

Chapter 4: Integrality and some other things

Given a commutative ring R , we study R -algebras A so that A is finitely generated (or finite) as an R -module.

Examples:

1. The group ring RG . = free R -module with the elements of G as a basis. This is finite over R if G is finite.

2. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$ contained in the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} . What subrings are finitely generated as \mathbb{Z} -modules?

e.g., is $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ finitely generated? No

The only such subring is \mathbb{Z} .

3. Inside $\mathbb{Q}[i]$, consider $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, $\mathbb{Z}[2i]$, $\mathbb{Z}[i/2]$.

$\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is finitely generated over \mathbb{Z} .
 $\mathbb{Z}[2i] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is also finitely generated over \mathbb{Z} .
 $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{i}{2}]$ is not fin. gen over \mathbb{Z} .

Defn. A commutative R -algebra A is finite over R if it is finitely generated as an R -module.

Does your neighbor know what an R -algebra is?

Yes ✓

No

Definition R is a commutative ring. An R -algebra A is a ring with a ring homomorphism $\phi: R \rightarrow Z(A)$ (so $1_R \mapsto 1_A$).
 A is an R -module via $r \cdot a := \phi(r)a$.

We learn:

- What condition on elements of A produce this finiteness condition
- What are the properties of integers.

Definitions. Let S be an R -algebra.

S should be commutative.

An element s of S is **integral** over R if and only if

$p(s) = 0$ in S where $p(x) \in R[x]$ is a monic polynomial.
 monic; the leading coefficient is 1

The algebra S is integral over R if

every element of S is integral over R .

Not clear: $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}\right] \subseteq \mathbb{C}$
 is integral over \mathbb{Z} .

Examples: $1 + i\sqrt{3}$ and $(1+i\sqrt{3})/2$.

$$(1+i\sqrt{3})^2 = 1 - 3 + 2i\sqrt{3} \\ = -2 + 2i\sqrt{3}$$

$$= 2(1+i\sqrt{3}) - 4$$

$1+i\sqrt{3}$ is a root of $x^2 - 2x + 4$.
 so is integral over \mathbb{Z} .

$$\left(\frac{1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{1+i\sqrt{3}}{2} - 1$$

$\frac{1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}$ is a root of $x^2 - x + 1$
 so is also integral over \mathbb{Z} .

$1+i\sqrt{5}$ is integral over \mathbb{Z}
 $\frac{1+i\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is not integral over \mathbb{Z} .

Goal: the integral elements form a sub ring. of S .

Corollary 4.6 plus. Let S be an R -algebra.

TFAE for s in S

(a) s is integral over R .

(b) $R[s]$ is contained in an R -submodule M of S , finitely generated over R , with $sM \subseteq M$

(c) there exists an S -module N and a finitely generated R -submodule M of N , not annihilated by nonzero elements of S , such that $sM \subseteq M$.

Proof (a) \Rightarrow (b). Take
 $M = R[s] (= \phi(R)[s])$
 $\subseteq S$.

Then $s \cdot R[s] \subseteq R[s]$.

Show $R[s]$ is finitely generated over R . It is generated by

$1, s, s^2, s^3, \dots$

If $p(s) = 0$

$$p(x) = x^n + b_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + b_0$$

then $s^n = - (b_{n-1}s^{n-1} + \dots + b_0)$

so s^n is not needed as a generator of $R[s]$. Neither are s^{n+1}, s^{n+2}, \dots

$R[s]$ is generated by

$1, s, s^2, \dots, s^{n-1}$. \square

(b) \Rightarrow (c): In (c) take $N = S$
 M the same. Then $1 \in R[s] \subseteq M$
is not ann. by any non-zero elt of S .

\square

Pre-class Warm-up!!!

Is 2 integral over \mathbb{Z} ?

A Yes ✓

B No

Is $1/2$ integral over \mathbb{Z} ?

A Yes

✓

Some further questions we might discuss (or not!)

Is $\mathbb{Z}[x] / (2x^3)$

(a) finitely generated as a ring? Yes

(b) finitely generated as an abelian group? No

Degree	0	1	2	3	4	5
elements	1	\bar{x}	\bar{x}^2	$\overline{\mathbb{Z}x^3}$		
	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}	$\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}$	C_2	C_2

Another question: (a) and (b) for $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^3)$.

Yes and Yes.

Goal: the integral elements form a sub ring.

Corollary 4.6 plus. Let S be an R -algebra.

TFAE for s in S

(a) s is integral over R .

(b) $R[s]$ is contained in an R -submodule M of S , finitely generated over R , with $sM \subseteq M$

(c) there exists an S -module N and a finitely generated R -submodule M of N , not annihilated by nonzero element of S , such that $sM \subseteq M$.

(c) \Rightarrow (a) Let $M = Rm_1 + \dots + Rm_n$
 $m_i \in M$. Let s satisfy $sM \subseteq M$

Write $sm_i = \sum a_{ij} m_j$

$a_{ij} \in R$, for each i .

Let $A = (a_{ij})$

Now $(A - sI) \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\text{adj}(A - sI)(A - sI) \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_n \end{bmatrix} = \text{adj}(A - sI) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det(A - sI) \cdot I \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det(A - sI) m_i = 0$$

$$\text{so } \det(A - sI) \cdot M = 0$$

$$\text{and } \det(A - sI) = 0.$$

If $p(t) = \det(A - tI)$ then
 p is monic and $p(s) = 0$.

s is integral over R . \square

$\det \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} - s & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} - s & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$ is monic.

Theorem 4.3 (Cayley-Hamilton) *commutative*.

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal of a ring R ,
 M an R -module generated by elements
 m_1, \dots, m_n ,

$f: M \rightarrow M$ an endomorphism. *of R -modules*.

If $f(M) \subseteq \mathcal{I}M$ *JM*

then there is a polynomial

$$p(x) = x^n + p_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + p_n$$

so that $p(f) = 0$, with $p_j \in \mathcal{I}^j \forall j$.

Proof. Write $f(m_i) = \sum a_{ij} m_j$, $a_{ij} \in \mathcal{I}$

Let $A = (a_{ij})$

Regard M as an $R[x]$ -module with
 x acting as f .

$$\text{Now } (xI - A) \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_n \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

elements of M i.e. vectors. Not allowed.

$$\text{adj}(xI - A) \cdot (xI - A) \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det(xI - A) \mathcal{I} \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

If $p(x) = \det(xI - A)$ then

$p(x) \cdot M = 0$, so $p(f) = 0$. \square

and $p_j \in \mathcal{I}^j$.

*adj $B \cdot B = \det(B) \cdot I$
Math 4242: entries of B
are in a field.*

Questions:

1. Could we present this proof to
undergraduates in Math 4242? Why, or why
not? *Yes*

No Most

2. How many points in this proof are
troubling to you?

0 1 2 3 4

Question:

Let R be a subring of S , u an element of S and r an element of R .

Is it obvious that if u is integral over R then ru is also integral over R ?

A Yes

B No

Corollary = Theorem 4.2.

Elements of S integral over R form an R -subalgebra.

Proposition 4.1. Let R be a ring, J an ideal of $R[x]$, $S = R[x] / J$.

Let s be the image of x in S .

a. S is generated by $\leq n$ elements as an R -module if and only if J contains a monic polynomial of degree $\leq n$.

In this case S is generated by

b. S is a finitely generated free R -module if and only if J can be generated by a monic polynomial.

In this case S is freely generated by

Corollary. s is integral over R if and only if $R[s]$ is finitely generated as an R -module.

Corollary 4.4. Let M be a finitely generated R -module.

a. If $f : M \rightarrow M$ is an epimorphism of R -modules, then f is an isomorphism.

Proof.

Corollary 4.5.

An R -algebra S is finite over R if and only if S is generated as an R -algebra by finitely many integral elements.

The extra things in Eisenbud's book
