov@gsi.de

§ j.stroth@gsi.de

## Optical and spin properties of nitrogen vacancy centers formed along the tracks of high energy heavy ions

Wei Liu\*,<sup>1</sup> Aleksi A. M. Leino,<sup>2</sup> Arun Persaud,<sup>1</sup> Qing Ji,<sup>1</sup> Kaushalya Jhuria,<sup>1</sup> Edward S. Barnard,<sup>3</sup> Shaul Aloni,<sup>3</sup> Christina Trautmann,<sup>4,5</sup> Marilena Tomut,<sup>6,7</sup> Ralf Wunderlich,<sup>1,8</sup> Hunter Ocker,<sup>9</sup> Nishanth Anand,<sup>9</sup> Zhao Hao,<sup>9</sup> Flyura Djurabekova,<sup>2</sup> and Thomas Schenkel<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Accelerator Technology and Applied Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Helsinki Institute of Physics and Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, PO Box 43 (Pietari Kalmin katu 2), 00014, Helsingin yliopisto, Finland*

<sup>3</sup>*Molecular Foundry, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA*

<sup>4</sup>*GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung, Planckstraße 1, 64291 Darmstadt, Germany*

<sup>5</sup>*Technische Universität Darmstadt, Institute of Materials Science, Peter-Grünberg-Straße 2, 64287 Darmstadt, Germany*

<sup>6</sup>*GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Planckstraße 1, 64291 Darmstadt, Germany*

<sup>7</sup>*Institute of Materials Physics, WWU Münster, Wilhelm-Klemm-Straße 10, 48149 Münster, Germany*

<sup>8</sup>*Faculty of Physics and Earth System Sciences, Felix Bloch Institute for Solid State Physics, Applied Quantum Systems, Leipzig University, Linnéstraße 5, 04103 Leipzig, Germany*

<sup>9</sup>*Earth and Environmental Sciences, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA*

(\*Electronic mail: weiliu01@lbl.gov)

(Dated: 7 March 2024)

1

Exposure of nitrogen doped diamond to high energy, heavy ions induces formation of vacancy related color centers aligned along the trajectories of the ions. Quasi 1D chains of coupled NV centers with lengths of a few tens of microns can be building blocks for quantum information processing and they provide insights into harsh radiation-matter interactions. Here, we report on color center formation in diamond (1 ppm nitrogen) with 1 GeV gold and uranium ions. Using depth-resolved photoluminescence, we observe direct formation of single vacancy related color centers (GR1 centers) along the ion tracks. Mobile vacancies can form NV-centers with native nitrogen atoms during thermal annealing. Molecular dynamics simulations indicate that both isolated vacancies and defect clusters form along ion trajectory through electronic stopping processes, leading to broad color center profiles that range from the sample surface to a depth of about 25 microns. We quantify the spin properties of NV-centers formed by swift heavy ions through optical detection of magnetic resonance (ODMR) and validate the feasibility of using swift-heavy-ion-generated NV<sup>-</sup> along quasi 1D chains (for isolated tracks from low fluence irradiations) or in thin sheets of coupled 1D spin chains (formed with higher ion fluences) for NV-based magnetometry and for the exploration of quasi 1D and 2D spin textures in diamond.

2

# Scalable ATLAS pMSSM computational workflows using containerised REANA reusable analysis platform

Marco Donadoni<sup>1</sup>, Matthew Feickert<sup>2</sup>, Lukas Heinrich<sup>3</sup>, Yang Liu<sup>4</sup>, Audrius Mečionis<sup>1</sup>, Vladyslav Moisieienkov<sup>1</sup>, Tibor Šimko<sup>1,\*</sup>, Giordon Stark<sup>5</sup>, and Marco Vidal García<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup>University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, United States

<sup>3</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, München, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Sun Yat-Sen University, China

<sup>5</sup>SCIPP, UC Santa Cruz, United States

**Abstract.** In this paper we describe the development of a streamlined framework for large-scale ATLAS pMSSM reinterpretations of LHC Run-2 analyses using containerised computational workflows. The project is looking to assess the global coverage of BSM physics and requires running  $O(5k)$  computational workflows representing pMSSM model points. Following ATLAS Analysis Preservation policies, many analyses have been preserved as containerised Yadage workflows, and after validation were added to a curated selection for the pMSSM study. To run the workflows at scale, we utilised the REANA reusable analysis platform. We describe how the REANA platform was enhanced to ensure the best concurrent throughput by internal service scheduling changes. We discuss the scalability of the approach on Kubernetes clusters from 500 to 5000 cores. Finally, we demonstrate a possibility of using additional ad-hoc public cloud infrastructure resources by running the same workflows on the Google Cloud Platform.

## 1 Introduction

We have developed a streamlined framework for large-scale pMSSM reinterpretations of ATLAS analyses of LHC Run-2 using containerised computational workflows. The project is looking to assess the global coverage of BSM physics and requires running numerous computational workflows representing pMSSM model points. The framework builds upon the idea of RECAST-ing analyses [1] and takes into account the experiences with the previous ATLAS pMSSM reinterpretations from LHC Run-1 period [2].

Following the ATLAS analysis preservation policies, many ATLAS analyses have been preserved as containerised Yadage workflows. After validation they are added to a curated selection of analyses suitable for the pMSSM study. Figure 1 shows one such repository for the supersymmetry searches.

One typical pMSSM computational workflow is presented in Figure 2. The workflow consists of three time-consuming ntupling steps that process data files and run in parallel. The workflow ends with a latter fitting steps that run afterwards. The dependency of steps in

---

\*Corresponding author e-mail: tibor.simko@cern.ch

# Know your footprint - Evaluation of the professional carbon footprint for individual researchers in high energy physics and related fields

Valerie Lang<sup>a,1,\*</sup>, Naman Kumar Bhalla<sup>a</sup>, Simran Gurdasani<sup>a</sup>, Pardis Niknejadi<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Freiburg, Germany

<sup>b</sup>Deutsches Elektronensynchrotron (DESY), Hamburg, Germany

## Abstract

Understanding the environmental impact of professional activities is becoming paramount in current times, especially within sectors that historically have had significant resource utilisation, such as High Energy Physics (HEP) and related fields. The *young High Energy Physicists* (yHEP) association launched the *Know your footprint* (Kyf) campaign to evaluate the CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalent emissions generated by HEP-related research. This study delves into the carbon footprints associated with four distinct categories: *Experiments*, tied to extensive collaborations with substantial infrastructure; *Institutional*, representing the resource consumption of research institutes and universities; *Computing*, focusing on simulations and data analysis; and *Travel*, covering professional trips such as to conferences, meetings, and workshops. The findings in this assessment are integrated into a tool for self-evaluation, the *Know-your-footprint* (Kyf) calculator, which allows colleagues to assess their personal and professional footprint, and optionally share their data with the yHEP association. The aim of the Kyf campaign is to heighten awareness, foster sustainability, and inspire the community to adopt more environmentally-responsible research methodologies.

**Keywords:** High Energy Physics, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, Know your footprint, yHEP

## 1. Introduction

The impact of the atmospheric presence of CO<sub>2</sub> on the ground temperature of the Earth was first predicted in 1896 [1], indicating temperature variations as a function of the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> (at the time denoted as carbonic acid), the time of the year and the latitude. For Europe, the prediction for doubling the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> content amounted to an average temperature increase of around 6 °C.

In 2023, the measured CO<sub>2</sub> content in the atmosphere has reached nearly 425 ppm [2], compared to a maximum of about 300 ppm over the last 800 000 years before industrial age. The CO<sub>2</sub> content in the atmosphere has thus increased by a factor of about 1.4 compared to the maximum, and around 1.9 compared to the mean over the last 800 000 years [3]. The average temperature in Europe in 2023 has climbed up by 1.90 °C compared to pre-industrial levels (reference years: 1850-1900) [4], which is also used as reference for temperature differences in the following. The year 2023 is in direct competition with the year 2020 which was the warmest year on record in Europe with a 2.07 °C increase. Globally, 2023 surpassed the previously warmest year 2016 (1.32 °C increase), reaching an increase of 1.48 °C.

Scientific research has thus been drawing a consistent picture for more than 100 years, with continuously refined measurements and scenario predictions. Decisive actions counteracting the changes to the atmosphere and the planetary ecosystem, however, have been and are still lacking.

According to the Sixth Assessment Report (AR6) by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), Summary for Policymakers (SPM) [5], “[i]t is unequivocal that human influence has warmed the atmosphere, ocean and land”, leading to changes across the climate system that are “unprecedented over many centuries to many thousands of years”.

Relevant for changes of the climate are the cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Part of the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are re-absorbed by carbon sinks on land and ocean, but the higher the cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are, the lower is the fraction of absorption by land and ocean carbon sinks. This results in higher contributions for both the fraction and the absolute amount that remain in the atmosphere.

The IPCC AR6 SPM estimates historical cumulative anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from 1850 to 2019 to (2390±240) Giga-tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> (GtCO<sub>2</sub>). In order to achieve a likelihood of 67 % to limit human-induced global warming to a maximum increase of 1.5 °C (2.0 °C), which are the two thresholds explicitly mentioned in the Paris Agreement 2015 [6] to reduce risks and impacts of climate change, only 400 GtCO<sub>2</sub> (1150 GtCO<sub>2</sub>) remain to be emitted. This remaining carbon budget is defined, starting from the beginning of 2020 until global net zero CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are reached.

Using the most naive assumption of equal yearly emissions until 2050, i.e. for 30 years, and a static population of 8 billion people, which was reached on 15 November 2022 [7], the remaining carbon budget per person per year accumulates to 1.7 tCO<sub>2</sub> (4.8 tCO<sub>2</sub>) for a maximum temperature increase of 1.5 °C (2.0 °C).

In Germany, the average CO<sub>2</sub> emissions accumulate to

\*Corresponding author

<sup>1</sup>Email: valerie.lang@physik.uni-freiburg.de

# Probing Recoil Signatures of Inelastic Dark Matter

HONG-JIAN HE,<sup>a,b\*</sup> YU-CHEN WANG,<sup>a†</sup> JIAMING ZHENG,<sup>a‡</sup>

<sup>a</sup> T. D. Lee Institute & School of Physics and Astronomy,  
Key Laboratory for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology,  
Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology,  
Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China.

<sup>b</sup> Physics Department and Institute of Modern Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China;  
Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing, China.

## Abstract

Different dark matter (DM) candidates could have different types of DM-lepton and/or DM-quark interactions. For direct detection experiments, this leads to diversity in the recoil spectra, where both DM-electron and DM-nucleus scatterings may contribute. Furthermore, kinematic effects such as those of the inelastic scattering can also play an important role in shaping the recoil spectra. In this work, we systematically study signatures of the light exothermic inelastic DM from the recoil spectra including both the DM-electron scattering and Migdal effect. Such inelastic DM has mass around (sub-)GeV scale and the DM mass-splitting ranges from 1keV to 30keV. We analyze the direct detection sensitivities to such light inelastic DM. For different inelastic DM masses and mass-splittings, we find that the DM-electron recoil and Migdal effect can contribute significantly and differently to the direct detection signatures. Hence, it is important to perform combined analysis to include both the DM-electron recoil and Migdal effect. We further demonstrate that this analysis has strong impacts on the cosmological and laboratory bounds for the inelastic DM.

---

\*Email: hjhe@sjtu.edu.cn

†Email: wangyc21@sjtu.edu.cn

‡Email: zhengjm3@sjtu.edu.cn



# Amplitude analysis of the $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^- \gamma$ decay

LHCb collaboration<sup>†</sup>

## Abstract

The resonant structure of the radiative decay  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow pK^- \gamma$  in the region of proton-kaon invariant-mass up to  $2.5 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  is studied using proton-proton collision data recorded at centre-of-mass energies of 7, 8, and 13 TeV collected with the LHCb detector, corresponding to a total integrated luminosity of  $9 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ . Results are given in terms of fit and interference fractions between the different components contributing to this final state. Only  $\Lambda$  resonances decaying to  $pK^-$  are found to be relevant, where the largest contributions stem from the  $\Lambda(1520)$ ,  $\Lambda(1600)$ ,  $\Lambda(1800)$ , and  $\Lambda(1890)$  states.

Submitted to JHEP

© 2024 CERN for the benefit of the LHCb collaboration. CC BY 4.0 licence.

---

<sup>†</sup>Authors are listed at the end of this paper.



# First observation of the $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow D^+ D^- \Lambda$ decay

LHCb collaboration<sup>†</sup>

## Abstract

The  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow D^+ D^- \Lambda$  decay is observed for the first time using proton-proton collision data collected by the LHCb experiment at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of  $5.3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ . Using the  $B^0 \rightarrow D^+ D^- K_S^0$  decay as a reference channel, the product of the relative production cross-section and decay branching fractions is measured to be

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{\sigma_{\Lambda_b^0}}{\sigma_{B^0}} \times \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow D^+ D^- \Lambda)}{\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow D^+ D^- K_S^0)} = 0.179 \pm 0.022 \pm 0.014,$$

where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second is systematic. The known branching fraction of the reference channel,  $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow D^+ D^- K_S^0)$ , and the cross-section ratio,  $\sigma_{\Lambda_b^0}/\sigma_{B^0}$ , previously measured by LHCb are used to derive the branching fraction of the  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow D^+ D^- \Lambda$  decay

$$\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow D^+ D^- \Lambda) = (1.24 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4},$$

where the third and fourth contributions are due to uncertainties of  $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow D^+ D^- K_S^0)$  and  $\sigma_{\Lambda_b^0}/\sigma_{B^0}$ , respectively. Inspection of the  $D^+ \Lambda$  and  $D^+ D^-$  invariant-mass distributions suggests a rich presence of intermediate resonances in the decay. The  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} D^- \Lambda$  decay is also observed for the first time as a partially reconstructed component in the  $D^+ D^- \Lambda$  invariant mass spectrum.

Submitted to JHEP

© 2024 CERN for the benefit of the LHCb collaboration. CC BY 4.0 licence.

<sup>†</sup>Authors are listed at the end of this paper.

# Observation of the decay $h_c \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$

M. Ablikim<sup>1</sup>, M. N. Achasov<sup>4,c</sup>, P. Adlarson<sup>75</sup>, O. Afedulidis<sup>3</sup>, X. C. Ai<sup>80</sup>, R. Aliberti<sup>35</sup>, A. Amoroso<sup>74A,74C</sup>, Q. An<sup>71,58,a</sup>, Y. Bai<sup>57</sup>, O. Bakina<sup>36</sup>, I. Balossino<sup>29A</sup>, Y. Ban<sup>46,h</sup>, H.-R. Bao<sup>63</sup>, V. Batozskaya<sup>1,44</sup>, K. Begzsuren<sup>32</sup>, N. Berger<sup>35</sup>, M. Berlowski<sup>44</sup>, M. Bertani<sup>28A</sup>, D. Bettoni<sup>29A</sup>, F. Bianchi<sup>74A,74C</sup>, E. Bianco<sup>74A,74C</sup>, A. Bortone<sup>74A,74C</sup>, I. Boyko<sup>36</sup>, R. A. Briere<sup>5</sup>, A. Brueggemann<sup>68</sup>, H. Cai<sup>76</sup>, X. Cai<sup>1,58</sup>, A. Calcaterra<sup>28A</sup>, G. F. Cao<sup>1,63</sup>, N. Cao<sup>1,63</sup>, S. A. Cetin<sup>62A</sup>, J. F. Chang<sup>1,58</sup>, G. R. Che<sup>43</sup>, G. Chelkov<sup>36,b</sup>, C. Chen<sup>43</sup>, C. H. Chen<sup>9</sup>, Chao Chen<sup>55</sup>, G. Chen<sup>1</sup>, H. S. Chen<sup>1,63</sup>, H. Y. Chen<sup>20</sup>, M. L. Chen<sup>1,58,63</sup>, S. J. Chen<sup>42</sup>, S. L. Chen<sup>45</sup>, S. M. Chen<sup>61</sup>, T. Chen<sup>1,63</sup>, X. R. Chen<sup>31,63</sup>, X. T. Chen<sup>1,63</sup>, Y. B. Chen<sup>1,58</sup>, Y. Q. Chen<sup>34</sup>, Z. J. Chen<sup>25,i</sup>, Z. Y. Chen<sup>1,63</sup>, S. K. Choi<sup>10A</sup>, G. Cibinetto<sup>29A</sup>, F. Cossio<sup>74C</sup>, J. J. Cui<sup>50</sup>, H. L. Dai<sup>1,58</sup>, J. P. Dai<sup>78</sup>, A. Dbeysi<sup>18</sup>, R. E. de Boer<sup>3</sup>, D. Dedovich<sup>36</sup>, C. Q. Deng<sup>72</sup>, Z. Y. Deng<sup>1</sup>, A. Denig<sup>35</sup>, I. Denysenko<sup>36</sup>, M. Destefanis<sup>74A,74C</sup>, F. De Mori<sup>74A,74C</sup>, B. Ding<sup>66,1</sup>, X. X. Ding<sup>46,h</sup>, Y. Ding<sup>40</sup>, Y. Ding<sup>34</sup>, J. Dong<sup>1,58</sup>, L. Y. Dong<sup>1,63</sup>, M. Y. Dong<sup>1,58,63</sup>, X. Dong<sup>76</sup>, M. C. Du<sup>1</sup>, S. X. Du<sup>80</sup>, Y. Y. Duan<sup>55</sup>, Z. H. Duan<sup>42</sup>, P. Egorov<sup>36,b</sup>, Y. H. Fan<sup>45</sup>, J. Fang<sup>59</sup>, J. Fang<sup>1,58</sup>, S. S. Fang<sup>1,63</sup>, W. X. Fang<sup>1</sup>, Y. Fang<sup>1</sup>, Y. Q. Fang<sup>1,58</sup>, R. Farinelli<sup>29A</sup>, L. Fava<sup>74B,74C</sup>, F. Feldbauer<sup>3</sup>, G. Felici<sup>28A</sup>, C. Q. Feng<sup>71,58</sup>, J. H. Feng<sup>59</sup>, Y. T. Feng<sup>71,58</sup>, M. Fritsch<sup>3</sup>, C. D. Fu<sup>1</sup>, J. L. Fu<sup>63</sup>, Y. W. Fu<sup>1,63</sup>, H. Gao<sup>63</sup>, X. B. Gao<sup>41</sup>, Y. N. Gao<sup>46,h</sup>, Yang Gao<sup>71,58</sup>, S. Garbolino<sup>74C</sup>, I. Garzia<sup>29A,29B</sup>, L. Ge<sup>80</sup>, P. T. Ge<sup>76</sup>, Z. W. Ge<sup>42</sup>, C. Geng<sup>59</sup>, E. M. Gersabeck<sup>67</sup>, A. Gilman<sup>69</sup>, K. Goetzen<sup>13</sup>, L. Gong<sup>40</sup>, W. X. Gong<sup>1,58</sup>, W. Gradl<sup>35</sup>, S. Gramigna<sup>29A,29B</sup>, M. Greco<sup>74A,74C</sup>, M. H. Gu<sup>1,58</sup>, Y. T. Gu<sup>15</sup>, C. Y. Guan<sup>1,63</sup>, Z. L. Guan<sup>22</sup>, A. Q. Guo<sup>31,63</sup>, L. B. Guo<sup>41</sup>, M. J. Guo<sup>50</sup>, R. P. Guo<sup>49</sup>, Y. P. Guo<sup>12,g</sup>, A. Guskov<sup>36,b</sup>, J. Gutierrez<sup>27</sup>, K. L. Han<sup>63</sup>, T. T. Han<sup>1</sup>, F. Hanisch<sup>3</sup>, X. Q. Hao<sup>19</sup>, F. A. Harris<sup>65</sup>, K. K. He<sup>55</sup>, K. L. He<sup>1,63</sup>, F. H. Heinsius<sup>3</sup>, C. H. Heinz<sup>35</sup>, Y. K. Heng<sup>1,58,63</sup>, C. Herold<sup>60</sup>, T. Holtmann<sup>3</sup>, P. C. Hong<sup>34</sup>, G. Y. Hou<sup>1,63</sup>, X. T. Hou<sup>1,63</sup>, Y. R. Hou<sup>63</sup>, Z. L. Hou<sup>1</sup>, B. Y. Hu<sup>59</sup>, H. M. Hu<sup>1,63</sup>, J. F. Hu<sup>56,j</sup>, S. L. Hu<sup>12,g</sup>, T. Hu<sup>1,58,63</sup>, Y. Hu<sup>1</sup>, G. S. Huang<sup>71,58</sup>, K. X. Huang<sup>59</sup>, L. Q. Huang<sup>31,63</sup>, X. T. Huang<sup>50</sup>, Y. P. Huang<sup>1</sup>, T. Hussain<sup>73</sup>, F. Hölzken<sup>3</sup>, N. Hüsken<sup>35</sup>, N. Hüsken<sup>27,35</sup>, N. in der Wiesche<sup>68</sup>, J. Jackson<sup>27</sup>, S. Janchiv<sup>32</sup>, J. H. Jeong<sup>10A</sup>, Q. Ji<sup>1</sup>, Q. P. Ji<sup>19</sup>, W. Ji<sup>1,63</sup>, X. B. Ji<sup>1,63</sup>, X. L. Ji<sup>1,58</sup>, Y. Y. Ji<sup>50</sup>, X. Q. Jia<sup>50</sup>, Z. K. Jia<sup>71,58</sup>, D. Jiang<sup>1,63</sup>, H. B. Jiang<sup>76</sup>, P. C. Jiang<sup>46,h</sup>, S. S. Jiang<sup>39</sup>, T. J. Jiang<sup>16</sup>, X. S. Jiang<sup>1,58,63</sup>, Y. Jiang<sup>63</sup>, J. B. Jiao<sup>50</sup>, J. K. Jiao<sup>34</sup>, Z. Jiao<sup>23</sup>, S. Jin<sup>42</sup>, Y. Jin<sup>66</sup>, M. Q. Jing<sup>1,63</sup>, X. M. Jing<sup>63</sup>, T. Johansson<sup>75</sup>, S. Kabana<sup>33</sup>, N. Kalantar-Nayestanaki<sup>64</sup>, X. L. Kang<sup>9</sup>, X. S. Kang<sup>40</sup>, M. Kavatsyuk<sup>64</sup>, B. C. Ke<sup>80</sup>, V. Khachatryan<sup>27</sup>, A. Khokkaz<sup>68</sup>, R. Kiuchi<sup>1</sup>, O. B. Kolcu<sup>62A</sup>, B. Kopf<sup>3</sup>, M. Kuessner<sup>3</sup>, X. Kui<sup>1,63</sup>, N. Kumar<sup>26</sup>, A. Kupsc<sup>44,75</sup>, W. Kühn<sup>37</sup>, J. J. Lane<sup>67</sup>, P. Larin<sup>18</sup>, L. Lavezzi<sup>74A,74C</sup>, T. T. Lei<sup>71,58</sup>, Z. H. Lei<sup>71,58</sup>, M. Lellmann<sup>35</sup>, T. Lenz<sup>35</sup>, C. Li<sup>47</sup>, C. Li<sup>43</sup>, C. H. Li<sup>39</sup>, Cheng Li<sup>71,58</sup>, D. M. Li<sup>80</sup>, F. Li<sup>1,58</sup>, G. Li<sup>1</sup>, H. B. Li<sup>1,63</sup>, H. J. Li<sup>19</sup>, H. N. Li<sup>56,j</sup>, Hui Li<sup>43</sup>, J. R. Li<sup>61</sup>, J. S. Li<sup>59</sup>, Ke Li<sup>1</sup>, L. J. Li<sup>1,63</sup>, L. K. Li<sup>1</sup>, Lei Li<sup>48</sup>, M. H. Li<sup>43</sup>, P. R. Li<sup>38,l</sup>, Q. M. Li<sup>1,63</sup>, Q. X. Li<sup>50</sup>, R. Li<sup>17,31</sup>, S. X. Li<sup>12</sup>, T. Li<sup>50</sup>, W. D. Li<sup>1,63</sup>, W. G. Li<sup>1,a</sup>, X. Li<sup>1,63</sup>, X. H. Li<sup>71,58</sup>, X. L. Li<sup>50</sup>, X. Z. Li<sup>54</sup>, Y. T. Liang<sup>1,63</sup>, Y. G. Li<sup>46,h</sup>, Z. J. Li<sup>59</sup>, Z. X. Li<sup>15</sup>, Z. Y. Li<sup>78</sup>, C. Liang<sup>42</sup>, H. Liang<sup>1,63</sup>, H. Liang<sup>71,58</sup>, Y. F. Liang<sup>1</sup>, B. Y. Liang<sup>54</sup>, Y. T. Liang<sup>31,63</sup>, G. R. Liao<sup>14</sup>, L. Z. Liao<sup>50</sup>, J. Libby<sup>26</sup>, A. Limphirat<sup>60</sup>, C. C. Lin<sup>55</sup>, D. X. Lin<sup>31,63</sup>, T. Lin<sup>1</sup>, B. J. Liu<sup>1</sup>, B. X. Liu<sup>76</sup>, C. Liu<sup>34</sup>, C. X. Liu<sup>1</sup>, F. H. Liu<sup>53</sup>, Fang Liu<sup>1</sup>, Feng Liu<sup>6</sup>, G. M. Liu<sup>56,j</sup>, H. Liu<sup>38,k,l</sup>, H. B. Liu<sup>15</sup>, H. M. Liu<sup>1,63</sup>, Huanhuan Liu<sup>1</sup>, Huihui Liu<sup>21</sup>, J. B. Liu<sup>71,58</sup>, J. Y. Liu<sup>1,63</sup>, K. Liu<sup>38,k,l</sup>, K. Y. Liu<sup>40</sup>, Ke Liu<sup>22</sup>, L. Liu<sup>71,58</sup>, L. C. Liu<sup>43</sup>, Lu Liu<sup>43</sup>, M. H. Liu<sup>12,g</sup>, P. L. Liu<sup>43</sup>, Q. Liu<sup>63</sup>, S. B. Liu<sup>71,58</sup>, T. Liu<sup>12,g</sup>, W. K. Liu<sup>43</sup>, W. M. Liu<sup>71,58</sup>, X. Liu<sup>38,k,l</sup>, X. Liu<sup>39</sup>, Y. Liu<sup>38,k,l</sup>, Y. Liu<sup>80</sup>, Y. B. Liu<sup>43</sup>, Z. A. Liu<sup>1,58,63</sup>, Z. D. Liu<sup>9</sup>, Z. Q. Liu<sup>50</sup>, X. C. Lou<sup>1,58,63</sup>, F. X. Lu<sup>59</sup>, H. J. Lu<sup>23</sup>, J. G. Lu<sup>1,58</sup>, X. L. Lu<sup>1</sup>, Y. Lu<sup>7</sup>, Y. P. Lu<sup>1,58,63</sup>, Z. H. Lu<sup>1,63</sup>, C. L. Luo<sup>41</sup>, J. R. Luo<sup>59</sup>, M. X. Luo<sup>79</sup>, T. Luo<sup>12,g</sup>, X. L. Luo<sup>1,58</sup>, X. R. Lyu<sup>63</sup>, Y. F. Lyu<sup>43</sup>, F. C. Ma<sup>40</sup>, H. Ma<sup>78</sup>, H. L. Ma<sup>1</sup>, J. L. Ma<sup>1,63</sup>, L. L. Ma<sup>50</sup>, M. M. Ma<sup>1,63</sup>, Q. M. Ma<sup>1</sup>, R. Q. Ma<sup>1,63</sup>, T. Ma<sup>71,58</sup>, X. T. Ma<sup>1,63</sup>, X. Y. Ma<sup>1,58</sup>, Y. Ma<sup>46,h</sup>, Y. M. Ma<sup>31</sup>, F. E. Maas<sup>18</sup>, M. Maggiora<sup>74A,74C</sup>, S. Malde<sup>69</sup>, Y. J. Mao<sup>46,h</sup>, Z. P. Mao<sup>1</sup>, S. Marcello<sup>74A,74C</sup>, Z. X. Meng<sup>66</sup>, J. G. Messchendorp<sup>13,64</sup>, G. Mezzadri<sup>29A</sup>, H. Miao<sup>1,63</sup>, T. J. Min<sup>42</sup>, R. E. Mitchell<sup>27</sup>, X. H. Mo<sup>1,58</sup>, B. Moses<sup>27</sup>, N. Yu. Muchnoi<sup>4,c</sup>, J. Muskalla<sup>35</sup>, Y. Nefedov<sup>36</sup>, F. Nerling<sup>18,e</sup>, L. S. Nie<sup>20</sup>, I. B. Nikolaev<sup>4,c</sup>, Z. Ning<sup>1,58</sup>, S. Nisar<sup>17,m</sup>, Q. L. Niu<sup>38,k,l</sup>, W. D. Niu<sup>55</sup>, Y. Niu<sup>50</sup>, S. L. Olsen<sup>63</sup>, Q. Ouyang<sup>1,58,63</sup>, S. Pacetti<sup>28B,28C</sup>, X. Pan<sup>55</sup>, Y. Pan<sup>57</sup>, A. Pathak<sup>34</sup>, P. Patteri<sup>28A</sup>, Y. P. Pei<sup>71,58</sup>, M. Pelizaeus<sup>3</sup>, H. P. Peng<sup>71,58</sup>, Y. Y. Peng<sup>38,k,l</sup>, K. Peters<sup>13,e</sup>, J. L. Ping<sup>41</sup>, R. G. Ping<sup>1,63</sup>, S. Plura<sup>35</sup>, V. Prasad<sup>33</sup>, F. Z. Qi<sup>1</sup>, H. Qi<sup>71,58</sup>, H. R. Qi<sup>61</sup>, M. Qi<sup>42</sup>, T. Y. Qi<sup>12,g</sup>, S. Qian<sup>1,58</sup>, W. B. Qian<sup>63</sup>, C. F. Qiao<sup>63</sup>, X. K. Qiao<sup>80</sup>, J. J. Qin<sup>72</sup>, L. Q. Qin<sup>14</sup>, L. Y. Qin<sup>71,58</sup>, X. S. Qin<sup>50</sup>, Z. H. Qin<sup>1,58</sup>, J. F. Qiu<sup>1</sup>, Z. H. Qu<sup>72</sup>, C. F. Redmer<sup>35</sup>, K. J. Ren<sup>39</sup>, A. Rivetti<sup>74C</sup>, M. Rolo<sup>74C</sup>, G. Rong<sup>1,63</sup>, Ch. Rosner<sup>18</sup>, S. N. Ruan<sup>43</sup>, N. Salone<sup>44</sup>, A. Sarantsev<sup>36,d</sup>, Y. Schelhaas<sup>35</sup>, K. Schoenning<sup>75</sup>, M. Scodreggio<sup>29A</sup>, K. Y. Shan<sup>12,g</sup>, W. Shan<sup>24</sup>, X. Y. Shan<sup>71,58</sup>, Z. J. Shang<sup>38,k,l</sup>, J. F. Shangguan<sup>55</sup>, L. G. Shao<sup>1,63</sup>, M. Shao<sup>71,58</sup>, C. P. Shen<sup>12,g</sup>, H. F. Shen<sup>1,8</sup>, W. H. Shen<sup>63</sup>, X. Y. Shen<sup>1,63</sup>, B. A. Shi<sup>63</sup>, H. Shi<sup>71,58</sup>, H. C. Shi<sup>71,58</sup>, J. L. Shi<sup>12,g</sup>, J. Y. Shi<sup>1</sup>, Q. Q. Shi<sup>55</sup>, S. Y. Shi<sup>72</sup>, X. Shi<sup>1,58</sup>, J. J. Song<sup>19</sup>, T. Z. Song<sup>59</sup>, W. M. Song<sup>34,1</sup>, Y. J. Song<sup>12,g</sup>, Y. X. Song<sup>46,h,n</sup>, S. Sosio<sup>74A,74C</sup>, S. Spataro<sup>74A,74C</sup>, F. Stieler<sup>35</sup>, Y. J. Su<sup>63</sup>, G. B. Sun<sup>76</sup>, G. X. Sun<sup>1</sup>, H. Sun<sup>63</sup>, H. K. Sun<sup>1</sup>, J. F. Sun<sup>19</sup>, K. Sun<sup>61</sup>, L. Sun<sup>76</sup>, S. S. Sun<sup>1,63</sup>, T. Sun<sup>51,f</sup>, W. Y. Sun<sup>34</sup>, Y. Sun<sup>9</sup>, Y. J. Sun<sup>71,58</sup>, Y. Z. Sun<sup>1</sup>, Z. Q. Sun<sup>1,63</sup>, Z. T. Sun<sup>50</sup>, C. J. Tang<sup>54</sup>, G. Y. Tang<sup>1</sup>, J. Tang<sup>59</sup>, M. Tang<sup>71,58</sup>, Y. A. Tang<sup>76</sup>, L. Y. Tao<sup>72</sup>, Q. T. Tao<sup>25,i</sup>, M. Tat<sup>69</sup>, J. X. Teng<sup>71,58</sup>, V. Thoren<sup>75</sup>, W. H. Tian<sup>59</sup>, Y. Tian<sup>31,63</sup>, Z. F. Tian<sup>76</sup>, I. Uman<sup>62B</sup>, Y. Wan<sup>55</sup>, S. J. Wang<sup>50</sup>, B. Wang<sup>1</sup>, B. L. Wang<sup>63</sup>, Bo Wang<sup>71,58</sup>, D. Y. Wang<sup>46,h</sup>, F. Wang<sup>72</sup>, H. J. Wang<sup>38,k,l</sup>, J. J. Wang<sup>76</sup>, J. P. Wang<sup>50</sup>, K. Wang<sup>1,58</sup>, L. L. Wang<sup>1</sup>, M. Wang<sup>50</sup>, Meng Wang<sup>1,63</sup>, N. Y. Wang<sup>63</sup>, S. Wang<sup>12,g</sup>, S. Wang<sup>38,k,l</sup>, T. Wang<sup>12,g</sup>, T. J. Wang<sup>43</sup>, W. Wang<sup>59</sup>, W. Wang<sup>72</sup>, W. P. Wang<sup>35,71,o</sup>, X. Wang<sup>46,h</sup>, X. F. Wang<sup>38,k,l</sup>, X. J. Wang<sup>39</sup>, X. L. Wang<sup>12,g</sup>, X. N. Wang<sup>1</sup>, Y. Wang<sup>61</sup>, Y. D. Wang<sup>45</sup>, Y. F. Wang<sup>1,58,63</sup>, Y. L. Wang<sup>19</sup>, Y. N. Wang<sup>45</sup>, Y. Q. Wang<sup>1</sup>, Yaqian Wang<sup>17</sup>, Yi Wang<sup>61</sup>, Z. Wang<sup>1,58</sup>, Z. L. Wang<sup>72</sup>, Z. Y. Wang<sup>1,63</sup>, Ziyi Wang<sup>63</sup>, D. H. Wei<sup>14</sup>, F. Weidner<sup>68</sup>, S. P. Wen<sup>1</sup>, Y. R. Wen<sup>39</sup>, U. Wiedner<sup>3</sup>, G. Wilkinson<sup>69</sup>, M. Wolke<sup>75</sup>, L. Wollenberg<sup>3</sup>, C. Wu<sup>39</sup>, J. F. Wu<sup>1,8</sup>, L. H. Wu<sup>1</sup>, L. J. Wu<sup>1,63</sup>, X. Wu<sup>12,g</sup>, X. H. Wu<sup>34</sup>, Y. Wu<sup>71,58</sup>, Y. H. Wu<sup>55</sup>, Y. J. Wu<sup>31</sup>, Z. Wu<sup>1,58</sup>, L. Xia<sup>71,58</sup>, X. M. Xian<sup>39</sup>, B. H. Xiang<sup>1,63</sup>, T. Xiang<sup>46,h</sup>, D. Xiao<sup>38,k,l</sup>, G. Y. Xiao<sup>42</sup>, S. Y. Xiao<sup>1</sup>, Y. L. Xiao<sup>12,g</sup>, Z. J. Xiao<sup>41</sup>, C. Xie<sup>42</sup>, X. H. Xie<sup>46,h</sup>, Y. Xie<sup>50</sup>, Y. G. Xie<sup>1,58</sup>, Y. H. Xie<sup>6</sup>, Z. P. Xie<sup>71,58</sup>, T. Y. Xing<sup>1,63</sup>, C. F. Xu<sup>1,63</sup>, C. J. Xu<sup>59</sup>, G. F. Xu<sup>1</sup>, H. Y. Xu<sup>66</sup>, M. Xu<sup>71,58</sup>, Q. J. Xu<sup>16</sup>, Q. N. Xu<sup>30</sup>, W. Xu<sup>1</sup>, W. L. Xu<sup>66</sup>, X. P. Xu<sup>55</sup>, Y. C. Xu<sup>77</sup>, Z. P. Xu<sup>42</sup>, Z. S. Xu<sup>63</sup>, F. Yan<sup>12,g</sup>,

branching fraction is determined to be  $(9.28 \pm 1.14 \pm 0.77) \times 10^{-3}$ , where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second is systematic. In addition, first evidence is found for the modes  $h_c \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0\eta$  and  $h_c \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\omega$  with significances of  $4.8\sigma$  and  $4.7\sigma$ , and their branching fractions are determined to be  $(7.55 \pm 1.51 \pm 0.77) \times 10^{-3}$  and  $(4.00 \pm 0.86 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-3}$ , respectively. No significant signals of  $h_c \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta$  and  $h_c \rightarrow p\bar{p}$  are observed, and the upper limits of the branching fractions of these decays are determined to be  $< 6.19 \times 10^{-4}$  and  $< 4.40 \times 10^{-5}$  at the 90% confidence level, respectively.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Studies of charmonium decays play an important role in understanding the structure of quantum chromodynamics (QCD). Despite the success of QCD in describing many aspects of the strong interaction, some applications in charmonium decay mechanism remain challenging and inconsistencies between experimental results and theoretical predictions have been reported [1].

Since the discovery of the spin-singlet charmonium state  $h_c(1P_1)$  in 2005 [2, 3], many theoretical and experimental efforts have been made to understand its properties. Kuang predicted the branching fraction (BF)  $\mathcal{B}(h_c \rightarrow \text{light hadrons})$  to be  $(8.8 \pm 0.8)\%$  and  $(48 \pm 7)\%$  with the perturbative QCD (PQCD) and non-relativistic QCD (NRQCD) models [4], respectively. Godfrey made a prediction of  $\mathcal{B}(h_c \rightarrow ggg) = 57\%$  based on QCD calculations [5]. The significant differences between the results of these calculations arise from the use of different computational methods based on various theoretical models and the consideration of different effects. Recently BESIII reported the BF of the electric dipole (E1) transition to be  $\mathcal{B}(h_c \rightarrow \gamma\eta_c) = (57.66^{+3.62}_{-3.50} \pm 0.58)\%$  [6], which is reasonably close to some theoretical predictions [4, 5]. This implies that nearly half of  $h_c$  decays are non-E1 modes. However, the sum of the BFs of the known  $h_c$  non-E1 decays is only about 4% [7, 8], indicating that the search for new  $h_c$  decay modes is well motivated.

In massless QCD models, the  $h_c(1P_1)$  decay into  $p\bar{p}$  is forbidden due to the helicity selection rule [9]. However, the observation of the decays  $\eta_c/\chi_{c0} \rightarrow p\bar{p}$  [7] and the observation of  $h_c$  formation in the  $p\bar{p}$  annihilation [10] indicate substantial contributions from the effects of finite masses. These observations have stimulated theoretical calculations for the decay  $h_c \rightarrow p\bar{p}$ , arising from which a large BF of the order of  $10^{-3}$  is suggested [11, 12]. However, searches until now have not observed a significant signal [13]. Further experimental study of this decay is highly desirable to understand better the underlying dynamical mechanism in  $h_c \rightarrow p\bar{p}$  decay.

In this paper, we report searches for the undiscovered decay channels of  $h_c \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0$ ,  $h_c \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\omega$ ,  $h_c \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0\eta$ ,  $h_c \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\eta$ , and  $h_c \rightarrow p\bar{p}$  via  $\psi(3686) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c$ , performed through the analysis of  $(2712.4 \pm 14.1) \times 10^6$   $\psi(3686)$  events [14] collected with the BESIII detector in 2009, 2012 and 2021.

## II. BESIII DETECTOR AND MONTE CARLO SIMULATION

The BESIII detector [15] records  $e^+e^-$  collisions provided by the BEPCII storage ring [16] in the center-of-mass energy range from 2.0 to 4.95 GeV, with a peak luminosity of  $1 \times 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$  achieved at  $\sqrt{s} = 3.77$  GeV. BESIII has collected large data samples in this energy region [17–19]. The cylindrical core of the BESIII detector covers 93% of the full solid angle and consists of a helium-based multilayer drift chamber (MDC), a plastic scintillator time-of-flight system (TOF), and a CsI(Tl) electromagnetic calorimeter (EMC), which are all enclosed in a superconducting solenoidal magnet providing a 1.0 T magnetic field (0.9 T in 2012). The magnet is supported by an octagonal flux-return yoke with modules of resistive plate muon counters (MUC) interleaved with steel. The charged-particle momentum resolution at 1 GeV/c is 0.5%, and the  $dE/dx$  resolution is 6% for the electrons from Bhabha scattering. The EMC measures photon energy with a resolution of 2.5% (5%) at 1 GeV in the barrel (end-cap) region. The time resolution of the TOF barrel part is 68 ps, while that of the end-cap part is 110 ps. The end-cap TOF system was upgraded in 2015 using multi-gap resistive plate chamber technology, providing a time resolution of 60 ps, which benefits  $\sim 83\%$  of the data used in this analysis [20–22].

Monte Carlo (MC) simulated data samples produced with a GEANT4-based [23] software package, which includes the geometric description [24] of the BESIII detector and the detector response, are used to optimize the event selection criteria, to estimate the signal efficiency and the level of background. The simulation models the beam-energy spread and initial-state radiation in the  $e^+e^-$  annihilation using the generator KKMC [25, 26]. The inclusive MC sample includes the production of the  $\psi(3686)$  resonance, the initial-state radiation production of the  $J/\psi$  meson, and the continuum processes incorporated in KKMC. Particle decays are generated by EVTGEN [27, 28] for the known decay modes with BFs taken from the Particle Data Group (PDG) [7] and LUNDCHARM [29, 30] for the unknown ones. Final-state radiation from charged final-state particles is included using the PHOTOS package [31].

For the exclusive MC simulation samples, the five channels of interest are generated using a phase-space (PHSP) model for each signal mode.

# Strengthening nuclear symmetry energy constraints using multiple resonant shattering flares of neutron stars with realistic mass uncertainties

Duncan Neill<sup>1\*</sup>, David Tsang<sup>1</sup>, William G. Newton<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath, BA1 1AL*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Texas A&M University-Commerce, Commerce, TX, 75429-3011*

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

## ABSTRACT

With current and planned gravitational-wave (GW) observing runs, coincident multimessenger timing of Resonant Shattering Flares (RSFs) and GWs may soon allow for neutron star (NS) asteroseismology to be used to constrain the nuclear symmetry energy, an important property of fundamental nuclear physics that influences the composition and equation of state of NSs. In this work we examine the effects of combining multiple RSF detections on these symmetry energy constraints, and consider how realistic uncertainties in the masses of the progenitor NSs may weaken them. We show that the detection of subsequent multimessenger events has the potential to substantially improve constraints beyond those obtained from the first, and that this improvement is insensitive to the mass of the NSs which produce the RSFs and its uncertainty. This sets these asteroseismic constraints apart from bulk NS properties such as radius, for which the NS mass is highly important, meaning that any multimessenger RSF and GW events can equally improve our knowledge of fundamental physics.

**Key words:** dense matter – stars: neutron – stars: oscillations – neutron star mergers – gravitational waves – equation of state

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Neutron stars (NSs) provide a unique environment in which to study fundamental physics, as they consist of matter that is both extremely dense and neutron-rich without having the high temperatures required to produce these conditions in terrestrial experiments. There is a well established link between the composition and structure of NSs and the zero temperature equation of state (EOS) of bulk nuclear matter (Lattimer & Prakash 2001; Li et al. 2019), which describes the binding energy of nuclear matter as a function of density and asymmetry (proton-to-neutron ratio). In particular, the neutron-rich matter found in NSs is sensitive to the asymmetry dependence of the nuclear EOS, which is encapsulated by the nuclear symmetry energy: the difference in binding energy between symmetric ( $N=Z$ ) and pure neutron ( $N=A$ ) matter. The symmetry energy is also important for various properties of nuclei, such as the thickness of neutron skins (the neutron-rich layer that surrounds the more symmetric core of a neutron-rich nucleus) (Chen et al. 2010; Piekarewicz et al. 2012) and certain giant resonances (which excite collective oscillations of nucleons within nuclei, some of which produce relative displacements between the protons and neutrons) (Trippa et al. 2008; Roca-Maza et al. 2013). Of particular interest are recent precision measurements of the neutral weak form factors of  $^{48}\text{Ca}$  and  $^{208}\text{Pb}$  (Adhikari et al. 2021, 2022), from which the neutron skin can be extracted, which have been shown to in tension with each other for the majority of nuclear energy-density functionals (Reed et al. 2022; Zhang & Chen 2022; Reinhard & Nazarewicz 2022; Yüksel & Paar 2023). Furthering our understanding of the symmetry energy using probes independent from current nuclear experimental techniques is important

to resolve these tensions and learn more about fundamental physics and improve our ability to model dense matter.

Various methods exist to infer structural or compositional properties of NSs from astronomical observations (Lattimer & Prakash 2007; Raithe 2019), which can subsequently be used to impose constraints on the nuclear symmetry energy through NS modelling. Of particular interest are the transient gravitational waves (GWs) detected from Neutron Star-Neutron Star (NSNS) (Abbott et al. 2017, 2020b) and Black Hole-Neutron Star (BHNS) (Abbott et al. 2021b) binary mergers, which have opened the way to a new era of multimessenger NS astronomy involving GWs and electromagnetic counterparts (e.g. Smartt et al. 2017; Margalit & Metzger 2017; Radice et al. 2018; Troja et al. 2018; Abbott et al. 2018). While at this time only a small number of such transients have been detected, the O4 LIGO GW observing run has already detected multiple BHNS merger candidates, and with the increased sensitivity of LIGO and Virgo in this and future runs we can expect to observe many more mergers involving NSs in the near future.

Other methods to measure NS properties include pulse-profile modelling of X-ray emission (Riley et al. 2019; Miller et al. 2019; Riley et al. 2021; Miller et al. 2021), measuring the relativistic Shapiro delay of radiation from pulsars in binary systems (Fonseca et al. 2021), and modelling outbursts from low-mass X-ray binaries (Galloway et al. 2008; Özel et al. 2016; Nättilä et al. 2017). However, a common theme of these methods is that they constrain NS properties which are sensitive to the nature of matter within the NS core (Essick et al. 2021), where most of the star’s mass is located. Matter within the ultra-dense NS core may transition to an exotic phase, but the type of matter it becomes and the density at which the transition occurs are highly uncertain, meaning that while constraints on core-dependent properties are useful for testing various exotic models they can not

\* E-mail: dn431@bath.ac.uk

# Microscopic description of hexadecapole collectivity in even-even rare-earth nuclei around $N = 90$

L. Lotina<sup>1,\*</sup> and K. Nomura<sup>2,3,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan*

<sup>3</sup>*Nuclear Reaction Data Center, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan*

(Dated: March 7, 2024)

We present an extensive study of hexadecapole correlations in the rare-earth region around  $N = 90$  and the effects these correlations have on various nuclear properties, such as the low-energy spectra, as well as quadrupole, hexadecapole and monopole transition strengths. In order to examine hexadecapole correlations, we employ a mapped *sdg* interacting boson model, with parameters derived from a self-consistent mean-field calculations with a relativistic energy density functional. We apply this model to even-even isotopes of Nd, Sm, Gd, Dy and Er ( $Z = 60 - 68$ ) with the neutron number  $N = 84 - 96$ . The obtained results show a good agreement with the experiment. By comparing the results with the ones obtained from a simpler mapped *sd* interacting boson model, we show that the inclusion of hexadecapole,  $g$  boson is necessary to improve the results of the  $J^\pi \geq 6^+$  yrast energies in the nuclei with  $N = 84$  and  $86$ , being near the neutron shell closure. The *sdg* interacting boson model increases the quadrupole transition strengths between yrast states in the  $N = 90$  and  $92$  well deformed nuclei, which is in good agreement with the experiment for most of those isotopes. The presence of  $g$  bosons does have an important effect on hexadecapole transition strengths, although experimental data for such transitions are limited. The obtained monopole transition strengths do not differ significantly from the ones obtained from the simpler *sd* model.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Nuclear deformations play an important role in describing various nuclear properties [1, 2], e.g. excitation energies and decays. The dominant deformations in nuclei, the quadrupole ones, have been extensively studied. More and more attention is recently being paid to higher-order deformations, such as the octupole and hexadecapole ones. The effects of hexadecapole correlations are often overshadowed by large quadrupole effects. Nevertheless, they have been found to exist in a wide spectrum of nuclei, ranging from light nuclei [3] to heavy nuclei [4]. The main effect of hexadecapole correlations on the low-lying energy spectrum of the nucleus is the appearance of the low-lying  $K = 4^+$  band with an enhanced  $B(E4; 4^+ \rightarrow 0^+)$  transition strength. Another effect can be observed in even-even rare-earth nuclei near  $N = 82$  shell closure, where the ratio of the ground-state band energies  $R_{4/2} = E_x(4^+)/E_x(2^+)$  becomes less than 2. Besides that, hexadecapole deformations were shown to play a significant role in heavy ion collisions [4], fission [5], and are predicted to have an influence on the neutrinoless double beta decay matrix elements in open shell nuclei [6]. All of this provides us with a good reason to study hexadecapole correlations in nuclei and their effects on the low-lying excitation spectra and transitions.

A useful framework for studying the effects of nuclear deformations is the interacting boson model (IBM) [7]. In the simplest version of the IBM, the nucleus can be

viewed as a system composed of a doubly-magic core nucleus, and valence nucleons grouped into  $s$  ( $L^\pi = 0^+$ ) and  $d$  ( $L^\pi = 2^+$ ) bosons. The main assumption of the model is that the main contribution to the low-lying excitation energy spectra comes from the pairing correlations between aforementioned bosons. In the version of the model called IBM-1, it is assumed that the neutron and proton bosons are identical [7]. This model has been successfully used to study the effects of deformations in nuclei [8]. Since the IBM is a phenomenological model, in recent times, a method was developed that derives the parameters of the IBM Hamiltonian from a self-consistent mean-field (SCMF) model with energy density functionals (EDFs) [9]. This method has been successfully applied in studying quadrupole [9–12] and octupole correlations [13, 14] in nuclei. The inclusion of the hexadecapole degree of freedom in the IBM is done by the inclusion of  $g$  boson with  $L^\pi = 4^+$ , whose importance in the IBM has been extensively studied [8, 15–21]. While the *sdg*-IBM has been extensively studied as a phenomenological model, it is useful to study the model through a more microscopic picture, e.g. the aforementioned mapping method, since that could lead us to a better understanding of the microscopic origin of the hexadecapole collectivity in nuclei.

In the preceding article [22], we have explored the hexadecapole collectivity in  $^{148-160}\text{Gd}$  isotopes by using the *sdg*-IBM-1 with the Hamiltonian parameters being derived by the mapping method of Ref. [9], and have showed the validity and usefulness of such approach. The aim of the present article is to extend the study to a wider range of even-even rare-earth isotopes,  $^{144-156}\text{Nd}$ ,  $^{146-158}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{148-160}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{150-162}\text{Dy}$  and  $^{152-164}\text{Er}$ . We choose the rare-

\* [llotina.phy@pmf.hr](mailto:llotina.phy@pmf.hr)

† [nomura@sci.hokudai.ac.jp](mailto:nomura@sci.hokudai.ac.jp)

# Quark Counting, Drell-Yan West, and the Pion Wave Function

Mary Alberg<sup>1,2</sup> and Gerald A. Miller<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, Seattle University, Seattle, Washington 98122, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195-1560, USA*

(Dated: March 7, 2024 - 2:9)

The relation between the pion's quark distribution function,  $q(x)$ , its light-front wave function, and the elastic charge form factor,  $F(\Delta^2)$  is explored. The square of the leading-twist pion wave function at a special probe scale,  $\zeta_H$ , is determined using models and Poincare covariance from realistic results for  $q(x)$ . This wave function is then used to compute form factors with the result that the Drell-Yan-West and quark counting relationships are not satisfied. A new relationship between  $q(x)$  and  $F(\Delta^2)$  is proposed.

## INTRODUCTION

The structure of the pion continues to be of interest to many physicists. There are plans to measure the pion electromagnetic form factor at JLab and at the planned EIC [1]. There are also plans to re-measure the quark distribution of the pion,  $q(x)$  via a new Drell-Yan measurement [2]. Much recent and old theoretical attention has been devoted to determining and understanding the behavior of the valence pion quark distribution function,  $q_v(x)$ , at high values of Bjorken  $x$ , see *e.g.* the review [3].

Much of the recent interest stems from efforts to understand the behavior at high  $x$ . While many use the parameterization  $q_v(x) \sim x^\alpha(1-x)^\beta$  there is a controversy over the value of  $\beta$  and its dependence on the variables  $x$  and the resolution scale,  $Q^2$ . See, for example, the differing approaches of [4],[5] and [6, 7]. Ref. [4] finds that  $\beta = 1$  at low resolution scales, rising to 1.5 at  $Q^2 = 27$  GeV<sup>2</sup>, while [7] finds that  $\beta = 2 + \gamma(Q^2)$  with  $\gamma$  positive and increasing at  $Q^2$  rises. Both sets of authors claim agreement with the available data set. The small values of  $\beta$  result from perturbative QCD and the larger values from non-perturbative techniques. Indeed, [5] finds that the value of  $\beta$  can lie between 1 and 2.5 depending on the technique used to resum the contributions of large logarithms in computing the relationship between  $q(x)$  and the measured Drell-Yan cross section data. It would be beneficial to find the relation (if any) between the behavior at large values of  $x$  and the underlying dynamics.

The wide interest in the form factor and distribution function originates in the early hypotheses of the connection between the two observable quantities. Drell & Yan [8] and West [9] suggested a relation between  $q(x)$  for large values of  $x$  and the elastic proton's Dirac form factor  $F_1(\Delta^2)$ , where  $\Delta^2$  is the negative of the square of the four-momentum transfer to the target hadron, at large values of  $\Delta^2$ . Different aspects of the wave function are used to compute distribution functions and form factors, so the following relation is very surprising, namely

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} q(x) = (1-x)^{n_H} \quad (1)$$

leads to the result

$$\lim_{\Delta^2 \rightarrow \infty} F_1(\Delta^2) \propto \frac{1}{(\Delta^2)^{(n_H+1)/2}}, \quad (2)$$

with  $n_H$  the number of partons in the hadron. The  $F_1$  form factor is the matrix element of the plus-component of the electromagnetic current operator between proton states of the same spin. So the proof would provide the same relations for the pion. The original papers are heavily quoted now despite the ancient nature of these relations.

Another relationship between structure functions and form factors is obtained from the use of perturbative QCD and leads to quark counting rules for the proton and pion obtained in [10–13] and reviewed in [14]. These are

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} q(x, Q^2) \propto (1-x)^{2n_H-3+2|\Delta_s|+\Delta\gamma}, \quad (3)$$

$$\lim_{\Delta^2 \rightarrow \infty} F_H(\Delta^2) \propto \frac{1}{(\Delta^2)^{n_H-1}}, \quad (4)$$

with  $\Delta\gamma$  a correction accounting for evolution that vanishes at a starting scale  $\zeta_H^2$ ,  $n_H$  is the minimum number of elementary constituents of the hadron, and we have taken the number of spectators to be  $n_H - 1$ . The quantity  $\Delta_s$  is the difference between the  $z$ -components of the quark and hadron spin. Thus for a proton the dominant term at high  $x$  has  $|\Delta S_z| = 0$  and for a pion  $|\Delta S_z| = 1/2$ . The two sets of relations (Eq. (1), Eq. (2)) and (Eq. (3), Eq. (4)) are approximately the same for the proton at  $\zeta_H^2$ : namely,  $q(x) \sim (1-x)^3$  and  $F_1 \sim 1/\Delta^4$  with  $n_H = 3$ . There are two sets of predictions for the pion  $q(x) \sim (1-x)^2$ ,  $F(\Delta^2) \sim 1/\Delta^3$  for Drell-Yan West, and  $q(x) = (1-x)^2$ ,  $F(\Delta^2) \sim 1/\Delta^2$  for the quark counting rules. We now focus on the pion.

The current literature tells us that the relation between the high- $x$  behavior of  $q(x)$  and the pion form factor is interesting. In other words, what can the value of  $\beta$  be used for? We aim to study the connection between  $q(x)$  and the square of the pion valence light-front wave function.

# Neutron radius determination of $^{133}\text{Cs}$ and constraint on the weak mixing angle

Y. Huang,<sup>1,2</sup> S. Y. Xia,<sup>3,4</sup> Y. F. Li,<sup>3,4</sup> X. L. Tu,<sup>1,\*</sup> J. T. Zhang,<sup>1</sup> C. J. Shao,<sup>1</sup>  
K. Yue,<sup>1</sup> P. Ma,<sup>1</sup> Y. F. Niu,<sup>5</sup> Z. P. Li,<sup>6</sup> Y. Kuang,<sup>6</sup> X. Q. Liu,<sup>2</sup> J. F. Han,<sup>2</sup> P.  
Egelhof,<sup>7</sup> Yu. A. Litvinov,<sup>7</sup> M. Wang,<sup>1</sup> Y. H. Zhang,<sup>1</sup> X. H. Zhou,<sup>1</sup> and Z. Y. Sun<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China*

<sup>2</sup>*Key Laboratory of Radiation Physics and Technology of the Ministry of Education,  
Institute of Nuclear Science and Technology, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China*

<sup>3</sup>*Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China*

<sup>4</sup>*School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China*

<sup>5</sup>*School of Nuclear Science and Technology, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China*

<sup>6</sup>*School of Physical Science and Technology, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, China*

<sup>7</sup>*GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, D-64291 Darmstadt, Germany*

(Dated: March 7, 2024)

Proton- $^{133}\text{Cs}$  elastic scattering at low momentum transfer is performed using an in-ring reaction technique at the Cooler Storage Ring at the Heavy Ion Research Facility in Lanzhou. Recoil protons from the elastic collisions between the internal  $\text{H}_2$ -gas target and the circulating  $^{133}\text{Cs}$  ions at 199.4 MeV/u are detected by a silicon-strip detector. The matter radius of  $^{133}\text{Cs}$  is deduced by describing the measured differential cross sections using the Glauber model. Employing the adopted proton distribution radius, a point-neutron radius of 4.86(21) fm for  $^{133}\text{Cs}$  is obtained. With the newly determined neutron radius, the weak mixing angle  $\sin^2\theta_W$  is independently extracted to be 0.227(28) by fitting the coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering data. This work limits the  $\sin^2\theta_W$  value in a range smaller than the ones proposed by the previous independent approaches.

The weak mixing angle,  $\sin^2\theta_W$ , is a fundamental parameter in the  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$  electroweak theory of the Standard Model (SM) [1]. Any deviation from the expected  $\sin^2\theta_W$  value in SM may serve as an indicative signature of new physics. Historically, the masses of top quark and Higgs boson were successfully predicted by the higher order diagram calculations with the measured  $\sin^2\theta_W$  [1].

To precisely constrain the  $\sin^2\theta_W$  value, various methods are developed to measure the dependence of  $\sin^2\theta_W$  on the transferred momentum [2, 3]. At very low momentum transfer, for instance, one uses the atomic parity nonconservation (PNC) measurements of  $^{133}\text{Cs}$  [4, 5]. However, as pointed out in Ref. [6], the  $\sin^2\theta_W$  determination via the PNC depends on many theoretical corrections. There is an obvious difference for the PNC amplitude correction associated with neutron skin effects [7]. The effects of the unknown neutron distribution radius of  $^{133}\text{Cs}$  on the PNC were addressed about 25 years ago [8].

Recently the coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering (CE $\nu$ NS) was observed using a CsI[Na] detector at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory [9]. The CE $\nu$ NS measurement provides a clear method to constrain  $\sin^2\theta_W$  at low momentum transfer [10] and consequently allows one to investigate neutrino nonstandard interactions [11], dark matter [12], and light vector  $Z'$  mediator [13] (see Refs. [6, 14] for details). Although majority of observables were experimentally measured, there was still a lack of precision neutron radii of  $^{133}\text{Cs}$  and  $^{127}\text{I}$  [11, 13, 15] in interpreting the CE $\nu$ NS-CsI data. As underscored by the COHERENT collaboration [11], the uncertainty in the CE $\nu$ NS-CsI cross section calculations

is dominated by the neutron distributions of  $^{133}\text{Cs}$  and  $^{127}\text{I}$ . The influence of the neutron radii on the CE $\nu$ NS-CsI data interpretation was also addressed by other independent investigations [15]. In a word, inaccurate or biased treatment of the neutron radii of  $^{133}\text{Cs}$  and  $^{127}\text{I}$  would lead to the misidentification of possible signals of new physics [15]. Usually,  $\sin^2\theta_W$  can be deduced through a fit to the CE $\nu$ NS-CsI data by fixing neutron radii from theoretical predictions of various models [11, 16–18]. Alternatively, the neutron radii can be deduced by assuming a fixed  $\sin^2\theta_W$  value [19]. Up to date, the reported (average) neutron radii of  $^{133}\text{Cs}$  spread from 4.6 fm through 6.6 fm [10, 16, 17, 19–26].

The accurate determination of the neutron radius for  $^{133}\text{Cs}$  has garnered significant attention across the atomic, nuclear, and particle physics communities owing to its significance in fundamental researches. However, it is challenging to experimentally measure the neutron radius of  $^{133}\text{Cs}$  in normal kinematics, due to the low melting point of 28°C and spontaneous ignition in air. In this Letter, we introduce an innovative approach to determine the neutron radius of  $^{133}\text{Cs}$  by measuring the proton elastic scattering at low momentum transfer using an in-ring reaction technique and inverse kinematics. We elucidate the impact of this determination on the  $\sin^2\theta_W$  extraction from the recent CE $\nu$ NS-CsI data.

The novel in-ring reaction experiment was carried out at the experimental Cooler Storage Ring (CSRe) of the Heavy Ion Research Facility in Lanzhou (HIRFL) [27]. Such kinds of experiments are especially suited for the small-angle differential cross section measurements at low momentum transfer [28, 29]. CSRe, which is equipped