

SQUARE FUNCTION/NON-TANGENTIAL MAXIMAL FUNCTION ESTIMATES AND THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM FOR NON-SYMMETRIC ELLIPTIC OPERATORS

STEVE HOFMANN, CARLOS KENIG, SVITLANA MAYBORODA, AND JILL PIPHER

ABSTRACT. We consider divergence form elliptic operators $L = -\operatorname{div} A(x)\nabla$, defined in the half space \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , $n \geq 2$, where the coefficient matrix $A(x)$ is bounded, measurable, uniformly elliptic, t -independent, and not necessarily symmetric. We establish square function/non-tangential maximal function estimates for solutions of the homogeneous equation $Lu = 0$, and we then combine these estimates with the method of “ ϵ -approximability” to show that L -harmonic measure is absolutely continuous with respect to surface measure (i.e., n -dimensional Lebesgue measure) on the boundary, in a scale-invariant sense: more precisely, that it belongs to the class A_∞ with respect to surface measure (equivalently, that the Dirichlet problem is solvable with data in L^p , for some $p < \infty$). Previously, these results had been known only in the case $n = 1$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and statements of results	2
1.1. Historical comments, and remarks on the proofs of the theorems.	7
1.2. Notation	8
2. Proof of Theorem 1.7: Preliminaries for “ $S < N$ ”	8
3. Proof of Theorem 1.7: Main arguments for “ $S < N$ ”	11
3.1. Step 1: $S(u)$ is controlled by a vertical square function of $\partial_t u$.	11
3.2. Step 2: a “good- λ ” inequality for the vertical square function	13
3.3. Step 3: from large p to arbitrary p	26
4. Proof of Theorem 1.14: local “ $N < S$ ” bounds	28
5. ϵ -approximability and the proof of Theorem 1.23	34
5.1. Preliminary Estimates	37
5.2. Stopping Time Construction, part I	37
5.3. The Stopping Time Construction, Part 2	42
References	44

Date: March 17, 2012.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42B99, 42B25, 35J25, 42B20.

Key words and phrases. divergence form elliptic equations, Dirichlet problem, harmonic measure, square function, non-tangential maximal function, ϵ -approximability, A_∞ Muckenhoupt weights, layer potentials.

Each of the authors was supported by NSF.

This work has been possible thanks to the support and hospitality of the *University of Chicago*, the *University of Minnesota*, the *University of Missouri*, *Brown University*, and the *BIRS Centre in Banff* (Canada). The authors would like to express their gratitude to these institutions.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENTS OF RESULTS

We consider a divergence form elliptic operator

$$L := -\operatorname{div} A(x)\nabla,$$

defined in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , where A is $(n+1) \times (n+1)$, real, L^∞ , t -independent, possibly non-symmetric, and satisfies the uniform ellipticity condition

$$(1.1) \quad \lambda|\xi|^2 \leq \langle A(x)\xi, \xi \rangle := \sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1} A_{ij}(x)\xi_j\xi_i, \quad \|A\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \lambda^{-1},$$

for some $\lambda > 0$, and for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. As usual, the divergence form equation is interpreted in the weak sense, i.e., we say that $Lu = 0$ in a domain Ω if $u \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and

$$\int A\nabla u \cdot \nabla \Psi = 0,$$

for all $\Psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. For us, Ω will be a Lipschitz graph domain

$$(1.2) \quad \Omega_\psi := \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : t > \psi(x)\},$$

where $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lipschitz function, or more specifically (but without loss of generality), Ω will be the half-space $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} := \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)\}$.

The purpose of this paper is two-fold.

First, we shall establish global and local L^p bounds for the square function

$$(1.3) \quad S^\alpha(u)(x) := \left(\iint_{|x-y|<\alpha t} |\nabla u(y, t)|^2 \frac{dy dt}{t^{n-1}} \right)^{1/2},$$

in terms of the non-tangential maximal function

$$(1.4) \quad N_*^\alpha(u)(x) := \sup_{(y,t):|x-y|<\alpha t} |u(y, t)|$$

(for the sake of brevity we shall refer to such bounds as “ $S < N$ ” estimates), and vice versa (we designate these as “ $N < S$ ” estimates)¹. As regards the latter, we recall that global $N < S$ bounds were already known [AA]; our new contribution here is to prove a local version. On the other hand, our $S < N$ estimates are completely new, for all $n \geq 2$ (the case $n = 1$ appeared previously in [KKPT]).

Second, having established (local) S/N estimates, we then use these, along with the method of “ ϵ -approximability”, to obtain absolute continuity of L -harmonic measure ω with respect to “surface” measure dx , on the boundary of \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} . In fact, we prove a stronger, scale-invariant version of absolute continuity, namely that ω belongs to the class A_∞ . Let us recall that the latter notion is defined as follows. In the sequel, Q will denote a cube in \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 1.5. ($A_\infty, A_\infty(Q_0)$). A non-negative Borel measure ω defined on \mathbb{R}^n (resp., on a fixed cube Q_0) is said to belong to the class A_∞ (resp. $A_\infty(Q_0)$), if

¹We note that in the sequel, when the value of the aperture α is unimportant, or is clear in context, we shall often simply write S and N_* in lieu of S^α and N_*^α . It is well known that L^p norms for $N_*^\alpha f$ are equivalent for any choice of α , and similarly for $S^\alpha f$ (see [FS], [CMS].)

there are positive constants C and θ such that for every cube Q (resp. every cube $Q \subseteq Q_0$), and every Borel set $F \subset Q$, we have

$$(1.6) \quad \omega(F) \leq C \left(\frac{|F|}{|Q|} \right)^\theta \omega(Q).$$

It is well known (see [CF]) that the A_∞ property is equivalent to the condition that ω is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, and that there is an exponent $q > 1$ such that the Radon-Nykodym derivative $k := d\omega/dx$ satisfies the “reverse Hölder” estimate

$$\left(\int_Q k(x)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \leq C \int_Q k(x) dx,$$

uniformly for every cube Q (resp. every $Q \subseteq Q_0$).

It is also well known (see [Ke, Theorem 1.7.3]) that the fact that harmonic measure belongs to the class A_∞ is equivalent to the solvability of the following L^p Dirichlet problem, for some $p < \infty$ (in fact for p dual to the exponent q in the reverse Hölder inequality):

$$(D_p) \quad \begin{cases} Lu = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u(\cdot, t) = f \text{ in } L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and n.t.} \\ \|N_*(u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Here, the notation “ $u \rightarrow f$ n.t.” means that $\lim_{(y,t) \rightarrow (x,0)} u(y,t) = f(x)$, for *a.e.* $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where the limit runs over $(y,t) \in \Gamma(x) := \{(y,t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} : |y-x| < t\}$.

We also remark that we obtain, as another immediate corollary of the A_∞ property of harmonic measure, that the layer potentials associated to the operator L , as well as its complex perturbations, enjoy L^2 estimates ([H], [AAAHK]).

We now state our results precisely. In the sequel, our ambient space will always be \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , with $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 1.7. *Let L be an elliptic operator as above, defined in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , with t -independent coefficients, and suppose that $Lu = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} . Then*

$$(1.8) \quad \|S(u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|N_*(u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad 0 < p < \infty,$$

where the implicit constant depends upon p, n , ellipticity, and the apertures of the cones defining S and N_* .

The previous theorem has the following immediate local corollary. Given a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$(1.9) \quad T_Q := Q \times (0, \ell(Q)) \subset \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$$

denote the standard Carleson box above Q , where, here and in the sequel, $\ell(Q)$ is the side length of Q .

Corollary 1.10. *Under the same hypotheses as in Theorem 1.7, for a bounded solution u , we have the Carleson measure estimate*

$$(1.11) \quad \sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \iint_{T_Q} |\nabla u(x,t)|^2 t dx \leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)},$$

where C depends only upon dimension and ellipticity.

Sketch of proof of Corollary 1.10. The corollary may be deduced from the theorem by a variant of the argument in [FS]: we divide the boundary data into a “local” part plus a “far-away” part (which we further sub-divide in a dyadic annular fashion), and then use Theorem 1.7 to handle the local part, and Hölder continuity at the boundary to obtain summable decay for the dyadic terms in the far-away part. The treatment of the local part requires in addition the use of a decay estimate for solutions with boundary data vanishing outside a cube (cf. Lemma 4.9 below). We omit the details. Alternatively, (1.11) may be gleaned directly from local estimates established in our proof of Theorem 1.7 (cf. Section 3 below, where we shall make note of the local estimates in question, during the course of the proof). \square

We recall that the converse direction to Theorem 1.7, at least in the case $p = 2$, has recently been obtained by Auscher and Axelsson, and appears in [AA, Theorem 2.4, part (i)], as follows:

$$(1.12) \quad \|N_*(u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|S(u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

In fact, the result of [AA] is considerably more general, in that (1.12) holds in the case of complex coefficients and even strongly elliptic systems, and furthermore the hypothesis of t -independence may be relaxed to a sort of scale-invariant square Dini smoothness in the t -variable, averaged in x . We refer the reader to [AA] for details. We remark that it is still an (apparently difficult) open problem to extend Theorem 1.7 (that is, the $S < N$ direction), to the case of complex coefficients, even assuming t -independence as we do here.

With (1.12), the global estimate of [AA], in hand, we shall deduce a local version. Given a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, let θQ denote the concentric cube of side length $\theta \ell(Q)$, and let

$$(1.13) \quad R_Q := Q \times (0, \ell(Q)/2),$$

be the “short” Carleson box above Q .

Theorem 1.14. *Let L be a t -independent elliptic operator as above, and suppose that $u \in L^\infty$ is a solution of $Lu = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} . Then for each cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and each $0 < \theta < 1$, there is a set $K_Q = K_Q(\theta) \subset\subset R_Q$, with $\text{dist}(K_Q, \partial R_Q) \approx \ell(Q)$ (depending upon θ), such that*

$$(1.15) \quad \int_{\theta Q} |u(x)|^2 dx \leq C_\theta \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \iint_{R_Q} |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 t dx + \sup_{K_Q} |u|^2 \right),$$

where the constant C_θ depends also on dimension and ellipticity.

Remark 1.16. We note that our proof of Theorem 1.14 (cf. Section 4 below) will actually show something stronger, namely, that (1.15) holds with the left hand side replaced by $\int_{\theta Q} N_{*, Q}(u)^2 dx$, where $N_{*, Q}$ is a truncated non-tangential maximal operator, defined with respect to cones that have been truncated at height $\approx \ell(Q)$.

We note that Theorem 1.7, the global $N < S$ bound (1.12), and Theorem 1.14, imply generalizations of themselves. These respective generalizations may be summarized as follows.

Corollary 1.17. *Let L be as above, let Ω_ψ be a Lipschitz graph domain (cf. (1.2)), and suppose that $Lu = 0$ in Ω_ψ . Then for every $p \in (0, \infty)$, we have*

$$(1.18) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega_\psi} S_\psi(u)^p d\sigma \lesssim \int_{\partial\Omega_\psi} N_{*,\psi}(u)^p d\sigma,$$

and

$$(1.19) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega_\psi} N_{*,\psi}(u)^p d\sigma \lesssim \int_{\partial\Omega_\psi} S_\psi(u)^p d\sigma,$$

where the implicit constants depend upon n , p , ellipticity, and $\|\nabla\psi\|_\infty$. Moreover, for $0 < \theta < 1$, if $0 \leq \psi(x) \leq \ell(Q)/8$ in Q , and if $u \in L^\infty$ is a solution of $Lu = 0$ in Ω_ψ , then there is a set $K_Q^\psi = K_Q^\psi(\theta) \subset\subset T_Q \cap \Omega_\psi$, with $\text{dist}(K_Q^\psi, \partial(T_Q \cap \Omega_\psi)) \approx \ell(Q)$ (depending on θ and $\|\nabla\psi\|_\infty$), such that

$$(1.20) \quad \int_{\theta Q} |u(x, \psi(x))|^2 dx \leq C_\theta \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \iint_{T_Q \cap \Omega_\psi} |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 (t - \psi(x)) dt dx + \sup_{K_Q^\psi} |u|^2 \right),$$

where C_θ depends also upon n , ellipticity, and the Lipschitz constant of ψ .

Here, $d\sigma = d\sigma(x) := \sqrt{1 + |\nabla\psi(x)|^2} dx \approx dx$ denotes the standard surface measure on the Lipschitz graph $\partial\Omega_\psi$. The square function $S_\psi(u)$ and non-tangential maximal function $N_{*,\psi}(u)$ are defined on Ω_ψ as follows:

$$(1.21) \quad S_\psi(u)(x) := \left(\iint_{\Gamma(x)} |\nabla u(Y)|^2 \frac{dY}{\delta(Y)^{n-1}} \right)^{1/2},$$

$$(1.22) \quad N_{*,\psi}(u)(x) := \sup_{\Gamma(x)} |u(Y)|,$$

where $\delta(Y) := \text{dist}(Y, \partial\Omega_\psi)$, and where $\Gamma(x) \subset \Omega_\psi$ is a vertical cone with vertex at $x \in \partial\Omega_\psi$, of sufficiently narrow aperture (depending upon the Lipschitz constant of ψ) that $\delta(Y) \approx |Y - x|$, $\forall Y \in \Gamma(x)$.

Sketch of proof of Corollary 1.17. Since Theorem 1.7, Theorem 1.14, and (1.12) hold (or will be shown to hold), for the entire class of t -independent divergence form operators as described above, one may reduce matters to the case that $\psi \equiv 0$ (i.e., the case that $\Omega_\psi = \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$), by ‘‘pulling back’’ under the mapping $(x, t) \rightarrow (x, t + \psi(x))$, which preserves the class of t -independent elliptic operators under consideration, and maps $\Omega_\psi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$, and $\partial\Omega_\psi \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$, bijectively. In the case of (1.19), the pullback mechanism and (1.12) yield directly only the case $p = 2$; however, since we also establish local ‘‘ $N < S$ ’’ estimates (cf. Remark 1.16), we may obtain the full range of p in (1.19) by a well known ‘‘good-lambda’’ argument. We omit the details, which are standard. \square

Using the local estimates (1.11) and (1.20), we shall deduce the following theorem. Given a cube $Q \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we let x_Q denote the center of Q , and let $X_Q := (x_Q, \ell(Q))$ be the ‘‘Corkscrew point’’ relative to Q . For $X \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$, and an elliptic operator L as above, we let ω^X denote the L -harmonic measure at X .

Theorem 1.23. *Let L be a divergence form elliptic operator as above, with t -independent coefficients. Then there is a $p < \infty$ such that the Dirichlet problem D_p is well-posed; equivalently, for each cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the L -harmonic measure $\omega^{X_Q} \in A_\infty(Q)$, with constants that are uniform in Q .*

The proof of Theorem 1.23 will be deduced from (1.11) and (1.20) via the method of “ ϵ -approximability”. We defer until Section 5 a detailed discussion of this notion, but we mention at this point that it was introduced by Garnett [G], who showed that the property is enjoyed by bounded harmonic functions in the plane. An alternative proof of Garnett’s result was provided by Varopoulos [V]. A third proof, which extended to bounded harmonic functions in all dimensions, was found by Dahlberg [D], who made the connection with square function estimates on bounded Lipschitz domains. In [KKPT], it was observed by the second and fourth named authors of this paper, jointly with Koch and Toro, that Dahlberg’s argument may be carried over to bounded solutions of general divergence form elliptic operators, in the presence of square function estimates on bounded Lipschitz domains; moreover, these authors showed that ϵ -approximability, in turn, implies that harmonic measure belongs to A_∞ with respect to surface measure on the boundary. In the present paper, we invoke the latter result of [KKPT] “off-the-shelf”: the essence of the proof of our Theorem 1.23 is to show that our solutions are ϵ -approximable. Having done this (in Section 5), we then obtain immediately the conclusion of Theorem 1.23, by [KKPT, Theorem 2.3]. We remark that our approach here, although it relies upon ideas from the proofs in both [G] and [D], does *not*, in contrast to the proofs of ϵ -approximability in [D] and [KKPT], require S/N estimates on Lipschitz sub-domains of arbitrary orientation, but rather only local S/N estimates on Lipschitz graph domains Ω_ψ as in (1.2), for which the fixed vertical (i.e., t) direction is transverse to $\partial\Omega_\psi$. This refinement of the ϵ -approximability method is significant for us, because it is not clear how (or whether) one could exploit the t -independence of our coefficients to obtain S/N estimates on Lipschitz domains with other orientations (i.e., for which the t -direction may fail to be transverse to the boundary).

Finally, we note that, by [H] and [AAAHK], Theorem 1.23 has as an immediate corollary that the layer potentials associated to any t -independent operator L as above, and to its complex perturbations, are L^2 bounded. More precisely, let $\mathcal{E}_L(x, t, y, s)$ be the fundamental solution for L , and define the single layer potential operator by

$$(1.24) \quad S_t^L f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{E}_L(x, t, y, 0) f(y) dy, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Corollary 1.25. *Let $L = -\operatorname{div} A(x)\nabla$ be a t -independent divergence form elliptic operator, where A is real, or more generally, where A has complex entries and there is a real, elliptic, t -independent matrix $A'(x)$ such that $\|A - A'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \varepsilon_0$. If ε_0 is small enough, depending only upon dimension and ellipticity, then*

$$\sup_{t>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla_{x,t} S_t^L f(x)|^2 dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} |\nabla_{x,t} \partial_t S_t^L f(x)|^2 \frac{dx dt}{t} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 dx,$$

where C depends upon n , ellipticity, and $\|A - A'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

The case that A has real entries follows immediately from Theorem 1.23 and [H, Theorem 3.1 and its proof]. In turn, the perturbation result follows from the proof

of [AAAHK, Theorem 1.12], plus the global $N < S$ bound of [AA] (that is, (1.12) above). We omit the details.

1.1. Historical comments, and remarks on the proofs of the theorems. In the case of t -independent symmetric matrices, all of the results stated above have been known for some time. In that case, solvability of the Dirichlet problem D_2 was proved in [JK], by means of a so-called “Rellich identity” obtained via integration by parts. In turn, given the solvability result, S/N bounds follow by the main theorem in [DJK] (thus, for symmetric matrices, the logic of our proof strategy in the present paper, in which we establish S/N bounds first, and then deduce solvability, was reversed). The integration by parts argument used to prove the Rellich identity relies heavily on self-adjointness, and thus is inapplicable to the non-symmetric case treated here. Let us further point out that self-adjointness plays another role: in the case of real symmetric coefficients, one obtains L^2 solvability of the Dirichlet problem (equivalently, that the Poisson kernel satisfies a reverse Hölder inequality with exponent $q = 2$), whereas in the case of non-symmetric coefficients, by the counter-examples of [KKPT], one cannot make precise the exponent p for which one has solvability of D_p (equivalently, one cannot specify the reverse Hölder exponent q enjoyed by the Poisson kernel). Thus, for non-symmetric operators, the conclusion that $\omega \in A_\infty$ is best possible.

Our main results, Theorems 1.7, 1.14 and 1.23, are extensions to \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , $n \geq 2$, of analogous results of [KKPT], which were valid in the plane (i.e., $n = 1$). The proof of Theorem 1.14 will follow that of its antecedent, Theorem 3.18 of [KKPT], very closely, with some minor changes required by the higher dimensional setting. As noted above, the proof of Theorem 1.23 is based on the “ ϵ -approximability” arguments of [G], [D] and [KKPT], in which S/N estimates on Lipschitz sub-domains is used to obtain a certain approximability property of solutions, and in turn, to deduce solvability of D_p for some finite p . In this paper, we present a non-trivial refinement of the method, which requires us to establish (local) comparability of S and N only on Lipschitz graph domains, for which the t -direction is transverse to the boundary.

The $S < N$ estimates proved in [KKPT] relied on the fact that in the plane, a 2×2 t -independent matrix can be triangularized by “pushing forward” to an appropriate Lipschitz graph domain Ω_1 . In turn, one can prove square function estimates for operators with upper triangular coefficient matrices, by a standard integration by parts argument, since for such operators, the function $v(x, t) \equiv t$ is an adjoint null solution. Having triangularized the matrix, this integration by parts may be carried out in the half-plane \mathbb{R}_+^2 , and even in Lipschitz graph domains, after “pulling back” to the half-space with the Dahlberg-Kenig-Stein change of variable.

In higher dimensions, this approach fails, but the proof of Theorem 1.7 exploits a more general principle in the same spirit, namely, that by pushing forward to the domain above the graph of an appropriate $W^{1,2+\epsilon}$ function φ , which arises in a (local) L -adapted Hodge decomposition of the coefficient vector $\mathbf{c} := (A_{n+1,j})_{1 \leq j \leq n}$, one may put the coefficient matrix into a better form, in which the vector \mathbf{c} is replaced by a divergence free vector. In turn, this observation may be combined with an L -adapted variant of the Dahlberg-Kenig-Stein pullback mapping, along with the solution of the Kato problem [HLMc], [AHLMcT], to carry out a refined version of the classical integration by parts argument. Of course, some care must

be taken with the push forward/pullback mapping based on φ , since the latter is merely $W^{1,2+\varepsilon}$, and not Lipschitz.

1.2. Notation. In the sequel, we shall use the notational convention that a generic constant C , as well as the constants implicit in the expressions $a \lesssim b$, $a \approx b$, $a \gtrsim b$, shall be allowed to depend on dimension, ellipticity, the aperture of the cones used in the definition of S and N_* (with one exception, to be noted momentarily), and, when working in Lipschitz graph domains, the Lipschitz constant, unless there is an explicit qualification to the contrary. As regards constants depending on the aperture of the cones, in “Step 2” of the proof of Theorem 1.7, we shall consider non-tangential maximal functions taken with respect to a narrow aperture η , and we shall indicate explicitly any dependence on η , of the norms of these maximal functions (thus, if no dependence on η is indicated, there is none, or we have reached a stage of the argument where such dependence is irrelevant; cf. (2.20)-(2.21) and Subsection 3.2 below.) We shall sometimes write $X = (x, t)$ to denote points in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , and we let $B(X_0, r) := \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |X - X_0| < r\}$ denote the standard Euclidean ball in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . We shall denote cubes in \mathbb{R}^n and in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , respectively, by $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $I \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.7: PRELIMINARIES FOR “ $S < N$ ”

Let $A(x)$ be an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$, real, elliptic, L^∞ , t -independent and possibly non-symmetric matrix, as in the introduction. We represent the matrix A schematically as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad A = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A_{\parallel} & \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} & d \end{array} \right],$$

where $d := A_{n+1,n+1}$, $\mathbf{b} := (A_{i,n+1})_{1 \leq i \leq n}$, $\mathbf{c} := (A_{n+1,j})_{1 \leq j \leq n}$, and A_{\parallel} denotes the $n \times n$ submatrix of A with entries $(A_{\parallel})_{i,j} := A_{i,j}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. Given any matrix $B = (B_{i,j})$ (no matter its dimensions), we let $B^* = (B_{j,i})$ denotes its adjoint (i.e. transpose, since our coefficients are real). Thus,

$$(2.2) \quad A^* = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A_{\parallel}^* & \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{b} & d \end{array} \right].$$

Eventually, we shall establish “good-lambda” estimates for square functions of solutions of the equation $Lu = 0$, and thus, as usual, we shall work locally, on a given cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Since our coefficients clearly belong to L^p_{loc} for any finite p , having fixed a cube Q , we can make a $W^{1,2+\varepsilon}$ Hodge decomposition with sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ (see, e.g., [AT]), and write

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbf{c}1_{5Q} = -A_{\parallel}^* \nabla \varphi + \mathbf{h}, \quad \mathbf{b}1_{5Q} = A_{\parallel} \nabla \tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{\mathbf{h}},$$

where $\varphi, \tilde{\varphi} \in W_0^{1,2+\varepsilon}(5Q)$, and $\mathbf{h}, \tilde{\mathbf{h}}$ are divergence free and supported in $5Q$, and where

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{5Q} (|\nabla \varphi(x)| + |\mathbf{h}(x)|)^{2+\varepsilon} dx \leq C \int_{5Q} |\mathbf{c}(x)|^{2+\varepsilon} dx \leq C$$

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{5Q} (|\nabla \tilde{\varphi}(x)| + |\tilde{\mathbf{h}}(x)|)^{2+\varepsilon} dx \leq C \int_{5Q} |\mathbf{b}(x)|^{2+\varepsilon} dx \leq C.$$

We define an n -dimensional divergence form operator

$$L_{\parallel} := -\operatorname{div}_x(A_{\parallel}\nabla_x),$$

and let $\mathcal{P}_t := e^{-t^2L_{\parallel}}$ and $\mathcal{P}_t^* := e^{-t^2L_{\parallel}^*}$ denote, respectively, the heat semigroup associated to L_{\parallel} and to its adjoint L_{\parallel}^* , but endowed with “elliptic” homogeneity (thus, t has been squared).

In the sequel, we shall want to consider the pullback of L under the mapping

$$(2.6) \quad \rho(x, t) := (x, \tau(x, t)) := \left(x, t - \varphi(x) + \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^*\varphi(x)\right),$$

where $\eta > 0$ is a small but fixed number to be chosen, and φ is as in (2.3), and has been extended to all of \mathbb{R}^n by setting $\varphi \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 5Q$. A computation shows that if u is a solution of $Lu = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , then $u_1 := u \circ \rho$ is a solution of $L_1u_1 = 0$ (at least formally), where $L_1 := -\operatorname{div}(A_1\nabla)$, and, for J and \mathbf{p} to be defined momentarily,

$$(2.7) \quad A_1 := \left[\begin{array}{c|c} JA_{\parallel} & \mathbf{b} + A_{\parallel}\nabla_x\varphi - A_{\parallel}\nabla_x\mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^*\varphi \\ \hline \mathbf{h} - A_{\parallel}^*\nabla_x\mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^*\varphi & \frac{\langle A\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \rangle}{J} \end{array} \right].$$

Here, \mathbf{h} is the divergence free vector in the Hodge decomposition (2.3), and we define J and \mathbf{p} as follows:

$$(2.8) \quad J(x, t) := 1 + \partial_t\mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^*\varphi(x),$$

is the Jacobian of the change of variable $t \rightarrow \tau(x, t)$, with $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ fixed, and

$$(2.9) \quad \mathbf{p}(x, t) := (\nabla_x\tau(x, t), -1) = (\nabla_x\mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^*\varphi(x) - \nabla_x\varphi(x), -1).$$

Let us make precise our statement that $L_1u_1 = 0$. In fact, in the sequel, we shall consider u_1 in a certain sawtooth domain Ω_0 in which the mapping $(x, t) \rightarrow \rho(x, t)$ is 1-1, with range contained in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , and in which $J(x, t) \approx 1$ (uniformly). The fact that $L_1u_1 = 0$ in the sawtooth region then follows from the pointwise identity

$$(2.10) \quad A((\nabla u) \circ \rho) \cdot ((\nabla v) \circ \rho) J = A_1\nabla u_1 \cdot \nabla v_1,$$

for $v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega_0)$, where $v_1 := v \circ \rho$.

We conclude these preliminaries with some estimate for square functions and non-tangential maximal functions built from the “ellipticized” heat semigroup operators \mathcal{P}_t and \mathcal{P}_t^* . By the solution of the Kato problem [HLMc], [AHLMcT], we have for every $\alpha > 0$ that

$$(2.11) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \iint_{|x-y| < \alpha t} |t\mathcal{P}_t \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{f}(y)|^2 \frac{dy dt}{t^{n+1}} dx \\ \approx \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} |t\mathcal{P}_t \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{f}(x)|^2 \frac{dx dt}{t} \leq C \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2,$$

where the implicit constants depend upon the aperture α (but in fact are uniform for all $\alpha \leq 1$). Also, by standard semigroup theory (more precisely, that $\mathcal{P}_t = e^{-t^2L_{\parallel}/2}e^{-t^2L_{\parallel}/2}$, and that $t\nabla_{x,t}e^{-t^2L_{\parallel}/2}$ is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, uniformly in t ; cf.

[Ka]), the latter bounds imply that

$$(2.12) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \iint_{|x-y|<at} |t^2 \nabla_{x,t} \mathcal{P}_t \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{f}(y)|^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}} dx \\ \approx \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} |t^2 \nabla_{x,t} \mathcal{P}_t \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{f}(x)|^2 \frac{dxdt}{t} \leq C \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.$$

Of course, analogous bounds hold for \mathcal{P}_t^* . By a well-known argument of Fefferman and Stein [FS], the bounds in (2.11)-(2.12) imply corresponding Carleson measure estimates when $\mathbf{f} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and thus by tent space interpolation [CMS], we obtain that

$$(2.13) \quad \|\mathcal{A}_1^\alpha \mathbf{f}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\mathcal{A}_2^\alpha \mathbf{f}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{\alpha,p} \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

for every $p \in [2, \infty)$, where

$$(2.14) \quad \mathcal{A}_1^\alpha \mathbf{f}(x) := \left(\iint_{|x-y|<at} |t \mathcal{P}_t \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{f}(y)|^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$(2.15) \quad \mathcal{A}_2^\alpha \mathbf{f}(x) := \left(\iint_{|x-y|<at} |t^2 \nabla_{x,t} \mathcal{P}_t \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{f}(y)|^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Trivially, (2.11)-(2.12) also entail L^2 bounds for the vertical square functions

$$(2.16) \quad \mathcal{G}_1 \mathbf{f}(x) := \left(\int_0^\infty |t \mathcal{P}_t \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{f}(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$(2.17) \quad \mathcal{G}_2 \mathbf{f}(x) := \left(\int_0^\infty |t^2 \nabla_{x,t} \mathcal{P}_t \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{f}(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2}.$$

The L^2 bounds for these vertical square functions may also be extended to L^p :

$$(2.18) \quad \|\mathcal{G}_1 \mathbf{f}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\mathcal{G}_2 \mathbf{f}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_p \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

for every $p \in [2, 2 + \varepsilon_0)$, with $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ chosen small enough depending on dimension and ellipticity. For \mathcal{G}_1 the latter fact is a routine consequence of local Hölder regularity in x , of the kernel of \mathcal{P}_t , and in fact the L^p bounds hold more generally for $2 \leq p < \infty$; for \mathcal{G}_2 , the L^p estimates in the range $2 < p < 2 + \varepsilon_0$ are essentially due to Auscher [A], and in that case the upper endpoint $2 + \varepsilon_0$ is best possible.

Clearly, (2.13) and (2.18) hold also for the analogous operators corresponding to \mathcal{P}_t^* .

Finally, we note that for $2 \leq p < \infty$,

$$(2.19) \quad \|N_*^\alpha(\partial_t \mathcal{P}_t f)\|_p \leq C_{\alpha,p} \|\nabla_x f\|_p$$

$$(2.20) \quad \|\eta^{-1} N_*^\eta(\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t} f)\|_p \leq C_p \|\nabla_x f\|_p$$

$$(2.21) \quad \|\widetilde{N}_*^\eta(\nabla_x \mathcal{P}_{\eta t} f)\|_p \leq C_p \|\nabla_x f\|_p$$

and similarly for \mathcal{P}_t^* , where we shall define \widetilde{N}_*^η momentarily. Indeed, since the kernel of the operator $t\partial_t \mathcal{P}_t$ enjoys pointwise Gaussian bounds, and kills constants, we have

$$|\partial_t \mathcal{P}_t f(y)| = |\partial_t \mathcal{P}_t(f - f_{x,t})(y)| \leq C_\alpha M(\nabla_x f)(x),$$

whenever $|x-y| < \alpha t$, where $f_{x,t} := \int_{|x-z|<t} f(z)dz$, and where in the last step we have used a dyadic annular decomposition, the decay of the kernel, a telescoping identity, and the L^1 Poincare inequality. The bound (2.19) now follows immediately. A slightly more careful version of the same argument, in which we replace $f_{x,t}$ by $f_{x,\eta t}$, yields (2.20), since the kernel of $t\partial_t\mathcal{P}_{\eta t}$, call it $k_{\eta t}(x,y)$ enjoys the Gaussian estimate

$$|k_{\eta t}(x,y)| \lesssim (\eta t)^{-n} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{\eta^2 t^2}\right).$$

Here, our interest is in the case that η is fairly small, so it is important that we have specified that the aperture of the cone in (2.20) is equal to η (it would of course also be fine to allow any aperture $\alpha \lesssim \eta$). To prove (2.21), in which

$$(2.22) \quad \widetilde{N}_*^\eta(v)(x) := \sup_{(y,t): |x-y|<\eta t} \left(\int_{|y-z|<\eta t} |v(z,t)|^2 dz \right)^{1/2},$$

we may argue as in [KP], using a variant of Caccioppoli's inequality to obtain a bound in terms of $N_*^{2\eta}(\partial_t\mathcal{P}_{\eta t}f)$, $\sup_{t>0} |\partial_t\mathcal{P}_t f|$, and a tangential gradient on the boundary. We omit the details.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.7: MAIN ARGUMENTS FOR “ $S < N$ ”

In this section, we present the main arguments in the proof of Theorem 1.7, in three steps. We first show that $S(u)$ is controlled, in L^p norm for p sufficiently large, by a vertical square function involving only the t -derivative of u (plus $N_*(u)$). We then show that this vertical square function is controlled by $N_*(u)$, again in L^p norm for p large. Finally, we shall remove the restriction on p . We will sometimes vary the apertures of our cones, in the definitions of $S(u)$ and $N_*(u)$, from one of these steps to the next, but as we have already noted, this is harmless, as all choices of aperture yield equivalent L^p norms ([FS], [CMS].) Within each step, we shall always maintain a consistent choice of aperture.

3.1. Step 1: $S(u)$ is controlled by a vertical square function of $\partial_t u$. Set

$$(3.1) \quad g(u)(x) := \left(\int_0^\infty |\partial_t u(x,t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

Our goal at this stage is to establish the following “good- λ ” inequality, for arbitrary positive λ , and for all sufficiently small γ :

$$(3.2) \quad \left| \left\{ x \in Q : S(u)(x) > 3\lambda, \left(M(g(u)^2 + N_*(u)^2)(x) \right)^{1/2} \leq \gamma\lambda \right\} \right| \leq C\gamma^2|Q|,$$

whenever Q is a Whitney cube for the open set $\{S(u) > \lambda\}$. Here and in the sequel, M denotes the non-centered Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, taken with respect to averages on cubes. As is well known, (3.2) implies the global L^p bound

$$(3.3) \quad \|S(u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_p \left(\|g(u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|N_*(u)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right), \quad 2 < p < \infty.$$

For the sake of specificity, let us fix the aperture of the cones defining $S(u)$ to be 1, and that of the cones defining $N^*(u)$ to be $\gg 1$.

We now fix a cube Q in the Whitney decomposition of $\{S(u) > \lambda\}$, and we introduce a truncated square function

$$S_Q(u)(x) := \left(\iint_{|x-y| < t < \ell(Q)} |\nabla u(y, t)|^2 \frac{dy dt}{t^{n-1}} \right)^{1/2}.$$

To prove (3.2), we may suppose that there is at least one point in Q , call it x_* , for which

$$(3.4) \quad \left(M(g(u)^2 + N_*(u)^2)(x_*) \right)^{1/2} \leq \gamma \lambda.$$

Then by the arguments of [DJK] (which are now standard), using interior estimates for solutions, properties of Whitney cubes, and the fact that the cones defining $N_*(u)$ have aperture much larger than do those defining $S(u)$, the set on the left hand side of (3.2) is contained in $\{x \in Q : S_Q(u)(x) > \lambda\}$, provided γ is chosen small enough, depending on dimension and ellipticity. We omit the details, which may be found in [DJK]. By Tchebychev's inequality, and then Fubini's Theorem, we therefore have that the left hand side of (3.2) is bounded by

$$(3.5) \quad \left| \{x \in Q : S_Q(u)(x) > \lambda\} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_Q S_Q(u)^2(x) dx \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_{3Q} \int_0^{\ell(Q)} |\nabla u(y, t)|^2 t dt dy =: \frac{1}{\lambda^2} I.$$

We claim that

$$(3.6) \quad I \lesssim |Q| M(g(u)^2 + N_*(u)^2)(x_*),$$

whence (3.2) follows from (3.4).

Let us now verify the claim. Set $\Phi_Q(t) \equiv \Phi(t/\ell(Q))$, where $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, with $0 \leq \Phi \leq 1$, $\Phi(t) \equiv 1$ if $t \leq 1$, and $\Phi(t) \equiv 0$ if $t \geq 2$. Integrating by parts in t , we then have that

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \int_{3Q} \int_0^{2\ell(Q)} |\nabla u(y, t)|^2 \Phi_Q(t) t dt dy \approx \int_{3Q} \int_0^{2\ell(Q)} \partial_t (|\nabla u(y, t)|^2 \Phi_Q(t)) t^2 dt dy \\ &\lesssim \int_{3Q} \int_0^{2\ell(Q)} \langle \nabla \partial_t u(y, t), \nabla u(y, t) \rangle \Phi_Q(t) t^2 dt dy + \int_{3Q} \int_{\ell(Q)}^{2\ell(Q)} |\nabla u(y, t)|^2 t^2 dt dy \\ &=: I' + I''. \end{aligned}$$

By Caccioppoli's inequality, $I'' \lesssim |Q| M(N_*(u)^2)(x_*)$. Moreover, by Cauchy's inequality, we have that

$$I' \lesssim \epsilon \int_{3Q} \int_0^{2\ell(Q)} |\nabla u(y, t)|^2 \Phi_Q(t) t dt dy + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{3Q} \int_0^{2\ell(Q)} |\nabla \partial_t u(y, t)|^2 t^3 dt dy.$$

Fixing ϵ small enough, depending only upon allowable parameters, we may hide the first of these terms (to do this rigorously, we would smoothly truncate the t -integral away from 0, to guarantee that I is finite; the truncation results in additional error terms which may be shown, via Caccioppoli's inequality, to be controlled by $|Q| M(N_*(u)^2)(x_*)$; we omit the routine details). Covering the region $3Q \times (0, 2\ell(Q))$ by Whitney boxes (of the decomposition of the open set \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}), and using Caccioppoli's inequality (as we may, since by t -independence, $\partial_t u$ is a solution), we find

that the last term is bounded by a constant times

$$\int_{4Q} \int_0^{3\ell(Q)} |\partial_t u(y, t)|^2 t dt dy \lesssim |Q| M(g(u)^2)(x_*).$$

Collecting estimates, we obtain (3.6), as claimed. This concludes Step 1.

To conclude this subsection, let us note that in the context of the Carleson measure estimate of Corollary 1.10, the preceding argument shows that the left hand side of (1.11) may be replaced by a similar expression, but with ∇u replaced by $\partial_t u$, modulo errors on the order of $\|u\|_\infty$. Thus, to establish Corollary 1.10, it suffices to verify:

$$\sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \iint_{T_Q} |\partial_t u(x, t)|^2 t dt dx \leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}.$$

We further note that since $\partial_t u$ is a solution, it satisfies De Giorgi/Nash local Hölder continuity estimates. Consequently, by [AHLT, Lemma 2.14], it is enough to show that there is a uniform constant c , and for each cube Q , a set $F \subset Q$, with $|F| \geq c|Q|$, for which

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_F \int_0^{\ell(Q)} |\partial_t u(x, t)|^2 t dt dx \leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)},$$

3.2. Step 2: a “good- λ ” inequality for the vertical square function. We turn now to the heart of the proof of Theorem 1.7, namely, to establish a “good- λ ” inequality for the vertical square function (3.1) in terms of $N_*(u)$. Throughout this subsection, we may assume that our solution u is continuous up to the boundary of \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} ; indeed, having established the desired bounds for continuous u , we may apply those bounds to $u_\delta(x, t) := u(x, t + \delta)$, with $\delta > 0$ which is a solution of the same equation, by t -independence of the coefficients. In turn, these bounds are preserved in the limit, as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, by a monotone convergence argument. We omit the routine details.

For a given $\lambda > 0$, suppose that Q is a Whitney cube for the open set

$$E_\lambda := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M(g(u))(x) > \lambda\}.$$

We now fix $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $2 + \varepsilon$ is an exponent for which the Hodge decomposition holds for L_\parallel and L_\parallel^* (cf. (2.3)-(2.5).) Let $\varphi, \tilde{\varphi} \in W_0^{1, 2+\varepsilon}(5Q)$ be as in (2.3), and for a small $\eta > 0$ to be chosen, set

$$(3.8) \quad \Lambda_1 := \eta^{-1} N_*^\eta(\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi) + N_*(\partial_t \mathcal{P}_t^* \varphi) + \tilde{N}_*^\eta(\nabla \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi) + \left(M(|\nabla_x \varphi|^2)\right)^{1/2}$$

$$(3.9) \quad \Lambda_2 := \eta^{-1} N_*^\eta(\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t} \tilde{\varphi}) + N_*(\partial_t \mathcal{P}_t \tilde{\varphi}) + \tilde{N}_*^\eta(\nabla \mathcal{P}_{\eta t} \tilde{\varphi}) + \left(M(|\nabla_x \tilde{\varphi}|^2)\right)^{1/2},$$

where the non-tangential maximal operator N_* in the second terms on the two right hand sides is defined with respect to cones of aperture 1. We define a certain “maximal differentiation operator”

$$(3.10) \quad D_{*,p} f(x) := \sup_{r>0} \left(\int_{\int_{|x-y|<r}} \left(\frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x-y|} \right)^p dy \right)^{1/p},$$

which obeys the estimate

$$(3.11) \quad \|D_{*,p_1} f\|_p \leq C_{p,p_1,n} \|\nabla f\|_p, \quad 1 \leq p_1 < p < \infty.$$

Indeed, by a classical ‘‘Morrey type’’ inequality (see, e.g., [GT, Lemma 7.16]), we have

$$\frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} \lesssim M(\nabla f)(x) + M(\nabla f)(y),$$

whence it follows that

$$D_{*,p_1} f(x) \lesssim M(\nabla f)(x) + \left(M(M(\nabla f))^{p_1}(x) \right)^{1/p_1}.$$

The latter bound clearly implies (3.11).

We then fix $p_1 \in (1, 2)$ and define

$$(3.12) \quad F := \left\{ x \in Q : \Lambda_1(x) + \Lambda_2(x) + D_{*,p_1} \varphi(x) + D_{*,p_1} \tilde{\varphi}(x) \leq \kappa_0 \right\},$$

and note that by (2.19)-(2.21), (3.11), and Tchebychev’s inequality, we have

$$(3.13) \quad |Q \setminus F| \lesssim \kappa_0^{-2-\varepsilon} |Q|,$$

uniformly in η .

Set $p_0 := 2(2 + \varepsilon)/\varepsilon$. Our goal is to prove that for some aperture α sufficiently large,

$$(3.14) \quad \left| \left\{ x \in Q : g(u)(x) > 3\lambda, (M(N_*^\alpha(u)^{p_0})(x))^{1/p_0} \leq \gamma\lambda \right\} \right| \leq C \left(C_{\kappa_0, \eta} \gamma^2 + \kappa_0^{-2-\varepsilon} \right) |Q|,$$

for all $\gamma > 0$ sufficiently small, for all κ_0 sufficiently large, and for η chosen small enough depending on κ_0 . Here, γ is at our disposal, and (3.13) holds uniformly in η , so we may choose first κ_0 , then η , and finally γ , to obtain a bound on the RHS of (3.14) which is a small portion of $|Q|$, whence the standard good-lambda arguments may be carried out to show that

$$(3.15) \quad \|g(u)\|_p \leq C_p \|N_*^\alpha(u)\|_p, \quad \forall p_0 < p < \infty.$$

Let us note at this point that the latter bound, together with (3.3), yield that

$$(3.16) \quad \|S(u)\|_p \leq C_p \|N_*(u)\|_p, \quad \forall p_0 < p < \infty.$$

By (3.13), it is enough to prove the following modified version of (3.14):

$$(3.17) \quad \left| \left\{ x \in F : g(u)(x) > 3\lambda, (M(N_*^\alpha(u)^{p_0})(x))^{1/p_0} \leq \gamma\lambda \right\} \right| \leq C_{\eta, \kappa_0} \gamma^2 |Q|,$$

As usual, we may assume that there is a point in Q , call it x_* , such that

$$(3.18) \quad N_*^\alpha(u)(x_*) \leq (M(N_*^\alpha(u)^{p_0})(x_*))^{1/p_0} \leq \gamma\lambda,$$

otherwise there is nothing to prove. Let us note that

$$g(u) \leq \left(\int_0^{\ell(Q)} |\partial_t u|^2 t dt \right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_{\ell(Q)}^\infty |\partial_t u|^2 t dt \right)^{1/2} =: g_1(u) + g_2(u).$$

We claim that

$$(3.19) \quad g_2(u)(x) \leq (1 + C\gamma)\lambda, \quad \forall x \in Q.$$

Indeed, we have that

$$g_2(u)(x) \leq g(u)(x_Q) + \left(\int_{\ell(Q)}^\infty |\partial_t u(x, t) - \partial_t u(x_Q, t)|^2 t dt \right)^{1/2},$$

where we may choose $x_Q \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E_\lambda$, with $\text{dist}(x_Q, Q) \approx \ell(Q)$, since Q is a Whitney cube for E_λ . Then $g_2(u)(x_Q) \leq \lambda$, by definition of E_λ . Moreover, since our coefficients are t -independent, we may apply standard De Giorgi/Nash/Moser interior estimates to obtain that

$$\left(\int_{\ell(Q)}^{\infty} |\partial_t u(x, t) - \partial_t u(x_Q, t)|^2 t dt \right)^{1/2} \lesssim \left(\int_{\ell(Q)}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\ell(Q)}{t} \right)^{2\beta} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2} N_*^\alpha(u)(x_0) \lesssim \gamma \lambda,$$

by (3.18), where $\beta > 0$ is the De Giorgi/Nash exponent, and where we have taken the aperture α to be sufficiently large. This proves the claim.

Taking γ sufficiently small, we may therefore suppose that $g_2(u) < 2\lambda$ in Q , so that the LHS of (3.17) is bounded by

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} |\{x \in F : g_1(u)(x) > \lambda\}| &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_F \int_0^{\ell(Q)} |\partial_t u|^2 t dt dx \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_F \int_0^{\ell(Q)} A(x) \nabla u(x, t) \cdot \nabla u(x, t) t dt dx, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step, we have crudely dominated $|\partial_t u|$ by $|\nabla u|$ and then used ellipticity. We note at this point that in the context of Corollary 1.10, the integral in the middle term is precisely that which appears in (3.7). In the remainder of this subsection, we shall prove that

$$(3.21) \quad \int_F \int_0^{\ell(Q)} A(x) \nabla u(x, t) \cdot \nabla u(x, t) t dt dx \leq C_{\eta, \kappa_0} |Q| \left(\int_{2Q} N_*^\alpha(u)^{p_0} \right)^{2/p_0}.$$

Clearly, this estimate yields both our desired “good-lambda” inequality, as well as the bound (3.7).

We turn to the proof of (3.21). By the change of variable $t \rightarrow t - \varphi(x) + \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi(x)$ (that this change of variable is “legal” follows from (3.23) and (3.24) below), we have

$$(3.22) \quad \int_F \int_0^{\ell(Q)} A \nabla u \cdot \nabla u t dt dx \lesssim \int_F \int_0^{2\ell(Q)} A_1 \nabla u_1 \cdot \nabla u_1 t dt dx,$$

where $u_1(x, t) := u(x, t - \varphi(x) + \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi(x))$, and where A_1 and u_1 are as in Section 2 above. Here, we have chosen $\eta \ll \kappa_0^{-2}$, so that

$$(3.23) \quad |(I - \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^*)\varphi(x)| = \left| \int_0^{\eta t} \partial_s \mathcal{P}_s^* \varphi(x) ds \right| \leq \eta t \kappa_0 \ll \eta^{1/2} t \ll t/8, \quad \forall x \in F.$$

We note at this point that the analogue of (3.23) holds also for $(I - \mathcal{P}_{\eta t})\tilde{\varphi}$, and moreover, by (3.8)-(3.12), we have

$$(3.24) \quad \max(|\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t} \tilde{\varphi}(x)|, |\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi(x)|) \leq \eta \kappa_0 \ll \eta^{1/2}, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \Omega_0,$$

where Ω_0 is the sawtooth domain

$$(3.25) \quad \Omega_0 := \bigcup_{x \in F} \Gamma_0(x),$$

and $\Gamma_0(x)$ denotes the cone with vertex at x and aperture η . Thus, if $(x, t) \in \Omega_0$, then $|x - x_0| < \eta t$ for some $x_0 \in F$, so that, setting $\varphi_{x_0, \eta t} := \int_{|x_0 - y| < 2\eta t} \varphi(y) dy$, we have

$$(3.26) \quad |\mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^*(\varphi - \varphi_{x_0, \eta t})(x)| \lesssim \eta t M(\nabla \varphi)(x_0) \lesssim \eta t \kappa_0 \ll \eta^{1/2} t, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \Omega_0,$$

by a telescoping argument and Poincaré's inequality, and by the Gaussian bounds for $\mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^*$.

We now define a smooth cut-off adapted to Ω_0 , or to be more precise, to a slightly smaller sawtooth domain $\Omega_1 := \cup_{x \in F} \Gamma_1(x)$, where $\Gamma_1(x)$ has aperture $\eta/8$. Let $\delta(x) := \text{dist}(x, F)$, and let $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, with $\Phi(r) \equiv 1$ if $r \leq 1/16$, and $\Phi(r) \equiv 0$, if $r > 1/8$. We then set

$$(3.27) \quad \Psi(x, t) := \Phi\left(\frac{\delta(x)}{\eta t}\right) \Phi\left(\frac{t}{32 \ell(Q)}\right).$$

Let us record some observations concerning the cut-off Ψ , and certain related sawtooth regions. To begin, we note that

$$(3.28) \quad \Psi(x, t) \equiv 1, \quad \forall (x, t) \in F \times (0, 2 \ell(Q)),$$

and also, since η is small, that

$$\text{supp}(\Psi) \subset \Omega_{1, Q} := \Omega_1 \cap (2Q \times (0, 4\ell(Q))).$$

Next, we claim that

$$(3.29) \quad |(I - \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^*)\varphi(x)| \ll \eta^{1/2} t, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \Omega_{0, Q} := \Omega_0 \cap (2Q \times (0, 4\ell(Q))),$$

and that an analogous bound holds for $(I - \mathcal{P}_{\eta t})\tilde{\varphi}$. To verify the claim, we first observe that for $(x, t) \in \Omega_0$, there is a point $x_0 \in F$ such that

$$x \in \Delta := \Delta(x_0, \eta t) := \{x : |x - x_0| < \eta t\}.$$

Let us further observe that $2\Delta \subset 5Q$, since $t \leq 4\ell(Q)$, and η is small. Next, we note that by (2.3), φ is a $W^{1,2}$ weak solution of the inhomogeneous PDE

$$L_{\parallel}^* \varphi = \text{div}(\mathbf{c}),$$

in the domain $5Q$, and the same is true with φ replaced by $\varphi - c$, for any constant c . Thus, by Moser-type interior estimates, and the definition of F (cf. (3.12)) we have that

$$(3.30) \quad \sup_{\Delta} |\varphi - \varphi(x_0)| \lesssim \left(\int_{2\Delta} |\varphi(z) - \varphi(x_0)|^{p_1} dz \right)^{1/p_1} + \eta t \|\mathbf{c}\|_{\infty} \\ \lesssim \eta t (D_{*, p_1} \varphi(x_0) + \|\mathbf{c}\|_{\infty}) \lesssim \eta t (\kappa_0 + \|\mathbf{c}\|_{\infty}) \ll \eta^{1/2} t,$$

where the implicit constants depends only upon p_1 , ellipticity and dimension (see, e.g., [GT, Theorem 8.17, p. 194]). Consequently, for **every** $y \in \Delta$, we then have

$$(3.31) \quad |(I - \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^*)\varphi(y)| \\ \leq |\varphi(y) - \varphi(x_0)| + |(I - \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^*)\varphi(x_0)| + |\mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^*(\varphi - \varphi_{x_0, \eta t})(x_0)| + |\mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^*(\varphi - \varphi_{x_0, \eta t})(y)| \\ \ll \eta^{1/2} t,$$

where we have used (3.23) and (3.26), along with (3.30). In particular, since $x \in \Delta$, we obtain (3.29), as claimed. The corresponding bound for $(I - \mathcal{P}_{\eta t})\tilde{\varphi}$ follows by an identical argument.

Moreover, for $(x, t) \in \Omega_0$, by (3.24) we have

$$(3.32) \quad J(x, t) = \partial_t (t - \varphi(x) + \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi(x)) \approx 1$$

$$(3.33) \quad \tilde{J}(x, t) = \partial_t (t - \tilde{\varphi}(x) + \mathcal{P}_{\eta t} \tilde{\varphi}(x)) \approx 1.$$

We then have that the mapping $\rho(x, t) := (x, \tau(x, t)) := (x, t + \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi(x) - \varphi(x))$ is 1-1 on $\text{supp}(\Psi)$, with

$$(3.34) \quad 7t/8 < \tau(x, t) < 9t/8, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \text{supp}(\Psi).$$

Consequently, if $\Omega_\beta := \cup_{x \in F} \Gamma_\beta(x)$ is the sawtooth domain with respect to F , with cones of aperture β , we have that

$$(3.35) \quad \Omega_{8\beta/9} \subset \rho(\Omega_\beta) \subset \Omega_{8\beta/7}, \quad \forall \beta \leq \eta.$$

Let us note also that

$$(3.36) \quad |\nabla_{x,t} \Psi(x, t)| \lesssim \frac{1}{\eta t} 1_{E_1}(x, t) + \frac{1}{\ell(Q)} 1_{E_2}(x, t),$$

where

$$(3.37) \quad \begin{aligned} E_1 &:= \{(x, t) \in 2Q \times (0, 4\ell(Q)) : \eta t/16 \leq \delta(x) \leq \eta t/8\} \\ E_2 &:= 2Q \times (2\ell(Q), 4\ell(Q)) \end{aligned}$$

By (3.28), we have that the RHS of (3.22) is bounded by

$$(3.38) \quad \begin{aligned} \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} A_1 \nabla u_1 \cdot \nabla u_1 \Psi^2 t \, dt dx &= -\frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} L_1(u_1^2) \Psi^2 t \, dt dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 L_1^*(t) \Psi^2 t \, dt dx - \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} A_1 \nabla(u_1^2) \cdot \nabla(\Psi^2) t \, dt dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} (u_1)^2 e_{n+1} \cdot A_1 \nabla(\Psi^2) \, dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_F u^2 A_{n+1, n+1} \, dx \\ &=: \mathcal{S} + \mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2 + \mathcal{B}, \end{aligned}$$

where $e_{n+1} := (0, \dots, 0, 1)$, and where in the boundary term \mathcal{B} we have used that $(A_1^*)_{n+1, n+1}(x, 0) = A_{n+1, n+1}(x)$, that $u_1(x, 0) = u(x, 0)$ on F (cf. (3.23)), and that $\Psi(x, 0) = 1_F(x)$. We note that

$$(3.39) \quad |\mathcal{B}| \leq C |Q| \int_Q N_*^\alpha(u)^2 \leq C(\gamma\lambda)^2 |Q|,$$

by Hölder's inequality and (3.18). Let us now consider the "error terms" \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 . For a small constant σ to be chosen later, we have that

$$(3.40) \quad |\mathcal{E}_1| \leq \sigma \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} A_1 \nabla u_1 \cdot \nabla u_1 \Psi^2 t \, dt dx + \frac{1}{\sigma} \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 A_1 \nabla \Psi \cdot \nabla \Psi t \, dt dx \\ =: \mathcal{E}'_1 + \mathcal{E}''_1.$$

Choosing σ small enough, we shall eventually hide \mathcal{E}'_1 , along with several copies of it that will arise later, on the LHS of (3.38). By (3.36), and the definition of A_1 (2.7), writing $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{c}1_{5Q} + A_{\parallel}^* \nabla \varphi$ (cf. (2.3)), and using (3.32) and the fact that the original coefficient matrix is bounded, we find that

$$\mathcal{E}''_1 \leq \mathcal{E}''_{11} + \mathcal{E}''_{12},$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_{11}'' = \frac{C_\eta}{\sigma} \iint_{E_1} u_1^2 \left[1 + |\nabla_x (I - \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^*) \varphi(x)|^2 \right] \frac{dx dt}{t},$$

and where \mathcal{E}_{12}'' is a similar integral over the region E_2 . We shall treat only \mathcal{E}_{11}'' , as the term \mathcal{E}_{12}'' is easier.

To this end, we write

$$(3.41) \quad \mathcal{E}_{11}'' = \frac{C_\eta}{\sigma} \sum_k \sum_{Q' \in \mathbb{D}_k^\eta} \int_{Q'} \int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-k+1}} u_1^2 \left(1 + |\nabla_x (I - \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^*) \varphi(x)|^2 \right) 1_{E_1} \frac{dx dt}{t},$$

where \mathbb{D}_k^η denotes the grid of dyadic cubes such that

$$(3.42) \quad \frac{1}{64} \eta 2^{-k} \leq \text{diam } Q' < \frac{1}{32} \eta 2^{-k}, \quad Q' \in \mathbb{D}_k^\eta.$$

Consider now any fixed k and $Q' \in \mathbb{D}_k^\eta$, for which the double integral in (3.41) is non-zero, thus, for which there is a point

$$(3.43) \quad (x_1, t_1) \in E_1 \cap \left(Q' \times [2^{-k}, 2^{-k+1}] \right).$$

We now fix such a point (x_1, t_1) . By definition of E_1 ,

$$(3.44) \quad \frac{\eta t_1}{16} \leq \delta(x_1) \leq \frac{\eta t_1}{8}.$$

In particular, there is a point $x_0 \in F$ such that $|x_1 - x_0| < (\eta t_1)/8$. Note that

$$(3.45) \quad Q' \subset \Delta' := \Delta(x_0, \eta 2^{-k}) := \{z : |x_0 - z| < \eta 2^{-k}\},$$

by (3.42). Consequently,

$$(3.46) \quad Q' \times [2^{-k}, 2^{-k+1}] \subset \Omega_{0, Q}$$

(we recall that $\Omega_{0, Q}$ is defined in (3.29)). Furthermore, since δ is Lipschitz with norm 1, using (3.42) and (3.44), we obtain that there is a uniform constant C such that

$$(3.47) \quad Q' \times [2^{-k}, 2^{-k+1}] \subset \tilde{E}_1 := \left\{ (y, s) \in 2Q \times (0, 4\ell(Q)) : \frac{\eta s}{C} \leq \delta(y) \leq C\eta s \right\}.$$

It then follows that

$$(3.48) \quad |Q'| \lesssim \int_{Q'} \int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-k+1}} 1_{\tilde{E}_1}(y, s) \frac{ds}{s} dy.$$

Now, by (2.22), (3.8), and (3.12), we have that for every $t \in [2^{-k}, 2^{-k+1}]$,

$$(3.49) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{Q'} |\nabla_x (I - \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^*) \varphi(x)|^2 dx &\lesssim \int_{\Delta'} |\nabla_x \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\Delta'} |\nabla_x \varphi(x)|^2 dx \\ &\lesssim \left(\tilde{N}_*^\eta(\nabla \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi) \right)^2(x_0) + M(|\nabla_x \varphi|^2)(x_0) \lesssim \kappa_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (3.29), (3.46), and the definition of u_1 , for α large enough we have

$$(3.50) \quad \sup |u_1(x, t)| \leq \text{essinf}_{y \in Q'} N_*^\alpha(u)(y),$$

where the supremum runs over all $(x, t) \in Q' \times (2^{-k}, 2^{-k+1})$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.51) \quad & \int_{Q'} \int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-k+1}} u_1^2 \left(1 + |\nabla_x (I - \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^*) \varphi(x)|^2\right) 1_{E_1} \frac{dx dt}{t} \\
& \leq \int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-k+1}} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{Q'} \left(N_*^\alpha(u)^2\right) \int_{Q'} \left(1 + |\nabla_x (I - \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^*) \varphi(x)|^2\right) dx |Q'| \frac{dt}{t} \\
& \lesssim (1 + \kappa_0^2) \int_{Q'} N_*^\alpha(u)^2(y) \int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-k+1}} 1_{\bar{E}_1}(y, s) \frac{ds}{s} dy,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.48) and (3.49). Returning to (3.41), we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_{11}'' & \leq C_{\eta, \kappa_0, \sigma} \sum_k \sum_{Q' \in \mathbb{D}_k^\eta} \int_{Q'} N_*^\alpha(u)^2(y) \int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-k+1}} 1_{\bar{E}_1}(y, s) \frac{ds}{s} dy \\
& \leq C_{\eta, \kappa_0, \sigma} \int_{2Q} N_*^\alpha(u)^2(y) \int_{\delta(y)/(C\eta)}^{C\delta(y)/\eta} \frac{ds}{s} dy \leq C_{\eta, \kappa_0, \sigma} (\gamma\lambda)^2 |Q|,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we have used (3.18).

The term \mathcal{E}_2 in (3.38) satisfies the same bounds as \mathcal{E}_1'' . It therefore remains to treat the main term \mathcal{S} . To this end, we first observe that

$$L_1^*(t) = \operatorname{div}_x A_{\parallel}^* \nabla_x \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi - \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{J} \langle A \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \rangle \right) =: -L_{\parallel}^* \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi - \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{J} \langle A \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \rangle \right),$$

since $\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{h} = 0$. We then have that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.52) \quad \mathcal{S} & = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 \left(L_{\parallel}^* \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi \right) \Psi^2 t dx + \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{J} \langle A \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \rangle \right) \Psi^2 t dx \\
& =: \mathcal{S}_1 + \mathcal{S}_2.
\end{aligned}$$

We treat \mathcal{S}_1 first. We note that by definition of $\mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^*$, we have

$$(3.53) \quad \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* = -2\eta^2 t L_{\parallel}^* \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* = -2\eta^2 t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* L_{\parallel}^*.$$

Integrating by parts in t , we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.54) \quad \mathcal{S}_1 & = -\frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 \partial_t \left(L_{\parallel}^* \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi \right) \Psi^2 t dx \\
& \quad + C_\eta \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} (u_1 \partial_t u_1) \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi \Psi^2 t dx + C_\eta \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi (\Psi \partial_t \Psi) dx \\
& =: \mathcal{S}'_1 + \mathcal{S}''_1 + \mathcal{S}'''_1.
\end{aligned}$$

The term \mathcal{S}'''_1 may be handled like \mathcal{E}_1'' and \mathcal{E}_2 above, except that the present term is somewhat easier, since $\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi$ is bounded in the support of Ψ (cf. (3.8) and (3.12).)

Next, using (3.53), and that the original matrix $A \in L^\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.55) \quad |S'_1| &\leq \left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1 \nabla_x u_1 \cdot A_{\parallel}^* \nabla_x \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi \Psi^2 t \, dt dx \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 \left(A_{\parallel}^* \nabla_x \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi \cdot \nabla_x \Psi \right) \Psi t \, dt dx \right| =: J + K \\
&\lesssim \sigma \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} |\nabla_x u_1|^2 \Psi^2 t \, dt dx + \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} + 1 \right) \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 |\eta^2 \nabla_x \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* L_{\parallel}^* \varphi|^2 \Psi^2 t^3 \, dt dx \\
&\quad + \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 |\nabla_x \Psi|^2 t \, dt dx := S'_{11} + S'_{12} + S'_{13},
\end{aligned}$$

where once again σ is a small number at our disposal. The term S'_{13} is a slightly simpler version of \mathcal{E}'_1 , and may be handled by a similar argument.

Next, we consider S'_{12} . By (3.29), and the definition of u_1 , we have that

$$(3.56) \quad |u_1(x, t)| \leq \sup_{s>0} |u(x, s)| \leq N_*^\alpha(u)(x), \quad \forall (x, t) \in \Omega_{0, Q}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.57) \quad S'_{12} &\leq C_\sigma \int_{2Q} N_*^\alpha(u)^2(x) \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_2(A_{\parallel}^* \nabla \varphi)(x) \right)^2 dx \\
&\leq C_\sigma \left(\int_{2Q} N_*^\alpha(u)^{2(2+\varepsilon)/\varepsilon} dx \right)^{\varepsilon/(2+\varepsilon)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_2(A_{\parallel}^* \nabla \varphi) \right)^{2+\varepsilon} dx \right)^{2/(2+\varepsilon)} \\
&\leq C_\sigma (\gamma \lambda)^2 |Q|,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_2$ is the \mathcal{P}_t^* analogue of the vertical square function defined in (2.17), and where we have used (2.18), (2.4), and (3.18) (with $p_0 := 2(2 + \varepsilon)/\varepsilon$).

We would like to handle S'_{11} by simply hiding it on the LHS of (3.38), with σ chosen small enough, but there is a slightly delicate issue of ellipticity that we must address in order to do this. Before doing so, let us observe that

$$|S'_1| \leq \sigma \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} |\partial_t u_1|^2 \Psi^2 t \, dt dx + C_{\eta, \sigma} \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} |u_1|^2 |\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi|^2 \Psi^2 \frac{dx dt}{t} =: S''_{11} + S''_{12}.$$

The term S''_{12} may be handled exactly like S'_{12} above, but with the \mathcal{P}_t^* analogue of (2.16) in place of (2.17), and we obtain the bound

$$S''_{12} \leq C_{\eta, \sigma} (\gamma \lambda)^2 |Q|.$$

The term S''_{11} is of the same nature as S'_{11} , and we shall treat them together. In fact,

$$(3.58) \quad S'_{11} + S''_{11} = \sigma \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} |\nabla u_1|^2 \Psi^2 t \, dt dx,$$

where, unless otherwise specified, $\nabla := \nabla_{x, t}$. We recall that $u_1 = u \circ \rho$, with

$$\rho(x, t) := (x, t + \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi(x) - \varphi(x)) =: (x, \tau(x, t)).$$

Thus,

$$(3.59) \quad \partial_t u_1(x, t) = J(x, t)(\partial_\tau u)(x, \tau(x, t))$$

$$(3.60) \quad \nabla_x u_1(x, t) = (\nabla_x u)(x, \tau(x, t)) + (\partial_\tau u)(x, \tau(x, t))(\nabla_x \tau(x, t)),$$

where $J(x, t) := \partial_t \tau(x, t) = 1 + \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi(x)$. Consequently,

$$(\nabla u) \circ \rho = \left(\nabla_x u_1 - \frac{\partial_t u_1}{J} (\nabla_x \tau), \frac{\partial_t u_1}{J} \right)$$

Since $J \approx 1$ in Ω_0 , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla u_1| &\lesssim \left| \left(\nabla_x u_1, \frac{\partial_t u_1}{J} \right) \right| \\ &\lesssim \left| \left(\nabla_x u_1 - \frac{\partial_t u_1}{J} (\nabla_x \tau), \frac{\partial_t u_1}{J} \right) \right| + |\nabla_x \tau| |\partial_t u_1| = |(\nabla u) \circ \rho| + |\nabla_x \tau| |\partial_t u_1|. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.10), the ellipticity of A , and the fact that $J \approx 1$, we have that

$$(3.61) \quad |(\nabla u) \circ \rho|^2 \lesssim A_1 \nabla u_1 \cdot \nabla u_1.$$

The latter term gives a contribution to (3.58) that may be hidden on the LHS of (3.38), if σ is chosen small enough. It remains to treat $|\nabla_x \tau| |\partial_t u_1|$. To this end, we make the same dyadic decomposition as in (3.41)-(3.42) to write

$$(3.62) \quad \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} |\nabla_x \tau|^2 |\partial_t u_1|^2 \Psi^2 t \, dx dt = \sum_k \sum_{Q' \in \mathbb{D}_k^\eta} \int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-k+1}} \int_{Q'} |\nabla_x \tau|^2 |\partial_t u_1|^2 \Psi^2 t \, dx dt.$$

Consider now some $t_1 \in [2^{-k}, 2^{-k+1}]$ and a cube $Q' \in \mathbb{D}_k^\eta$ for which $Q' \times \{t_1\}$ meets $\text{supp}(\Psi)$, say at the point (x_1, t_1) . Then $\delta(x_1) < \eta t_1/8$, by the construction of Ψ , whence by (3.42), we have $\delta(x) < \eta t_1/4$, for every $x \in Q'$. Thus, for each Q' and t_1 as above, there is a point $x_0 \in F$ and an n -disk Δ' such that (3.45), and thus also (3.46) and (3.49), hold. In particular,

$$\frac{7}{8}t < \tau(x, t) < \frac{9}{8}t, \quad \forall (x, t) \in I(Q') := Q' \times [2^{-k}, 2^{-k+1}],$$

by (3.29) and the definition of $\tau(x, t)$. It then follows that for $t \in [2^{-k}, 2^{-k+1}]$,

$$\sup_{x \in Q'} |\partial_t u_1(x, t)| \approx \sup_{x \in Q'} |(\partial_\tau u)(x, \tau(x, t))| \lesssim \left((\eta t)^{-n-1} \int_{2Q'} \int_{t/2}^{2t} |\partial_s u(y, s)|^2 ds dy \right)^{1/2},$$

by (3.32), (3.59), Moser's interior estimates, and the t -independence of A .

We let $\mathbb{D}_k^\eta(\Psi)$ denote those $Q' \in \mathbb{D}_k^\eta$ for which $I(Q') := Q' \times [2^{-k}, 2^{-k+1}]$ meets $\text{supp}(\Psi)$; thus, for which there is a point $(x, t) \in I(Q')$ such that $\delta(x) < \eta t/8$, by construction of Ψ . Consequently, for any such Q' , by (3.42) we have that

$$\delta(y) < \text{diam}(2Q') + \frac{1}{8}\eta t \leq \frac{3}{16}\eta t \leq \frac{3}{8}\eta s, \quad \forall y \in 2Q', s > t/2.$$

Moreover, we have $t < 4\ell(Q)$ in $\text{supp}(\Psi)$, so that $s \leq 2t$ implies $s < 8\ell(Q)$. Set $\Omega^* := \{(y, s) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} : \delta(y) < 3\eta s/8, 0 < s < 8\ell(Q)\}$. As noted above, (3.49) holds

in the present context, so that (3.62) is bounded by a constant times

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.63) \quad & \frac{1}{\eta} \sum_k \sum_{Q' \in \mathbb{D}_k^\eta} \int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-k+1}} \int_{Q'} |\nabla_x \tau|^2 dx \int_{t/2}^{2t} \int_{2Q'} |\partial_s u(y, s)|^2 1_{\Omega^*}(y, s) dy ds dt \\
& \leq C_{\eta, \kappa_0} \sum_k \sum_{Q' \in \mathbb{D}_k^\eta} \int_{2^{-k-1}}^{2^{-k+2}} \int_{2Q'} |\partial_s u(y, s)|^2 1_{\Omega^*}(y, s) s dy ds \\
& = C_{\eta, \kappa_0} \left(\iint_{\Omega^{**}} |\partial_s u|^2 s dy ds + \iint_{\Omega^* \setminus \Omega^{**}} |\partial_s u|^2 s dy ds \right) =: \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{E},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Omega^{**} := \{(y, s) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} : \delta(y) < \eta s / 18, 0 < s < \ell(Q)\}.$$

We observe that by (3.34)-(3.35), we have

$$\rho^{-1}(\Omega^{**}) \subset \Omega_{\eta/16} \cap (2Q \times (0, 2\ell(Q))),$$

and we note that $\Psi \equiv 1$ on the latter set. Therefore, making the change of variable $s = \tau(y, t)$, we find that

$$\mathcal{M} \leq C_{\eta, \kappa_0} \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} |(\partial_\tau u) \circ \rho|^2 \Psi^2 t dt dy,$$

since, as above, $J(y, t) \approx 1$. By (3.61), the latter term gives a contribution to (3.58) that may be hidden on the LHS of (3.38), if σ is chosen small enough.

To handle the error term \mathcal{E} , we first note that by Moser's interior estimates, and the t -independence of A , we have

$$|\partial_s u(y, s)| \lesssim \frac{1}{s} N_*^\alpha(u)(y).$$

Thus, by definition of $\Omega^* \setminus \Omega^{**}$, we have

$$\mathcal{E} \leq C_{\eta, \kappa_0} \int_{2Q} (N_*^\alpha(u)(y))^2 \left(\int_{8\delta(y)/(3\eta)}^{18\delta(y)/\eta} \frac{ds}{s} + \int_{\ell(Q)}^{8\ell(Q)} \frac{ds}{s} \right) dy \leq C_{\eta, \kappa_0} (\gamma\lambda)^2 |Q|,$$

where in the last step we have used (3.18) and Hölder's inequality. This concludes our treatment of the term \mathcal{S}_1 in (3.52). It remains only to treat the term \mathcal{S}_2 .

To this end, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.64) \quad 2\mathcal{S}_2 &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{J} \langle A \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \rangle \right) \Psi^2 dt dx = \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{J} \right) \langle A \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \rangle \Psi^2 dt dx \\
&+ \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 \frac{1}{J} \langle \partial_t \mathbf{p}, A^* \mathbf{p} \rangle \Psi^2 dt dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 \frac{1}{J} \langle A \mathbf{p}, \partial_t \mathbf{p} \rangle \Psi^2 dt dx \\
&=: I + II + III,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that A is t -independent.

We treat these terms in order. We recall that $J(x, t) = 1 + \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi(x)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I &= - \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 \frac{\partial_t^2 \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi}{J^2} \langle A \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \rangle \Psi^2 dt dx \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \partial_t (u_1^2) \frac{\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi}{J^2} \langle A \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \rangle \Psi^2 dt dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 \frac{\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi}{J^2} \partial_t \langle A \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \rangle \Psi^2 dt dx \\ &\quad + \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{J^2} \right) \langle A \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \rangle \Psi^2 dt dx \\ &\quad + \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 \frac{\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi}{J^2} \langle A \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} \rangle \partial_t (\Psi^2) dt dx =: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that the boundary terms vanish, since $\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi|_{t=0} = 0$ (as may be seen by first considering φ in the domain of $L_{\parallel}^* := -\operatorname{div} A_{\parallel}^* \nabla$, and then using a density argument).

We recall that $\mathbf{p} := (\nabla_x (\mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* - I)\varphi(x), -1) = (\nabla_x \tau(x, t), -1)$. Since $\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi$ is bounded, and $J \approx 1$, in Ω_0 , the term I_4 may then be handled exactly like the terms \mathcal{E}_{11}'' and \mathcal{E}_{12}'' .

The other terms will require some further work. To begin,

$$(3.65) \quad |I_1| \leq \sigma \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} |\partial_t u_1|^2 |\mathbf{p}|^2 \Psi^2 t dt dx + \frac{C}{\sigma} \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 |\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi|^2 |\mathbf{p}|^2 \Psi^2 \frac{dt dx}{t}.$$

By definition of \mathbf{p} , the first of these terms may be handled exactly like (3.62), and hidden on the LHS of (3.38), if σ is chosen small enough. The second term is treated via the same dyadic decomposition as above:

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 |\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi|^2 |\mathbf{p}|^2 \Psi^2 \frac{dt dx}{t} = \sum_k \sum_{Q' \in \mathbb{D}_k^n} \int_{Q'} \int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-k+1}} u_1^2 |\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi|^2 |\mathbf{p}|^2 \Psi^2 \frac{dt dx}{t},$$

and in turn we note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{Q'} \int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-k+1}} u_1^2 |\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi|^2 |\mathbf{p}|^2 \Psi^2 \frac{dt dx}{t} \\ &\lesssim \int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-k+1}} \left(\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{Q'} (N_*^\alpha(u)) \right)^2 \left(\int_{2Q'} \int_{2^{-k-1}}^{2^{-k+2}} |\partial_s \mathcal{P}_{\eta s}^* \varphi(y)|^2 \frac{dy ds}{s} \right) \int_{Q'} |\mathbf{p}|^2 \Psi^2 \frac{dx dt}{t} \\ &\lesssim C_{\kappa_0} \left(\int_{2Q'} (N_*^\alpha(u))^2 \int_{2^{-k-1}}^{2^{-k+2}} |\partial_s \mathcal{P}_{\eta s}^* \varphi(y)|^2 1_{\Omega_0} \frac{dy ds}{s} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.50), and Moser's parabolic local interior estimates (of course, accounting for the rescaling $t \rightarrow t^2$) in the first inequality, and (3.49) (which holds in the present situation), along with the definitions of Ψ and Ω_0 in the second. At this point, we may sum in Q' and in k , and then argue as in our treatment of \mathcal{S}'_{12} above (cf. (3.57)), using (2.18) (or rather its analogue for \mathcal{P}_t^*), to obtain a bound on the order of $C_{\sigma, \kappa_0} (\gamma \lambda)^2 |Q|$, as desired.

Next, we consider the term I_2 , which by definition of \mathbf{p} satisfies the bound

$$(3.66) \quad |I_2| \lesssim \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 |\nabla_x \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi|^2 \Psi^2 t \, dt dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 |\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi|^2 |\mathbf{p}|^2 \Psi^2 \frac{dt dx}{t}.$$

But the terms above are both OK, since the first is the same as \mathcal{S}'_{12} in (3.55), and the second is the same as the second term on the RHS of (3.65). We therefore obtain the bound $|I_2| \lesssim (\gamma\lambda)^2 |Q|$.

To conclude our treatment of term I , we observe that by definition of J , we have

$$|I_3| \lesssim \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 |\partial_t^2 \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi|^2 \Psi^2 t \, dt dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 |\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi|^2 |\mathbf{p}|^2 \Psi^2 \frac{dt dx}{t}.$$

Except for the t -derivative in place of ∇_x in the first term, this is exactly the same bound as we had for I_2 , and these terms may therefore be handled in exactly the same way.

Next we treat term II . By definition of \mathbf{p} , we have $\partial_t \mathbf{p} = (\nabla_x \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi, 0)$, whence it follows from (2.3) that, for $x \in 5Q$,

$$(3.67) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \partial_t \mathbf{p}, A^* \mathbf{p} \rangle &= \langle \nabla_x \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi, A_{\parallel}^* \nabla_x \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi \rangle - \langle \nabla_x \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi, A_{\parallel}^* \nabla_x \varphi \rangle - \langle \nabla_x \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi, \mathbf{c} \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla_x \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi, A_{\parallel}^* \nabla_x \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi \rangle - \langle \nabla_x \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi, \mathbf{h} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} II &= \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 \frac{1}{J} \langle \nabla_x \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi, A_{\parallel}^* \nabla_x \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi \rangle \Psi^2 dt dx - \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 \frac{1}{J} \langle \nabla_x \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi, \mathbf{h} \rangle \Psi^2 dt dx \\ &=: II_1 + II_2. \end{aligned}$$

In turn,

$$\begin{aligned} II_1 &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 \frac{1}{J} (\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi) (L_{\parallel}^* \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi) \Psi^2 dt dx \\ &\quad - \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi \langle \nabla_x \left(u_1^2 \frac{1}{J} \right), A_{\parallel}^* \nabla_x \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi \rangle \Psi^2 dt dx \\ &\quad - \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 \frac{1}{J} \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi \langle \nabla_x (\Psi^2), A_{\parallel}^* \nabla_x \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi \rangle dt dx \\ &=: II'_1 + II''_1 + II'''_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $L_{\parallel}^* \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi = -(2\eta^2 t)^{-1} \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi$, the term II'_1 is like the second term on the RHS of (3.65), only a bit simpler, as we just have 1 in place of \mathbf{p} .

Distributing ∇_x , and using that $J \approx 1$, and that $\nabla_x J = \nabla_x \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi$, we have that

$$(3.68) \quad \begin{aligned} |II''_1| &\leq \sigma \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} |\nabla_x u_1|^2 \Psi^2 t \, dt dx + C \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 |\nabla_x \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi|^2 \Psi^2 t \, dt dx \\ &\quad + C (\sigma^{-1} + 1) \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 |\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi|^2 |\nabla_x \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi|^2 \Psi^2 \frac{dt dx}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

The first of these terms is bounded by (3.58), and may therefore be treated in exactly the same way. The second and third terms are essentially like the two terms

bounding I_2 in (3.66), since in the last term we may handle the factor $|\nabla_x \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi|^2$ just like $|\mathbf{p}|^2$, using (3.49).

To complete our treatment of II_1 , we observe that

$$|III''| \lesssim \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 |\nabla_x \Psi|^2 t \, dt dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 |\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi|^2 |\nabla_x \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi|^2 \Psi^2 \frac{dt dx}{t}.$$

The first of these is the same as S'_{13} in (3.55), and the second is the same as the last term in (3.68).

Next, we consider II_2 . Since \mathbf{h} is divergence free,

$$II_2 = \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi \langle \nabla_x \left(\frac{u_1^2}{J} \right), \mathbf{h} \rangle \Psi^2 \, dt dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \frac{u_1^2}{J} \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi \langle \nabla_x (\Psi^2), \mathbf{h} \rangle \, dt dx.$$

The first of these terms may be treated exactly like II_1'' above, and the second exactly like III_1'' , since $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{c} 1_{5Q} + A_{\parallel}^* \nabla_x \varphi$, and therefore may be handled via (3.49), just like the factor $\nabla_x \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi$.

Last, we consider term III . By an identity analogous to (3.67), we have

$$\begin{aligned} III &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 \frac{1}{J} \langle A_{\parallel} \nabla_x \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi, \nabla_x \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi \rangle \Psi^2 \, dt dx \\ &\quad - \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 \frac{1}{J} \langle \mathbf{b} + A_{\parallel} \nabla_x \varphi, \nabla_x \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi \rangle \Psi^2 \, dt dx \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 \frac{1}{J} \langle \nabla_x (\mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi - \varphi), A_{\parallel}^* \nabla_x \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi \rangle \Psi^2 \, dt dx \\ &\quad - \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 \frac{1}{J} \langle \mathbf{b}, \nabla_x \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi \rangle \Psi^2 \, dt dx =: III_1 + III_2. \end{aligned}$$

In turn,

$$\begin{aligned} III_1 &= - \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} (\mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi - \varphi) \langle \nabla_x \left(u_1^2 \frac{1}{J} \Psi^2 \right), A_{\parallel}^* \nabla_x \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi \rangle \, dt dx \\ &\quad - \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 \frac{1}{J} (\mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi - \varphi) (L_{\parallel}^* \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi) \Psi^2 \, dt dx =: III_1' + III_1''. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.29), we have that $|\mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi - \varphi| \ll t$ in the support of Ψ . Thus, III_1' , upon distributing ∇_x over u_1^2 , $1/J$, and Ψ^2 , yields integrals that may be handled just like the terms J , S'_{12} and K , respectively, in (3.55). To handle III_1'' , we first note that

$$(3.69) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_0^{\infty} |(\mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* - I)F|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{p/2} dx \lesssim \|\nabla F\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p,$$

as may be seen by the use of the elementary identity $\mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* - I = \int_0^{\eta t} \partial_s P_s^* ds$, along with Hardy's inequality in t , to reduce matters to (2.18). We further note that by (3.29) and the definition of u_1 ,

$$\sup_{t > \delta(x)/\eta} |u_1(x, t)| \leq \sup_{t > 0} |u(x, t)| \leq N_*^\alpha(u)(x).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} III_1'' &\lesssim \int_{2Q} (N_*^\alpha(u)(x))^2 \left(\int_0^\infty |(\mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* - I)\varphi|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^\infty |t^2 \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* L_*^* \varphi|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2} dx \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_{2Q} (N_*^\alpha(u)(x))^{2(2+\varepsilon)/\varepsilon} \right)^{\varepsilon/(2+\varepsilon)} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{2+\varepsilon}^2 \lesssim (\gamma\lambda)^2 |Q|, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.18), (3.69), (2.4), and (3.18) (with $p_0 := 2(2 + \varepsilon)/\varepsilon$).

It remains now only to treat term III_2 . To this end, we use the Hodge decomposition (2.3) to write

$$\mathbf{b}1_{5Q} = A_{\parallel} \nabla \tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{\mathbf{h}} = (A_{\parallel} \nabla_x \tilde{\varphi} - A_{\parallel} \nabla_x \mathcal{P}_{\eta t} \tilde{\varphi}) + A_{\parallel} \nabla_x \mathcal{P}_{\eta t} \tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{\mathbf{h}},$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\eta t} := e^{-(\eta t)^2 L_{\parallel}}$, and where $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}$ is divergence free. We recall that by construction, the various estimates that we have used for φ and $\mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi$, hold also for $\tilde{\varphi}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\eta t} \tilde{\varphi}$. The contribution of $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}$ may then be handled exactly like II_2 above, while the contribution of $A_{\parallel} \nabla_x \mathcal{P}_{\eta t} \tilde{\varphi}$ may be handled like II_1 above, i.e., by integrating by parts in x to move ∇_x away from $\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi$. Finally, the contribution of $(A_{\parallel} \nabla_x \tilde{\varphi} - A_{\parallel} \nabla_x \mathcal{P}_{\eta t} \tilde{\varphi})$ in term III_2 equals

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} u_1^2 \frac{1}{J} \langle (\nabla_x \tilde{\varphi} - \nabla_x \mathcal{P}_{\eta t} \tilde{\varphi}), A_{\parallel}^* \nabla_x \partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\eta t}^* \varphi \rangle \Psi dt dx,$$

which can then be handled like III_1 .

3.3. Step 3: from large p to arbitrary p . At this point, we observe that our work in the previous two subsections yields the $S < N$ bound (1.8), for all finite $p > p_0$, where as above $p_0 = 2(2 + \varepsilon)/\varepsilon$, and $2 + \varepsilon$ is the exponent in the Hodge decomposition (2.3)-(2.5) (cf. (3.16).) We now proceed to remove the restriction on p , following [FS]. Let us observe that the standard pullback mechanism, as used in the proof of Corollary 1.17, implies that on any Lipschitz graph domain Ω_ψ as in (1.2), we obtain from (3.16) the bound

$$(3.70) \quad \|S_\psi(u)\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega_\psi)} \leq C_p \|N_{*,\psi}(u)\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega_\psi)}, \quad p(\|\nabla\psi\|_\infty) < p < \infty,$$

for $Lu = 0$ in Ω_ψ , where $S_\psi(u)$, $N_{*,\psi}(u)$ are the square function and non-tangential maximal function relative to Ω_ψ (cf. (1.21)-(1.22).) For the moment, the range of p depends upon the Lipschitz constant of ψ , because the ellipticity of the pullback matrix depends upon this Lipschitz constant, and in turn, the parameter ε that appears in the Hodge decomposition, and in the definition of p_0 , depends upon ellipticity. The conclusion of Theorem 1.7 then follows immediately from (3.70) and the following

Lemma 3.71. *Suppose that for every Lipschitz graph domain Ω_ψ , and every elliptic t -independent matrix A with real bounded measurable coefficients, there exist constants C and $q \in (0, \infty)$, depending on dimension, ellipticity, and $\|\nabla\psi\|_\infty$, such that any solution u to the equation $-\operatorname{div}_{x,t} A \nabla_{x,t} u = 0$ in Ω_ψ satisfies*

$$(3.72) \quad \|S_\psi u\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega_\psi)} \leq C \|N_{*,\psi} u\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega_\psi)}.$$

Then the $S < N$ estimate (1.8) is valid for all $p \in (0, q)$.

Proof. We follow the argument of [FS]. Set the aperture of the cone defining $N_*(u)$ to be 2. Fix any $\lambda > 0$ and let

$$F_\lambda := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : N_*u(x) \leq \lambda\}.$$

Then the distribution function $\tau_{N_*u}(\lambda) := |F_\lambda^c|$. Denote by \mathcal{R} an (infinite) saw-tooth region above F_λ , i.e., $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(F_\lambda) := \cup_{x \in F_\lambda} \Gamma(x)$, where the vertical cones $\Gamma(x)$ have aperture 1 and vertex at $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Clearly, \mathcal{R} is a Lipschitz graph domain (with boundary given by the graph of $\psi(x) := \text{dist}(x, F_\lambda)$), with Lipschitz constant 1, so, in particular, (3.72) holds in $\mathcal{R}(F_\lambda)$ for some $q < \infty$. Furthermore, we may take the cones defining S_ψ and $N_{*,\psi}$ to have aperture 1/2.

Let $\tau_{S(u)} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : S(u) > \lambda\}$, where we have fixed the aperture of the cone defining $S(u)$ to be 1/2. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{S(u)}(\lambda) &= |\{x \in F_\lambda : S(u)(x) > \lambda\}| + |\{x \in F_\lambda^c : S(u)(x) > \lambda\}| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda^q} \int_{F_\lambda} (S(u)(x))^q dx + \tau_{N_*u}(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

However, due to (3.72) on $\mathcal{R}(F_\lambda)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{F_\lambda} (S(u)(x))^q dx &\leq \int_{\partial\mathcal{R}(F_\lambda)} (S_\psi u(x))^q d\sigma(x) \leq \int_{\partial\mathcal{R}(F_\lambda)} (N_{*,\psi}u(x))^q d\sigma(x) \\ &\lesssim \int_{F_\lambda} (N_*u(x))^q dx + \int_{\partial\mathcal{R}(F_\lambda) \setminus F_\lambda} (N_{*,\psi}u(x))^q d\sigma(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $d\sigma$ is surface measure on the Lipschitz graph $t = \psi(x)$. However,

$$\int_{F_\lambda} (N_*u(x))^q dx \leq C \int_0^\lambda t^{q-1} \tau_{N_*u}(t) dt.$$

Furthermore, any point $x \in \mathcal{R}(F_\lambda)$ belongs to some cone with a vertex in F_λ . Since $N_*u \leq \lambda$ on F_λ , it follows that $|u(x)| \leq \lambda$ for any $x \in \mathcal{R}(F_\lambda)$, and therefore, $N_{*,\psi}u(x) \leq \lambda$ for any $x \in \partial\mathcal{R}(F_\lambda)$. Hence,

$$\int_{\partial\mathcal{R}(F_\lambda) \setminus F_\lambda} (N_{*,\psi}u(x))^q d\sigma(x) \leq C \lambda^q |\partial\mathcal{R}(F_\lambda) \setminus F_\lambda| \leq C \lambda^q |F_\lambda^c| = C \lambda^q \tau_{N_*u}(\lambda).$$

All in all, we have

$$\tau_{S(u)}(\lambda) \leq C \tau_{N_*u}(\lambda) + C \lambda^{-q} \int_0^\lambda t^{q-1} \tau_{N_*u}(t) dt.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Su\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p &= C \int_0^\infty \lambda^{p-1} \tau_{S(u)}(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &\leq C \int_0^\infty \lambda^{p-1} \tau_{N_*u}(\lambda) d\lambda + C \int_0^\infty \lambda^{p-q-1} \int_0^\lambda t^{q-1} \tau_{N_*u}(t) dt d\lambda \leq C \|N_*u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p, \end{aligned}$$

provided that $p < q$. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.14: LOCAL “ $N < S$ ” BOUNDS

In this section, taking the global S/N bounds, as expressed in (1.18) and (1.19), as our starting point, we shall establish the local $N < S$ estimate as stated in Theorem 1.14, following the proof of [KKPT, Theorem 3.18] very closely. We shall prove Theorem 1.14 in the special case that the bounded solution u is continuous on the closure of \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} . Of course, we shall obtain the desired estimate (1.15) with bounds depending only on dimension and ellipticity. Eventually, in Section 5, we shall see that, in order to prove Theorem 1.23, it is enough to verify (1.15) in the sense of an *a priori* bound, for solutions that are continuous up to the boundary. On the other hand, *a posteriori*, with Theorem 1.23 in hand, the interested reader could revisit the arguments of the present section, which continue to work with continuity at the boundary replaced by non-tangential convergence a.e. (dx), to obtain Theorem 1.14 in the general case. We omit the details, except to note that, by the Fatou theorem of [CFMS] (whose proof carries over, *mutatis mutandi*, to the case of non-symmetric coefficients), a bounded solution has a non-tangential trace a.e. ($d\omega$), and thus, in the presence of Theorem 1.23, also a.e. (dx).

Consider now a solution u of the equation $Lu = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , which is bounded and continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}$. We fix a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, a constant $\theta \in (0, 1)$, and recall that θQ is the cube concentric with Q , of side length $\theta \ell(Q)$. We further fix constants $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_6$ satisfying $0 < \theta < \theta_0 < \theta_1 < \dots < \theta_6 < 1$. Define a Lipschitz function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\|\nabla \psi\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon_0$, where ε_0 is a small positive number to be chosen, $\psi \equiv 0$ on $\theta_0 Q$ and on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Q$, and $\psi > 0$ on $\theta_6 Q \setminus \theta_0 Q$. In addition, we may suppose that $\psi(x) \approx \ell(Q)$ on $\theta_5 Q \setminus \theta_1 Q$ (with the implicit constants depending on ε_0). In this section, we shall find it convenient to work with the following variant of the non-tangential maximal function:

$$(4.1) \quad \widetilde{N}_*(w)(x) = \widetilde{N}_*^\gamma(w)(x) := \sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{|B_\gamma(x, t)|} \iint_{B_\gamma(x, t)} |w(y, s)| dy ds,$$

where $B_\gamma(x, t)$ is the ball with center (x, t) and radius γt , with $0 < \gamma < 1$. We note that by Moser’s interior estimates, if $Lu = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , then $N_*(u) \lesssim \widetilde{N}_*(u)$, pointwise, provided that the aperture of the cone defining $N_*(u)$ is sufficiently small, depending on γ .

We recall that R_Q is the “short Carleson box” above Q (cf. (1.13)), and we consider the domain $\Omega \subset \Omega_\psi$ (where Ω_ψ is the usual graph domain as in (1.2)), given by

$$\Omega := \{(x, t) : x \in \theta_5 Q, \psi(x) < t < \psi(x) + \theta_5 \ell(Q)/2\}.$$

We observe that $\Omega \subset R_Q$, provided that ε_0 is chosen sufficiently small, depending upon dimension and θ_5 . Let $K := \partial\Omega \setminus \{(x, \psi(x)) : x \in \theta_1 Q\}$. We note that $K \subset \subset R_Q$, with $\text{dist}(K, \partial R_Q) \approx \ell(Q)$ (again provided that ε_0 is small enough.) Let $\Phi_1 \in C_0^\infty(\theta_2 Q)$, with $0 \leq \Phi_1 \leq 1$, and $\Phi_1 \equiv 1$ on $\theta_1 Q$. We split $u = u_1 + u_2$ in Ω , where $Lu_i = 0$ in Ω and where u_1, u_2 are continuous and bounded in $\overline{\Omega}$, with

$$u_2|_{\partial\Omega} = u(x, \psi(x)) \Phi_1(x),$$

on $\{(x, \psi(x))\} \cap \partial\Omega$, and zero otherwise on $\partial\Omega$. Note that

$$(4.2) \quad \sup_{\Omega} |u_1| \leq \sup_{\partial\Omega} |u_1| \leq \sup_K |u|.$$

Consequently,

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{\theta Q} \tilde{N}_{*,Q}(u_1)^2 dx \leq \left(\sup_K |u| \right)^2,$$

where the “truncated” maximal function $\tilde{N}_{*,Q}(u)$ is defined as in (4.1), except that we now consider a restricted supremum over $0 < t \leq \ell(Q)$. Moreover, by Fubini’s theorem and Caccioppoli’s inequality at the boundary,

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{\theta Q} S_Q(u_1)^2(x) dx \lesssim \sup_{0 < t < c\ell(Q)} \int_{\theta_0 Q} |u_1(y, t)|^2 dy \lesssim \left(\sup_K |u| \right)^2,$$

where S_Q is defined with respect to cones $\Gamma_Q(x)$, which have been truncated at height $\approx \ell(Q)$, so that $\Gamma_Q(x) \subset \Omega$, for $x \in \theta Q$, and where the implicit constants depend upon θ and θ_0 .

We now consider u_2 . Let $\Phi \in C_0^\infty(\theta_4 Q)$, with $0 \leq \Phi \leq 1$, and $\Phi \equiv 1$ on $\theta_3 Q$. Let $\mu \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, with μ supported in $|t| < \theta_4 \ell(Q)/4$, $\mu(t) \equiv 1$ for $|t| < \theta_4 \ell(Q)/8$, and set

$$v(x, t) := \Phi(x) \mu(t - \psi(x)) u_2(x, t).$$

As above, let $\Omega_\psi = \{t > \psi(x)\}$, and decompose $v = v_1 + v_2$ in Ω_ψ , where v_1 is bounded and continuous in $\overline{\Omega_\psi}$, and solves

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{cases} Lv_1 = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_\psi \\ v_1|_{\partial\Omega_\psi} = v|_{\partial\Omega_\psi}, \end{cases}$$

while $Lv_2 = Lv$ in Ω_ψ , with $v_2|_{\partial\Omega_\psi} = 0$. We note that the solution v_1 may be constructed so that $v_1 \rightarrow 0$ at infinity, since its boundary data has compact support. We now claim that there is a set $F \subset \Omega$, with $\text{dist}(F, \partial R_Q) \approx \ell(Q)$, such that

$$(4.6) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega_\psi} \left(\tilde{N}_{*,\psi}(v_2)^2 + S_\psi(v_2)^2 \right) dx \lesssim |Q| \left(\sup_F |u_2| \right)^2,$$

where $\tilde{N}_{*,\psi}$, S_ψ are defined relative to Ω_ψ (cf. (4.1) and (1.21); in the case of $\tilde{N}_{*,\psi}$, the ball $B_\gamma(x, t)$ now has radius equal to $\gamma(t - \psi(x))$, with γ sufficiently small depending on $\|\nabla\psi\|_\infty$.) Let us momentarily take this claim for granted. By (1.19), we have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega_\psi} \tilde{N}_{*,\psi}(v_1)^2 \lesssim \int_{\partial\Omega_\psi} S_\psi(v_1)^2 \lesssim \int_{\partial\Omega_\psi} S_\psi(v)^2 + \int_{\partial\Omega_\psi} S_\psi(v_2)^2,$$

where we have used the pointwise bound $\tilde{N}_{*,\psi}(w) \leq N_{*,\psi}(w)$. We observe that

$$\nabla v = \Phi(x) \mu(t - \psi(x)) \nabla u_2(x, t) + \nabla \left(\Phi(x) \mu(t - \psi(x)) \right) u_2(x, t) =: \mathbf{V}_1 + \mathbf{V}_2,$$

and in turn,

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla \left(\Phi(x) \mu(t - \psi(x)) \right) \\ &= \left(\nabla_x \Phi(x) \mu(t - \psi(x)) - \Phi(x) \mu'(t - \psi(x)) \nabla_x \psi(x), \Phi(x) \mu'(t - \psi(x)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\nabla(\Phi(x) \mu(t - \psi(x)))$ (restricted to Ω_ψ), and hence also \mathbf{V}_2 , are supported in

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\{ (x, t) : x \in \theta_4 Q \setminus \theta_3 Q, 0 < t - \psi(x) < \theta_4 \ell(Q)/4 \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ (x, t) : x \in \theta_4 Q, \theta_4 \ell(Q)/8 < t - \psi(x) < \theta_4 \ell(Q)/4 \right\} =: E_1 \cup E_2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, there is a set $F \subset \Omega$, with $\text{dist}(F, \partial R_Q) \approx \ell(Q)$, such that $|\mathbf{V}_2| \lesssim \ell(Q)^{-1} \sup_F |u_2|$, whence it follows that

$$(4.8) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega_\psi} S_\psi(v)^2 d\sigma \lesssim \int_{\theta_5 Q} S_Q(u_2)^2(x) dx + |Q| \left(\sup_F |u_2| \right)^2,$$

provided that the constant ε_0 (which controls $\|\nabla\psi\|_\infty$) is sufficiently small. Moreover

$$\int_{\theta Q} \tilde{N}_{*,Q}^\gamma(u_2)^2(x) dx \lesssim \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\partial\Omega_\psi} \tilde{N}_\psi^\gamma(v)^2 d\sigma + \left(\sup_F |u_2| \right)^2,$$

if γ is sufficiently small. Indeed, in that case, for $x \in \theta Q$, and $0 < t \lesssim \ell(Q)$, we have that $(u_2 - v)1_{B_\gamma(x,t)}$ is supported in a region of Whitney type, i.e., so that $t \approx \ell(Q)$, inside Ω . Gathering these estimates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\theta Q} \tilde{N}_{*,Q}(u_2)^2(x) dx \\ & \lesssim \left(\sup_F |u_2| \right)^2 + \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\partial\Omega_\psi} \tilde{N}_{*,\psi}(v_1)^2 d\sigma + \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\partial\Omega_\psi} \tilde{N}_{*,\psi}(v_2)^2 d\sigma \\ & \lesssim \left(\sup_F |u_2| \right)^2 + \int_{\theta_5 Q} S_Q(u_2)^2(x) dx + \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\partial\Omega_\psi} S_\psi(v_2)^2 + \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\partial\Omega_\psi} \tilde{N}_{*,\psi}(v_2)^2 \\ & \lesssim \left(\sup_F |u_2| \right)^2 + \int_{\theta_5 Q} S_Q(u_2)^2(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we have used the claim (4.6) (and where we have also used that the set F may be taken to be the same in (4.6) and (4.8): just take the union of the two, or, see the proof of (4.6) below.) Combining the latter estimate with (4.2)-(4.4), and setting $K_Q := F \cup K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\theta Q} \tilde{N}_{*,Q}(u)^2(x) dx \lesssim \int_{\theta Q} \tilde{N}_{*,Q}(u_1)^2(x) dx + \int_{\theta Q} \tilde{N}_{*,Q}(u_2)^2(x) dx \\ & \lesssim \left(\sup_K |u| \right)^2 + \left(\sup_F |u_2| \right)^2 + \int_{\theta_5 Q} S_Q(u_2)^2(x) dx \\ & \lesssim \left(\sup_{K_Q} |u| \right)^2 + \left(\sup_F |u_1| \right)^2 + \int_{\theta_5 Q} S_Q(u)^2(x) dx + \int_{\theta_5 Q} S_Q(u_1)^2(x) dx \\ & \lesssim \left(\sup_{K_Q} |u| \right)^2 + \int_{\theta_5 Q} S_Q(u)^2(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

whence (1.15), the conclusion of Theorem 1.14, follows directly.

It remains to prove the claim (4.6). To this end, we shall require the following lemma. For notational convenience, we write $X = (x, t)$ to denote points in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Lemma 4.9. *Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $r > 0$, and set $X_0 := (x_0, 0)$ and $B := B(X_0, r)$. Let κB denote the concentric dilate of B by a factor of κ . Suppose that w is bounded and continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}$, with $w \rightarrow 0$ at infinity, that $Lw = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \setminus B$, and that $w|_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B} \equiv 0$. Set*

$$\mathcal{M} := \|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \cap (3B \setminus 2B))}.$$

Then there exist constants C and $\nu > 0$, depending only upon dimension and ellipticity, such that

$$|w(X)| \leq C \mathcal{M} \left(\frac{r}{|X - X_0|} \right)^{n-1+\nu}, \quad |X - X_0| \geq 3r.$$

Remark 4.10. We note that in the case that L is symmetric, Lemma 4.9 is a well-known classical result of Serrin and Weinberger [SW]. However, their proof does not carry over to the non-symmetric case, therefore we shall supply a proof below.

We defer for the moment the proof of the lemma.

Recall that $Lv_2 = Lv$ in Ω_ψ , that $Lu_2 = 0$ in Ω , and that $\Phi(x)\mu(t - \psi(x))1_{\Omega_\psi}(x, t)$ is supported in Ω . Therefore,

$$(4.11) \quad Lv_2 = \operatorname{div} \left(A \nabla (\Phi \mu) u_2 \right) + \nabla (\Phi \mu) \cdot A \nabla u_2 =: \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} + g.$$

Recall also that $\nabla(\Phi\mu)$ (restricted to Ω_ψ) is supported in the union $E_1 \cup E_2$ of the sets defined in (4.7). We observe that, by construction, $u_2|_{\partial\Omega}$ is supported in $\partial\Omega \cap \partial\Omega_\psi$, and $\operatorname{supp} u_2(x, \psi(x)) \subset \theta_2 Q$, while $E_1 \cup E_2 \subset \Omega$, with $\operatorname{dist}(E_2, \partial\Omega \cap \partial\Omega_\psi) \approx \ell(Q)$, and $1_{E_1}(x, \psi(x)) \subset \theta_4 Q \setminus \theta_3 Q$. Therefore, by Caccioppoli's inequality at the boundary, we have that

$$(4.12) \quad \iint |g|^2 dxdt \lesssim \ell(Q)^{-2} \iint_{E_1 \cup E_2} |\nabla u_2|^2 dxdt \lesssim \ell(Q)^{-4} \iint_{E_1^* \cup E_2^*} |u_2|^2 dxdt \\ \lesssim \ell(Q)^{n-3} \left(\sup_F |u_2| \right)^2,$$

where $E_i^* \subset \Omega$ is a slightly fattened version of E_i , with $E_1^* \cup E_2^* \subseteq F \subset \Omega$, and $F \subset \subset R_Q$, with $\operatorname{dist}(F, \partial R_Q) \approx \ell(Q)$. Moreover, we have that

$$(4.13) \quad \|\mathbf{f}\|_\infty \lesssim \ell(Q)^{-1} \sup_F |u_2|.$$

Since v_2 vanishes on $\partial\Omega_\psi$, it follows from (4.11) that

$$v_2 = L_D^{-1} (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{f} + g),$$

where L_D is the operator L with Dirichlet boundary condition in Ω_ψ . Now, $\nabla L_D^{-1} \operatorname{div}$ is bounded on $L^2(\Omega_\psi)$, and $\nabla L_D^{-1} : L^{2_*}(\Omega_\psi) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega_\psi)$, where $2_* := (2n+2)/(n+3)$ is the $(n+1)$ -dimensional Sobolev exponent. Therefore, since \mathbf{f} and g are supported in $\Omega \subset R_Q$, we have

$$(4.14) \quad \iint_{\Omega_\psi} |\nabla v_2|^2 \lesssim \iint_{\Omega} |\mathbf{f}|^2 + \left(\iint_{\Omega} |g|^2 \right)^{2/2_*} \\ \leq |R_Q| \|\mathbf{f}\|_\infty^2 + |R_Q|^{-1+2/2_*} \iint |g|^2 \lesssim \ell(Q)^{n-1} \left(\sup_F |u_2| \right)^2,$$

where in the last step we have used (4.12)-(4.13). Consequently,

$$(4.15) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega_\psi} \left(S_\psi(v_2 1_{t \leq \ell(Q)}) \right)^2 d\sigma \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\psi(x)}^{C\ell(Q)} |\nabla v_2(x, t)|^2 (t - \psi(x)) dt dx \\ \lesssim \ell(Q) \iint_{\Omega_\psi} |\nabla v_2|^2 \lesssim |Q| \left(\sup_F |u_2| \right)^2.$$

Moreover, since v_2 vanishes on $\partial\Omega_\psi$, we have that

$$(4.16) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\psi(x)}^{C\ell(Q)} |v_2(x, t)|^2 dt dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\psi(x)}^{C\ell(Q)} \left| \int_{\psi(x)}^t \partial_s v_2(x, s) ds \right|^2 dt dx \\ \lesssim \ell(Q)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\psi(x)}^{C\ell(Q)} |\nabla v_2(x, s)|^2 ds dx \lesssim \ell(Q)^{n+1} \left(\sup_F |u_2| \right)^2,$$

by (4.14). We let x_Q denote the center of Q , and set $r_Q = C_1 \ell(Q)$, with C_1 chosen large enough that $T_Q \subset B_Q := B(x_Q, r_Q)$. Since $Lv_2 = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \setminus B_Q$, and $v_2 = 0$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\}) \setminus B_Q$, by Moser's estimates we have that

$$(4.17) \quad \mathcal{M}_Q := \|v_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\psi \cap (3B_Q \setminus 2B_Q))} \lesssim |B_Q|^{-1/2} \|v_2\|_{L^2(\Omega_\psi \cap 4B_Q)} \lesssim \sup_F |u_2|,$$

where in the last step we have used (4.16). We observe that $-v_2 = v_1$ in $\Omega_\psi \setminus B_Q$. We may therefore apply Lemma 4.9 to v_2 , with $r = r_Q$, $x_0 = x_Q$, to obtain

$$(4.18) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega_\psi} \left(S_\psi(v_2 1_{t \geq \ell(Q)}) \right)^2 d\sigma \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{C\ell(Q)}^{+\infty} |\nabla v_2(x, t)|^2 t dt dx \\ \approx \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} 2^k \ell(Q) \int_{2^k \ell(Q)}^{2^{k+1} \ell(Q)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla v_2(x, t)|^2 dt dx \\ \lesssim \sum_{k=k_0-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k \ell(Q)} \int_{2^k \ell(Q)}^{2^{k+1} \ell(Q)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |v_2(x, t)|^2 dx dt \\ \lesssim \mathcal{M}_Q^2 \sum_{k=k_0-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k \ell(Q)} (\ell(Q))^{2n-2+2\nu} \int_{2^k \ell(Q)}^{2^{k+1} \ell(Q)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |X - x_Q|^{-2(n-1+\nu)} dX \\ \lesssim |Q| \left(\sup_F |u_2| \right)^2 \sum_k 2^{-k(n-2+2\nu)} \lesssim |Q| \left(\sup_F |u_2| \right)^2,$$

since $n \geq 2$ and $\nu > 0$, where in the third, fourth and fifth lines, respectively, we have used Caccioppoli's inequality, Lemma 4.9, and (4.17). Combining (4.15) and (4.18), we produce the desired bound for $S_\psi(v_2)$.

We now turn to $\tilde{N}_{*,\psi}(v_2)$. By Lemma 4.9, it is enough to establish (4.6) for $\tilde{N}_{*,\psi,Q}(v_2)$, where the latter is defined by restricting the supremum to values of $t \leq 3C_1 \ell(Q)$. To this end, we fix $(x, t) \in \Omega_\psi$, with $t \leq \ell(Q)$, and a ball $B_\gamma(x, t)$, centered at (x, t) , of radius $\gamma(t - \psi(x))$. Our goal is to show that

$$(4.19) \quad \frac{1}{B_\gamma(x, t)} \iint_{B_\gamma(x, t)} |v_2(y, \tau)| dy d\tau \lesssim M \left(\int_{\psi(\cdot)}^{C\ell(Q)} |\nabla v(\cdot, s)| ds \right)(x),$$

where M denotes the Hardy-Littlewood operator acting in the ‘‘horizontal’’ (i.e., x) variable. Momentarily taking (4.19) for granted, we find that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega_\psi} \left(\tilde{N}_{*,\psi,Q}(v_2) \right)^2 d\sigma \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(M \left(\int_{\psi(\cdot)}^{C\ell(Q)} |\nabla v(\cdot, s)| ds \right)(x) \right)^2 dx \\ \lesssim \ell(Q) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\psi(x)}^{C\ell(Q)} |\nabla v(\cdot, s)|^2 ds dx \lesssim \ell(Q) \iint_{\partial\Omega_\psi} |\nabla v_2|^2 \lesssim |Q| \left(\sup_F |u_2| \right)^2,$$

as desired, by (4.14). Turning to the proof of (4.19), we observe that, since v_2 vanishes on $\partial\Omega_\psi$, the left hand side of (4.19) equals

$$\frac{1}{B_\gamma(x, t)} \iint_{B_\gamma(x, t)} \left| \int_{\psi(y)}^{\tau} \partial_s v_2(y, s) ds \right| dy d\tau \lesssim \int_{|x-y| < C(t-\psi(x))} \int_{\psi(y)}^{C\ell(Q)} |\nabla v_2(y, s)| ds dy,$$

whence (4.19) follows immediately. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.14 (for solutions that are continuous up to the boundary of \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}), modulo the proof of Lemma 4.9.

Proof of Lemma 4.9. Let us make several elementary reductions, as follows. By dilation and translation invariance of the class of operators under consideration, we may suppose that B is the unit ball centered at 0, i.e., that $x_0 = 0$ and that $r = 1$. Furthermore, by renormalizing, we may suppose that $\mathcal{M} = 1$, i.e., that $|w| \leq 1$ on $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \cap (3B \setminus 2B)$. Finally, we claim that without loss of generality, we may suppose that $w \geq 0$. Indeed, let $\Omega' := \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \setminus 2B$ and set $f := w|_{\partial\Omega'}$. Let $f = f^+ - f^-$ be the splitting of f into its positive and negative parts, and observe that

$$(4.20) \quad \max(f^+, f^-) = |f| \leq \|w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \cap (3B \setminus 2B))} = \mathcal{M} = 1,$$

by our renormalization, since f vanishes on $\partial\Omega' \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\})$. We then may construct solutions w_+, w_- in Ω' , continuous up to the boundary of Ω' , with compactly supported data f^+, f^- , respectively, which decay to 0 at infinity. By the maximum principle, $w = w_+ - w_-$ in Ω' , and furthermore, by (4.20), we have that

$$\max(\|w_+\|_{L^\infty(\Omega')}, \|w_-\|_{L^\infty(\Omega')}) \leq \mathcal{M} = 1.$$

Therefore, by treating separately w_+, w_- , we may suppose that w is a non-negative solution in Ω' , with $\|w\|_{L^\infty(\Omega')} \leq 1$.

Let $\Gamma(X, 0)$ be the fundamental solution for L with pole at the origin, so that $\Gamma(X, 0) \approx |X|^{1-n}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$. Set $w_0(X) := C_0 \Gamma(X, 0)$, where we choose the constant C_0 , depending only upon dimension and ellipticity, so that $w(X) \leq w_0(X)$ for $X \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \cap (3B \setminus 2B)$. By the decay of w at infinity, it follows by the maximum principle that $w(X) \leq w_0(X)$ for $X \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \setminus 2B$. We now make the following claim.

Claim 4.21. Suppose that w_1 is continuous and bounded in $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \setminus 2B}$, with $w_1 \geq 0$, $Lw_1 = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \setminus 2B$, $w_1 \rightarrow 0$ at infinity, and $w_1|_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B} = 0$. Suppose further that $w_1(X) \leq w_0(X)$ for $X \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \setminus 2^j B$, for some integer $j \geq 1$. Then $w_1(X) \leq (1 - \delta)w_0(X)$, in $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \setminus 2^{j+1} B$, for some $\delta > 0$ depending only upon dimension and ellipticity.

Since $w_0(X) \approx |X|^{1-n}$, the conclusion of Lemma 4.9 follows from the claim by a straightforward iteration argument, whose details we omit. Therefore, it remains only to establish the claim. To this end, we fix j such that $w_1(X) \leq w_0(X)$ for $X \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \setminus 2^j B$. We note that by Hölder continuity at the boundary, and the fact that $w_0(Y) \approx 2^{j(1-n)}$ in $2^{j+2} B \setminus 2^j B$, there is a constant η_0 depending only on ellipticity and dimension, such that for $X = (x, 0)$, with $|X| = 2^{j+1}$, we have

$$w_1(Y) \leq \frac{1}{2} w_0(Y), \quad \forall Y \in B(X, \eta_0 2^j) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}.$$

Set $h := w_0 - w_1$. Then $h \geq 0$, $Lh = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \setminus B$, and

$$h(Y) \geq \frac{1}{2} w_0(Y), \quad \forall Y \in B(X, \eta_0 2^j) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1},$$

and for all $X = (x, 0)$ with $|X| = 2^{j+1}$. Therefore, by Harnack's inequality, there is some constant $\delta > 0$ depending only upon ellipticity and dimension such that

$$h(Y) \geq \delta w_0(Y) \quad \forall Y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \text{ with } |Y| = 2^{j+1},$$

i.e., $w_1(Y) \leq (1 - \delta)w_0(Y)$ for all $Y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ with $|Y| = 2^{j+1}$. The claim now follows by the maximum principle. \square

5. ϵ -APPROXIMABILITY AND THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.23

In order to prove Theorem 1.23, it is enough, by [KKPT, Theorem 2.3], to show that if u is bounded in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , with $\|u\|_\infty \leq 1$, and $Lu = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , then u enjoys the following “ ϵ -approximability” property, for every $\epsilon > 0$:

Definition 5.1. Let $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$, with $\|u\|_\infty \leq 1$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, we say that u is ϵ -**approximable** if for every cube $Q_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, there is a $\varphi = \varphi_{Q_0} \in W^{1,1}(T_{Q_0})$ such that

$$(5.2) \quad \|u - \varphi\|_{L^\infty(T_{Q_0})} < \epsilon,$$

and

$$(5.3) \quad \sup_{Q \subset Q_0} \frac{1}{|Q|} \iint_{T_Q} |\nabla \varphi(x, t)| dx dt \leq C_\epsilon,$$

where C_ϵ depends also upon dimension and ellipticity, but not on Q_0 .

Actually, the definition of ϵ -approximability given in [KKPT], is stated in terms of the existence of a smooth, globally defined φ , but the version above is in fact all that is needed in the proof of Theorem 2.3 of that paper. Moreover, the arguments of [KKPT] do not require ϵ -approximability for all bounded solutions, but only for solutions whose boundary data is the characteristic function of a bounded Borel set. We shall return to this point below.

In this section, we shall assume that u satisfies the following pair of estimates. Given a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, with center x_Q , we let $P_Q := (x_Q, (1 - \eta)\ell(Q))$ denote the “Corkscrew point” relative to Q , where $\eta > 0$ is a small number to be chosen. Note that, if $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz, $\|\nabla \psi\|_\infty \leq M$, and $0 \leq \psi \leq \frac{1}{8}\ell(Q)$ in Q , then $\text{dist}(P_Q, \partial\Omega_\psi \cap T_Q) > \frac{\eta}{2}\ell(Q)$, provided that η is sufficiently small. Here, as usual, $\Omega_\psi := \{(x, t) : t > \psi(x)\}$.

Estimate 1. Let $Lu = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , $\|u\|_\infty < \infty$. We say that Estimate 1 holds if for every cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and every ψ as above, we have:

$$(5.4) \quad \int_{(1-s_n)Q} |u(x, \psi(x)) - u(P_Q)|^2 dx \leq C_{M,\eta} \iint_{\Omega_\psi \cap T_Q} |\nabla u|^2 t dt dx,$$

for some $s_n < 1$ sufficiently small, where $C_{M,\eta}$ depends also on dimension and ellipticity.

Estimate 2. Let L, u be as in Estimate 1, $\|u\|_\infty \leq 1$. We say that Estimate 2 holds if

$$(5.5) \quad \sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \iint_{T_Q} |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 t dt dx \leq C.$$

Remark 5.6. For bounded null solutions of t -independent operators, Estimate 2 has already been proved in general: indeed, it is simply a re-statement of Corollary 1.10. Moreover, at this point, we have verified Estimate 1 for solutions u that are continuous up to the boundary. Indeed, Estimate 1 follows easily from (1.20), for every $s_n \in (0, 1)$, by interior estimates for solutions, since $\psi \geq 0$ and thus $t - \psi(x) \leq t$. In turn, by the pull-back mechanism described in the proof of Corollary 1.17, (1.20) for continuous u follows directly from (1.15) for continuous u , and we have established the latter in Section 4. As discussed at the beginning of Section 4, this will be enough to establish Theorem 1.23, as we shall see momentarily.

The main result in this section is:

Theorem 5.7. *Assume that $Lu = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , $\|u\|_\infty \leq 1$, and that Estimate 1 and Estimate 2 hold for u . Then, for each $\epsilon > 0$, u is ϵ -approximable. The constant C_ϵ in the Carleson measure condition (5.3) depends also on dimension, ellipticity and the constants in Estimate 1, Estimate 2, but not on Q_0 .*

Before proving the theorem, let us use it to complete the proof of Theorem 1.23.

Proof of Theorem 1.23. As noted above, in order to obtain the conclusion of Theorem 1.23 via the program of [KKPT], it is enough to establish ϵ -approximability for solutions with boundary data of the form $u(x, 0) = 1_{\mathcal{B}}$, where \mathcal{B} is a bounded Borel set. Thus, given Theorem 5.7, it is enough to establish Estimate 1 for such solutions (since we already know that Estimate 2 holds for bounded solutions in general). Moreover, it is enough to do this for a t -independent operator L with smooth coefficients, as long as the bound in (5.4) depends only upon the stated parameters. Indeed, to prove Theorem 1.23, we may then proceed initially under the qualitative assumption that the coefficients are smooth, to obtain the A_∞ property of L -harmonic measure, but with A_∞ constants depending only on dimension and ellipticity. We may then deduce the A_∞ conclusion in the general case (i.e., without *a priori* smoothness of the coefficients), by an approximation argument as in [KKPT, pp. 256-257].

Therefore, we suppose that the coefficients of L are smooth, and we fix a bounded Borel set \mathcal{B} . For $Y = (y, s) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$, set $u(Y) := \omega^Y(\mathcal{B})$, the solution of the Dirichlet problem with data $1_{\mathcal{B}}$. Let us first suppose that \mathcal{B} is open. Let $X = (x, t)$ be a fixed point in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} . By the inner regularity of L -harmonic measure, and Urysohn's lemma, we may find a sequence $\{f_k\}$ of continuous functions, and closed sets $F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots \subset F_k \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{B}$, such that $f_k \equiv 1$ on F_k , $f_k \equiv 0$ on \mathcal{B}^c , and such that $u_k(Y) \leq u(Y)$, for all $Y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$, with $u_k(X) \rightarrow u(X)$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where u_k denotes the solution with data f_k . Thus, by Harnack's inequality,

$$(5.8) \quad u_k \rightarrow u, \quad \text{uniformly on compacta in } \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}.$$

Our goal at the moment is to show that (5.4) holds for u . To this end, fix a small number $\delta > 0$, and given a Lipschitz function ψ , we set $\psi_\delta(x) := \max(\psi(x), \delta)$. We note that $\|\nabla \psi_\delta\|_\infty \leq \|\nabla \psi\|_\infty = M$, uniformly in δ . Since (5.4) holds for solutions that are continuous up to the boundary, we have for each $\delta > 0$, and for every cube

Q , that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{(1-s_n)Q} |u(x, \psi_\delta(x)) - u(P_Q)|^2 dx &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(1-s_n)Q} |u_k(x, \psi_\delta(x)) - u_k(P_Q)|^2 dx \\
&\lesssim \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\Omega_{\psi_\delta} \cap T_Q} |\nabla u_k|^2 t dt dx \\
&\lesssim \iint_{\Omega_{\psi_\delta} \cap T_Q} |\nabla u|^2 t dt dx + \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\Omega_{\psi_\delta} \cap T_Q} |\nabla(u_k - u)|^2 t dt dx \\
&\lesssim \iint_{\Omega_\psi \cap T_Q} |\nabla u|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

since $\Omega_{\psi_\delta} \subset \Omega_\psi$, where the implicit constants depend only upon the stated parameters, and where the first limit holds by (5.8), and the second by Cacciopoli's inequality and (5.8). Recall that at this point we have assumed qualitatively that our coefficients are smooth, so that L -harmonic measure and Lebesgue measure dx on the boundary are mutually absolutely continuous. Thus, by the results of [CFMS] (which, as we have observed above, remain valid in the setting of non-symmetric coefficients), the bounded solution u converges non-tangentially to its boundary data a.e. (dx). We may therefore take a limit as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ to obtain (5.4) for solutions with boundary data given by the characteristic function of a bounded open set. To establish (5.4) when $u(x, 0) = 1_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the characteristic function of a general bounded Borel set \mathcal{B} , we simply use outer regularity of harmonic measure, and repeat the previous argument, but now with $u_k(Y) := \omega^Y(O_k)$, where $\{O_k\}$ is a nested sequence of open sets containing \mathcal{B} . We omit the details. \square

We have now reduced matters to proving Theorem 5.7.

Proof of Theorem 5.7. We fix a cube $Q_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and by dilation and translation invariance, we may suppose that $Q_0 = \{0 \leq x_j \leq 1\}$ is the unit cube in \mathbb{R}^n . Then $T(Q_0) := T_{Q_0} = \{0 \leq x_j \leq 1, 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ is the associated Carleson box. For N large (to be chosen to depend only on n) we let $S(Q_0) := \{0 \leq x_j \leq 1, 2^{-N} \leq t \leq 1\}$ be a ‘‘rectangle’’. As above, we let $P_{Q_0} = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}, 1 - \eta)$ be the ‘‘Corkscrew point’’ relative to Q_0 , where $0 < \eta < 1/4$ is to be chosen later, and set $\tilde{P}_{Q_0} = (\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Thus, $|P_{Q_0} - \tilde{P}_{Q_0}| = \eta l(Q_0) = \eta$.

For each $k = 1, 2, \dots$ we partition Q_0 into 2^{kNn} dyadic sub-cubes Q_j^k , with $l(Q_j^k) = 2^{-kN} l(Q_0) = 2^{-kN}$. By abuse of language we call the collection $\{Q_j^k\}_{j,k}$ ‘‘the dyadic’’ sub-cubes of Q_0 (of course, they are dyadic, but they are not all of the dyadics). For Q a ‘‘dyadic’’ sub-cube of Q_0 , we define $T(Q), S(Q), P_Q, \tilde{P}_Q$ analogously. Note that for each ‘‘dyadic’’ Q , the ‘‘rectangles’’ $S(Q')$ such that $Q' \subset Q$ and Q' is ‘‘dyadic’’, form a ‘‘Whitney’’ tiling of $T(Q)$. For $\kappa > 1$ near 1 (depending on N, n) we let $\tilde{S}(Q)$ be the rectangle obtained by expanding $S(Q)$ around its center by a factor of κ . If κ is close enough to 1 (depending on N, n), $Q = Q_j^k$, we still have $\text{dist}(\tilde{S}(Q), \mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\}) \approx 2^{-Nk}$. Moreover, we have

- 1) $\{\tilde{S}(Q)\}$ have bounded overlap.

- 2) If we fix Q_1 , a “dyadic” cube, and consider $\{S(Q)\}$, $Q \subset Q_1$, Q “dyadic”, then this is a “Whitney” tiling of $T(Q_1)$; moreover, $\{\bar{S}(Q)\}$ are all contained in $T(\bar{Q}_1)$ where \bar{Q}_1 is the κ expansion of Q_1 . We fix such a κ from now on.

We now fix an operator of the form $L = -\operatorname{div}A(x)\nabla$, where $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $A(x)$ is an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ real, elliptic, t -independent matrix, not necessarily symmetric, with ellipticity constant $\lambda > 0$. For solutions of $Lu = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , we have the following classical estimates:

5.1. Preliminary Estimates. For the reader’s convenience, we state here some classical estimates that we shall use repeatedly, in the form that we shall use them, i.e., stated for “dyadic” Q .

(Cacciopoli:)

$$(5.9) \quad \iint_{S(Q)} |\nabla u|^2 \leq \frac{C_{\lambda,n,N,\kappa}}{l(Q)^2} \iint_{\bar{S}(Q)} |u|^2$$

(Regularity:)

(5.10)

$$\text{For } x, y \in S(Q), \quad |u(x) - u(y)| \leq C_{\lambda,n,N,\kappa} \left(\frac{|x-y|}{l(Q)} \right)^\alpha \cdot l(Q) \left(|\bar{S}(Q)|^{-1} \iint_{\bar{S}(Q)} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\alpha = \alpha(\lambda, n) > 0.$$

(Regularity bis:)

$$(5.11) \quad \text{For } x, y \in S(Q), \quad |u(x) - u(y)| \leq C_{\lambda,n,N,\kappa} \left(\frac{|x-y|}{l(Q)} \right)^\alpha \left(|\bar{S}(Q)|^{-1} \iint_{\bar{S}(Q)} |u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\alpha = \alpha(\lambda, n) > 0.$$

We now return to the proof of Theorem 5.7. Fix Q “dyadic”, $Q \subset Q_0$, \bar{P}_Q as before. Let \bar{Q} be the interval (in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \{l(Q)\}$) centered at \bar{P}_Q , with $\operatorname{diam}(\bar{Q}) = 2\eta l(Q)$, so that $\bar{Q} \subset \operatorname{top} S(Q)$. Note that $H^n(\bar{Q}) = c_n \eta^{(n)} |Q|$.

Claim 5.12. Assume $\epsilon > 0$ is given, Assume that for some constant A , $|A| \leq 1$, we have $|u(P_Q) - A| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{10}$. Then $\forall X \in \bar{Q}$, we have $|u(X) - A| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{20}$, provided $\eta = \eta(\epsilon, \lambda, n)$ is small enough.

Indeed, by (5.11),

$$|u(X) - u(P_Q)| \leq C_{\lambda,n,N,\kappa} \left(\frac{|X - P_Q|}{l(Q)} \right)^\alpha \cdot \left(\iint_{\bar{S}(Q)} u^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_{\lambda,n,N,\kappa} \cdot \eta^\alpha \leq \frac{\epsilon}{20}$$

if $X \in \bar{Q}$, and if η is small.

Now, given $\epsilon > 0$ as in Thm 5.7, we choose and fix η as in Claim 5.12.

5.2. Stopping Time Construction, part I. We will now define “generation” cubes. We set $G_0 = \{Q_0\}$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$, and define the first generation, $G_1 = G_1(Q_0)$ to be the maximal “dyadic” $Q \subset Q_0$, for which $|u(P_Q) - u(P_{Q_0})| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{10}$. The “dyadic” cubes in $G_1(Q_0)$ have pairwise disjoint interiors. For $Q \in G_1(Q_0)$ we define $G_1(Q)$ in the same way. We set $G_2 = G_2(Q_0) = \cup \{G_1(Q) : Q \in G_1\}$. Later generations, G_3, G_4, \dots are defined inductively. Note that each $Q \in G_{p+1}$ is contained in a unique $Q' \in G_p$ and $|u(P_Q) - u(P_{Q'})| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{10}$.

Lemma 5.13. *There exist $0 < \mu < 1$, and $N = N(\lambda, n, \mu)$ such that*

$$\sum_{Q_j \in G_1} |Q_j| \leq C_{\epsilon, \lambda, n, (5.4), \mu} \iint_{T(Q_0) \setminus \cup_{Q_j \in G_1} T(Q_j)} t |\nabla u|^2 dx dt + (1 - \mu) |Q_0|,$$

and more generally, if $Q' \in G_p$, we have

$$\sum_{Q_j \in G_1(Q')} |Q_j| \leq C_{\epsilon, \lambda, \mu, (5.4), \mu} \iint_{T(Q') \setminus \cup_{Q_j \in G_1(Q')} T(Q_j)} t |\nabla u|^2 dx dt + (1 - \mu) |Q'|.$$

Proof. We prove the first estimate, the proof of the second one being the same. Consider the infinite downward cone, $\Gamma_\delta := \{(x, t) : |x| < -\delta t, t < 0\}$, where $\delta > 0$ is small. Let $U_1 = \cup T(Q_j)$, $Q_j \in G_1$. Consider $\Omega_- = (\cup_{P \in U_1} (P + \Gamma_\delta)) \cap T(Q_0)$ and also $\Omega_+ = (T(Q_0) \setminus \Omega_-)^\circ$.

We begin with several observation. If $Q \in G_1$, then $l(Q) \leq 2^{-N}$, by the definition of “dyadic” and the fact that $Q_0 \notin G_1$. Also, $\Omega = \cup_{P \in U_1} (\Gamma_\delta + P)$ is a domain given as the domain below the graph of a Lipschitz function Ψ_1 , whose Lipschitz constant is less than $\frac{1}{\delta}$. (One way to see this is that Ω verifies the uniform infinite exterior and interior cone conditions with respect to uniform vertical cones, since U_1 is given by a graph). The next observation is that, for $N > 2$, $0 \leq \Psi_1 \leq \frac{1}{4}$ on Q_0 . Another observation is that $\Omega_+ \cap U_1 = \emptyset$. Let $Q_{i_0}, Q_{i_1} \in G_1$ be given. We say that “ Q_{i_0} partially covers” Q_{i_1} if $Q_{i_0} \neq Q_{i_1}$, and

$$\left[\left[\cup_{P \in T(Q_{i_0})} (\Gamma_\delta + P) \right] \cap T(Q_{i_0}) \right] \cap \text{top } T(Q_{i_1}) \neq \emptyset,$$

where we note that $\text{top } T(Q_{i_1}) = \text{top } S(Q_{i_1})$, and $\cup_{P \in T(Q_{i_0})} (\Gamma_\delta + P) \cap T(Q_0) = \cup_{P \in \text{top } T(Q_{i_0})} (\Gamma_\delta + P) \cap T(Q_0)$.

Note that if Q_{i_0} partially covers Q_{i_1} , we must have $l(Q_{i_1}) < l(Q_{i_0})$.

We say that $Q_{i_0}, Q_{i_1}, \dots, Q_{i_k} \in G_1$ are such that $(Q_{i_0}, Q_{i_1}, \dots, Q_{i_k})$ forms a chain starting at Q_{i_0} and ending at Q_{i_k} , if for each $0 \leq j \leq k-1$, Q_{i_j} partially covers $Q_{i_{j+1}}$. Fix $Q_{i_0} \in G_1$. We define $T_r(Q_{i_0})$, the tree with top Q_{i_0} , by

$$T_r(Q_{i_0}) :=$$

$$\left\{ \text{all intervals } Q_j \in G_1 : \text{there exists a chain starting at } Q_{i_0}, \text{ ending at } Q_j \right\} \cup Q_{i_0}.$$

Finally, we say that $Q_{j_0} \in G_1$ is “uncovered” if there exists no $Q \in G_1$ with Q partially covering Q_{j_0} .

Fact 1. *For δ small, $T_r(Q_{j_0}) \subset 8Q_{j_0}$, for any $Q_{j_0} \in G_1$, where $8Q_{j_0}$ is the cube with length $8l(Q_{j_0})$ and same center as Q_{j_0} .*

Proof. Let $Q_j \neq Q_{j_0} \in T_r(Q_{j_0})$. Then there exists a chain $(Q_{j_0}, Q_{j_1}, \dots, Q_{j_k})$ with $Q_{j_k} = Q_j$. Note that since Q_{j_s} partially covers $Q_{j_{s+1}}$, $s \geq 0$, $l(Q_{j_{s+1}}) \leq 2^{-N} l(Q_{j_s})$. Also note that if Q_{i_0} partially covers Q_{i_1} , $\exists y_1 \in Q_{i_1}$ with

$$(y_1, l(Q_{i_1})) \in \left[\cup_{P \in T(Q_{i_0})} (\Gamma_\delta + P) \cap T(Q_0) \right] = \left[\cup_{P \in \text{top } T(Q_{i_0})} (\Gamma_\delta + P) \cap T(Q_0) \right].$$

Since $(y_1, l(Q_{i_1})) \in T(Q_0)$, (because $Q_{i_1} \subset Q_0$), there exists $P = (x, l(Q_{i_0}))$, $x \in Q_{i_0}$ such that $(y_1, l(Q_{i_1})) \in \Gamma_\delta + P$, i.e., $|y_1 - x| < -\delta [l(Q_{i_1}) - l(Q_{i_0})] = \delta [l(Q_{i_0}) - l(Q_{i_1})]$.

Let x_{i_0} = center of Q_{i_0} , $r_{i_0} = \max_{x \in Q_{i_0}} |x - x_{i_0}|$, so that $r_{i_0} = c_n l(Q_{i_0})$. For any $\tilde{y} \in Q_{i_1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{i_0} - \tilde{y}| &\leq |x - x_{i_0}| + |x - y_1| + |y_1 - \tilde{y}| \\ &\leq c_n l(Q_{i_0}) + \delta [l(Q_{i_0}) - l(Q_{i_1})] + 2c_n l(Q_{i_1}) \leq 4c_n l(Q_{i_0}), \end{aligned}$$

if δ is small.

Next note that if $(Q_{j_0}, \dots, Q_{j_k})$ is a chain, then $l(Q_{j_k}) \leq 2^{-kN} l(Q_{j_0})$. Suppose now that $\tilde{y} \in Q_{j_k} = Q_j$. Then, $|\tilde{y} - x_{j_{k-1}}| \leq 4c_n l(Q_{j_{k-1}})$ by the previous estimate and

$$|x_{j_s} - x_{j_{s-1}}| \leq 4c_n l(Q_{j_{s-1}}), \quad s = 1, \dots, k$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{y} - x_{j_0}| &\leq |\tilde{y} - x_{j_{k-1}}| + |x_{j_{k-1}} - x_{j_{k-2}}| + \dots + |x_{j_1} - x_{j_0}| \\ &\leq 4c_n l(Q_{j_{k-1}}) + 4c_n l(Q_{j_{k-2}}) + \dots + 4c_n l(Q_{j_0}) \\ &\leq 4c_n l(Q_{j_0}) \left[1 + \frac{1}{2^N} + \frac{1}{2^{2N}} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{(k-1)N}} \right] \\ &\leq 8c_n l(Q_{j_0}), \end{aligned}$$

where we used $l(Q_{j_s}) \leq 2^{-Ns} l(Q_{j_0})$, which follows because $(Q_{j_0}, \dots, Q_{j_s})$ is a chain. Fact 1 follows. \square

Fact 2.

$$|\cup_{Q \in T_r(Q_{j_0})} Q| \leq c_n |Q_{j_0}|.$$

Follows from Fact 1 and the disjointness of the intervals in G_1 .

Fact 3. Assume that $Q_{j_0} \in G_1$ is “uncovered”. Then, $(x, l(Q_{j_0}))$, $x \in Q_{j_0}$, belongs to the graph of Ψ_1 , i.e. $\Psi_1(x) = l(Q_{j_0})$, $x \in Q_{j_0}$, and hence to the boundary of $\Omega_+ \cap T(Q_0)$.

This is immediate from the definition of Ω_- , Ψ_1 and the definition of “partially covers” and “uncovered”.

Let now $\tilde{G}_1 = \{Q \in G_1 : Q \text{ is “uncovered”}\}$.

Fact 4.

$$G_1 = \cup_{Q \in \tilde{G}_1} T_r(Q).$$

It suffices to show $G_1 \subset \cup_{Q \in \tilde{G}_1} T_r(Q)$. Define $i_1 = \min \{i : l(Q) = 2^{-iN}, Q \in G_1\}$. Let $G_{1,1} = G_1$, $\tilde{G}_{1,1} = \{Q \in G_1 : l(Q) = 2^{-i_1 N}\}$. Note that if $Q \in \tilde{G}_{1,1}$, then Q is “uncovered”, because if Q' partially covers Q , $l(Q) \leq 2^{-N} l(Q')$ which is impossible for $Q \in \tilde{G}_{1,1}$ since $l(Q)$ is maximal among lengths in G_1 . Note also that $i_1 \geq 1$. Next, let $G_{1,2} = G_1 \setminus \cup_{Q \in \tilde{G}_{1,1}} T_r(Q)$. Let $i_2 = \min \{i : l(Q) = 2^{-iN}, Q \in G_{1,2}\}$, unless $G_{1,2} = \emptyset$, in which case the process stops. Note that unless the process stops, $i_2 > i_1$. Let now $\tilde{G}_{1,2} = \{Q \in G_{1,2} : l(Q) = 2^{-i_2 N}\}$. We claim that if $Q_1 \in \tilde{G}_{1,2}$, then Q_1 is “uncovered”. Suppose not, let $Q' \in G_1$ partially cover Q_1 . Then, $l(Q_1) < l(Q')$, so Q' cannot belong to $G_{1,2}$. Hence, $Q' \in \cup_{Q \in \tilde{G}_{1,1}} T_r(Q)$. Thus, there exists $Q \in \tilde{G}_{1,1}$ such that $Q' \in T_r(Q)$, i.e., $\exists (Q_{i_0}, \dots, Q_{i_k})$ a chain, with $Q = Q_i \in \tilde{G}_{1,1}$, $Q_{i_k} =$

Q' . But then, since $(Q_{i_0}, \dots, Q_{i_k}, Q_1)$ is a chain, $Q_1 \in T_r(Q_{i_0})$, $Q_0 \in \tilde{G}_{1,1}$, which contradicts the fact that $Q_1 \in G_{1,2}$. Thus, Q_1 is “uncovered”. Next, we define

$$G_{1,3} = G_{1,2} \setminus \bigcup_{Q \in \tilde{G}_{1,2}} T_r(Q) = G_1 \setminus \left[\bigcup_{Q \in \tilde{G}_{1,1}} T_r(Q) \bigcup \bigcup_{Q \in \tilde{G}_{1,2}} T_r(Q) \right].$$

Let $i_3 = \min \{i : l(Q) = 2^{-iN}, Q \in G_{1,3}\}$ (unless $G_{1,3} = \emptyset$ in which case the process stops). If the process does not stop, we let $\tilde{G}_{1,3} = \{Q \in G_{1,3} : l(Q) = 2^{-i_3 N}\}$. We claim that if $Q_1 \in \tilde{G}_{1,3}$ then Q_1 is “uncovered”. If not, $\exists Q' \in G_1$, with Q' partially covering Q_1 , so that $l(Q_1) < l(Q')$. Hence, Q' cannot belong to $G_{1,3}$. If $Q' \in \bigcup_{Q \in \tilde{G}_{1,2}} T_r(Q)$, we reach a contradiction as before. Hence, Q' cannot belong to $G_{1,2}$, since $G_{1,2} = G_{1,3} \cup \left[\bigcup_{Q \in \tilde{G}_{1,2}} T_r(Q) \right]$. Since $G_1 = G_{1,2} \bigcup \bigcup_{Q \in \tilde{G}_{1,1}} T_r(Q)$, $Q' \in \bigcup_{Q \in \tilde{G}_{1,1}} T_r(Q)$. But then $Q_1 \in \bigcup_{Q \in \tilde{G}_{1,1}} T_r(Q)$, a contradiction. We continue inductively in this manner. If the process stops at stage k , we have

$$G_1 \subset \bigcup_{Q \in \tilde{G}_{1,k-1}} T_r(Q) \cup \bigcup_{Q \in \tilde{G}_{1,k-2}} T_r(Q) \cup \dots \cup \bigcup_{Q \in \tilde{G}_{1,1}} T_r(Q),$$

and Fact 4 follows. If the process never stops, $i_k \uparrow \infty$ and it is also easy to verify Fact 4.

Fact 5.

$$\sum_{Q_j \in G_1} |Q_j| \leq c_n \sum_{Q_j \in \tilde{G}_1} |Q_j|, \quad (c_n > 1).$$

Let $O_1 = \bigcup_{Q_j \in G_1} Q_j$, $|O_1| = \sum_{Q_j \in G_1} |Q_j|$. Now use Fact 4 and Fact 2.

End of the proof of Lemma 5.13: For μ to be chosen, N to be chosen, consider now:

Case 5.14.

$$\sum_{Q_j \in G_1} |Q_j| \leq (1 - \mu) |Q_0|.$$

In this case Lemma 5.13 clearly holds.

Case 5.15.

$$\sum_{Q_j \in G_1} |Q_j| > (1 - \mu) |Q_0|.$$

Consider now $\tilde{G}'_1 = \{Q_j \in \tilde{G}_1 : Q_j \subset (1 - s_n)Q_0\}$. Let

$$\tilde{G}''_1 := \{Q_j \in \tilde{G}_1 : Q_j \cap (Q_0 \setminus (1 - s_n)Q_0) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

We claim that if $Q_j \in \tilde{G}''_1$, then, if N is large enough, $Q_j \cap (1 - 2s_n)Q_0 = \emptyset$. Let $x_0 =$ center of Q_0 , $x_1 \in Q_j \cap (Q_0 \setminus (1 - s_n)Q_0)$, $x \in Q_j$. Then,

$$|x - x_0| \geq |x_1 - x_0| - |x - x_1| \geq d_n(1 - s_n)l(Q_0) - 2d_n 2^{-N}l(Q_0) \geq d_n(1 - 2s_n)l(Q_0),$$

for N large, where d_n is chosen so that if Q is a cube with center x_Q and length $l(Q)$, then, for $x \in Q$, $|x - x_Q| \leq d_n l(Q)$.

Because of the claim, $\sum_{Q_j \in \tilde{G}''_1} |Q_j| \leq [1 - (1 - 2s_n)^n] |Q_0|$. But then, if we choose s_n so small that, with c_n as in Fact 5, we have $c_n [1 - (1 - 2s_n)^n] \leq \delta_n$, where $2\delta_n < 1$ and $\mu = \delta_n$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 - \mu)|Q_0| &\leq \sum_{Q_j \in G_1} |Q_j| \leq c_n \sum_{Q_j \in \tilde{G}_1} |Q_j| \\
&\leq c_n \sum_{Q_j \in \tilde{G}'_1} |Q_j| + c_n \sum_{Q_j \in \tilde{G}''_1} |Q_j| \\
&\leq c_n \sum_{Q_j \in \tilde{G}'_1} |Q_j| + c_n [1 - (1 - 2s_n)^n] |Q_0| \\
&\leq c_n \sum_{Q_j \in \tilde{G}'_1} |Q_j| + \delta_n |Q_0|.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $(1 - 2\delta_n)|Q_0| \leq c_n \sum_{Q_j \in \tilde{G}'_1} |Q_j|$, and so

$$\sum_{Q_j \in G_1} |Q_j| \leq \frac{c_n}{(1 - 2\delta_n)} \sum_{Q_j \in \tilde{G}'_1} |Q_j|.$$

Hence, using estimate (5.4), the construction of generation cubes, the claim at the start of the proof of 5.7 and Fact 3, we get

$$|u(P_{Q_0}) - u(X)| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{100}, \quad X \in \tilde{Q}_j.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\{(x, \Psi_1(x)): x \in (1-s_n)Q_0\}} |u - u(P_{Q_0})|^2 &\leq C_{\delta, \eta, \lambda, n, (5.4)} \iint_{\Omega_+ \cap T(Q_0)} t |\nabla u|^2 dx dt \\
&\leq C_{\delta, \eta, \lambda, n, (5.4)} \iint_{{}^c U_1 \cap T(Q_0)} t |\nabla u|^2 dx dt,
\end{aligned}$$

since $\Omega_+ \cap T(Q_0) \subset {}^c U_1 \cap T(Q_0)$. Thus,

$$\frac{\epsilon^2}{100^2} \sum_{Q_j \in \tilde{G}'_1} |Q_j| \leq C_{\delta, \eta, \lambda, n, (5.4)} \iint_{T(Q_0) \setminus \cup_{Q \in G_1} T(Q)} t |\nabla u|^2,$$

which shows that in case 5.15, $\frac{\epsilon^2}{100^2} \sum_{Q_j \in G_1} |Q_j| \leq C_{\delta, n, \lambda, \mu, (5.4)} \iint_{T(Q_0) \setminus \cup_{Q \in G_1} T(Q)} t |\nabla u|^2$, finishing the proof of Lemma 5.13. \square

Recall that Q is a “generation cube” if $Q \in G_p$ for some $p \geq 1$. We define $G_0 = \{Q_0\}$.

Lemma 5.16. “Packing property” Let Q be a “dyadic” cube $\subset Q_0$. Then

$$\sum_{Q_j \subset Q, Q_j \text{ a generation cube}} |Q_j| \leq C_{\lambda, n, \epsilon, \eta, N, \mu, (5.4), (5.5)} |Q|.$$

Proof. Let $M(Q) = \{\text{maximal generation cubes contained in } Q\}$, i.e., $Q_1 \in M(Q)$ if Q_1 is a generation cube and $\nexists Q'$, a generation cube, $Q' \subset Q$ with $Q_1 \subsetneq Q'$. Note that the cubes in $M(Q)$ are pairwise disjoint, and any generation cube Q_j contained in Q is contained in a unique maximal $Q_1 \in M(Q)$. By disjointness, $\sum_{Q_1 \in M(Q)} |Q_1| \leq |Q|$.

By the construction, we must have

$$\{Q_j : Q_j \subset Q, Q_j \text{ is a generation cube}\} = \cup_{Q_1 \in M(Q)} \cup_{p \geq 0} G_p(Q_1).$$

Fix Q and fix a maximal generation cube contained in Q , Q_1 . We define $G_0 := G_0(Q_1) = \{Q_1\}$, and $G_1 := G_1(Q_1)$, $G_2 := G_2(Q_1), \dots$, etc., analogously to $G_p(Q_0)$ above. We define $U_0 = Q_1$, $U_1 = \cup_{Q' \in G_1(Q_1)} Q'$, $U_2 = \cup_{Q' \in G_2(Q_1)} Q'$, etc., and note that

$$U_{p+1} = \cup_{Q' \in G_p(Q_1)} U_1(Q').$$

Thus, $|U_{p+1}| = \sum_{Q' \in G_p} |U_1(Q')|$. By Lemma 5.13, for $p = 0, 1, \dots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |U_{p+1}| &\leq C \sum_{Q' \in G_p} \iint_{T(Q') \setminus \cup_{Q'' \in G_1(Q')} T(Q'')} t|\nabla u|^2 + (1-\mu) \sum_{Q' \in G_p} |Q'| \\ &= C \sum_{Q' \in G_p} \iint_{T(Q') \setminus \cup_{Q'' \in G_1(Q')} T(Q'')} t|\nabla u|^2 + (1-\mu)|U_p|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using the disjointness of the regions $T(Q') \setminus \cup_{Q'' \in G_1(Q')} T(Q'')$ for each fixed p , in Q' and for consecutive p 's, and summing in p , we obtain:

$$\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} |U_{p+1}| \leq C \iint_{T(Q_1)} t|\nabla u|^2 + (1-\mu) \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} |U_p|$$

Thus, $\mu \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} |U_p| \leq C \iint_{T(Q_1)} t|\nabla u|^2 + (1-\mu)|Q_1|$ and using (5.5), we obtain $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} |U_p| \leq C|Q_1|$ or, for each $Q_1 \in M(Q)$,

$$\sum_{p \geq 0} \sum_{Q_j \in G_p(Q_1)} |Q_j| \leq C_{\lambda, n, \epsilon, \eta, N, \mu, (5.4), (5.5)} |Q_1|.$$

If we now sum over $Q_1 \in M(Q)$, Lemma 5.16 follows. \square

5.3. The Stopping Time Construction, Part 2. For each generation cube Q , we define the corresponding Carleson box $T(Q)$ and the ‘‘rectangle’’ $S = S(Q)$. We call the resulting $T(Q)$'s ‘‘generation boxes’’. For each generation box $T(Q)$, we define the ‘‘dyadic sawtooth region’’ $\Omega(Q) = T(Q) \setminus \cup_{Q_i \in G_1(Q)} T(Q_i)$.

Note that if $Q' \subset Q_0$ is a ‘‘dyadic’’ sub-cube, then $S = S(Q')$ is contained in a unique $\Omega(Q)$. The uniqueness comes from the fact that if two generation intervals Q_j, Q_i are distinct, their associated regions $\Omega(Q_j), \Omega(Q_i)$ have disjoint interiors. The fact that S is contained in some $\Omega(Q)$ is due to the fact that if $l_p = \max\{l(Q) : Q \in G_p\}$, then $l_p \rightarrow 0$.

Next, relative to \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , for each generation cube Q , $\partial\Omega(Q)$ consists of horizontal and vertical ‘‘segments’’. The intersection of these ‘‘segments’’ with any box $T(Q')$ have H^n measure adding up to at most $c_n|Q'|$, since $H^n(\partial T(Q)) = c_n|Q|$. Also, the $\{Q_j\}$ in $G_1(Q)$, Q a generation cube, are non-overlapping, by maximality. For each generation cube Q_j , including the unit cube Q_0 , we define $\varphi_1(z) = u(P_{Q_j})$ on the interior of $\Omega(Q_j)$. Thus,

$$\varphi_1(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{Q_j \in G_p} u(P_{Q_j}) \chi_{\Omega(Q_j)}^\circ.$$

We consider now $|\nabla\varphi_1(z)|$. As a distribution on \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} ,

$$\nabla\varphi_1 = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{Q_j \in G_p} u(P_{Q_j}) \nabla \chi_{\Omega(Q_j)}^\circ.$$

It is easy to see that $|\nabla \chi_{\Omega(Q_j)}^\circ| = dH^n \llcorner_{\Sigma_j}$, where $\Sigma_j = \{t > 0\} \cap \partial\Omega(Q_j)$. Since $|u(P_{Q_j})| \leq 1$, $|\nabla \varphi_1| \leq \sum_{p=0}^\infty \sum_{Q_j \in G_p} |\nabla \chi_{\Omega(Q_j)}^\circ|$. Thus, for fixed Q , we have

$$\iint_{T(Q)} |\nabla \varphi_1| \leq \sum_{p,j} H^n(T(Q) \cap \Sigma_j).$$

Claim 5.17.

$$\sum_{p,j} H^n(T(Q) \cap \Sigma_j) \leq C_{\epsilon,\lambda,\mu,(5.4),(5.5)} |Q|.$$

To see this, first consider those Q_j such that

$$T(Q) \cap \Sigma_j = T(Q) \cap \partial\Omega(Q_j) \cap \{t > 0\} \neq \emptyset,$$

but such that $Q_j \not\subset Q$. In this case, assume first that $l(Q_j) \leq l(Q)$. Then, $T(Q) \cap \Sigma_j$ is a union of “intervals” along a “vertical” side of $T(Q)$. These “intervals” are pairwise disjoint, so they contribute at most $c_n H^n(\partial T(Q))$. If $l(Q_j) > l(Q)$, there are at most c_n such cubes, each contributes at most $c_n H^n(\partial T(Q))$. Next we consider generation cubes such that $Q_j \subset Q$. Then,

$$\sum_{Q_j \subset Q} H^n(T(Q) \cap \Sigma_j) \leq c_n \sum_{Q_j \subset Q} |Q_j| \leq C_{\epsilon,\lambda,n,(5.4),(5.5)} |Q|,$$

by Lemma 5.16. Thus, $|\nabla \varphi_1|$ is a Carleson measure.

We now say that $S = S(Q)$ is a blue “rectangle” if

$$\sup_{X,Y \in S} |u(X) - u(Y)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{10}.$$

Otherwise, we say that S is a red “rectangle”. Assume that $S = S(Q)$ is a blue “rectangle”. Let Q_j be the unique generation cube so that $S(Q) \subset \Omega(Q_j)$. Because $S(Q) \subset \Omega(Q_j)$, $|u(P_Q) - u(P_{Q_j})| < \frac{\epsilon}{10}$. Since $P_Q \in S(Q)$, if $X \in S(Q)$, then $|u(P_Q) - u(X)| < \frac{\epsilon}{10}$. Hence, $|u(X) - u(P_{Q_j})| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{5}$ for $X \in S(Q)$. But, $\varphi_1(X) = u(P_{Q_j})$ on $\Omega(Q_j)$, so that $|\varphi_1(X) - u(X)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{5}$ on every blue S .

The final step is to correct φ_1 in the red rectangles. $S = S(Q)$ is red if there exists $X_0, Y_0 \in S$ such that

$$|u(X_0) - u(Y_0)| > \frac{\epsilon}{10}.$$

Let \tilde{S} be the slightly fattened version of S , as at the start of this section. By (5.10),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\epsilon^2}{100} &\leq C_{\lambda,n}^2 \left(\frac{|X_0 - Y_0|}{l(Q)} \right)^{2\alpha} l(Q)^2 \iint_{\tilde{S}} |\nabla u|^2 \\ &\leq C_{\lambda,n}^2 \frac{1}{l(Q)^n} \iint_{\tilde{S}} t |\nabla u|^2 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$|Q| \leq \frac{C_{\lambda,n}^2}{\epsilon^2} \iint_{\tilde{S}} t |\nabla u|^2.$$

By the bounded overlap of $\{\tilde{S}\}$, we have:

$$\sum_{Q_k \subset Q: S(Q_k) \text{ red}} |Q_k| \leq \frac{C_{\lambda,n,(5.5)}^2}{\epsilon^2} |Q|,$$

in view of estimate (5.5). Also, if S is red, then by (5.9) (with $S = S(Q)$),

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_S |\nabla u| &\leq \left(\iint_S |\nabla u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} l(Q)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{C_{\lambda,n}}{l(Q)} \left(\iint_{\bar{S}} |u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} l(Q)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \\ (\text{since } \|u\|_\infty \leq 1) &\leq \frac{C_{\lambda,n}}{l(Q)} \cdot l(Q)^{n+1} \leq \frac{C_{\lambda,n}}{\epsilon^2} \iint_{\bar{S}} t |\nabla u|^2, \end{aligned}$$

by the previous estimate.

Then, if $\mathcal{R} = \cup_{S=S(Q'), S \text{ red}} S$, and we consider $|\nabla u|_{\chi_{\mathcal{R}}}$, also note that $T(Q) \cap \mathcal{R} = \cup_{Q': S(Q') \subset T(Q), S(Q') \text{ red}} S(Q')$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{T(Q)} |\nabla u|_{\chi_{\mathcal{R}}} &= \sum_{S=S(Q') \subset T(Q), S \text{ red}} \iint_{S(Q')} |\nabla u| \\ &\leq \sum \frac{C_{\lambda,n}}{\epsilon^2} \iint_{\bar{S}(Q')} t |\nabla u|^2 \leq \frac{C_{\lambda,n}}{\epsilon^2} \iint_{T(\bar{Q})} t |\nabla u|^2 \\ &\leq C_{\lambda,n,(5.5)} |Q| \end{aligned}$$

by (5.5), so that $|\nabla u|_{\chi_{\mathcal{R}}}$ is a Carleson measure.

Define now

$$\varphi_2(z) = \begin{cases} \varphi_1(z), & z \notin \mathcal{R} \\ u(z), & z \in \mathcal{R} \end{cases}$$

We clearly have $|u(z) - \varphi_2(z)| \leq \epsilon$. Also, $\nabla \varphi_2(z) = \chi_{\mathcal{R}} \nabla u + \chi_{(T(Q_0) \setminus \mathcal{R})} \nabla \varphi_1 + J$, where J accounts for the jumps of φ_2 as z crosses $\partial \mathcal{R} \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$. Since $|\varphi_2| \leq 1 + \epsilon$, J is a measure dominated by $(1 + \epsilon) dH^n \lfloor_{\partial \mathcal{R}}$. This last measure is Carleson by a previous estimate. This proves Theorem 5.7. \square

REFERENCES

- [A] P. Auscher, On necessary and sufficient conditions for L^p -estimates of Riesz transforms associated with elliptic operators on \mathbb{R}^n and related estimates, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **186** (2007), no. 871, xviii+75 pp.
- [AA] P. Auscher and A. Axelsson, Weighted maximal regularity estimates and solvability of non-smooth elliptic systems, to appear, *Invent. Math.*
- [AAAHK] M. Alfonsaca, P. Auscher, A. Axelsson, S. Hofmann, and S. Kim, Analyticity of layer potentials and L^2 Solvability of boundary value problems for divergence form elliptic equations with complex L^∞ coefficients, to appear, *Advances in Math.*
- [AHLMcT] P. Auscher, S. Hofmann, M. Lacey, A. McIntosh, and P. Tchamitchian, The solution of the Kato Square Root Problem for Second Order Elliptic operators on \mathbb{R}^n , *Annals of Math.* **156** (2002), 633–654.
- [AHLT] P. Auscher, S. Hofmann, J.L. Lewis and P. Tchamitchian, Extrapolation of Carleson measures and the analyticity of Kato's square-root operators, *Acta Math.* **187** (2001), no. 2, 161–190.
- [AT] P. Auscher and Ph. Tchamitchian, Square root problem for divergence operators and related topics, *Astérisque* **249** (1998), Société Mathématique de France.
- [CFMS] L. Caffarelli, E. Fabes, Mortola and S. Salsa, Boundary behavior of nonnegative solutions of elliptic operators in divergence form, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **30** (1981), no. 4, 621–640.
- [CF] R.R. Coifman and C. Fefferman, Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals, *Studia Math.* **51** (1974), 241–250.
- [CMS] R.R. Coifman, Y. Meyer, E.M. Stein, Some new function spaces and their applications to harmonic analysis, *J. Funct. Anal.* **62** (1985), no. 2, 304–335.

- [D] B. Dahlberg, Approximation of harmonic functions *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **30** (1980) 97-107.
- [DJK] B.E. Dahlberg, D.S. Jerison and C.E. Kenig, Area integral estimates for elliptic differential operators with nonsmooth coefficients, *Ark. Mat.* **22** (1984), no. 1, 97-108.
- [FS] C. Fefferman, and E. M. Stein, H^p spaces of several variables. *Acta Math.* **129** (1972), no. 3-4, 137-193.
- [G] J. Garnett *Bounded Analytic Functions*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1981.
- [GT] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1983.
- [H] S. Hofmann, A local Tb Theorem for square functions, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* 79 (2008), 175-185, special volume "Perspectives in Partial Differential Equations, Harmonic Analysis and Applications", in honor of the 70th birthday of V. Maz'ya.
- [HLMc] S. Hofmann, M. Lacey and A. McIntosh. The solution of the Kato problem for divergence form elliptic operators with Gaussian heat kernel bounds. *Annals of Math.* **156** (2002), pp 623-631.
- [JK] D. Jerison and C. Kenig, The Dirichlet problem in nonsmooth domains, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **113** (1981), no. 2, 367-382.
- [Ka] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1966.
- [Ke] C.E. Kenig, *Harmonic analysis techniques for second order elliptic boundary value problems*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, **83**. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994.
- [KKPT] C. Kenig, H. Koch, H. J. Pipher and T. Toro, A new approach to absolute continuity of elliptic measure, with applications to non-symmetric equations. *Adv. Math.* **153** (2000), no. 2, 231-298.
- [KP] C.E. Kenig and J. Pipher, The Neumann problem for elliptic equations with nonsmooth coefficients, *Invent. Math.* **113** (1993), no. 3, 447-509.
- [SW] J. Serrin and H. Weinberger, Isolated singularities of solutions of linear elliptic equations, *Amer. J. of Math.* **88** (1966), 258-272.
- [V] N. Varopoulos, A remark on BMO and bounded harmonic functions, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.

STEVE HOFMANN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO 65211, USA

E-mail address: hofmanns@missouri.edu

CARLOS KENIG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO, IL, 60637 USA

E-mail address: cek@math.chicago.edu

SVITLANA MAYBORODA, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN, 55455 USA

E-mail address: svitlana@math.umn.edu

JILL PIPHER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BROWN UNIVERSITY, PROVIDENCE, RI, USA

E-mail address: jpipher@math.brown.edu